RAS R-5 Official Transcript of Proceedings

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

DOCKETED USNRC

September 27, 2010 (2:15p.m.)

Title:

Prairie Island Nuclear Station

Pre-hearing Conference

OFFICE OF SECRETARY RULEMAKINGS AND ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

Docket Number:

50-282-LR and 50-306-LR

ASLBP Number:

08-871-01-LR-BD01

Location:

(telephone conference)

Date:

Tuesday, September 21, 2010

Work Order No.:

NRC-442

Pages 227-248

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. Court Reporters and Transcribers 1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA				
2	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION				
3	+ + + +				
4	ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD				
5	+ + + +				
6	PRE-HEARING CONFERENCE				
.7	x				
8	IN THE MATTER OF:				
9	NORTHERN STATES POWER : Docket Nos. 50-282-LR				
10	CO. (formerly NUCLEAR : And 50-306-LR				
11	MANAGEMENT COMPANY, LLC) :				
12	(Prairie Island Nuclear : ASLBP No. 08-871-01-				
13	Generating Plant, : LR-BD01				
14	Units 1 and 2) :				
15	x				
16	Tuesday, September 21, 2010				
17	Via Teleconference				
18	The above-entitled matter came on for				
19	prehearing conference, pursuant to notice, at 11:00				
20	a.m.				
21	BEFORE:				
22	WILLIAM J. FROEHLICH Chairman, Administrative Judge				
23	DR. GARY S. ARNOLD Administrative Judge				
24	DR. THOMAS J. HIRONS Administrative Judge				
	i i				

1	APPEARANCES:				
2	On Behalf of ASLBP:				
3	BETH N. MIZUNO, ESQ.				
4	MAXWELL SMITH, ESQ.				
. 5	MARY BATY SPENCER, ESQ.				
6	Office of the General Counsel				
7	Mail Stop - 0-15 D21				
8	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission				
9	Washington, DC 20555-0001				
10	beth.mizuno@nrc.gov				
11	(301) 415-3122				
12	On Behalf of Northern States Power Co.:				
13	DAVID R. LEWIS, ESQ.				
14	MATIAS F. TRAVIESO-DIAZ, ESQ.				
15	of: Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP				
16	2300 N Street, N.W.				
17	Washington, DC 20037				
18	(202) 663-8474				
19	FAX 663-8007				
20					
21	PETER M. GLASS, ESQ.				
22	Xcel Energy Services, Inc.				
23	414 Nicollet Mall				
24	Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401				
25	peter.m.glass@xcelenergy.com				
	NEAL P. GPOSS				

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

	229
1.	On Behalf of Prairie Island Indian Community:
2	PHILIP R. MAHOWALD, ESQ.
3	General Counsel
4	Prairie Island Indian Community
5	5636 Sturgeon Lake Road
6	Welch, Minnesota 55089
7	(651) 257-4006
8	
9	
10	
11	· ,
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

23

24

PREHEARING CONFERENCE

11:00 a.m.

JUDGE FROEHLICH: On the record. Good morning. It's 11:00 a.m. on Tuesday, September 21st. And this is prehearing teleconference related to the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant and it's one and two their license renewal. Docket Nos. 50-282-LR and 50-306-LR. Notice of this teleconference was issued originally on September 8th and amended as to the time on September 9th.

Here with me in Rockville in chambers are Judges Hirons and Arnold and our law clerks, Kara Wenzel, Kirsten Stoddard and Ann Hove. I also have Andrew Welkie from our IT staff in case we have any IT questions as we go along.

Since we are just starting and I didn't hear all or count all the beeps and buzzes on who logged on, could the parties please identify themselves and any colleagues with them for the record at this point?

MR. LEWIS: This is David Lewis representing Northern States Power. With me is Mr. Matias Travieso-Diaz. We're from the law firm Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman.

