



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

7/21/2010
75 FR 42466
(9)

RECEIVED

SEP 24 PM 1:24

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
15470

SUNSI Review Complete
Template = ADM-013
L-RIDS =
ADM-03
Add = S. Lemont
(SXL8)

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

Additionally, the draft EIS may not be in compliance with the Federal Farmland Protection Act. The EIS claims that the licensing of this facility is exempt from the Farmland Protection Act since the site is on private property (draft EIS, 3-3). But because Areva has accepted a \$2 billion federal loan guarantee from the Department of Energy, the Federal Farmland Protection Act applies to this license and the required procedures under the Act must be completed prior to licensing.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.

Sincerely,

Name:

Address:

Kit Blackden
1519 W Jefferson St
Boise ID 83702

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

RECEIVED

2009 SEP 20 11 31

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
US NRC

80ISE ID 837

13 SEP 2010 PM 12 1



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

The draft EIS makes an unproven assertion that there is a need for domestically produced enriched uranium. However, this claim was never proven and often contradicted in the draft. 1) The nuclear renaissance is too expensive and faces enormous delays; 2) the current US fleet of reactors has operated with an adequate supply of fuel for decades; 3) the draft EIS asserts that the licensing of this facility would create a supply of enriched uranium in *excess* of the need.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Sincerely, *Linda M. Cannarozzo*

Name: *LINDA CANNAROZZO*

Address: *4950 W. Catalpa Dr. Boise 83703*

Phone Number: *629-0431*


BOISE ID 837

13 SEP 2010 PM 2 L



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

Additionally, the draft EIS may not be in compliance with the Federal Farmland Protection Act. The EIS claims that the licensing of this facility is exempt from the Farmland Protection Act since the site is on private property (draft EIS, 3-3). But because Areva has accepted a \$2 billion federal loan guarantee from the Department of Energy, the Federal Farmland Protection Act applies to this license and the required procedures under the Act must be completed prior to licensing.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.

Sincerely,

Name:

Address:

Eugene McVey
917 N. 7th St
Boise 83702

RECEIVED

2010 SEP 20 PM 2:

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
ISNFC

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services

Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

The draft EIS makes an unproven assertion that there is a need for domestically produced enriched uranium. However, this claim was never proven and often contradicted in the draft. 1) The nuclear renaissance is too expensive and faces enormous delays; 2) the current US fleet of reactors has operated with an adequate supply of fuel for decades; 3) the draft EIS asserts that the licensing of this facility would create a supply of enriched uranium in *excess* of the need.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.

Sincerely,

Name: Steve Barclay

Address: 1899 South Philippi St., Boise, ID 83705

Phone Number: 869-2909

RECEIVED


2009 SEP 20 PM 2:31

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
LICENSING

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

OFFICE 10-0007

23 SEP 2010 PM 2:17



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

Currently, the draft EIS is inadequate and fails to address the fact that uranium enrichment is a technology used for proliferation. The NRC should produce an unclassified non-proliferation assessment for the EREF. To refuse to do so, based on the fact that Areva intends to enrich uranium to no more than 5%, misses an important point: Gas centrifuge uranium enrichment is a proliferable technology and precedents exist for non-proliferation assessments of proliferable technology, whether the license allows for proliferation or not.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.

Brent Mathisen

Sincerely,

Name:

Brent Mathisen

Address:

4130 Plum St Boise ID 83703

Phone Number:

208-342-7746

RECEIVED

2010 SEP 20 PM 2:31


RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

Additionally, the draft EIS may not be in compliance with the Federal Farmland Protection Act. The EIS claims that the licensing of this facility is exempt from the Farmland Protection Act since the site is on private property (draft EIS, 3-3). But because Areva has accepted a \$2 billion federal loan guarantee from the Department of Energy, the Federal Farmland Protection Act applies to this license and the required procedures under the Act must be completed prior to licensing.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.

Sincerely,

Name: LOUIS LANDRY

Address: 915 S. Phillipi St. BOISE, ID 83705

RECEIVED


2010 SEP 20 PM 2:31

BRANCH
USNRC

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

PAID IN POST

NO POSTAGE REQUIRED IF MAILED IN THE UNITED STATES



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch

Division of Administrative Services

Office of Administration

Mailstop TWB-05-B01M

Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

In particular, I am concerned that the NRC will allow Areva to start "preconstruction" activities in October of 2010 - which would be before the Record of Decision is released. Moreover, preconstruction constitutes one part of a major federal action and 40 CFR 1500.1(b) requires that information be available *before* an agency makes decisions or takes any action. The impacts of preconstruction must be evaluated in the draft EIS, or another EIS should be initiated to assess preconstruction impacts.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.