JUDGE FROEHLICH: Thank you, Mr. Lewis.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	Are there any other people on the line who				
2	let's say will not be speaking but are listening in on				
3	behalf of the Applicant?				
4	MR. ECKHOLT: Yes, this is Gene Eckholt.				
5	I'm the License Renewal Project Manager for the				
6	license renewal project.				
7	JUDGE FROEHLICH: Thank you.				
8	MR. GLASS: Yes, and this is Pete Glass.				
9	And I'm an in-house attorney for Northern States				
10	Power.				
11	JUDGE FROEHLICH: Thank you, gentlemen.				
12	And for the Prairie Island Indian				
13	Community, Mr. Mahowald, are you with us?				
14	MR. MAHOWALD: Yes, Your Honor. Phil				
15	Mahowald on behalf of the Prairie Island Indian				
16	Community.				
17	JUDGE FROEHLICH: And are there any people				
18	who will not be speaking necessarily but who are				
19	participating in this call with you?				
20	MR. MAHOWALD: Yes. Chip Cameron should				
21	be joining in.				
22	MR. CAMERON: And I'm on. Thank you.				
23	JUDGE FROEHLICH: Okay. Welcome. And				
24	last but not least the NRC staff.				
25	MS. MIZUNO: Good morning, Your Honor.				

1	This is Beth Mizuno. I'm here for the NRC staff. I'm
2	accompanied by Mary Spencer, Emily Montes, Brian
3.	Newell and Rick Spass who is our project manager for
4	Prairie Island license renewal. Max Smith should be
5	joining us shortly.
6	JUDGE FROEHLICH: Thank you, Ms. Mizuno.
7	This call is being transcribed by a court
.8	reporter. Mr. Court Reporter, just confirm for me
9	that you're with us.
10	COURT REPORTER: This is Toby Walter, the
11	court reporter. I am with you.
12	JUDGE FROEHLICH: Thank you, sir. For
13	that reason, I'd ask the parties to please identify
14	yourself by name before you speak so that our court
15	reporter who is who as we go through this call.
16	The purpose of today's call is discuss the
17	October 12th evidentiary hearing and provide details
18	on the October 11th limited appearance statement
19	session to be held in Hastings, Minnesota. The Board
20	issued a notice of this prehearing conference as I had
21	mentioned on September 8th in which we listed topics
22	for discussion today.
23	I would propose that we go through these
24	items in the order they were listed in the notice.
25	And then if there are any remaining questions or

concerns that any of the parties have as to the 2 limited appearance statement or the upcoming hearing 3 that we take them at the end. 4 The first item that we had in our notice was the filing or prefiling or refiling should I say 5 6 of the testimony and exhibits in this case. I checked 7 on this end with our IT folks and it appears that 8 everything that was originally filed has been refiled 9 and properly numbered and placed into the electronic 10 Are there any concerns that any of the system. 11 parties have with their EIE filings or refilings? 12 MR. LEWIS: No, Judge Froehlich. 13 JUDGE FROEHLICH: And --14 MR. MAHOWALD: No, Your Honor. 15 JUDGE FROEHLICH: Okay. Let me just say 16 that as we move towards the hearing if there is some 17 reason that you need to revise a portion of the 18 prefiled testimony the day before or during 19 evidentiary hearing please refer to the procedures we 20 had outlined in Section C1 of our August 17th order 21 and to the extent possible please try to minimize any 22 changes to the prefiled testimony so that we can move 23 it into the record fairly quickly when we're out in 24 Hastings and move forward with the hearing. 25 In the hearing, we all agreed to take the

big plunge and try the DDMS system. This is an electronic digital data management system and we'll be able to track and view the exhibits as they're introduced. And as the Board has questions on them, we'll be able to project them in the hearing room.

And in order for you to maximize your views or benefits of the DDMS, you'll have to connect your personal laptop computers to our system electronically.

What I was going to suggest is that we have a session on Monday, the 11th, in the courtroom at the Dakota County Judicial Center, Courtroom 2E, at about 1:00 p.m. And there I would have our IT folks go through the authentication and password matters that are necessary in order for you to participate and hook into the DDMS system. So if you do wish to avail yourself of the opportunity to connect to the DDMS system you'll have to bring your laptops to the courthouse on Monday at 1:00 p.m. and we'll have people available to sort of make sure that everything electronic works the way it should for the hearing on Tuesday morning.

Is that acceptable to the parties? Is that something you think you will avail yourselves of?

MR. LEWIS: Yes, Judge. This is David

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	Lewis. That's acceptable to us.
2	MR. MAHOWALD: Phil Mahowald and, yes,
3	that is acceptable.
4	JUDGE FROEHLICH: And the staff?
5	MS. MIZUNO: Yes, Your Honor. This is
6	Beth Mizuno. We would ask because our travel plans
7	are somewhat we're going to be really pressed to make
8	it there for a 1:00 p.m. prep session. What we would
9	like to know if it is at all possible for us to do it
10	online or here in the building somehow.
11	JUDGE FROEHLICH: Fortunately, that is
12	possible and we'll arrange for you to do that almost
13	any time between now and the hearing date. So you'll
14	be able to do that here in Rockville for any and all
15	the people and any and all the laptops that will be
16	necessary. Each laptop, it's necessary to have its
١7	own or each person?
18	MR. WELKIE: They just need to have their
19	digital
20	JUDGE FROEHLICH: Identify you.
21	MR. WELKIE: Sorry. Andy Welkie with
22	ASLBP. The one thing you will need to have is your
23	EIE digital certificate on your laptop. And that
24	really is the only prerequisite.
25	MS. MIZUNO: All right. Thank you very

1 We'll be in contact with you, Andy. much. 2 possible? 3 MR. WELKIE: Yes, and we could schedule 4 that again any time between now and that week in 5 October. 6 Great. MS. MIZUNO: Thank you. 7 JUDGE FROEHLICH: And for the Applicant 8 and the Petitioner, we'll bring all of the digital 9 certificates and things that are necessary in order to 10 hook your laptop out to the session at 1:00 p.m. on 11 October 11th. So you need not do anything in advance. 12 We'll have it all ready to go when we arrive. 13 I also wanted to note to the parties that 14 per our September 13th notice the Board will conduct 15 oral limited appearance statement sessions for the 16 public on Monday, the 11th, in an attempt to make it 17 as convenient as possible for as many as possible. 18 We've scheduled two sessions and publicly noticed 19 them, one going from 3:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. and the 20 other from 7:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m. on Monday. 21 purpose of the limited appearance statement is to help 22 the Board understand the public's perception of the 23 sole contention that remains at issue. 24 Are there any questions or concerns about

the limited appearance statements that are scheduled

1	for Monday?
2	MS. MIZUNO: Your Honor, this is Beth
3	Mizuno. I just have a quick logistical question.
4	JUDGE FROEHLICH: Sure.
5	MS. MIZUNO: When would the doors of the
6	courthouse be open for the 3:00 p.m. session?
7	JUDGE FROEHLICH: I believe Well, the
8	courthouse is a secure facility and it is open all
9	day. It's a business-as-usual day on Monday, the
10	11th. Our particular courtroom I guess we can either
11	secure it or keep it open as necessary for the
12	convenience of the parties and members of the public
13	who wish to observe.
14	MS. MIZUNO: So, Your Honor, when would
15	the courtroom be available?
16	JUDGE FROEHLICH: Ms. Mizuno, I'm informed
17	that because we're scheduling training for the parties
18	to begin at 1:00 p.m. I would expect that members of
19	the ASLBP staff will be there from 12:00 noon onward.
20	MS. MIZUNO: Great. Thanks very much,
21	Your Honor.
22	JUDGE FROEHLICH: Okay. I would note for
23	the purposes of the limited appearance statements that
24	as to the regulations dealing with limited appearance
25	statements I note that Section 2.315 states that
	li