Sincerely,

Name: JODY MAY-CHANG

Address: 3400 HANSEN AVE, BOISE, ID 83703

Phone Number: (208) 363-0928

RECEIVED


2010 SEP 20 PM 2:31

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001


8015E ID 837

15 SEP 2010 PM 2:1



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- **Is unnecessary**
- **Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people**
- **Should not be licensed**

Currently, the draft EIS is inadequate and fails to address the fact that uranium enrichment is a technology used for proliferation. The NRC should produce an unclassified non-proliferation assessment for the EREF. To refuse to do so, based on the fact that Areva intends to enrich uranium to no more than 5%, misses an important point: Gas centrifuge uranium enrichment is a proliferable technology and precedents exist for non-proliferation assessments of proliferable technology, whether the license allows for proliferation or not.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note **my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.**

Sincerely,

Name:

RICHARD CONNER

Address:

7280 CASCAD DR. BOISE ID

Phone Number:

(208) 331-2422

RECEIVED


2010 SEP 20 PM 2:30

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
ISNFC

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

BOISE ID 837

13 SEP 2010 PM 2 1



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

In particular, I am concerned that the NRC will allow Areva to start "preconstruction" activities in October of 2010 - which would be before the Record of Decision is released. Moreover, preconstruction constitutes one part of a major federal action and 40 CFR 1500.1(b) requires that information be available *before* an agency makes decisions or takes any action. The impacts of preconstruction must be evaluated in the draft EIS, or another EIS should be initiated to assess preconstruction impacts.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my adamant opposition to the licensing of the **EREF**.

Sincerely,

Name: *Mark Galaviz*

Address: *654 N. SHADY GROVE WAY, KUNA, ID 83634*

Phone Number: *208-922-4806*

RECEIVED

7MM SEP 20 PM 2:30

FILED AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
15190

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

BOISE ID 837

13 SEP 2010 PM 2 L



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

In particular, I am concerned that the NRC will allow Areva to start "preconstruction" activities in October of 2010 - which would be before the Record of Decision is released. Moreover, preconstruction constitutes one part of a major federal action and 40 CFR 1500.1(b) requires that information be available *before* an agency makes decisions or takes any action. The impacts of preconstruction must be evaluated in the draft EIS, or another EIS should be initiated to assess preconstruction impacts.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note **my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.**

Sincerely,

Name: CLAUDIA GALAVIZ

Address: 654 N. SUNDY GROVE WAY KUNA, ID. 83634

Phone Number: 208-922-4806

RECEIVED

2010 SEP 20 PM 2:30

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
UNREG

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001


BOISE ID 837

13 SEP 2010 PM 3:1



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

Currently, the draft EIS is inadequate and fails to address the fact that uranium enrichment is a technology used for proliferation. The NRC should produce an unclassified non-proliferation assessment for the EREF. To refuse to do so, based on the fact that Areva intends to enrich uranium to no more than 5%, misses an important point: Gas centrifuge uranium enrichment is a proliferable technology and precedents exist for non-proliferation assessments of proliferable technology, whether the license allows for proliferation or not.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.

Wendy Matson

Sincerely,

Name:

Address:

Phone Number:

RECEIVED


2010 SEP 20 PM 2:30

BRANCH
USNRC

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

BOISE ID 837

13 SEP 2010 PM 2 L



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

In particular, I am concerned that the NRC will allow Areva to start "preconstruction" activities in October of 2010 - which would be before the Record of Decision is released. Moreover, preconstruction constitutes one part of a major federal action and 40 CFR 1500.1(b) requires that information be available *before* an agency makes decisions or takes any action. The impacts of preconstruction must be evaluated in the draft EIS, or another EIS should be initiated to assess preconstruction impacts.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.

Sincerely,

Name:

Address:

Phone Number:

Bob Brown
4803 Shawnee Way Boise ID 83709
3628074

RECEIVED


2010 SEP 20 PM 2:30

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
BRANCH
USNRC

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001


BOISE ID 837

11 SEP 2010 PM 11



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

The draft EIS makes an unproven assertion that there is a need for domestically produced enriched uranium. However, this claim was never proven and often contradicted in the draft. 1) The nuclear renaissance is too expensive and faces enormous delays; 2) the current US fleet of reactors has operated with an adequate supply of fuel for decades; 3) the draft EIS asserts that the licensing of this facility would create a supply of enriched uranium in excess of the need.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.

Sincerely,

Name: *Lea Johnson*

Address: *Kuna, ID*

Phone Number:



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

RECEIVED

2009 SEP 20 PM 2:32

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
10/10/09

20555+0001



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

In particular, I am concerned that the NRC will allow Areva to start "preconstruction" activities in October of 2010 - which would be before the Record of Decision is released. Moreover, preconstruction constitutes one part of a major federal action and 40 CFR 1500.1(b) requires that information be available *before* an agency makes decisions or takes any action. The impacts of preconstruction must be evaluated in the draft EIS, or another EIS should be initiated to assess preconstruction impacts.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.

Sincerely,

Name: Richard O. Morgan

Address: 1775 N. Raymond

Phone Number: 208-919-7111

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

SEP 21 11:58:52


19110100 0112 0111

RECEIVED

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555-0001

13 SEP 2010 2:10 PM



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555-0001