1	people affiliated with the parties are not permitted
2	generally to make limited appearance statements. This
3	Board has no problems with people affiliated with the
4	Applicant or the Intervenor speaking at either of the
5	limited appearance statement sessions that we've
6	scheduled.
7	MR. LEWIS: Judge Froehlich, just a
8	complication on that.
9	JUDGE FROEHLICH: Yes.
10	MR. LEWIS: Does that mean that persons
11	who are related with the party may speak at the
12	session and actually speak on the contested issue?
13	JUDGE FROEHLICH: All I meant was that we
14	weren't going to strictly adhere to the people who
14 15	
	weren't going to strictly adhere to the people who were affiliated with. I wouldn't expect the limited appearance statement sessions to be sort of a
15	were affiliated with. I wouldn't expect the limited
15 16	were affiliated with. I wouldn't expect the limited appearance statement sessions to be sort of a
15 16 17	were affiliated with. I wouldn't expect the limited appearance statement sessions to be sort of a precursor or a coming attractions opportunity for
15 16 17 18	were affiliated with. I wouldn't expect the limited appearance statement sessions to be sort of a precursor or a coming attractions opportunity for either the Applicant or the Intervenor. However, if
15 16 17 18 19	were affiliated with. I wouldn't expect the limited appearance statement sessions to be sort of a precursor or a coming attractions opportunity for either the Applicant or the Intervenor. However, if there were members of the public who were somehow
15 16 17 18 19 20	were affiliated with. I wouldn't expect the limited appearance statement sessions to be sort of a precursor or a coming attractions opportunity for either the Applicant or the Intervenor. However, if there were members of the public who were somehow affiliated with the facility or affiliated with the
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	were affiliated with. I wouldn't expect the limited appearance statement sessions to be sort of a precursor or a coming attractions opportunity for either the Applicant or the Intervenor. However, if there were members of the public who were somehow affiliated with the facility or affiliated with the tribe who weren't going to be appearing the next day

MR. LEWIS: Judge Froehlich, this is David

1	Lewis. NSP has no objection to that. Our only				
2	concern would be if representatives or parties are				
3	actually providing				
4	JUDGE FROEHLICH: Right.				
5	MR. LEWIS: further discussion of the				
6	contention. That could be a concern to us.				
7	JUDGE FROEHLICH: Understood. Understood,				
8	Counsel.				
9	As to the logistics on the hearing day as				
10	we noted in our August 17th order, the order of				
11	witnesses that we plan to question the Applicant's				
12	witnesses first followed by the NRC staff witnesses				
13	and then concluding with our questioning of				
14	Intervenors' witnesses.				
15	At this point, I'd just like to alert the				
16	parties that there's a possibility that we may not				
17 .	have questions of all the witnesses who filed,				
18	prefiled, testimony and that as we narrow down and				
19	sort of get our questions straight among members of				
20	the Board we'll issue a notice alerting you to which				
21 -	witnesses need not appear personally at the hearing.				
22	Let's see. Anything else that any of the				
23	other Board members have any concerns or questions?				
24	(No verbal response.)				
25	Are there any concerns or questions among				

1	the parties that you dilike to address to the board at			
2	this point?			
3	MS. MIZUNO: Your Honor			
4	MR. LEWIS: Only, Judge Froehlich, if			
5	witnesses don't need to appear how logistically will			
6	we introduce their testimony?			
7	JUDGE FROEHLICH: Their counsel will stand			
8	up and identify. We'll have marked their prefiled			
9	testimony. We'll have it moved into the record as if			
10	testified, as if they had testified, along with their			
11	affidavit that accompanied their prefiled testimony.			
12	MR. LEWIS: Okay. It won't be necessary			
13	for a verbal affirmation as to the accuracy of their			
14	testimony.			
15	JUDGE FROEHLICH: No. We will rely on the			
1				
16	written affidavit that was attached to the prefiled			
16 17	written affidavit that was attached to the prefiled testimony.			
17	testimony.			
17 18	testimony. MR. LEWIS: Thank you.			
17 18 19	testimony. MR. LEWIS: Thank you. JUDGE FROEHLICH: Okay. Any other			
17 18 19 20	testimony. MR. LEWIS: Thank you. JUDGE FROEHLICH: Okay. Any other concerns of any of the parties at this point?			
17 18 19 20 21	testimony. MR. LEWIS: Thank you. JUDGE FROEHLICH: Okay. Any other concerns of any of the parties at this point? MS. MIZUNO: Your Honor, this is Beth			
17 18 19 20 21 22	testimony. MR. LEWIS: Thank you. JUDGE FROEHLICH: Okay. Any other concerns of any of the parties at this point? MS. MIZUNO: Your Honor, this is Beth Mizuno. Max Smith just joined us.			
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	testimony. MR. LEWIS: Thank you. JUDGE FROEHLICH: Okay. Any other concerns of any of the parties at this point? MS. MIZUNO: Your Honor, this is Beth Mizuno. Max Smith just joined us. JUDGE FROEHLICH: Oh good.			

1	JUDGE FROEHLICH: I should also note that
2	at this point that I know the parties have been
3	dealing with our law clerk, Kara Wenzel, who is
4	unfortunately leaving us very shortly. From this
5	point forward, Ann Hove will be the law clerk of
6	record or our point of contact with the parties. And
7	she'll be assisted by Kirsten Stoddard, a new law
8	clerk to the Board.
9.	MS. MIZUNO: Judge Froehlich.
10	JUDGE FROEHLICH: Yes.
11	MS. MIZUNO: I have a question. I wasn't
12	quite able to hear. So maybe I missed it. Did you
13	say when you were going to let us know when the
14	witness if certain witnesses might not be needed?
15	And when can we be expecting to hear?
16	JUDGE FROEHLICH: At this point, let me
17	assure you that the Board is working diligently to
18	narrow its questions and only require those witnesses
19	of whom we have questions to appear. I would hope
20	that we would be able to get out our notice to the
21	parties of those witnesses that need not appear in
22	person at least a week or ten days before the hearing
23	itself.
24	MS. MIZUNO: Thank you, Your Honor. This
25	is Beth Mizuno again. I have an issue I'd like to

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
	6	
1	7	
	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2		
	2	
2		
2	4	

raise about one of our witnesses and so I appreciate the Board's willingness to let us know and give us advanced warning as to their need for their appearance.

One of our witnesses is a nursing mother and we'd like to try to -- We want to make her available. She is a witness in this proceeding. And we've arranged to have a video teleconference room available for that. And we wanted to know if she turns out to be one of the witnesses that you require here, would it be possible for us to use the video teleconference facility to do that or, if not, if we could arrange time wise so that she is not away from home any longer than absolutely necessary.

JUDGE FROEHLICH: Yes. Counsel, that would be perfectly acceptable with the Board. I think that would be a good way to proceed if necessary. At this point, I can only speak for myself and not for other members of the Board. I know that Dr. Barnes from the staff is a witness that we would like to have and will probably have questions for. Would she happen to be the nursing mother to whom you refer?

MS. MIZUNO: No, sir. She's not.

JUDGE FROEHLICH: Good. All right. Then we'll take it as it goes along. I think Dr. Barnes

NEAL R. GROSS

. 1	should go ahead and make her travel arrangements and
2	we'll get back to you on the other staff witnesses as
3	we conclude our deliberations.
4	JUDGE ARNOLD: This is Judge Arnold.
5	Would this nursing mother be one of the other safety
6	culture experts that testified with her?
7	MS. MIZUNO: Yes, Your Honor. That would
8	be June Kai.
9	JUDGE ARNOLD: Okay. We've done some
10	discussions about witnesses of the safety culture
11	witnesses. It seemed that we have the most interest
12	in talking to Dr. Barnes. So at this moment I think
13	it's unlikely that we would require her.
14	MS. MIZUNO: Thank you for that
15	information, Your Honor.
16	JUDGE FROEHLICH: Okay. Just to conclude
17	this matter, we will issue a notice a week to ten days
18	beforehand listing those witnesses that need not
19	attend in person and also if it is necessary to give
20	you advanced notice so we can set up the
21	telecommunications link for Witness Kai.
22	Are there any other matters that any of
23	the other parties wish to raise with the Board?
24	MR. LEWIS: Yes, Judge Froehlich. This is
25	David Lewis. If this is the same courtroom that we

had the prehearing conference room in, there were two small counsel chambers outside the court. I wondered if we would have access to those again or whether there's any arrangements for a third room somewhere. And, in particular, I was wondering if there was someplace we could set up a printer. I believe your August 17th order suggested that we should be logistically prepared if we needed to generate some further questions and we were hoping to have some place where we could put a printer if there was actually a need for us to print something out.

JUDGE FROEHLICH: Okay. Thank you for raising that. At this point, we haven't finalized the logistics as to those two small counsel rooms that we used before. We will be using the same hearing room we did for the oral arguments back in 2008.

I will make sure that we contact the court official and see if we can get those two rooms at least and perhaps a third room for counsel. And I'll also get back with the parties through a notice or order as to a printer. It may be that the Board will make one available as part of the logistical support. But those are good points and I will follow up with that to make sure that we have a printer and the ability for the parties to provide additional

1 questions after hearing the questions that the Board 2 has asked of the witnesses. 3 Since you raised that, Mr. Lewis, let me 4 just probably explain to the parties that the way we 5 intend to proceed is that the Board will ask questions 6 of the witnesses either individually or when they sit 7 in a panel. At the conclusion of our questioning of 8 the witnesses, there will be a break and an 9 opportunity for counsels for the parties to prepare 10 any follow-up questions they wish to submit to the 11 Board for us to ask those witnesses. And so I think a printer would be useful 12 13 to all the parties. And we'll make sure that there is 14 some sort of way for us to do that at the hearing. - 15 Were there any other concerns, logistic or 16 otherwise, that we can address at this point? 17 MS. MIZUNO: Yes, Your Honor. 18 discovery Beth Mizuno. With respect to our 19 obligations and the hearing file and mandatory 20 disclosure, would it be possible for the Board to 21 determine at this time or shortly hereafter that we 22 can terminate our mandatory disclosure and hearing 23 file obligations at some time like soon possibly? 24 JUDGE FROEHLICH: Let me take that under 25 advisement and we'll issue an order that will address

I	
1	all the items that were raised in this teleconference
2	as well as the logistic matters and the witnesses in
3	the next few days or a week.
4	MS. MIZUNO: Well This is Beth Mizuno
5	again with respect to another issue.
6	JUDGE FROEHLICH: Okay.
7	MS. MIZUNO: On the transcript, I
8	understand we'll be filing transcript corrections.
9	That was mentioned earlier in the conference. Could
10	we have a date by which you'd like us to do that so
11	that we can organize ourselves and our schedules?
12	JUDGE FROEHLICH: Oh, the transcript
13	corrections of the session that we're holding now, is
14	that the one to which you refer?
15	MS. MIZUNO: No, actually, Your Honor, I
16	was more interested in the actual hearing transcripts.
17	JUDGE FROEHLICH: After the hearing.
18	MS. MIZUNO: Either is great.
19.	JUDGE FROEHLICH: Oh.
20	MS. MIZUNO: That would be fine.
21	JUDGE FROEHLICH: Surely. I think since
22	this is going to be a relatively short transcript why
23	don't we do transcript corrections to this
24	teleconference within a week, five days or so after
25	the conclusion after you get the transcript. And

1	we'll also make arrangements for a transcript
2	correction date after the hearing concludes when we're
3	in Hastings. Okay?
4	MS. MIZUNO: Thank you, Your Honor. And
5	I have one more question.
6	JUDGE FROEHLICH: Okay.
7	MS. MIZUNO: As to the computer use in the
8	courtroom. Is the courtroom wi-fi? Is it set up for
9	wi-fi service or should we bring cords and other
10	pieces of equipment such that we can access the
11	Internet via wire? I don't quite remember what was
12	available last time we were there.
13	JUDGE FROEHLICH: Let me check into that
14	for you and we'll put out a notice on what the
15	capabilities are, whether you have to use your
16	telephone cards or whether it's wi-fi enabled.
17	MS. MIZUNO: Thank you, Your Honor.
18	JUDGE FROEHLICH: Okay. Thank you for
19	raising those issues.
20	Judge Hirons?
21	(Off the record discussion.)
22	We're back. Are there any questions or
23	any matters that any of the parties wish to raise at
24	this point or are we free to conclude?
25	(No verbal response.)

1	We'll issue an order that will address as
2	many of the issues that were raised as possible within
3	a week or so of this conference. And I appreciate all
4	the comments and issues that were raised by the
5	parties and look forward to seeing everyone in three
6	weeks in Minnesota. We'll stand adjourned. Off the
7	record.
8	(Whereupon, at 11:24 a.m., the above-
9	entitled matter was closed.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	,
22	
23	
24	

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the attached proceedings before the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission in the matter of:

Prairie Island Station

Name of Proceeding: Pre-Hearing Conference

Docket Number:

50-282-LR and 50-306-LR

ASLBP Number:

08-871-01-LR-BD01

Location:

(teleconference)

were held as herein appears, and that this is the original transcript thereof for the file of the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission taken by me and, thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or under the direction of the court reporting company, and that the transcript is a true and accurate record of the foregoing proceedings.

Tobias Walter

Official Reporter

Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc.