

6. CRITICALITY EVALUATION

This section describes the criticality evaluation for incorporating plutonium (Pu) metal as a new payload for the PAT-1 package. The Pu metal is packed in an inner container (*T-Ampoule Assembly*, *Drawing 2A0261, designated the T-Ampoule) that replaces the PC-1 inner container. The T-Ampoule and associated Pu metal content packing configurations are described in Section 1.2.1 and Section 1.2.2 of this addendum, respectively.

This section was written to meet the applicable requirements of 10 CFR Part 71, “*Packaging and Transportation of Radioactive Material*,” and to be consistent with the guidance provided in U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, Regulatory Guide 7.9, Rev. 2, “*Standard Format and Content of Part 71 Applications for Approval of Packages for Radioactive Material*,” dated March 2005. The section also considers the recommendations provided in NUREG/CR-5661, ORNL/TM-11936, “*Recommendations for Preparing the Criticality Safety Evaluation of Transportation Packages*,” U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, March 1997.¹

6.1 Description of Criticality Design

6.1.1 Design Features

The primary feature important for criticality control is the ability of the TB-1 containment vessel to retain the fissile material under normal and hypothetical accident conditions (HAC).

Retention of the fissile material within the approximately 1.5-liter (0.4 gallon) inner volume of the TB-1 limits the quantity of water available for neutron moderation. As discussed in Sections 4.2 and 4.3 of this addendum, containment integrity is maintained for both normal conditions of transport and under hypothetical accident conditions. No credit is taken in the criticality safety analysis for containment integrity of material packages designed to be transported by air.

Credit is taken for some spacing provided by the package in the criticality safety analysis of package arrays for normal conditions of transport and under hypothetical accident conditions. No criticality control credit is taken for the packaging inside the TB-1. Such packaging may include sample holders and containers, structural supports, tantalum foil, plastic bagging, etc. Instead, the criticality analysis for all normal and hypothetical accident conditions considered optimum water moderation to the extent achievable within the TB-1 containment vessel. This approach eliminates the need for controls limiting the quantity of hydrogenous packaging materials (e.g., plastic bagging) that may be used within the TB-1 containment vessel.

The optimum H/Pu ratio cannot be achieved within the TB-1 unless plutonium (Pu) quantities are less than 1300 g (2.87 lb). Note that a plutonium quantity of 1300 g represents a conservative upper bound for analysis and is greater than the payload quantities shown in Table 1-1 of Section 1.2.2.1 of this addendum. Results presented later in this section show that removing Pu and adding water results in significantly lower k_{eff} values.

* Note: The drawing titles are in italics and are used interchangeably with the designated names in this addendum. See Section 1.3.2 in this addendum and Chapter 9 in the SAR² for drawing number, title, and revision.

6.1.2 Summary Table of Criticality Evaluation

Table 6-1 is a summary table of the criticality evaluation.

Table 6-1. Summary Table of Criticality Evaluation Results

Case	Number of Packages	Internal Moderation ^a	Array size	$k_{eff} \pm \sigma^b$	Bias ^c (Δk)	Upper Subcritical Limit ^d
<i>cv001</i>	1	0	1 ^e	0.6565 ± 0.0005	0	0.8893
<i>cv031</i>	1	0.956	1 ^e	0.7076 ± 0.0006	0	0.9383
<i>fhlsf001</i>	2646	0	$19 \times 15.5 \times 9^f$	0.6509 ± 0.0005	0	0.8893
<i>fhlsf031</i>	2601	0.956	$17 \times 17 \times 9^g$	0.6337 ± 0.0005	0	0.9396
<i>hexsdry</i>	1152	0.956	$18 \times 10.7 \times 6^h$	0.7027 ± 0.0007	0	0.9351
<i>hexs100</i>	1152	0.956	$18 \times 10.7 \times 6^h$	0.7154 ± 0.0005	0	0.9396
<i>sph14</i>	1	0.956	1 ⁱ	0.7147 ± 0.0005	0	0.9383

^a Internal moderation is the specific gravity of the water mixed with the Pu-239.

^b KENO V.a calculated k_{eff} and one standard deviation values.

^c As noted in Section 6.8.2.7, the average k_{eff} value for each validation set was greater than 1.0, resulting in positive biases, which were discarded (i.e., no credit taken for positive bias).

^d From Section 6.8.2.7, Table 6-7.

^e Normal conditions, single package, see Section 6.3.4.1.

^f Normal conditions, close-packed hexagonal-pitched array, see Section 6.3.4.2 and Figure 6-10.

^g Normal conditions, close-packed square-pitched array, see Section 6.3.4.2.

^h HAC, close-packed hexagonal-pitched array. See Section 6.3.4.3 and Figure 6-12.

ⁱ Expanded HAC, single package for air transport. See Section 6.3.4.4.

6.1.3 Criticality Safety Index

From preliminary scoping calculations, it was concluded that an infinite array of damaged packages, as described in Section 6.3.1.3, would not be subcritical under optimum moderation conditions. Consequently, a criticality safety index (CSI) value of zero is unattainable. Per 10 CFR 71.59(b), the smallest allowed CSI above zero is 0.1. Assignment of a 0.1 CSI requires an N value of 500, and that 2500 ($= 5 \times N$) undamaged packages, with nothing between the packages, be subcritical and that 1000 ($= 2 \times N$) damaged packages would be subcritical with optimum interspersed hydrogenous moderation.

The CSI for the package was calculated as prescribed by 10 CFR 71.59. The evaluation in Section 6.5 shows that an array of more than 2500 undamaged packages, with nothing between the packages, is subcritical. Thus the value of "N" identified in 10 CFR 71.59 is 500, calculated as 2500 divided by 5. The evaluation in Section 6.6 shows that an array of greater than 2 times N (or 1000) damaged packages would be subcritical with optimum interspersed hydrogenous moderation. As per 10 CFR 71.59, the CSI value is 0.1, which is calculated as 50 divided by N ($N=500$).

6.2 *Fissile Material Contents*

6.2.1 **Plutonium Metal – General Form**

The fissile material loaded into the package will include up to 1300 g (2.87 lb) of plutonium metal. There are no criticality controls on the form or number of pieces of plutonium metal.

There are no criticality controls on the isotopic composition of the Pu. It is assumed, however, that the Pu-240 content exceeds the Pu-241 content. With this assumption, the fissile material is conservatively modeled as 100% Pu-239. The justification for this assumption is that the presence of Pu-241 content greater than Pu-240 content would require expensive isotopic enrichment, generating only milligrams of Pu enriched in Pu-241. The vast majority of Pu present in the world today meets this isotopic composition assumption. Note that of the Pu nuclides that may be present, Pu-241 has the shortest half-life (14.4 years). It then decays to americium (Am-)241, which is included in the Pu mass. Counting the Am-241 as Pu-239 is conservative because it is a parasitic neutron absorber in well moderated systems and, in unmoderated systems, requiring a larger mass (~ 34 kg [75 lb] Am-241) than Pu-239 (~ 5 kg [11 lb] Pu-239) to achieve criticality.

The Pu may be alloyed with other non-neutron-multiplying metals and may also contain trace impurities, typically small fractions of a percent, both of which are conservatively omitted for the criticality analysis. It is conservative to ignore these impurities, which are frequently parasitic neutron absorbers, because modeling them would either have no effect or would reduce k_{eff} (resulting from neutron absorption and scattering). Modeling the presence of alloyed metals and impurities would decrease the density of the fissile material and thus, decrease the volume available for water inside the TB-1 containment vessel. Replacing water with nonfissile alloys or impurities results in lower k_{eff} values for the limiting cases.

There are no criticality controls for the fissile material dimensions other than that it must fit within the TB-1 containment vessel.

There are no criticality controls for the fissile material density. Consequently, the plutonium metal may have a density up to the maximum theoretical density for alpha phase plutonium metal, which is 19.84 g [0.044 lb] Pu/cm³. The criticality analysis considers the full range of Pu density.

While it is anticipated that the Pu will have very low moisture content, no criticality control for the Pu moisture content is required, because it is considered in the criticality analysis that the containment vessel may be fully flooded with water and the Pu may be mixed with and surrounded by water for all normal conditions of transport and hypothetical accident conditions. The water in the TB-1 vessel is included as a bounding model for packaging that may be in the TB-1.

For use of the general-forms Pu loading limit (1300 g [2.87 lb] Pu maximum), presence of neutron-multiplying materials (e.g., beryllium (Be), normal or depleted uranium) within the TB-1 vessel is not permitted.

6.2.2 Plutonium Metal – Pu/Be Sources

Loading of the TB-1 vessel may include Pu/Be sources, subject to the following limits/restrictions:

- The maximum mass of Pu metal or alloy present is 200 g (0.44 lb), as one or more pieces/parts with no restriction on shape or density. The primary Pu isotope present is Pu-239 and the Pu-240 content must exceed the Pu-241 content.
- The maximum mass of beryllium present is 30 g (0.066 lb) and (except for the Pu) no other neutron multiplying materials (e.g., normal or depleted uranium) are permitted within the TB-1 vessel.

As for the general-form Pu contents, the Nuclear Criticality Safety (NCS) evaluation for the Pu/Be sources assumes that the TB-1 containment vessel contents may include water (up to full flooding) for all normal conditions of transport and hypothetical accident conditions. Thus, the NCS evaluation for Pu/Be source contents assumes a model which bounds packaging material that may be present as part of the Pu/Be source loading. Note that the quantity of Pu/Be evaluated in this section is an upper bound for analysis and is less than that specified in Table 1-1 of Section 1.2.2.1 of this addendum.

6.3 General Considerations

6.3.1 Model Configuration

Of the two permitted loadings for the PAT-1 package (Pu metal in general form or Pu/Be sources), the general-form 1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu metal limit is the limiting case for NCS evaluation purposes. For Pu/Be source loadings, both the Pu and Be are restricted to much smaller mass limits (200 g [0.44 lb] Pu alloy, 30 g [0.066 lb] Be). If the Be is replaced with Pu metal on either a mass or volume basis (for NCS modeling purposes), the resulting NCS models contain far less than the 1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu general-form metal loading limit. Thus, this and subsequent Section 6 sections evaluate the PAT-1 package with 1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu metal as the most reactive, most limiting case for NCS purposes. Section 6.9.6 provides additional justification that the Pu/Be source loading is bounded by considerations for the 1300 g (2.87 lb) general-form Pu metal loading.

Several computational models were used in the criticality analysis. The package models include a detailed model constructed using nominal dimensions, five variations of a simplified model, and two post-testing simplified models used for analysis of HAC. In the base simplified model (Model 1), the steel outer container, redwood, and steel TB-1 containment vessel are modeled. Variations on the base simplified model include:

- Models in which the redwood density was varied $\pm 20\%$ of the nominal redwood density;
- A model in which the redwood is replaced with full density water; and
- A model in which the outer container steel, redwood, and TB-1 steel are all replaced with water.

A detailed model was used to confirm that the simplified models in which the redwood is replaced with water are conservative representations of the PAT-1 package. These models are discussed in Sections 6.3.1.1 and 6.3.1.2. The post-testing simplified models used to analyze damaged package arrays from a hypothetical accident condition are discussed in Section 6.3.1.3.

Except for a few sensitivity calculations, in which water inside the TB-1 but outside a plutonium metal sphere was removed to quantify the reactivity worth of that water, all calculations were performed with the maximum quantity of water that could fit in the TB-1, given the quantity of Pu also present. The maximum quantity of water was included to provide a conservative model for the packaging, some of which may be hydrogenous, within the TB-1. Comparison of cases *sudma* and *sudmb* show that, for this particular model, removing the water in the TB-1 reduced k_{eff} by nearly 10% Δk . The calculations generated to support the criticality analysis show that, due to the limited TB-1 internal volume, the Pu is under moderated in all cases. Other than case *sudma*, all cases included the maximum quantity of water that could fit within the TB-1, given the quantity of plutonium present. Section 6.9.4 includes a list of the cases, excluding the Pu/Be scoping calculations described in Section 6.9.6, used to support the criticality analysis.

6.3.1.1 Detailed Model

A detailed package model was generated using the nominal dimensions and material descriptions provided in the reference drawings.⁴⁻³⁰ Figure 6-1 shows a cutaway view of the detailed model. The load spreader assembly¹⁹ includes a cadmium-plated copper cylinder. The cadmium plating is not modeled. Omitting the cadmium is conservative because it is a strong thermal neutron absorber. Several minor simplifications were made, such as omitting glue, bolts, and other fasteners used to assemble the package.




Figure 6-1. Detailed Model of a Single Package

This detailed model was prepared to confirm that the simplified models, which were used to perform most of the parametric studies, are adequately conservative.

6.3.1.2 Simplified Models

The criticality analysis of the single-package normal conditions of transport, single-package hypothetical accident conditions, and normal conditions of transport arrays is based primarily on simplified model calculations. The simplified models were developed using dimensions and materials described in References 4 through 30, and are shown in Figure 6-2. The simplified models were developed as follows:




Figure 6-2. Simplified Model of a Single Package

The maximum volume inside the TB-1 containment vessel was calculated to be 1478cm³ (90.22 in³). Using the maximum internal volume maximizes the quantity of water that may be present in the fissile material to moderate neutrons. The calculation of this volume is described in Section 45. Use of other containers and supporting structures within the TB-1 are anticipated. If modeled, these other materials would reduce the quantity of water that could be present within the TB-1. As shown in the calculation results and plots presented throughout this section, maximizing the quantity of water mixed with the plutonium within the TB-1 results in a maximum k_{eff} value. The inner volume of the TB-1 was modeled as a cylinder with a radius of 5.400 cm (2.126 in.) and a height of 16.14 cm (6.354 in.). The radius is equivalent to the maximum internal radius for the TB-1, and the height is set so that the maximum internal volume is 1478 cm³ (90.22 in³).

The fissile material inside the TB-1 containment vessel is modeled as various mixtures of Pu-239 metal and water. At a density of 19.84 g/cm^3 (0.717 lb/in^3), the 1300 g (2.87 lb) of Pu-239 fills 65.52 cm^3 (3.99 in^3) of the interior volume, leaving 1412 cm^3 (86.16 in^3) of TB-1 internal volume that is modeled as filled with water. The criticality analysis considers various arrangements of the plutonium metal/water mixture surrounded by any unused water. Any of the 1412 cm^3 (86.16 in^3) of water not used in the plutonium metal/water mixture fills the remainder of the TB-1 interior.

The TB-1 containment vessel was modeled as a simple cylinder around the volume described in Figure 6-2. The wall thickness used for the top, bottom, and side was 1.212 cm (0.477 in.). This dimension is the minimum steel thickness identified from the reference drawings and is the minimum distance through the center of the TB-1 lid.

The PAT-1 package includes an internal structure referred to as the load spreader assembly. These components are constructed of aluminum and cadmium-plated copper. In the simplified models, these components and the small gaps between components are replaced with redwood or water, depending on the analysis. See Figure 6-1.

The TB-1 is modeled as surrounded by redwood or water to the minimum outer dimensions of the redwood specified by the reference drawings. The minimum dimensions were used to minimize the center-to-center spacing between drums in array calculations. The TB-1 is surrounded by a cylinder of redwood or water with a radius of 28.029 cm (11.04 in.) and a height of 100.7 cm (39.66 in.).

A review of Reference Drawings⁴⁻³⁰ reveals that the outer steel package is composed of two or more layers of 16-gauge, Type 304 stainless steel. The nominal and minimum thicknesses for 16 gauge steel are 0.15189 cm (0.598 in.) and 0.13538 cm (0.533 in.), respectively.³¹ The last layer of the simplified package model is steel wrapped tightly around the enclosed wood or water region. A steel thickness of 0.271 cm (0.1063 in.) was used in the simplified model. This represents the minimum thickness for two sheets of 16-gauge steel.

For the simplified model used in array calculations, the total package height is 101.28 cm (39.87 in.) and the outer diameter is 56.60 cm (22.28 in.). The drawings describe the package as being approximately 108.58 cm (42.75 in.) tall and the drum body having an outer diameter of approximately 57.15 cm (22.50 in.). The simplified model does not include the top and bottom drum closure rings and does not model the space between the outer covers and the liners on both ends. Omitting these features is conservative because the height and diameter reductions result in more tightly packed arrays, minimizing neutron leakage from arrays.

The conditions of reflection outside the package model vary with the analysis for which the model is being used. For example, full density water reflection is used for the single package analysis. In analysis of arrays for normal conditions of transport, nothing exists between the packages, and the outside of the arrays are reflected with full density water.

For the single-package analysis, calculations performed included a series (Cases *cv001* through *cv038*) in which the outer drum steel and TB-1 steel were replaced with full density water that

extended axially and radially 30 cm (11.81 in.) beyond the Pu and water contained within the TB-1.

For discussion purposes, the simplified model variations used in the analysis are identified as follows.

Model 1: Base model, redwood modeled at 100% nominal density, TB-1 steel modeled, outer container steel modeled.

Model 2: Similar to Model 1, except redwood modeled at 80% of nominal density.

Model 3: Similar to Model 1, except redwood modeled at 120% of nominal density.

Model 4: Similar to Model 1, except redwood replaced with full density water.

Model 5: Similar to Model 1, except redwood, TB-1 steel, and outer container steel all replaced with full density water extending axially and radially 30 cm (11.81 in.) beyond the Pu and water contained within the TB-1. This model was used only in the single package analysis.

6.3.1.3 Damaged Container Models

Damaged container models were created for end-impact and side-impact test cases. Dimensions for the end-impact case were taken from Figures 2.18 and 2.30 of NUREG-0361.² Dimensions for the side-impact case were taken from Figure 2.24 of NUREG-0361.²

The model for the end-impact case is basically the normal case simplified model described above, except the outer drum has been shortened from 101.28 cm (39.87 in.) long to 76.2 cm (30 in.) long and the top of the TB-1 containment vessel is located 19.05 cm (7.5 in.) from the top end of the drum. The redwood is modeled with varying densities of water to simulate combustion of the redwood and potential postfire flooding. This model is shown in Figure 6-3.

The model of the side-impact case is a half-cylinder that is 101.28 cm (39.87 in.) long and has an outer diameter of 74.93 cm (29.5 in.). The undamaged TB-1 containment vessel is centered axially and is located immediately adjacent to the center of the flattened side of the drum. The redwood is modeled with varying densities of water to simulate combustion of the redwood and potential postfire flooding. This model is shown in Figure 6-4.

A final damaged container model was created to support evaluation of 10 CFR 71.55, “*General requirements for fissile material packages*,” paragraph (f), which covers fissile material packages transported by air. To meet the requirements of this section, calculations were performed with the TB-1 contents (1300 g [2.87 lb] of Pu-239 and up to 1412 cm³ [86.16 in³] of water) in spheres of varying radii reflected by 20 cm (7.9 in.) of water. The purpose of this model is to show that, provided there is no leakage of water into the TB-1 containment vessel, a single package is subcritical without taking credit for the geometry of the package or the continued presence of any package structural materials. This analysis is discussed in Section 6.7. This model is shown in Figure 6-5.




Figure 6-3. End-Impact Damaged Package Model




Figure 6-4. Side-Impact Damaged Package Model




Figure 6-5. HAC Single Package Model for 10 CFR 71.55(f) Analysis

6.3.2 Material Properties

The materials used in the criticality analysis are described in this section. Table 6-2 shows the weight and densities for the materials used in the criticality analysis models.

Table 6-2. Material Specifications

Material	Density (g/cm ³)	Constituent	Atomic Density (atoms/b-cm)
Pu-239 metal	19.84	Pu-239 metal	4.9980E-02
Pu-239 and Water Mixture	1.8331		
(H/Pu atom ratio = 28.8)		Pu-239 (0.8794 g/cm ³)	2.2155E-03
(limiting case composition)		H (0.9537 g/cm ³ water)	6.3793E-02
		O (0.9537 g/cm ³ water)	3.1896E-02

Table 6-2. Material Specifications (Continued)

Material	Density (g/cm ³)	Constituent	Atomic Density (atoms/b-cm)
Full Density Water	0.9979		
		H	6.6752E-02
		O	3.3376E-02
PH13-8Mo Stainless Steel	7.74		
		Fe (75.775 wt %)	6.3246E-02
		Cr (12.75 wt %)	1.1430E-02
		Ni (8 wt %)	6.3532E-03
		Mo (2.25 wt %)	1.0931E-03
		Al (1.125 wt %)	1.9435E-03
		Mn (0.1 wt %)	8.4843E-05
Redwood (C ₆ H ₁₀ O ₅)	0.387		
(± 20% density considered)		H	1.4375E-02
		C	8.6253E-03
		O	7.1877E-03
Stainless Steel-304	7.94		
		C (0.08 wt %)	3.1848E-04
		Si (1 wt %)	1.7025E-03
		P (0.045 wt %)	6.9468E-05
		Cr (19 wt %)	1.7472E-02
		Mn (2 wt %)	1.7407E-03
		Fe (68.375 wt %)	5.8546E-02
		Ni (9.5 wt %)	7.7401E-03
Aluminum	2.702	Al	6.0307E-02
Copper	8.92	Cu	8.4534E-02

The criticality analysis of the hypothetical accident conditions evaluates the loss of redwood packaging material during a fire by replacing the redwood and charred redwood with water with densities that vary from zero to full density (see Table 6-2). The only other package materials included in the HAC models are the TB-1 containment vessel and the stainless steel outer drum. The aluminum and copper included in the detailed model described in Section 6.3.1.1 are not included in the HAC models. The TB-1 containment vessel is not significantly affected by the HAC conditions. Changes to the stainless steel outer drum shape and dimensions are modeled, as described in Section 6.3.1.3, consistent with the results of prior HAC testing.

6.3.2.1 Fissile Material

The fissile material is comprised of Pu-239 metal mixed with water at varying concentrations. The default Pu density in the SCALE standard composition library³ is 1.0 g Pu/cm³ (0.036 lb Pu/in.³). Consequently, the desired Pu density is entered as a density multiplier in the

composition input data. The calculations use Pu-239 densities ranging from 19.84 g/cm³ (0.717 lb/in.³) down to 0.00992 g/cm³ (0.000358 lb/in.³).

The default water density in the SCALE standard composition library is 0.99793 g/cm³ (0.036053 lb/in.³) when the 238 group ENDF/B-VI nuclear data library is used. Water with densities ranging from full density to effectively zero density is mixed with the Pu-239 in the TB-1 containment vessel. Within the Pu region, the remaining non-Pu volume fraction is modeled as being filled with water. The water volume fraction in the mixture is, thus calculated as one minus the ratio of the desired Pu-239 density divided by 19.84 g/cm³ (0.717 lb/in.³). For example, if the mixture will have Pu-239 at 1.000 g/cm³ (0.036 lb/in.³), the volume fraction for the water is then calculated to be 0.9496 (= 1.0 – 1.000/19.84). This value is entered in the Mixture 1 composition data as the density multiplier for water.

When the Pu-239 is mixed with the maximum quantity of water, completely filling the interior of the TB-1, the Pu-239 density is:

$$1300 \text{ g Pu-239}/1478 \text{ cm}^3 = 0.8794 \text{ g Pu-239 /cm}^3.$$

In this case, the volume fraction for the water mixed with the Pu-239 is calculated as

$$1.0 - 0.8794/19.84 = 0.9557.$$

For this case, the SCALE inputs would be density multipliers of 0.8794 for Pu-239 and 0.9557 for the intermixed water. These inputs would result in a mixture with a Pu-239 density of 0.8794 g Pu-239/cm³ (0.0318 lb Pu-239/ in.³) and water at 0.9537 g water/cm³ (0.0344 lb water/in.³).

From page 8 of LA-12808, "Nuclear Criticality Safety Guide,"³² "*With the exception of uranium enriched to less than about 6 weight percent (wt%) ²³⁵U, subcritical masses for solutions apply conservatively to other distributions in water at the same hydrogen-to-fissile atomic ratio.*" For Pu-239, the homogeneous metal/water mixture bounds all heterogeneous mixtures. Consequently, except for cases with H/Pu of zero, all cases use a homogeneous plutonium metal and water mixture.

6.3.2.2 Water

The models use water at various densities, from effectively zero density up to full density water. The default water density provided by the SCALE standard composition library when ENDF/B-VI cross sections are used is 0.99793 g/cm³ (0.36053 lb/in.³). Note: that a slightly different value (0.9982 g/cm³ [0.3606 lb/in.³]) is reported in the SCALE 5.1 documentation.³ The difference is due to a slight change in the oxygen atomic weight in the ENDF/B-VI nuclear data evaluation. The SCALE input used for H₂O is a density multiplier on the default density. A density multiplier value of 1.0 translates to a water density of 0.99793 g/cm³ (0.36053 lb/in.³).

6.3.2.3 Stainless Steel PH 13-8 Mo

The TB-1 containment vessel and lid are made of stainless steel alloy PH13-8 Mo. Table 1.041 of the *Aerospace Structural Metals Handbook*³³ describes the composition of PH13-8Mo as shown in the Min. and Max. columns of Table 6-3. Except for hydrogen, the trace constituents

below manganese are absorbers and weak moderators. Even at their maximum values, the presence of these elements has an insignificant effect on k_{eff} . Consequently, trace elements below manganese were omitted.

Table 6-3. Stainless Steel PH 13-8 Mo Composition (wt %)

Element	Min.	Max.	Modeled
Chromium	12.25	13.25	12.75
Nickel	7.5	8.5	8
Molybdenum	2	2.5	2.25
Aluminum	0.9	1.35	1.125
Manganese	—	0.1	0.1
Silicon	—	0.1	0
Carbon	—	0.05	0
Nitrogen	—	0.01	0
Phosphorus	—	0.01	0
Sulfur	—	0.01	0
Oxygen	—	0.005	0
Hydrogen	—	0.0025	0
Iron	Remainder		75.78

PH13-8Mo density information is limited. The analysis reported in Chapter 5 of NUREG-0361² used a value of 7.76 g/cm³ (0.28 lb/in.³). Chapter 6 of the same report used atom densities equivalent to 7.843 g/cm³ (0.283 lb/in.³). Table 2.021 of the *Aerospace Structural Metals Handbook*³³ provides densities for PH13-8Mo stainless steel ranging from 7.74 to 7.76 g/cm³ (0.2796 to 0.2803 lb/in.³). A density of 7.74 g/cm³ (0.2796 lb/in.³) is used in the calculations. The lower 7.74 g/cm³ (0.2796 lb/in.³) value was used to minimize the quantity of steel present in array calculations. The steel is modeled as water in the limiting cases for the single-package analyses.

Sensitivity calculations were performed using two of the side-impact hypothetical accident condition models, and with the steel density varied $\pm 2\%$ of the 7.74 g/cm³ (0.2796 lb/in.³) value. Results from these calculations are presented in Figure 6-6. This figure shows that for PAT-1 models in which the redwood is modeled as full density water, the k_{eff} value of the system is insensitive to the steel density. The figure also shows that for systems where the redwood is missing, such as some of the hypothetical accident cases, k_{eff} value increases slightly with increased steel density. From the sensitivity data, increasing the density from 7.74 to 7.76 increases k_{eff} less than 0.02% Δk .




Figure 6-6. Sensitivity of k_{eff} to Steel Density

6.3.2.4 Redwood

Most of the space between the TB-1 containment vessel and the outer steel drum is filled with redwood. The Standard Composition Library³ in SCALE includes a model for redwood with a default density of 0.3868 g/cm³ (0.01397 lb/in.³). An informal review of the literature found redwood densities ranging from 0.34 to 0.45 g/cm³ (0.01228 to 0.01626 lb/in.³), depending on whether the redwood was from new growth or old growth and how much moisture was retained. The models used in the criticality analysis use the SCALE default redwood density. Calculations

were also performed at $\pm 20\%$ of the default density. Results from these calculations are presented in the figures of Section 6.3.4.

6.3.2.5 Aluminum

The detailed model included modeling of the load spreader, which is constructed of aluminum and cadmium-plated copper. The detailed model used aluminum with a density of 2.702 g/cm^3 (0.976 lb/in.^3), which is the maximum theoretical density for aluminum provided in the 74th edition of the CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics.³⁴

6.3.2.6 Copper

The detailed model included modeling of the load spreader, which is constructed of aluminum and cadmium-plated copper. The detailed model used copper at a density of 8.92 g/cm^3 (0.32 lb/in.^3), which is the maximum theoretical density for copper provided in the 74th edition of the CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics.³⁴ The cadmium plating on the copper was conservatively omitted from the load spreader model. This is conservative because Cd is a strong thermal neutron absorber.

6.3.2.7 Stainless Steel 304

The outer steel drum and liner are constructed of stainless steel-304 (ss304). Except for the sensitivity cases, the models used the standard ss304 provided by the SCALE Standard Composition Library.³ The SCALE ss304 has a density of 7.94 g/cm^3 (0.29 lb/in.^3) and has the following composition: 68.375 wt % iron, 19 wt % chromium, 9.5 wt % nickel, 2 wt % manganese, 1 wt % silicon, 0.08 wt % carbon, and 0.045 wt % phosphorus. This composition is close that provided for ss304 on page 12-161 of the 74th edition of the CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics.³⁴ The only difference being that the mid-range value provided in the CRC for nickel is 10.0 wt % rather than the 9.5wt% used in the SCALE ss304. On page 12-160, the CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics³⁴ provides a density of 7.9 g/cm^3 (0.286 lb/in.^3) for ss304. Sensitivity cases, $\pm 2\%$ on ss304 density, were run for two of the most limiting cases containing ss304. The results of the sensitivity study are presented in Figure 6-6. These results show that the k_{eff} value is insensitive to the steel density when the redwood is modeled as full density water and that changing the density from 7.94 g/cm^3 (0.29 lb/in.^3) to 7.90 g/cm^3 (0.286 lb/in.^3) reduces system reactivity by about 0.03% Δk when the redwood is modeled as void.

6.3.3 Computer Codes and Cross-Section Libraries

The SCALE 5.1 computer software package³⁵ was used for all analysis and validation calculations. Criticality calculations were performed using the CSAS25³⁶ sequence and the SCALE 238-energy-group ENDF/B-VI nuclear data (SCALE 5.1 library id = v6-238). The CSAS25 sequence used BONAMI for incorporating problem specific unresolved resonance data, CENTRM/PMC for the resolved resonance data, and KENO V.a for k_{eff} calculation. The SCALE 5.1 computer software package is a well-established analysis tool for criticality evaluation of fissile material packages. The SCALE ENDF/B-VI 238-energy-group cross-section library is the most modern nuclear data set publicly distributed with SCALE 5.1.

All calculations were initially performed on the "nuc" cluster of workstations identified as nuc1 through nuc30. The nuc workstations are DEC Alpha workstations running Tru64 UNIX, version 5.1. All k_{eff} calculations for the limiting safety analysis models and validation set critical

experiments were run on the nuc cluster. In connection with the revision of Section 6 to incorporate Pu/Be source limits, some TSUNAMI and direct perturbation cases were run on the “cpile2” cluster, nodes 1 – 6. The first 6 nodes of the cpile2 cluster, nodes 1 – 6, have an AMD Opteron™ Processor 246 running the GNU/Linux 2 operating system. The SCALE 5.1 software used for the PAT-1 work was in a directory tree starting at /projects/ymp/scale5.1/ and is controlled under a quality assurance plan, software quality assurance plans, and requirements consistent with 10 CFR 71, Subpart H, “Quality Assurance.”

Criticality calculations were performed using at least 3,000 neutrons per generation. The number of generations requested was either 1,050 or 3,050, with at least the first 50 generations being discarded. The KENO V.a “sig” input parameter was used to terminate calculations when the standard deviation of the mean k_{eff} value reached a value of 0.0005. The default starting neutron distribution was used. Neutrons were started uniformly in fissile material for the initial generation. All reflectors were modeled explicitly and no albedo or “bias” data were used.

The convergence plots for each of the limiting cases were reviewed to verify convergence. Trend plots of k_{eff} values were reviewed to identify any cases that may not be converged. Cases with poor convergence or that failed the KENO internal normality tests were rerun with a different number of neutrons per generation, effectively changing the random number sequence of the Monte Carlo method calculations.

The validation study used the SCALE 5.1 TSUNAMI^{37, 38} sensitivity and uncertainty analysis tools to assess the similarity of the safety analysis cases and the validation study critical experiments. TSUNAMI-3D³⁷ was used to generate a set of nuclide- and reaction-specific k_{eff} sensitivity neutron energy-dependent profiles for each limiting safety analysis case and for each critical experiment used in the validation study. The TSUNAMI-3D calculations were performed using the SCALE ENDF/B-VI 238-energy-group cross-section library.

The TSUNAMI-IP³⁸ module was used to compare the safety analysis cases with critical experiment models. TSUNAMI-IP used the SCALE 5.1 44-group ENDF/B-VI recommended covariance data set (SCALE 5.1 library id = 44groupv6rec). The c_k parameter, average lethargy of fission (EALF), and H/Pu were used to quantify similarity between limiting safety cases and the critical experiments used in the validation. Details are provided in Section 6.8. The 44-neutron-energy-group structure is a subset of the 238-group structure used in the cross-section library that is used for all neutron transport calculations. When the 44-group covariance data is used with the 238-group cross-section library, all of the fine groups within each of the 44 groups use the same 44-group covariance data.

6.3.4 Demonstration of Maximum Reactivity

The purpose of this section is to identify the configuration of maximum reactivity for:

- Section 6.3.4.1 a single package under normal conditions of transport (NCT) and HAC
- Section 6.3.4.2 an array of 5*N undamaged packages under NCT
- Section 6.3.4.3 an array of 2* N damaged packages under HAC

- Section 6.3.4.4 a single package under the expanded HAC specified by 10 CFR 71.55(f)

6.3.4.1 *Single Package Under Normal Conditions of Transport and Hypothetical Accident Conditions*

The purpose of this section is to identify and demonstrate the maximum reactivity configurations for a single package under normal and hypothetical accident conditions. To support this analysis, simplified and detailed models were created and used in parametric studies.

A parametric study was performed using five variations of the simplified model to show the impact on k_{eff} from various modeling approximations. The results of this study are shown in Figure 6-7 and in the list of calculations provided in Section 6.9.4.1 of this addendum.

Three curve segments are plotted for each of the five simplified model variations described as Models 1 through 5 in Section 6.3.1.2. The left-most segments cover the H/Pu range of 0 to 12.1. In this range, the results are for 1300 g (2.87 lb) of Pu or Pu-water in spheres of increasing radii ranging from the minimum 2.50 cm (0.98 in.), for unmoderated maximum theoretical density plutonium metal, to 5.40 cm (2.13 in.), for a sphere that is the maximum size that could fit within the TB-1 containment vessel. The second set of segments cover the H/Pu range from 5.34 through 28.8. In this range, the results are for a 1300 g (2.87 lb) plutonium and water cylinder with an outer radius of 5.40 cm (2.13 in.) and with height varying from 3.58 to 16.14 cm (1.41 to 6.35 in.), which completely fills the interior of the TB-1 containment vessel. The right-most segments curve cover the H/Pu range from 28.8 through 132.2 and show the impact of replacing Pu with water in the TB-1. All simplified model results show one maxima at a H/Pu ratio equal to zero (maximum theoretical density plutonium metal sphere surrounded by water in the TB-1) and another at a H/Pu ratio equal to 28.8, where the 1300 g (2.87 lb) of Pu are spread throughout the TB-1 and mixed with the maximum quantity of water that could fit within the TB-1, considering the presence of 1300 g (2.87 lb) of Pu.

The curves for simplified Models 1, 2, and 3 show the sensitivity of k_{eff} to the density of the redwood. As discussed in Section 6.3.2, the density of the redwood may vary considerably. The black (Model 3) and purple (Model 2) curves show the effect on k_{eff} from the variation of the redwood density by $\pm 20\%$ around the nominal $0.387 \text{ g redwood/cm}^3$ (1.139 lb/in.^3). Model 1 has nominal redwood density. Model 2 has 80% of nominal redwood density. Model 3 has 120% of nominal redwood density. The red curve (Model 4) shows the effect on k_{eff} from the replacement of the redwood with full density water. The blue curve (Model 5) shows the effect on k_{eff} from the replacement of both the redwood and steel with water. It is concluded from this study that the maximum k_{eff} value is generated by the simplified model case *cv031*, in which the redwood and steel were replaced with full density water and the 1300 g (2.87 lb) of Pu is spread throughout the inside of the TB-1 containment vessel and mixed with the maximum quantity of water that could also be present in the TB-1 (i.e., the peak of the Model 5 curve at H/Pu = 28.8).




Figure 6-7. Single Package Moderation Study

Impact of Modeling Simplifications

Additional calculations were performed with a detailed model (see Figure 6-1) to show that the simplified model results bound the detailed model results and to show the impact of various modeling approximations.

In the first series of additional detailed model calculations, the package payload is a 1300 g (2.87 lb) plutonium metal sphere ($H/Pu = 0$) in the middle of the TB-1 containment vessel. The results from the first series are presented in Table 6-4. The results from case *sudmf* is plotted in Figure 6-7 as “Modified Detailed Model (*sudmf*).” This modified detailed model yielded a k_{eff} of 0.6523 ± 0.0005 , which is lower than the k_{eff} of 0.6565 ± 0.0005 produced by simplified Model 5 (blue curve in Figure 6-7) with an H/Pu of zero.

Table 6-4. Detailed Model, Plutonium Metal Sphere, Modeling Impact Study

Case	k_{eff}	σ	Case Description
<i>sudma</i>	0.5525	0.0005	Detailed model with no water in TB-1 or in gaps. Redwood, aluminum, copper, and steel modeled.
<i>sudmb</i>	0.6492	0.0005	Case <i>sudma</i> with TB-1 filled with water
<i>sudmwoodm</i>	0.6486	0.0005	Case <i>sudmb</i> with redwood density decreased 20%
<i>sudmwoodp</i>	0.6500	0.0005	Case <i>sudmb</i> with redwood density increased 20%
<i>sudmc</i>	0.6504	0.0005	Case <i>sudmb</i> with gaps filled with water
<i>sudmd</i>	0.6499	0.0005	Case <i>sudmc</i> with copper changed to water
<i>sudme</i>	0.6489	0.0005	Case <i>sudmd</i> with aluminum changed to water
<i>sudmf</i>	0.6523	0.0005	Case <i>sudme</i> with redwood changed to water

In a second series of detailed model calculations, the package payload is 1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu mixed with 1412 g (3.113 lb) of water in the TB-1 containment vessel ($H/Pu = 28.8$). The results are presented in Table 6-5. The results from cases *sudsb*, *sudsf*, and *sudsg* are plotted on Figure 6-7 as “Detailed Model *sudsb*,” “Detailed Model *sudsf*,” and “Detailed Model *sudsg*,” respectively. Calculations *sudmf* and *sudsf* produce k_{eff} values that are similar to those from simplified Model 4 in which the redwood, gaps, copper, and aluminum have all been replaced with water. Calculation *sudsg* produce a k_{eff} value (0.7040 ± 0.0005) that is a slightly lower than the peak k_{eff} value (0.7076 ± 0.0006) from the simplified Model 5 at an H/Pu of 28.8.

It is concluded from the results presented in Figure 6-7 that analysis case *cv031*, in which the wood, steel, aluminum, copper, and gaps are modeled as water, yields the maximum k_{eff} value (0.7076 ± 0.0006) for a single package under normal conditions of transport. Furthermore, because this case already includes the maximum quantity of water possible within the TB-1 containment vessel and the maximum flooding of the package outside the TB-1, it also yields the maximum k_{eff} value for a single package under hypothetical accident conditions. Figure 6-7 also shows that removing water from inside the TB-1 containment vessel results in a decreased k_{eff} value and that removing Pu from the TB-1 vessel to make room to add water also results in a decreased k_{eff} value.

A note of interest: is the relationship between the detailed model (with steel, redwood, copper, and aluminum modeled) results and the simplified model (with steel and redwood modeled) results. In Figure 6-7, the brown dot representing detailed model case *sudsb* is significantly higher than the simplified Model 1 result at an H/Pu of 28.8. A similar trend is seen in Figure 6-9 between the detailed model results and the simplified Model 1 results.

Table 6-5. Detailed Model, Plutonium and Water Solution Cylinder, Modeling Impact Study

Case	k_{eff}	σ	Case Description
<i>sudsb</i>	0.56797	0.0005	Detailed model with no water in gaps. Redwood, aluminum, copper, and steel modeled.
<i>sudswodm</i>	0.5599	0.0005	Case <i>sudsb</i> with redwood density decreased 20%
<i>sudswodp</i>	0.5761	0.0005	Case <i>sudsb</i> with redwood density increased 20%
<i>sudsc</i>	0.5911	0.0005	Case <i>sudsb</i> with gaps filled with water
<i>sudsd</i>	0.5920	0.0005	Case <i>sudsc</i> with copper changed to water
<i>sudse</i>	0.5932	0.0005	Case <i>sudsd</i> with aluminum changed to water
<i>sudsf</i>	0.6278	0.0005	Case <i>sudse</i> with redwood changed to water
<i>sudsg</i>	0.7040	0.0005	Case <i>sudsf</i> with all steel changed to water

The results in Table 6-5 show if the copper or aluminum is replaced in the detailed model with water, k_{eff} decreases. This is somewhat counterintuitive. This effect is likely due to the much larger total neutron cross section that hydrogen has and the physical locations of the copper and aluminum components. The additional moderation provided by replacing the copper or aluminum with water at the locations of the copper and aluminum components appears to decrease the fraction of neutrons that can migrate back to the Pu. Figure 6-7 and Figure 6-9 show that the simplified Models 4 and 5, in which the redwood, copper, and aluminum have been replaced with water, yield significantly higher k_{eff} values than simplified Models 1, 2, and 3 and the detailed model. Figure 6-7 also shows the results at an H/Pu of 28.8 for a detailed model in which the redwood, copper, aluminum, and gaps have been changed to water (case *sudsf*) and where the TB-1 steel and outer container steel have been changed to water (case *sudsg*). These modified details yield k_{eff} values that are slightly lower than the simplified model results. It is concluded that simplified Models 4 and 5 provide conservative representations of the PAT-1 package.

6.3.4.2 Array of Packages Under Normal Conditions of Transport

Title 10 CFR 71.59(a)(1) requires that a water reflected array of five times "N" undamaged packages with nothing between the packages would be subcritical. From Section 6.1.3, the value of N is 500, thus it must be shown that 2500 undamaged packages would be subcritical. Calculations were performed with packages in both square and hexagonal pitched arrays with the package contents varied from a 1300 g (2.87 lb) plutonium metal sphere to a 1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu-water mixture spread throughout the interior of the TB-1 containment vessel. Additional calculations were also performed to show that removing Pu to make room for additional water would result in a lower k_{eff} value.

Using a square-pitched array in a $17 \times 17 \times 9$ arrangement, results in a near cuboidal arrangement of 2,601 packages. The space between packages is modeled as void per 10 CFR 71.59(a)(1) and a 30-cm-thick (11.8 in.) layer of water surrounds the array on all sides. Figure 6-8 shows a cutaway view of the array model. The series of calculations were performed on both detailed and simplified models.




Figure 6-8. Normal Conditions of Transport – Square-Pitched Array

Figure 6-9 and the table in Section 6.9.4.2 show the results of the series of calculations with simplified and detailed models in a square-pitched array. Calculations were performed for H/Pu ratios ranging from zero to 132.2. Three curve segments are plotted for each of four simplified model variations, described as Models 1 through 4 in Section 6.3.1.2, and for an array of detailed models, described in Section 6.3.1.1.




Figure 6-9. Normal Conditions of Transport – Square-Pitched Array Results

The left-most segment for each curve covers the H/Pu range of 0 to 12.1. In this range, the results are for 1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu or Pu-water spheres of increasing radii ranging from the minimum 2.50 cm (0.98 in.), for unmoderated maximum theoretical density plutonium metal, to 5.40 cm, for a sphere that is the maximum size that could fit within the TB-1 containment vessel. The second segment of each curve covers the H/Pu range from 5.34 through 28.8. In this range, the results are for a 1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu-water cylinder with an outer radius of 5.40 cm and with height varying from 3.58 to 16.14 cm (1.41 to 6.35 in.), which completely fills the interior of the TB-1 containment vessel. The right-most segment of each curve covers the H/Pu range from

28.8 through 132.2 and shows the effect on k_{eff} of removing Pu and adding water into the TB-1. The model results all show one maxima at a H/Pu ratio equal to zero and another at a H/Pu ratio equal to 28.8, where the 1300 g (2.87 lb) of Pu are spread throughout the TB-1 and mixed with the maximum quantity of water that could fit within the TB-1 considering the presence of 1,300 g of Pu.

For all models, the highest k_{eff} values were generated with the 1300 g (2.87 lb) plutonium metal sphere in the TB-1 containment vessel with the remainder of the containment vessel filled with water. The detailed model and the simplified model with redwood replaced by water yielded statistically equivalent k_{eff} values (i.e., 0.6505 ± 0.0005 for the detailed model and 0.6504 ± 0.0005 for Simplified Model 4) at the H/Pu of zero. These were the highest k_{eff} values generated for the square-pitched arrays.

The series of calculations were also performed with the simplified model packages in a close-packed hexagonal array. The array model includes 2,646 packages in a near cuboidal shaped array as shown in Figure 6-10.




Figure 6-10. Normal Conditions of Transport – Hexagonal-Pitched Array

Figure 6-11 shows the results of series of calculations with simplified models. Calculations were performed for H/Pu ratios ranging from zero to 132.2. Three curve segments are plotted for each of four simplified model variations, described as Models 1 through 4 in Section 6.3.1.2.




Figure 6-11. Normal Conditions of Transport – Hexagonal-Pitched Array Results

The left-most segment for each curve covers the H/Pu range of 0 to 12.1. In this range, the results are for 1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu or Pu -water spheres of increasing radii ranging from the minimum 2.50 cm (0.98 in.), for unmoderated maximum theoretical density plutonium metal, to 5.40 cm (2.13 in.), for a sphere that is the maximum size that could fit within the TB-1 containment vessel. The second segment of each curve covers the H/Pu range from 5.34 through

28.8. In this range, the results are for a 1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu -water cylinder with an outer radius of 5.40 cm (2.3 in.) and with height varying from 3.58 to 16.14 cm (1.41 to 6.35 in.), which completely fills the interior of the TB-1 containment vessel. The right-most segment of each curve covers the H/Pu range from 28.8 through 132.2 and shows the effect on k_{eff} of removing Pu and adding water into the TB-1. The model results all show one maxima at a H/Pu ratio equal to zero and another at a H/Pu ratio equal to 28.8, where the 1300 g (2.87 lb) of Pu are spread throughout the TB-1 and mixed with the maximum quantity of water that could fit within the TB-1, considering the presence of 1300 g (2.87 lb) of Pu.

For all models, the highest k_{eff} values were generated with the 1300 g (2.87 lb) plutonium metal sphere in the TB-1 containment vessel with the remainder of the containment vessel filled with water. The Simplified Model 4, with redwood replaced by water, and at an H/Pu ratio of zero, yielded the highest k_{eff} value, 0.6509 ± 0.0005 . While this k_{eff} value is slightly higher than the value for the square-pitched array of packages, it is statistically the same. This indicates that, with the redwood zone modeled as full density water, the maximum k_{eff} value is not sensitive to the number or arrangement of the surrounding packages.

The NCT array analysis was performed with redwood at nominal and $\pm 20\%$ density and full density water to ensure that the NCT array model was conservative. The results presented in Figure 6-9 and Figure 6-11 clearly show this is the case for all configurations except the single solid metal Pu sphere. As shown by comparing the results in Section 6.9.4.2, replacing the redwood with water is conservative even for the metal sphere cases. The NCT arrays with full density water replacing the redwood yields a higher array k_{eff} than does the same array with the redwood modeled.

The maximum k_{eff} value for an array under normal conditions of transport is 0.6509 ± 0.0005 for Simplified Model 4 with each package containing a 1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu metal sphere and arranged in hexagonal-pitched arrangement of 2646 packages (Case *fhlsf001*).

6.3.4.3 Array of Packages Under Hypothetical Accident Conditions

Title 10 CFR 71.59(a)(2) requires that a water reflected array of two times “N” damaged packages with optimum interspersed moderation between the packages would be subcritical. From Section 6.1.3, the value of N is 500, thus, it must be shown that 1000 damaged packages would be subcritical. Two different damaged container models described in Section 6.3.1.3 were evaluated: one for an end-impact case (see Figure 6-3) and one for a side-impact case (see Figure 6-4). Calculations were performed with both damaged-container models in various arrangements of varying numbers of packages to identify which damaged container and which arrangement produced the highest k_{eff} values. In all cases, the number of rows, columns, and layers were set to produce a nearly cubic array of packages. The intent of this study is to show which damaged container model in which arrangement of 1000 packages produces the highest k_{eff} value. The results of this study are presented in Figure 6-19. All calculations used in the analysis of package arrays under HACs are listed in the Section 6.9.4.3 table.

Four different array arrangements were considered for the side-impact damaged container model. The most limiting array involved pairs of damaged packages oriented so that their flat sides were together. Pairs of damaged packages were then placed in a hexagonal-pitch array. Figure 6-12

shows an overhead view of one of these arrays. The array is enclosed on three sides by a 30-cm-thick (11.8 in.) water reflector. A reflective boundary condition is used on the other three sides.




Figure 6-12. Damaged Packages – Side-Impact Hexagonal-Pitch Array, Top View

Calculations were also performed for an array of damaged packages arranged such that each pair of damaged packages was arranged in a square-pitch as shown in Figure 6-13. Figure 6-14 and Figure 6-15 show arrays in which damaged packages were oriented to try to locally increase the fissile material density within the array.




Figure 6-13. Damaged Packages – Side-Impact Square-Pitch Array, Top View

Two types of arrays of end-impact damaged packages were also considered. In both cases, the damaged drums are arranged horizontally in a close-packed hexagonal array. In one type of end-impact damaged package array, each vertical layer is repeated. In the other type, the packages are turned upside-down in alternating layers to minimize the spacing between the fissile material in every other layer. Side views of these two arrangements are provided in Figure 6-17 Figure 6-16 and Figure 6-18 Figure 6-17. A top view is shown in Figure 6-18.

Calculations were performed with both damaged-container models in various arrangements of varying numbers of packages to identify which damaged container and which arrangement produced the highest k_{eff} values. In all cases, the number of rows, columns, and layers were set to produce a nearly cubic array of packages. The intent of this study is to show which damaged container model in which arrangement of 1000 packages produces the highest k_{eff} value. The results of this study are presented in Figure 6-19. In this study, the redwood, aluminum, and copper are modeled as void to maximize interaction between units. Based on the study results, it is concluded that the close-packed hexagonal array of side-impact damaged drums yields the highest k_{eff} values for array sizes of 1000 damaged packages.




Figure 6-14. Damaged Packages – Side-Impact Nested Arrangement No. 1, Top View

The tests performed on the damaged package included a fire test that resulted in burning and loss of some of the redwood. The criticality analysis considers that some or all of the redwood may be lost and may be replaced with water. This bounds the entire range (0 to 100%) of wood and/or water that could be present following hypothetical accident conditions. A series of calculations were performed to identify the optimum level of moderation in the redwood package zone. Figure 6-20 presents the results of a study identifying the optimum quantity of moderator to place in the charred redwood zone. Calculations were performed for the two combinations of Pu-water in the TB-1 that produced maxima in the normal conditions array calculations. These were with a 1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu sphere inside a flooded TB-1 container and with the 1300 g (2.87 lb) of Pu intimately mixed with the 1412 g of water that could be in the TB-1. Calculations were performed for the side-impact damaged drums in the close-packed hexagonal and square-pitched arrays. Each series of calculations was performed with the redwood zone replaced with water varying from 0% to 100% density.




Figure 6-15. Damaged Packages – Side-Impact Nested Arrangement No. 2, Top View




Figure 6-16. Damaged Packages – End-Impact Array, Repeated Layers, Side View




Figure 6-17. Damaged Packages – End-Impact Array, Alternating Layers, Side View




Figure 6-18. Damaged Packages – End-Impact Arrays, Repeated and Alternating Layers, Top View




Figure 6-19. Damaged Package Array Study




Figure 6-20. Damaged Package Array Internal (redwood zone) Moderation Study




Figure 6-21. Damaged Package TB-1 Location Study




Figure 6-22. Damaged Package External Moderation Study




Figure 6-23. Damaged Package External Moderation Study (Package Spacing Varied)

This study shows that the highest k_{eff} value would be obtained with 1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu mixed with 1412 g of water in the TB-1 and with all of the wood replaced with full density water. Because this is the limiting hypothetical accident condition case, an additional study was performed with the side-impact damaged package array to demonstrate that the highest k_{eff} value was obtained with the TB-1 immediately adjacent to the flat side of the package. Figure 6-21 shows the results of calculations in which the TB-1 containment vessel was moved away from the flat side of the package. The redwood zone was modeled as full density water in these calculations. Note: from Figure 6-21 that the highest k_{eff} value is obtained with the TB-1 containment vessel immediately adjacent to the flat side of the package.

Consideration of optimum moderation between packages is also required. Figure 6-22 shows the results of a study in which water with density varying between 0% and 100% was placed between the packages. Figure 6-23 shows the results of a study in which full density water is placed between packages and the distance between packages is varied from 0 to 10 cm (0 to 3.9 in.). Calculations were performed with models for both of the maxima shown in Figure 6-21, namely the redwood modeled as either void or full density water. For the series in which the redwood was modeled as full density water, the water between the drums has no impact on the value of k_{eff} . The minor variation in the curve is due solely to variation introduced by the Mont Carlo method. For the series in which the redwood is modeled as void, adding water between the packages significantly reduces the k_{eff} value.

Considering the discussion provided above, the configuration producing the maximum k_{eff} value for the hypothetical accident conditions is case *hexs100*. This model has 1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu mixed with 1412 g (3.113 lb) of water in the TB-1 containment vessels in 1152 side-impact damaged packages arranged, touching, as shown in Figure 6-12. In this model, the redwood, aluminum, copper, and gaps inside the PAT-1 are modeled as full density water. Optimum moderation between containers was studied and found to have no impact.

6.3.4.4 Single Package Under Expanded Hypothetical Accident Conditions of 10 CFR 71.55(f)

Title 10 CFR 71.55(f) addresses additional requirements applicable to fissile material packages designed to be transported by air. The specifications in 10 CFR 71.55(f) permit use of an assumption that there is no water in-leakage. Considering this assumption, and due to the limited quantity of Pu and hydrogenous packaging that could be present in the TB-1 containment vessel, the analysis in this section shows that criticality cannot be achieved with a single package. Note: that the up to 1412 g (3.113 lb) of water that may be inside the TB-1 vessel is a bounding model for the presence of hydrogenous and other nonneutron multiplying packaging materials. This does not represent water that has leaked into the TB-1.

The criticality analysis considers the remainder of the volume within the TB-1 that is not filled by the Pu may be filled with full density water. This is a bounding model for the packaging materials that could be inside the TB-1. As shown in Section 45, the internal volume of the TB-1 containment vessel is no greater than 1478 cm³. Because 1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu fills a minimum of 65.6 cm³ (4.003 in.³), there could be up to 1412 cm³ (90.19 in.³) of water in the TB-1. Because the package will have, at most, 1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu, a simple conservative analysis approach is used to show that a single damaged package remains subcritical. A series of calculations was performed where the 1300 g (2.87 lb) of Pu were mixed with from 0 to 1412 g (0 to 3.113 lb) of water. The radius of the Pu-water mixture sphere was varied from 2.5 cm

(0.98 in.) for a plutonium metal sphere, to 7.07 cm (2.78 in.) for the smallest sphere that can contain 1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu and 1412 g (3.113 lb) of water, and beyond. As required by 10 CFR 71.55(f)(1), the sphere is reflected by 20 cm (7.8 in.) of water.




Figure 6-24. Single Package HAC for Air Transport

The results of this study are presented in Figure 6-24. The maximum k_{eff} value of 0.7147 ± 0.0005 was generated by case *sph14*. This analytical approach takes no credit for the dimensions or presence of any structural materials. Consequently, the results of the various tests specified by 10 CFR 71.55(f) do not affect the analysis. The analysis reported in this section takes no credit for the continued presence of any package materials or any package dimensions. The analysis documented in this section is different from the analysis that was presented in Section 6.3.4.1, because this analysis takes credit for the maximum internal diameter of the TB-1 containment vessel.

6.4 Single Package Evaluation

6.4.1 Configuration

The purpose of this section is to demonstrate that a single package is subcritical under both normal conditions of transport and HAC, as specified in 10 CFR 71.55(b) and 10 CFR 71.55(d)(2)-(d)(4). The limiting configuration for these conditions was demonstrated in Section 6.3.4.1.

From Section 1.2.1 of Reference 2, the PAT-1 container is 108 cm (42.52 in.) long and has a diameter of 62.2 cm (24.49 in.). From Section 6.3.1.2, the simplified model is 101.28 cm long and has a diameter of 56.6 cm (22.28 in.). The criteria specified in 10 CFR 71.55(d)(4)(i) and (ii)—consideration of a 5% reduction in volume and spacing—are met because the evaluation model has a diameter that is 9% smaller than the actual package and is 6% shorter than the actual package. The volume of the simplified model is 22% smaller than the actual package. Consequently, criticality safety has been evaluated with a 5% reduction in packaging volume and a 5% reduction in the effective spacing between fissile contents and the outer surface of the packaging. The criteria specified in 10 CFR 71.55(d)(4)(iii) is met because there are no apertures in the outer surface of the packaging large enough to permit entry of a 10 cm (4 in.) cube.

The bounding model adopted for the single package evaluation is the simplified model described in Section 6.3.1 with the wood and outer steel container replaced with water. As demonstrated in Section 6.3.4.1, the case *cv031* yielded the highest k_{eff} value. In this calculation, 1300 g (2.87 lb) of Pu-239 was spread throughout the internal volume of the TB-1 containment vessel and mixed homogeneously with 1412 g (3.113 lb) of water. This model was reflected by 30 cm (11.8 in.) of full density water.

This model is bounding for both the single package normal conditions of transport and hypothetical accident conditions, because the TB-1 containment vessel already holds as much water as it can. No further in-leakage is possible. In the bounding case, the redwood, load spreader, gaps, and outer steel drum are modeled as full density water. Consequently, no further in-leakage into the package is possible. As seen in Figure 6-7, the model yields a far higher k_{eff} value when the steel and redwood are modeled as full density water than when they are modeled as steel and redwood. As described in NUREG-0361,² the test specified for normal conditions of transport had negligible effect on the package geometry. Additional discussion is provided in Section 6.3.4.1 supporting the concept that this model is the bounding model for both normal conditions of transport and hypothetical accident conditions.

6.4.2 Results

The maximum k_{eff} value of 0.7076 ± 0.0006 was produced by Case *cv031*, in which 1300 g (2.87 lb) of Pu-239 was spread throughout the internal volume of the TB-1 containment vessel and mixed homogeneously with 1412 g (3.113 lb) of water. As determined in Section 6.8.2.5, an upper subcritical limit (USL) of 0.9456 is applicable to this case. Because the calculated k_{eff} value plus two standard deviations is less than the USL, a single package is subcritical under both normal conditions of transport and the single package hypothetical accident conditions.

6.5 Evaluation of Package Arrays under Normal Conditions of Transport

6.5.1 Configuration

The purpose of this section is to demonstrate that 2500 ($5 \times N$, where $N = 500$) packages with nothing between the packages are subcritical. The limiting configuration for these conditions was demonstrated in Section 6.3.4.2.

As shown in Section 6.3.4.2, each package in the selected limiting configuration has a 1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu-239 metal sphere surrounded by 1412 g of water inside the TB-1 containment vessel. The “simplified” model shown in Figure 6-2 was used, with the redwood modeled as full density water. The model has 2646 packages in a $19 \times 15.5 \times 9$ hexagonal-pitched array with 30 cm (11.8 in.) of close-fitting full density water around the outside of the array.

6.5.2 Results

The maximum k_{eff} value of 0.6509 ± 0.0005 was produced by case *fhlsf001*. As determined in Section 6.8.2.3, a USL of 0.8893 is applicable to this case. Because the calculated k_{eff} value plus two standard deviations is less than the USL, an array of 2646 packages is subcritical under normal conditions of transport. Thus a smaller array of 2500 packages is also subcritical under normal conditions of transport.

6.6 Package Arrays under Hypothetical Accident Conditions

6.6.1 Configuration

The purpose of this section is to demonstrate that 1000 ($2 \times N$, where $N = 500$) damaged packages are subcritical. The limiting configuration for these conditions was demonstrated in Section 6.3.4.3.

As was shown in Section 6.3.4.3, each package in the selected limiting configuration has 1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu-239 metal homogeneously mixed with 1412 g (3.113 lb) of water inside the TB-1 containment vessel. The “side impact” model shown in Figure 6-4 was used, with the redwood modeled as full density water. The model has 1152 packages arranged in a near cubic array. Pairs of packages are arranged in a tight-fitting hexagonal array. Figure 6-12 shows how the packages are arranged in each of the six layers. Note: that this model uses a reflective boundary condition along the bottom and left-hand side of the figure such that each of the six layers has four times as many packages than are shown in the figure. As was shown in Section 6.3.4.3, optimum interspersed moderation is achieved with nothing present between the packages. A close-fitting 30-cm-thick (11.8-in.-thick) full-density-water reflector completely encloses the array.

6.6.2 Results

The maximum k_{eff} value of 0.7154 ± 0.0005 was produced by case *hexs100*. As determined in Section 6.8.2.4, a USL of 0.9396 is applicable to this case. Because the calculated k_{eff} value plus two standard deviations is less than the USL, an array of 1152 packages is subcritical under hypothetical accident conditions. Thus, a smaller array of 1000 packages is also subcritical under hypothetical accident conditions.

6.7 Fissile Material Packages for Air Transport

6.7.1 Configuration

The purpose of this section is to demonstrate that a single package, subjected to the additional tests and conditions specified in 10 CFR 71.55(f) for fissile material package designs to be transported by air, would be subcritical. As shown in Section 6.3.4.4, the limiting configuration is a sphere with a radius of 7.067 cm, containing 1300 g (2.87 lb) of Pu-239 mixed homogeneously with 1412 g of water, and reflected by 20 cm of water.

6.7.2 Results

The maximum k_{eff} value of 0.7147 ± 0.0005 was produced by case *sph14*. As determined in Section 6.8.2.5, a USL of 0.9462 is applicable to this case. Because the calculated k_{eff} value plus two standard deviations is less than the USL, a single package, with no water in-leakage, is subcritical without taking any credit for packaging materials and dimensions.

6.8 Benchmark Evaluations

The purpose of the benchmark evaluations is to validate the computational method used to calculate the neutron multiplication factors (e.g., k_{eff} values) for the limiting safety calculations involving plutonium metal and packaging materials that comprise a loaded PAT-1 package and water that is placed in and around the PAT-1 packages for the safety analysis. Validation is completed using the same computational methods to model critical configurations, which are similar to the limiting safety calculations, and performing statistical analyses to determine upper subcritical limits applicable to the safety calculations. The computational method is described in Section 6.3.3.

The process used to validate the computational method for the PAT-1 packages is comprised of the following steps:

1. Identify the limiting application systems and the ranges of parameters and conditions for which the validation will apply.
2. Select critical benchmark configurations for consideration based on similarities in physical characteristics between the limiting application systems and critical benchmark configurations.
3. Identify applicable critical benchmark configurations based on neutronic similarity between the application systems and the selected critical benchmark configurations, as determined by comparing characteristic parameters such as the hydrogen to Pu-239 atom

ratio (H/Pu) and the energy of average lethargy of neutrons causing fission (EALF) and by using the SCALE 5.1 sensitivity/uncertainty computational tools (TSUNAMI).^{37, 38}

4. Use the results from the critical experiment models to determine the USLs that are applicable to the limiting applications using accepted statistical analysis techniques and industry tools. Only critical experiments that have neutronic similarities—that is, similar material compositions, neutron spectra, and leakage—with the application systems are used in the determination of the USLs. As is described in Section 6.8.2, the USLs include bias, bias uncertainty, administrative margin, and any additional margin that may be required by the statistical technique used to derive the USLs.

6.8.1 Applicability of Benchmark Experiments

All critical configurations used in the benchmark evaluation were selected from the *International Handbook of Evaluated Criticality Safety Benchmark Experiments* (IHECSBE) from the Nuclear Energy Agency of the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD-NEA).³⁹ The IHECSBE contains evaluations of criticality benchmark experiments that have been peer reviewed by an international group of experts. Each evaluation contains a detailed description of the critical configuration with an extensive analysis of any assumptions and biases resulting from the difference between the actual experimental configuration and its description.

CSAS25/KENO V.a^{35,34, 36} models of a large portion of the critical benchmark configurations in the evaluated experiments contained in the IHECSBE have been created by Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) staff. TSUNAMI-3D³⁷ was used to perform sensitivity analysis for each of these critical configurations. TSUNAMI-3D uses flux moment data, generated by forward and adjoint Monte Carlo calculations, in a first-order linear perturbation theory method to calculate energy-, nuclide-, reaction-, and mixture-dependent k_{eff} sensitivity data. As part of the PAT-1 validation study, TSUNAMI-IP³⁸ was used to compare the k_{eff} sensitivity data of the safety calculations to the sensitivity data for about 240 Pu-critical experiment configurations. TSUNAMI-IP yields a correlation coefficient, c_k , which is a measure of how similar each critical configuration is to each of the limiting safety applications. The correlation coefficients were used to identify 125 critical configurations that are most similar to the limiting safety applications. These critical configurations include the following: 7 plutonium metal spherical assemblies with and without a reflector; 29 Pu-polystyrene slabs with and without a reflector; and 89 Pu solutions in spheres or cylinders. The 125 critical benchmark configurations, the evaluated experiment they are from, and brief descriptions are listed in Section 6.9.3.

The similarity or applicability of critical configurations to specific criticality analysis cases was assessed by comparing characteristic neutron parameters (EALF, H/Pu, and c_k) for the safety analysis cases with those from the critical configurations. The energy from average lethargy of neutrons causing fission (EALF) values were extracted from the KENO V.a output. The H/Pu ratios were calculated from the composition information for the mixture containing the Pu. Ideally, the sets of validation experiments should have EALF and H/Pu values bounding the analysis cases and have data points near the EALF and H/Pu values for the safety applications. The c_k parameter provides a more detailed neutronic comparison of the safety cases and validation experiments. A c_k of 1 indicates perfect similarity, and a c_k value greater than 0.9 indicates good similarity for validation purposes. More details are provided below on performing similarity analysis using the SCALE 5.1 sensitivity and uncertainty tools.

TSUNAMI-3D calculations were performed for the set of 11 application models that are representative of the limiting normal and hypothetical accident conditions. A brief description of each application is provided below with its acronym.

- *cv001* – The application consists of a single sphere consisting of 1300 g (2.87 lb) of Pu-239 metal centered in a cylinder of water approximately the volume of a PAT-1 package.
- *cv031* – The application consists of a single cylinder containing a Pu-239 metal-water mixture having 1300 g (2.87 lb) of Pu homogeneously mixed with sufficient water to fill the inside volume of a TB-1 container. This Pu-water cylinder is then centered in a cylinder of water approximately the volume of a PAT-1 package.
- *sph14* – The application consists of a single sphere containing a Pu-239 metal-water mixture having 1300 g (2.87 lb) of Pu-239 homogeneously mixed with sufficient water to fill the inside volume of a TB-1 container reflected by 20 cm (7.87 in.) of water.
- *fhlsf001* – The application consists of a roughly cubic-shaped hexagonal-pitch array of 2646 PAT-1 packages, with each position containing the following geometry. The innermost region contains a 1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu-239 metal sphere centered in a water cylinder representing the inside of a TB-1 container. This is centered in a steel cylinder representing the TB-1 container. The space between the TB-1 container and outer PAT-1 container is filled with water. The water is surrounded by a steel cylinder representing a PAT-1 outer container. The space between PAT-1 packages is void and a 30-cm-thick (11.81-in.-thick) water reflector surrounds the array on all sides.
- *fhlsf031* – The application consists of a roughly cubic-shaped hexagonal-pitch array of 2646 PAT-1 packages, with each position containing the following geometry. The innermost region contains a Pu-239 metal-water mixture having 1300 g (2.87 lb) of Pu-239 homogeneously mixed with sufficient water to fill the inside volume of a TB-1 container. This is centered in a steel cylinder representing a TB-1 container. The space between the TB-1 container and outer PAT-1 outer container is filled with water. The water is surrounded by a steel cylinder representing a PAT-1 outer container. The space between PAT-1 packages is void and a 30-cm-thick (11.81-in.-thick) water reflector surrounds the array on all sides.
- *fslsf001* – The application consists of a 17×17×9 square-pitched array of 2601 PAT-1 packages, with each position containing the following geometry. The innermost region contains a 1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu-239 metal sphere centered in a water cylinder representing the inside of a TB-1 container. This is centered in a steel cylinder representing a TB-1 container. The space between the TB-1 container and outer PAT-1 container is filled with water. The water is surrounded by a steel cylinder representing a PAT-1 outer container. The space between PAT-1 packages is void and a 30-cm-thick (11.81-in.-thick) water reflector surrounds the array on all sides.
- *fslsf031* – The application consists of a 17×17×9 square-pitched array of 2601 PAT-1 packages, with each position containing the following geometry. The innermost region

contains a Pu-239 metal/water mixture having 1300 g (2.87 lb) of Pu-239 homogeneously mixed with sufficient water to fill the inside volume of a TB-1 container. This is centered in a steel cylinder representing a TB-1 container. The space between the TB-1 container and outer PAT-1 container is filled with water. The water is surrounded by a steel cylinder representing a PAT-1 outer container. The space between PAT-1 packages is void, and a 30-cm-thick (11.81-in.-thick) water reflector surrounds the array on all sides.

- *hexs100* – A roughly cubic-shaped hexagonal-pitch array representing 1152 damaged PAT-1 packages. Each container holds a Pu-239 metal-water cylinder having 1300 g (2.87 lb) of Pu-239 homogeneously mixed with sufficient water to fill the inside volume of a TB-1 container. This is centered in a steel cylinder representing a TB-1 container. The outer PAT-1 container is a steel hemicylinder, representing a smashed container. The containers are then stacked flat side to flat side and loaded into a hexagonal array with each row rotated 90 degrees. The TB-1 container is located inside and immediately adjacent to the center of the damaged outer drum's flat side. The space between the TB-1 container and the damaged outer PAT-1 container is filled with water. The space between PAT-1 packages is void. A 30-cm-thick (11.81-in.-thick) water reflector surrounds the array on all positive faces (i.e., +x, +y, and +z). The model uses specular reflection on all negative faces.
- *hexsdry* – A roughly cubic-shaped hexagonal-pitch array representing 1152 damaged PAT-1 packages. Each container holds a Pu-239 metal/water cylinder having 1300 g (2.87 lb) of plutonium homogeneously mixed with sufficient water to fill the inside volume of a TB-1 container. This is centered in a steel cylinder representing a TB-1 container. The outer PAT-1 container is a steel hemicylinder, representing a smashed container. The containers are then stacked flat side to flat side and loaded into a hexagonal array with each row rotated 90 degrees. The TB-1 container is located inside and immediately adjacent to the center of the flat side of the damaged outer drum. The space between the TB-1 container and outer PAT-1 container is void. The space between PAT-1 packages is void. A 30-cm-thick (11.81-in.-thick) water reflector surrounds the array on all positive faces. The model uses specular reflection on all negative faces.
- *octs100* – A 4×8×4 square-pitched lattice representing 1024 damaged PAT-1 packages. Each container holds a Pu-239 metal/water cylinder having 1300 g (2.87 lb) of Pu-239 homogeneously mixed with sufficient water to fill the inside volume of a TB-1 container. This is centered in a steel cylinder representing a TB-1 container. The outer PAT-1 container is a hemicylinder, representing a smashed container. The containers are then stacked flat side to flat side and loaded into a square-pitched array. The TB-1 container is located inside and immediately adjacent to the center of the damaged outer drum's flat side. The space between the TB-1 container and outer PAT-1 container is filled with water. The space between PAT-1 packages is void. A 30-cm-thick (11.81-in.-thick) water reflector surrounds the array on all positive faces and specular reflection is used on all negative faces.
- *octsdry* – A 4×8×4 square pitched lattice representing 1024 damaged PAT-1 packages. Each container holds a Pu-239 metal/water cylinder having 1300 g (2.87 lb) of Pu-239 homogeneously mixed with sufficient water to fill the inside volume of a TB-1 container.

This is centered in a steel cylinder representing a TB-1 container. The outer PAT-1 container is a hemicylinder, representing a smashed container. The containers are then stacked flat side to flat side and loaded into a square-pitched array. The TB-1 container is located inside and immediately adjacent to the center of the damaged outer drum's flat side. The space between the TB-1 container and outer PAT-1 container is filled with void. The space between PAT-1 packages is void. A 30-cm-thick (11.81-in.-thick) water reflector surrounds the array on all positive faces and specular reflection is used on all negative faces.

The convergence of each of the CSAS25/KENO V.a and TSUNAMI-3D calculations for the set of 125 critical benchmark configurations and the 11 applications were assessed by reviewing the plot of average k_{eff} by generation run and the plot of average k_{eff} by generation skipped. No trends were observed in these plots that would be indicative of poor convergence. In addition, the frequency distribution plots were examined. These frequency distribution plots show single k_{eff} peaks, which is also an indication of convergence. The k_{eff} results of KENO V.a forward and adjoint calculations, which are used in the S/U (TSUNAMI-3D) analysis, were adequately similar, varying by less than 1% for most cases.

Although each application was examined individually, they were divided into four general groups with the members of each group having very similar neutronic characteristics. A short description of the types of critical benchmark configurations used to validate each group of applications is provided below. Table 6-6 provides a synopsis of each application and the critical benchmark configurations used for their validation.

- Metal Pu Spheres (*cv001, fhlsf001, fslsf001*) – These applications contain 1300 g (2.87 lb) plutonium metal spheres surrounded by water. The EALF of these three applications is between 54 and 80 keV. The critical benchmark configurations that best represent these applications are from the Plutonium Metal Fast (PMF) experiments and Plutonium Compound Mixed (PCM) experiments whose EALF values range from 1.76 keV to 1.27 MeV.
- Solution Lattices (*fhlsf031, fslsf031, hexs100, octs100*) – These applications contain Pu-water solutions surrounded by water in either a hexagonal or rectangular pitched lattice. The lattice is reflected by 30 cm (11.81 in.) of water.
- The EALF of these four applications is between 2.54 and 2.70 eV. The critical benchmark configurations that best represent these applications are primarily from the Plutonium Solution Thermal (PST) experiments and a few PCM experiments whose EALF values range from 0.147 to 6.446 eV.
- Single Solution Units (*cv031, sph14*) – These applications contain plutonium-water solutions surrounded by water. The EALF of the two applications is 1.094 and 1.259 eV respectively. The critical benchmark configurations that best represent these applications are from the PST experiments whose EALF values range from 0.112 to 5.405 eV.

Table 6-6. Summary of Similarity Between Benchmark Configurations and Applications

Application Name	Application			Critical Benchmark Configurations			
	k_{eff}	EALF (eV)	H/Pu	N^a	EALF (eV)	H/Pu Ratio	c_k Values
<i>cv001</i>	0.6565	5.470E4	0.0	13	1.76E3 – 1.27E6	0.00 – 0.05	0.9158 – 0.9948
<i>fhlsf001</i>	0.6509	8.034E4	0.0	13	1.76E3 – 1.27E6	0.00 – 0.05	0.9178 – 0.9960
<i>fslsf001</i>	0.6504	7.957E4	0.0	13	1.76E3 – 1.27E6	0.00 – 0.05	0.9176 – 0.9958
<i>fhlsf031</i>	0.6324	2.682	28.8	60	0.147 – 6.446	15.46 – 220.19	0.8933 – 0.9362
<i>fslsf031</i>	0.6337	2.692	28.8	60	0.147 – 6.446	15.46 – 220.19	0.8903 – 0.9334
<i>hexs100</i>	0.7154	2.544	28.8	60	0.147 – 6.446	15.46 – 220.19	0.8979 – 0.9517
<i>octs100</i>	0.7147	2.531	28.8	60	0.147 – 6.446	15.46 – 220.19	0.8974 – 0.9505
<i>cv031</i>	0.7076	1.091	28.8	95	0.112 – 5.405	15.46 – 258.46	0.9018 – 0.9604
<i>sph14</i>	0.7147	1.255	28.8	95	0.112 – 5.405	15.46 – 258.46	0.9088 – 0.9588
<i>hexsdry</i>	0.7027	4.845	28.8	33	0.254 – 37.727	15.46 – 131.30	0.9059 – 0.9741
<i>octsdry</i>	0.6772	5.020	28.8	33	0.254 – 37.727	15.46 – 131.30	0.9025 – 0.9706

^a Number of critical configurations in validation set.

- Dry Lattices (*hexsdry*, *octsdry*) – These applications contain plutonium-water solutions with no surrounding hydrogenous material. The array, however, is surrounded by 30 cm of water. The EALF is 4.864 and 5.042 eV respectively. The critical benchmark configurations that best represent these applications are primarily from the PCM experiments and a few PST experiments whose EALF values range from 0.254 to 37.727 eV.

TSUNAMI-3D was used to calculate the sensitivity profiles used in the assessment of the similarity of the safety analysis models and the critical experiment models. When using TSUNAMI-3D the analyst selects from several analysis approaches depending upon the nature and complexity of the problem. The analyst verifies that a correct analytical approach was used by performing direct perturbation calculations. These calculations involve running additional k_{eff} calculations with the densities of selected nuclides or mixtures varied to show effect of these changes on system k_{eff} . The direct perturbation results are then compared with the TSUNAMI sensitivity analysis results, confirming that an appropriate analytical approach was used. Generally, it is not necessary to perform direct perturbation calculations for all nuclides in a problem. Typically, direct perturbations calculations are performed for the primary fissile nuclide and the primary neutron moderator. It is not necessary to perform direct perturbation calculations for every nuclide mixed in with the primary fissile nuclide or mixed in with the primary moderator because checking those two nuclides ensures that the forward and adjoint neutron transport solutions used in the TSUNAMI calculation are adequately converged and the problem has enough spatial resolution to adequately capture local variations. Additional direct

perturbation calculations may also be performed for other key model features, such as neutron poison panels in a spent fuel storage rack.

To verify the TSUNAMI-3D sensitivity analysis, a representative sample of the critical benchmark configuration set was selected for direct perturbation analysis. The 15 critical benchmark configurations in this sample were analyzed by increasing and decreasing the Pu-239 concentration and, where possible, the hydrogen concentration such that the resulting k_{eff} values span approximately 10 standard deviations. The sensitivities from the direct perturbation results were then compared to the sensitivities produced by TSUNAMI-3D. For the critical benchmark configurations, the direct perturbation sensitivities compare to the TSUNAMI sensitivities within approximately 2 standard deviations in all but one case as shown in Section 6.9.3. Thus, the direct perturbation results confirm that the TSUNAMI-3D calculations were performed properly.

The TSUNAMI-3D sensitivity analyses for each of the 11 applications were also verified using direct perturbation analysis. The applications were analyzed by increasing and decreasing the Pu-239 concentration and water concentration such that the resulting k_{eff} values span approximately 10 standard deviations. The sensitivities from the direct perturbation results were then compared to the sensitivities produced by TSUNAMI-3D. For the set of 11 applications, the direct perturbation sensitivities compare to the TSUNAMI sensitivities within 2 standard deviations for all cases as shown in Table 6-10 in Section 6.9.3. Thus, the direct perturbation results confirm that the TSUNAMI-3D calculations were performed properly.

The similarity of the critical benchmark configurations to each application was evaluated by comparing the EALF values and H/Pu ratios of the critical experiments to the values from the applications. The application EALF and H/Pu values are similar to the values from the critical configurations. Similarity was also evaluated by examining the c_k values produced when comparing the available critical benchmark configurations to each application using TSUNAMI-IP.³⁸ The value of the TSUNAMI global integral index, c_k , measures the correlation of sensitivity and nuclear data uncertainty between systems. Systems that have highly correlated sensitivities and nuclear data uncertainties should exhibit similar calculation biases, because the sensitivity is a measure of system response to data variation or data errors. A c_k value of 1.0 indicates that the experiment and application are identical in terms of sensitivity and uncertainty data. Critical benchmark configurations, with correlation coefficient (c_k) values greater than 0.8, are considered marginally applicable.⁴⁴ Critical configurations, with c_k values greater than 0.9, are considered applicable to the validation of the application.

The applications were sorted into groups based on their similarity in nuclear parameters and sensitivities to the critical benchmark configurations. A set of critical benchmark configurations having the highest c_k values was selected for each application to be included in the validation. The EALF and H/Pu ratios of the critical benchmark configurations with the highest c_k values were then checked to see if they encompassed the application. The goal was to have as many as possible critical benchmark configurations in the validation for each application that had c_k values greater than 0.9. The set of critical benchmark configurations were then collected for each group. Section 6.9.3 contains lists of the critical benchmark configurations that have the highest c_k values of all the available Pu configurations relative to the applications.

Comparison of the EALF values, H/Pu ratios, and c_k values indicate that the critical configurations in the validation sets for the applications are similar to the associated applications as indicated primarily by the high c_k values.

Table 6-6 summarizes of the nuclear parameters of the applications and critical benchmark configurations used for each validation. Note that the validation sets for 5 of the 1 applications included fewer than 50 critical configurations. This is acceptable because the Distribution-Free Tolerance Limit Method used for the USL calculation in these sets is designed to be used with as few as 10 data points.

6.8.2 Bias Determination

This section describes the methods used to determine an USL for the applications evaluated in this report based on the critical benchmark configurations chosen as representative of the applications. Bias and bias uncertainty over the area of applicability of critical experiments are determined using the guidance in ANSI/ANS 8.1-1998, ANSI/ANS 8.17-2004, and ANSI/ANS 8.24-2007. The accuracy of the computational method and cross section data is established by evaluating critical experiments. Computational bias is the difference between calculated and experimental results. The uncertainty in bias is an allowance for uncertainties in the experiment conditions, the lack of accuracy and precision in the calculational method, and, if necessary, the extension of the area of applicability.

Generally, the bias and bias uncertainty are expected to be functions of various physical or neutronic parameters that can be determined using trending analyses. This technique can be applied to establish bias and bias uncertainty because k_{eff} values often exhibit an increasing or decreasing trend as a function of parameters such as fissile material concentration, hydrogen-to-fissile material ratio, EALF, sensitivity coefficient, etc., that can be distinguished from random behavior.

Statistical techniques exist for evaluating the bias and bias uncertainty, and for establishing limits that can reliably be used to predict subcriticality, such as tolerance band, single-sided tolerance limit, and distribution-free tolerance limit (nonparametric) methods.^{40,41,42,43} These methods use bias and the bias uncertainty in combination with additional considerations (e.g., administrative margins, where applicable) to establish a critical limit (CL) (single value or a function, depending on the method applied) above which a desired fraction of the true population of k_{eff} values calculated for critical systems is expected to lie, with a prescribed confidence and within the area of applicability. The parametric methods typically require that the k_{eff} values for the applicable critical experiments form a normal distribution. When the k_{eff} values for the critical experiments are not normally distributed about a mean value, a nonparametric statistical treatment (i.e., distribution-free methods) should be used.⁴³

The level of subcriticality required to maintain safety is calculated based on Section 5.1 of ANSI/ANS 8.17. For an application or system to be considered subcritical, the calculated multiplication factor for the system, k_s , must be less than or equal to an established maximum allowable multiplication factor based on benchmark calculations and uncertainty terms, as shown in Equation 6-1.

$$k_s \leq k_c - \Delta k_s - \Delta k_c - \Delta k_m \quad (\text{Eq. 6-1})$$

where

- k_s = multiplication factor k_{eff} for the application or system for which subcriticality must be maintained;
- k_c = the mean value of k_{eff} resulting from the calculation of benchmark criticality experiments using a specific calculational method and data;
- Δk_s = uncertainty in the value of k_s ;
- Δk_c = uncertainty in the value of k_c ;
- Δk_m = an additional margin to ensure subcriticality.

The value of Δk_m is often referred to as the administrative margin and assigned an arbitrary limit of 0.05. This value is set in Method 1 and calculated in Method 2 of USLSTATS.⁴⁰

If calculational bias, β , is defined as $\beta = k_c - 1.0$, then the uncertainty in the calculational bias is identical to the uncertainty in k_c , (i.e., $\Delta\beta = \Delta k_c$). The bias is defined as negative if $k_c \leq 1$ and positive if $k_c \geq 1$. By convention, a positive bias is never allowed; if $k_c \geq 1$, then k_c is set equal to 1.0.

The values of k_c and β may not be constant, but instead may vary over some parameter (e.g., EALF, H/Pu, correlation coefficient, etc.). In this case $k_c(x)$ and $\beta(x)$ are determined using a least squares fit over the calculated k_{eff} values as a function of the parameters of interest, x . Positive biases are not considered by setting $k_c(x) = 1.0$ wherever it is greater than 1.0.

Based on the criteria for subcriticality set forth in ANSI/ANS-8.17 and what is above, a USL can be determined using a set of critical experiment benchmarks. The USL is determined such that there is a high degree of confidence that a calculated result is subcritical; i.e., an application or system is considered subcritical with a high degree of certainty if a calculated k_{eff} plus calculational uncertainties lies at or below this limit ($k_s + \Delta k_s < USL$). Thus, the USL is the magnitude of the sum of the biases, uncertainties, and administrative and/or statistical margins applied to a set of critical benchmarks, such that with a high degree of confidence:

$$USL = 1.0 - \Delta k_m + \beta(x) - \Delta\beta(x). \quad (\text{Eq. 6-2})$$

Only the distribution-free tolerance method and the confidence band with administrative margin were used and are described in Sections 6.8.2.1 and 6.8.2.2, respectively. Derivation of USLs for each of the application groups is discussed in Sections 6.8.2.3 through 6.8.2.6. The results are summarized in Section 6.8.2.7.

6.8.2.1 Distribution-Free Tolerance Limit Method

The distribution-free tolerance limit method⁴³ is typically used to calculate a lower band threshold limit (LBTL) when the k_{eff} values for the critical experiments do not pass tests for normality.⁴³ This method involves sorting all k_{eff} values for the applicable critical experiments in ascending order and determining the degree of confidence for the fraction of the true population that lies above the smallest observed value. The percent confidence that a fraction of the population of k_{eff} values calculated for critical systems is above the lowest observed value can be determined using the following equation:

$$\beta = 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{n!}{j!(n-1)!} (1-q)^j q^{(n-j)}, \quad (\text{Eq. 6-3})$$

where

- n = sample size (number of k_{eff} values),
- m = rank order of the smallest k_{eff} value, and
- q = the desired population fraction above the smallest k_{eff} value (normally 0.95).

For a desired population fraction of 0.95 and a rank order of 1 (the lowest data sample), Equation 6-3 reduces to

$$\beta = 1 - q^n = 1 - 0.95^n \quad (\text{Eq. 6-4})$$

The nonparametric margin is determined by first calculating β using Equation 6-4. The nonparametric margin is then determined using Table 2.2 in Reference 43. The single-valued *LBTL* function for nonparametric data analysis is then determined as follows:

$$LBTL = \text{smallest } k_{eff} - \text{uncertainty for smallest } k_{eff} - \text{nonparametric margin.} \quad (\text{Eq. 6-5})$$

Note that the confidence value determined by Equations 6-3 and 6-4 increases with increasing sample size and the nonparametric margin, in Equation 6-5, is used to account for small sample size.

6.8.2.2 *USL Method 1: Confidence Band with Administrative Margin*

The USLSTATS code, documented in Section 4 and Appendix C of Reference 40, Method 1 applies a statistical calculation of the bias and its uncertainty plus an administrative margin to a linear fit of the critical experimental benchmark data. The approach to this method is to first calculate a linear fit of the critical experiments, $k_c(x)$. To remove any positive bias, $k_c(x)$ is reset such that $k_c(x) = 1.0$ anywhere $k_c(x) > 1.0$. A confidence band for a single additional calculation is then determined. The width of this band is determined statistically based on the existing data and a specified level of confidence; the greater the standard deviation in the data or the larger the confidence desired, the larger the band width. This confidence band, W , accounts for uncertainties in the experiments, cross sections, and calculational method, and is therefore a statistical basis of $\Delta\beta$, the uncertainty in the value of β . W is defined for a confidence level of $(1 - \gamma)$ using the relation

$$W = \max\{w(x) |_{x_{\min}, x_{\max}}\} \quad (\text{Eq. 6-6})$$

where

$$w(x) = t_{1-\gamma} s_p \left[1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x - \bar{x})^2}{\sum_{i=1, n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (\text{Eq. 6-7})$$

and

- n = the number of critical calculations used in establishing $k_c(x)$,
- $t_{1-\gamma}$ = the Student-t distribution statistic for $1-\gamma$ and $n-2$ degrees of freedom
- S_p = the pooled standard deviation for the set of criticality calculations, and
- x = the mean value of parameter x in the set of calculations.

Typically, W is determined at the 95% confidence level. For transport and storage packages, an administrative margin, Δk_m , 0.05 Δk is typically used.

Using the above formulas, the USL Method 1 is defined as

$$USL_1(x) = 1 - \Delta k_m - W + \beta(x). \quad (\text{Eq. 6-8})$$

With one exception, the USLSTATS inputs are described in Appendix C of Reference 40. The exception is that the first word in the title must be “tsunami” when the trending parameter is c_k . Without this input, USLSTATS will not permit extrapolation of the USLs. When “tsunami” is included as the first word on the first line, USLSTATS will extrapolate to a c_k value of 1.0. The other input parameters used were as follows:

- P = 0.999 = proportion of population falling above the lower tolerance level
- $1 - \gamma$ = 0.95 = confidence on fit
- α = 0.95 = confidence on proportion P
- x_{\min} = 0 = minimum value of trending parameter
- x_{\max} = 1.0 = maximum value of trending parameter
- σ_{sample} = -1 = estimated average standard deviation of all input values of k_{eff}
a “-1” value directs USLSTATS to use third column of experiment-specific input
- Δk_m = 0.05 = administrative margin used to ensure subcriticality

The remainder of the input is provided as three pieces of data for each critical configuration on individual lines. The first input is the value of the trending parameter. The second input is the calculated k_{eff} value divided by the expected k_{eff} value. The expected value is taken from the IHECSBE³⁹ and includes biases for simplifications used in describing the critical configuration. These expected and calculated k_{eff} values are provided in Section 6.9.3. The third parameter is the uncertainty in the calculated k_{eff} values. A USLSTATS input deck for application *cv031* is included in Section 6.9.5.5.

6.8.2.3 Metal Sphere Applications

The METAL SPHERE applications consist of three cases: *cv001*, *fhlsf001*, and *fslsf001*. A set of 13 selected, critical benchmark configurations are well correlated to the three applications from a neutronic perspective as represented by the range of c_k values calculated using TSUNAMI. The c_k values for the *cv001* application range from 0.916 to 0.995, the *fhlsf001* application range from 0.918 to 0.996, and the *fslsf001* application range from 0.918 to 0.996. Values above 0.9 indicate a high correlation between cases. The EALF and the H/Pu ratio of the three applications are contained within the range of these values from the set of critical benchmark configurations used in the validation. The EALF values of the three applications are 5.470e4, 8.034e4, and 7.957e4 eV; whereas the range of EALF values for the set of critical

benchmark configurations is $1.76e3$ to $1.27e6$ eV. The H/Pu ratios of the three applications are 0.0 where as the range of H/Pu ratios for the critical benchmarks ranges from 0.0 to 0.05. Both the H/Pu ratio and EALF for the three applications are bounded by the selected cases that have c_k values above 0.9.

The normality of the distribution of the k_{eff} values for the group of critical benchmark configurations used to validate the METAL SPHERE applications was checked using the Shapiro-Wilk Test.⁴³ This test was used because of the small number of samples in this group—only 13 critical benchmark configurations. The Chi-Squared (χ^2) Test implemented in USLSTATS requires a minimum of 25 samples. The test calculated $W = 0.8546$, which is less than the value from Table A.5 of Reference 43, which for $\alpha = 0.05$ and $n = 13$ is $W_t = 0.866$. This indicates the sample distribution test is not normally distributed. As is shown in Figure 6-25, the distribution has two peaks. For the samples to pass a normality test, the calculated W must be greater than the W test value from Table A.5 of Reference 43 for a given α and n .

Because the data is not normally distributed, the distribution-free tolerance limit method is used. The nonparametric margin is determined using Equation 6-3. Given a population (n) of 13 and a desired population fraction (q) of 0.95 the degree of confidence is calculated to be 48.6%. From Table 2.2 of Reference 43, a nonparametric margin of 0.05 needs to be included in the calculation of the USL. The resulting USL is 0.8893, which is calculated as follows:

USL = lowest k_{eff} value-combined Monte Carlo and experimental uncertainty-administrative margin-nonparametric margin

$$\text{USL} = 0.9916 - (0.0005^2 + 0.0022^2)^{0.5} - 0.05 - 0.05 = 0.8893$$




Figure 6-25. Pu Metal Sphere Critical Benchmark Histogram

6.8.2.4 Solution Lattice Applications

The SOLUTION LATTICE applications include four cases: *fhlsf031*, *fslsf031*, *hexs100*, and *octs100*. A set of 60 selected critical benchmark configurations are well correlated to the four applications from a neutronic perspective as represented by the range of c_k values calculated using TSUNAMI. The c_k values for the *fhlsf031* application range from 0.893 to 0.936, the *fslsf031* application range from 0.890 to 0.933, the *hexs100* application range from 0.898 to 0.952 and the *octs100* application range from 0.897 to 0.950. Values above 0.9 indicate a high correlation between cases. The EALF and the H/Pu ratio of the four applications are contained within the range of these values from the set of critical benchmark configurations used in the validation. The EALF values of the four applications are 2.682, 2.692, 2.544, and 2.531 eV; whereas the range of EALF values for the benchmark configurations is 0.147 to 6.446 eV. The H/Pu ratios of the four applications are 28.8 where as the range of H/Pu ratios for the benchmark configurations is 15.46 to 220.19. Both the H/Pu ratio and EALF for the four applications are bounded by the selected cases that have c_k values of approximately 0.9 or above.

The normality of the k_{eff} values for the group of critical benchmark configuration used to validate the SOLUTION LATTICE applications was checked using the χ^2 test. The test determined that the samples are not normally distributed. A visual inspection of the k_{eff} distribution, shown in Figure 6-26, shows a pronounced single peak with a long tail on the side with increasing k_{eff} and a very short tail on the side with decreasing k_{eff} .

Because the data is not normally distributed, the USL is determined using the distribution-free tolerance limit method described in Section 6.8.2.1. A nonparametric margin is determined using Equation 6-3. Given a population (n) of 60 and a desired population fraction (q) of 0.95, the degree of confidence is calculated to be 95.4%. From Table 2.2 of Reference 43, no additional nonparametric margin is needed to obtain a 95% confidence for 95% of the population. The resulting USL is 0.9396, which is calculated as follows:

$$\text{USL} = \text{lowest } k_{eff} \text{ value} - \text{combined Monte Carlo and experimental uncertainty} - \text{administrative margin} - \text{nonparametric margin}$$

$$\text{USL} = 0.9956 - (0.0005^2 + 0.0060^2)^{0.5} - 0.05 - 0.0 = 0.9396$$




Figure 6-26. Solution Lattice Critical Benchmark Histogram

6.8.2.5 Single Unit Solution Applications

The SINGLE UNIT SOLUTION applications consist of two cases: *cv031* and *sph14*. A set of 95 selected critical benchmark configurations are well-correlated to the two applications from a neutronic perspective as represented by the range of c_k values calculated using TSUNAMI. The c_k values for the *cv031* application range from 0.902 to 0.961 and for the *sph14* application from 0.909 to 0.959. Values above 0.9 indicate a high correlation between cases. The EALF and the H/Pu ratio of the two applications are contained within the range of these values from the set of critical benchmark configurations used in the validation. The EALF values of the two applications are 1.091 and 1.255 eV; whereas the range of EALF values for the benchmark configurations is 0.112 to 5.405 eV. The H/Pu ratios of the two applications are 28.8 where as the range of H/Pu ratios for the benchmark configurations is 15.46 to 258.46. Both the H/Pu ratio and EALF for the two applications are bounded by the selected cases that have c_k values above 0.9 for both applications.

The normality of the k_{eff} values for the group of critical benchmark configuration used to validate the SOLUTION LATTICE applications was checked using the χ^2 test. The test determined that the 95 samples are not normally distributed. A visual inspection of the k_{eff} distribution, shown in Figure 6-27, shows a pronounced single peak with a long tail on the side, and increasing k_{eff} and a very short tail on the side with decreasing k_{eff} .

Histogram of Keffs in bins vs. a normal distribution bin




Figure 6-27. Single Unit Solution Critical Benchmark Histogram

Because the data is not normally distributed, the USL is determined using the distribution-free tolerance limit method described in Section 6.8.2.1. A nonparametric margin is determined using Equation 6-3. Given a population (n) of 95 and a desired population fraction (q) of 0.95 the degree of confidence is calculated to be 99.2%. From Table 2.2 of Reference 43, no additional nonparametric margin is needed to obtain a 95% confidence for 95% of the population. The resulting USL is 0.9383, which is calculated as follows:

$$\text{USL} = \text{lowest } k_{eff} \text{ value} - \text{combined Monte Carlo and experimental uncertainty} - \text{administrative margin} - \text{nonparametric margin}$$

$$\text{USL} = 0.9956 - (0.0005^2 + 0.0073^2)^{0.5} - 0.05 - 0.0 = 0.9383$$

6.8.2.6 Dry Lattice Applications

The DRY LATTICE applications consist of two cases: *hexsdry* and *octsdry*. A set of 33 selected critical benchmark configurations are well-correlated to the two applications from a neutronic perspective as represented by the range of c_k values calculated using TSUNAMI. The c_k values for the *hexsdry* application range from 0.906 to 0.974 and for the *octsdry* application from 0.903 to 0.971. Values above 0.9 indicate a high correlation between cases. In addition, the EALF and the H/Pu ratio of the selected benchmark applications span the range of these values from the set

of critical benchmark configurations used in the validation. The EALF values of the two applications are 4.864 and 5.042 eV, whereas the range of EALF values for the benchmark configurations is 0.254 to 37.727 eV. The H/Pu ratios of the two applications are 28.8, where as the range of H/Pu ratios for the benchmark configurations is 15.46 to 131.3. Both the H/Pu ratio and EALF for the two applications are bounded by the selected cases that have c_k values above 0.9 for both applications.

The normality of the k_{eff} values for the group of critical benchmark configurations used to validate the DRY LATTICE applications was checked using the χ^2 test. The test determined that the 33 samples are not normally distributed with some bins not containing the required 5 values. The normality was then checked using the Shapiro-Wilk test.⁴³ The Shapiro-Wilk test is a more mathematically rigorous test of normality than the χ^2 test. The Shapiro-Wilk test calculated $W=0.941546$, which is greater than the value from Table A.5 of Reference 43, which for $\alpha = 0.05$ and $n = 33$ is $W_t = 0.931$. A visual inspection of the k_{eff} distribution in Figure 6-28 shows a peak in the center with approximately equal tails on each side. This indicates the sample distribution is normally distributed.




Figure 6-28. Dry Lattice Critical Benchmark Histogram

Trending was done using the c_k values of the critical benchmark configurations for each of the dry lattice applications using the USLSTATS code distributed with SCALE 5.1. This version of USLSTATS permits extrapolation of the USL-1 to a c_k value of 1.0, which is the c_k of the application. The inputs used for USLSTATS are described in Section 6.8.2.2.

USLSTATS is used to calculate an upper subcritical limit for each application using the set of critical benchmark configurations and c_k values as the trending parameter. Figure 6-29 and Figure 6-30 show the results of the USLSTATS analysis for *hexsdry* and *octsdry*. The c_k values have a positive slope as c_k approach 1.0. The linear fit of k_{eff} is above 1.0 throughout the range. Credit is not taken for the positive bias. Based on the analysis, the USL can be established as $USL = 0.9351$ for *hexsdry* and $USL = 0.9352$ for *octsdry*.

6.8.2.7 Results Summary

Based on the above data, an USL and bias can be determined for each application. An administrative margin of 0.05 is used for all applications. The USL and bias was determined using nonparametric methods for 9 of the 11 applications because the k_{eff} values of the applicable critical benchmark configurations did not fit a normal distribution. For these nine applications, a nonparametric margin was determined using the number of benchmark configurations to validate the application and a desired population fraction of 0.95 above the minimum k_{eff} . The USL is then determined by subtracting the administrative margin, the nonparametric margin, and 2 standard deviations from the minimum k_{eff} value.




Figure 6-29. USLSTATS Plot for HEXSDRY Application of c_k vs. k_{eff}




Figure 6-30. USLSTATS Plot for OCTSDRY Application of c_k vs. k_{eff}

Table 6-7. USL and Bias Data Summary

Application Name	Method Used	NPM	Minimum k_{eff}	USL	Bias
<i>cv001</i>	Nonparametric	0.05	0.9916	0.8893	0
<i>fhlsf001</i>	Nonparametric	0.05	0.9916	0.8893	0
<i>fslsf001</i>	Nonparametric	0.05	0.9916	0.8893	0
<i>fhlsf031</i>	Nonparametric	0.0	0.9956	0.9396	0
<i>fslsf031</i>	Nonparametric	0.0	0.9956	0.9396	0
<i>hexs100</i>	Nonparametric	0.0	0.9956	0.9396	0
<i>octs100</i>	Nonparametric	0.0	0.9956	0.9396	0
<i>cv031</i>	Nonparametric	0.0	0.9958	0.9383	0
<i>sph14</i>	Nonparametric	0.0	0.9958	0.9383	0
<i>hexsdry</i>	USLSTATS	N/A	N/A	0.9351	0
<i>octsdry</i>	USLSTATS	N/A	N/A	0.9352	0

All cases use an administrative margin of 0.05.

USL for nonparametric cases = $\min k_{eff} - [(\sigma_{exp})^2 + (\sigma_{MC})^2]^{0.5} - 0.05 - \text{NPM}$.

USL for parametric cases is USL Method 1 is determined using USLSTATS.

All cases are run to a $\sigma = 0.0005$.

Positive biases (average calculated k_{eff} value > 1) are discarded.

For the two applications where the critical configuration k_{eff} values tested as a normal distribution, the USL was calculated using USLSTATS. The method used in USLSTATS is described in Section 6.8.2.1. An administrative margin of 0.05 was included in the calculations. The reported USL is USL Method 1, which is the confidence band with administrative margin. The bias for the *hexsdry* and *octsdry* applications is zero because the fits of k_{eff} for the critical configurations were above 1.0 throughout their ranges. No credit is taken for such positive biases.

6.9 Appendices

Consistent with the guidance from the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, Regulatory Guide 7.9, Rev. 2, "*Standard Format and Content of Part 71 Applications for Approval of Packages for Radioactive Material*," March 2005, this section includes supplemental information.

6.9.1 References

1. United States. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. NUREG/CR-5661, ORNL/TM-11936. Dyer, H. R. and C. V. Parks. "Recommendations for Preparing the Criticality Safety Evaluation of Transportation Packages," Oak Ridge National Laboratory, March 1997.
2. United States. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. NUREG-0361. "Safety Analysis Report for the Plutonium Air Transportable Package, Model PAT-1." Washington, D.C. 1978..
3. Petrie, L. M., P. B. Fox, and K. Lucius, "Standard Composition Library," Vol. III, Sect. M8 in *SCALE: A Modular Code System for Performing Standardized Computer Analyses for Licensing Evaluations*, ORNL/TM-2005/39, Version 5.1, Vols. I-III, November 2006. Available from Radiation Safety Information Computational Center at Oak Ridge National Laboratory as CCC-732.
4. Drawing 1001, Issue A, "PAT-1 ASSEMBLY." May 9, 1978.
5. Drawing 1002, Issue A, "OVERPACK, AO." May 9, 1978.
6. Drawing 1003, Issue A, "CONTAINER SUBASSEMBLY." May 9, 1978.
7. Drawing 1004, Issue B, "DRUM." July 11, 1990.
8. Drawing 1005, Issue A, "COVER, MODIFIED." May 9, 1978.
9. Drawing 1006, Issue A, "RING, CLAMP, MODIFIED." May 9, 1978.
10. Drawing 1007, Issue A, "COVER,LINER." May 8, 1978.
11. Drawing 1008, Issue A, "CYLINDER, WOOD." May 8, 1978.
12. Drawing 1009, Issue B, "CYLINDER, WOOD." July 9, 1990.
13. Drawing 1010, Issue A, "PLUG, WOOD, FIXED." May 9, 1978.
14. Drawing 1011, Issue A, "CYLINDER, WOOD." May 8, 1978.

15. Drawing 1012, Issue A, "PLUG, WOOD, FIXED." May 8, 1978.
16. Drawing 1013, Issue B, "PLUG, WOOD, REMOVABLE." July 5, 1990.
17. Drawing 1014, Issue A, "PLUG, WOOD, REMOVABLE." May 9, 1978.
18. Drawing 1015, Issue A, "SPACER, TOP." May 9, 1978.
19. Drawing 1016, Issue B, "LOAD SPREADER ASSEMBLY." July 9, 1990.
20. Drawing 1017, Issue B, "CONTAINMENT VESSEL." July 19, 1990.
21. Drawing 1018, Issue B, "BOLD, SOCKET, HEAD SPECIAL .500-20." July 10, 1990.
22. Drawing 1019, Issue B, "GASKET COPPER." July 10, 1990.
23. Drawing 1020, Issue B, "LID, TB." July 18, 1990.
24. Drawing 1021, Issue A, "FORGING, TB LID." May 9, 1978.
25. Drawing 1022, Issue B, "BODY, TB." July 16, 1990.
26. Drawing 1023, Issue A, "FORGING, TB BODY." May 9, 1978.
27. Drawing 1024, Issue B, "CAN ASSEMBLY." June 1, 1978.
28. Drawing 1025, Issue A, "CYLINDER, LINER." May 9, 1978.
29. Drawing 1026, Issue A, "PAD, INSULATION." May 9, 1978.
30. Drawing 1027, Issue A, "PAD INSULATION." May 9, 1978.
31. No current standard specifies the minimum thickness for 16-gauge steel. The value used was cited as the minimum thickness for 16-gauge steel used in DOT 17C and 17H drums. These standards are ANSI/ASC MH2-1985(3.4), "American National Standard for 55-Gallon (208-Liter) Tight-Head Steel (Double-Seam Chime) Drums (DOT-17C) and ANS MH2-1991 (3.8), "American National Standard for 55-Gallon (208-Liter) Full-Removable-Head Steel (Round-Seam Chime) Drums (DOT-17H, UFC-Rule 40, NMFC-Item260). Considering their past use in similar applications, the specified 16 gauge minimum steel thickness is a reasonable value for the minimum steel thickness.
32. Los Alamos National Laboratory, ed. Pruvost, N. L. and Paxton, H. C.: *Nuclear Criticality Safety Guide*. LA-12808 (UC-714), September 1996.
33. Brown, Jr., W. F. and S. J. Setlak, *Aerospace Structural Metals Handbook, 37th Edition*, CINDAS/USAF CRDA Handbooks Operation, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN. 2001.
34. *Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 74th Edition*. CRC Press, Inc. Boca Raton, FL. 1993. [Page 4-83]


35. *SCALE: A Modular Code System for Performing Standardized Computer Analyses for Licensing Evaluations*, ORNL/TM-2005/39, Version 5.1, Vols. I–III, November 2006. Available from Radiation Safety Information Computational Center at Oak Ridge National Laboratory as CCC-732.
36. Goluoglu, S., N. F. Landers, L. M. Petrie, and D. F. Hollenbach, “CSAS: Control Module for Enhanced Criticality Safety Analysis Sequences,” Vol. I, Sect. C4 in *SCALE: A Modular Code System for Performing Standardized Computer Analyses for Licensing Evaluations*, ORNL/TM-2005/39, Version 5.1, Vols. I–III, November 2006. Available from Radiation Safety Information Computational Center at Oak Ridge National Laboratory as CCC-732.
37. Rearden, B. T., “TSUNAMI-3D: Control Module for Three-Dimensional Cross-Section Sensitivity and Uncertainty Analysis for Criticality,” Vol. I, Sect. C9 in *SCALE: A Modular Code System for Performing Standardized Computer Analyses for Licensing Evaluations*, ORNL/TM-2005/39, Version 5.1, Vols. I–III, November 2006. Available from Radiation Safety Information Computational Center at Oak Ridge National Laboratory as CCC-732.
38. Rearden, B. T., “TSUNAMI Utility Modules,” Vol. III, Sect. M18.1 in *SCALE: A Modular Code System for Performing Standardized Computer Analyses for Licensing Evaluations*, ORNL/TM-2005/39, Version 5.1, Vols. I–III, November 2006. Available from Radiation Safety Information Computational Center at Oak Ridge National Laboratory as CCC-732.
39. *International Handbook of Evaluated Criticality Safety Benchmark Experiments*. NEA/NSC/DOC(95)03, NEA Nuclear Science Committee. September 2007.
40. United States. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. NUREG/CR-6361 (ORNL/TM-13211). Lichtenwalter, J. J., S. M. Bowman, M. D. DeHart, and C. M. Hopper. “Criticality Benchmark Guide for Light-Water-Reactor Fuel in Transportation and Storage Packages.” Oak Ridge National Laboratory. March 1997.
41. Bowden, D. C. and F. A. Graybill, “Confidence Bands of Uniform and Proportional Width for Linear Models,” *Am. Stat. Assoc. J.*, 61, 182. March 1966.
42. Johnson, N. L., Ed., “Query” *Technometrics*, 10, 207–209. February 1968.
43. United States. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. NUREG/CR-6698. “Guide for Validation of Nuclear Criticality Safety Computational Methodology.” Washington D.C.: January 2001.
44. Broadhead, B. L., B. T. Rearden, C. M. Hopper, J. J. Wagschal, and C. V. Parks, “Sensitivity and Uncertainty-Based Criticality Safety Validation Techniques,” *Nuc. Sci. Eng.* 146, 340–366. 2004.
45. Oberg, E., F. D. Jones, H. L. Horton, and H. H. Ryffel, “Machinery’s Handbook, 24th Edition.” Industrial Press Inc.: New York. 1992.

6.9.2 TB-1 Containment Vessel Inner Volume

A key parameter of the criticality analysis is the maximum quantity of water that could be present within the TB-1 containment vessel. Since this component has a rather complicated internal shape, the calculation of its internal volume is presented in this section.

The TB-1 containment vessel is shown in Drawing 1017.²⁰ The volume can be divided into three sub-volumes. Most of the volume is in the straight right-circular cylinder that extends from the bottom spherical section up to the bottom edge of the lid. As indicated in Drawing 1022,²⁵ the bottom is a spherical section. As indicated in Drawing 1020,²³ the recess in the lid is also a spherical section.

Equations and figure provided in the Machinery's Handbook [Reference 45, page 66] for calculating volumes of spherical segments are reproduced in Figure 6-31.



$V =$ volume

$$V = 3.1416 h^2 \left(r - \frac{h}{3} \right)$$

$$V = 3.1416 h \left(\frac{c^2}{8} + \frac{h^2}{6} \right)$$

$$c = 2\sqrt{h(2r-h)}$$

$$r = \frac{c^2 + 4h^2}{8h}$$

Figure 6-31. Spherical Segment Volume Calculations

The volume in the bottom of the TB-1 can be calculated using information from Drawing 1022:²⁵

$$h = 25+0.005 - 5.450 + 4.700 = 1.505''$$

$$c = 4.250 + 0.0022 = 4.2522''$$

$$V_{\text{bottom}} = 3.1416 * 1.505 * (4.2522^2/8 + 1.505^2/6) = 12.471 \text{ in}^3$$

The volume of the central cylinder can be calculated using information from Drawings 1020²³ and 1022:²⁵

$$V = \pi * r^2 * L$$

$$r = (4.250+0.0022)/2 = 2.1261''$$

$$L = 5.450 + 0.010 - 0.437+0.010 - 0.047 + 0.002 + 0.080 +0.002$$

$$L = 5.070''$$

$$V_{\text{middle}} = \pi * 2.1261^2 * 5.070 = 71.999 \text{ in}^3$$

The volume in the lid is calculated using information from Drawing 1020:²³

$$h = 0.437 + 0.01 + 0.513 + 0.01 = 0.970''$$

$$r = 2.25 + 0.02 = 2.27''$$

$$V_{top} = 3.1416 * 0.97^2 * (2.27 - 0.97/3) = 5.754 \text{ in}^3$$

The total volume is then the sum of the partial volumes:

$$V = 12.471 + 71.999 + 5.754 = 90.22 \text{ in}^3 = 1478 \text{ cm}^3$$

Thus the maximum internal volume of the TB-1 containment vessel is 1478 cm³. The Pu, any packaging, and other internal containment and support structures must fit within this volume.

6.9.3 Applicability of Benchmark Experiments

Table 6-8 lists all of the validation cases used in this evaluation. There are 125 cases considered in this validation. All cases contain plutonium as the primary fissile material and are either fast metal, intermediate spectrum Pu/Polystyrene blocks or thermal solution cases. The standard deviation for all the calculated k_{eff} values is 0.0005.

Table 6-8. List of Validation Critical Configurations

IHECSBE Identifier	Case	Description	Benchmark		Calculated	
			k_{eff}	$\pm\sigma$	k_{eff}	EALF
pcm*001	1	PuO ₂ –Polystyrene Slab	0.9986	0.0041	1.02438	9.73E+05
	3		0.9990	0.0047	1.02356	30.518
	4		1.0000	0.0033	0.99275	37.727
	5		0.9989	0.0053	1.01403	1.468
pcm002	1	Plexiglas Reflected PuO ₂ –Polystyrene Slabs	0.9990	0.0046	1.03757	4.56E+03
	2		0.9990	0.0046	1.03641	3.98E+03
	3		0.9990	0.0046	1.03179	3.26E+03
	4		0.9990	0.0046	1.02547	2.42E+03
	5		0.9990	0.0046	1.02328	1.76E+03
	10		1.0000	0.0044	1.03534	4.026
	11		1.0000	0.0044	1.03184	4.405
	12		1.0000	0.0044	1.03209	4.970
	13		1.0000	0.0044	1.02982	5.262
	14		1.0000	0.0044	1.03451	5.405
	15		1.0000	0.0044	1.03220	5.366
	16		1.0000	0.0044	1.02841	4.998
	17		0.9988	0.0044	1.01596	4.762
	18		0.9988	0.0044	1.01408	5.998
	19		0.9988	0.0044	1.01680	6.268
	20		0.9988	0.0044	1.01355	6.446
			21		0.9988	0.0044

Table 6-8. List of Validation Critical Configurations

IHECSBE Identifier	Case	Description	Benchmark		Calculated	
			k_{eff}	$\pm\sigma$	k_{eff}	EALF
	22		0.9988	0.0044	1.01789	6.241
	23		1.0000	0.0046	1.01077	0.663
	24		1.0000	0.0046	1.01215	0.673
	25		1.0000	0.0046	1.01189	0.684
	26		1.0000	0.0046	1.01298	0.689
	27		1.0000	0.0046	1.01294	0.700
	28		1.0000	0.0046	1.01412	0.703
	29		1.0000	0.0046	1.01424	0.708
pmf*002	1	Bare Pu metal sphere	1.0000	0.0020	0.99666	1.27E+06
pmf011	1	H ₂ O reflected Pu metal sphere	1.0000	0.0010	0.99746	8.37E+04
pmf022	1	Bare Pu metal spherical ass.	1.0000	0.0021	0.99409	1.23E+06
pmf024	1	Poly Refl Spherical Assembly	1.0000	0.0020	0.99872	6.31E+05
pmf027	1	Poly Refl Spherical Assembly	1.0000	0.0022	1.00215	7.02E+04
pmf029	1	Bare Pu Spherical Assembly	1.0000	0.0022	0.99155	1.26E+06
pmf031	1	Poly Refl Spherical Assembly	1.0000	0.0021	1.00215	1.85E+05
pst*001	4	Water Reflected filled 29.21 cm (11.5in.) Pu Nitrate solution spheres	1.0000	0.0050	1.00433	0.147
	5		1.0000	0.0050	1.00819	0.155
	6		1.0000	0.0050	1.00882	0.337
pst007	2	Water reflected partially filled 29.21 cm (11.5in.) Pu Nitrate sol. Spheres	1.0000	0.0047	1.00909	0.267
	3		1.0000	0.0047	1.00375	0.254
	8		1.0000	0.0047	0.99874	0.112
pst014	3	Interacting 30 cm (11.81 in.) diameter cylinders of Pu Nitrate solution (115.1 g Pu/l [0.9605 lb/gal]) in air	0.9980	0.0032	1.00489	0.162
	4		0.9980	0.0032	1.00520	0.162
	6		0.9980	0.0032	1.00533	0.162
	8		0.9980	0.0032	1.00292	0.163
	9		0.9980	0.0032	1.00336	0.162
	10		0.9980	0.0032	1.00470	0.162
	11		0.9980	0.0032	1.00475	0.162
	12		0.9980	0.0032	1.00440	0.162
	14		0.9980	0.0043	1.00461	0.163
	16		0.9980	0.0043	1.00416	0.162
	17		0.9980	0.0043	1.00372	0.162
	20		0.9980	0.0043	1.00455	0.162
	22		0.9980	0.0043	1.00405	0.162
	23		0.9980	0.0043	1.00358	0.162
25	0.9980	0.0043	1.00367	0.163		
26	0.9980	0.0043	1.00279	0.162		
27	0.9980	0.0043	1.00479	0.162		

Table 6-8. List of Validation Critical Configurations

IHECSBE Identifier	Case	Description	Benchmark		Calculated	
			k_{eff}	$\pm\sigma$	k_{eff}	EALF
	28		0.9980	0.0043	1.00403	0.162
	29		0.9980	0.0043	1.00392	0.162
	30		0.9980	0.0043	1.00519	0.163
	31		0.9980	0.0043	1.00260	0.163
	32		0.9980	0.0043	1.00296	0.163
	33		0.9980	0.0043	1.00340	0.163
	34		0.9980	0.0043	1.00408	0.162
	35		0.9980	0.0043	1.00533	0.162
	pst015		1	Interacting 30 cm (11.81 in.) diameter cylinders of Pu Nitrate solution (152.5 g Pu/l [1.273 lb/gal]) in air	0.9980	0.0038
2		0.9980	0.0038		1.00642	0.229
3		0.9980	0.0038		1.00535	0.229
4		0.9980	0.0038		1.00597	0.229
5		0.9980	0.0038		1.00658	0.228
6		0.9980	0.0038		1.00733	0.228
7		0.9971	0.0047		1.00753	0.230
8		0.9971	0.0047		1.00520	0.230
9		0.9971	0.0047		1.00625	0.229
10		0.9971	0.0047		1.00457	0.228
11		0.9971	0.0047		1.00329	0.231
12		0.9971	0.0047		1.00475	0.230
13		0.9971	0.0047		1.00501	0.229
14		0.9971	0.0047		1.00636	0.228
15		0.9971	0.0047		1.00765	0.231
16		0.9971	0.0047		1.00520	0.230
17		0.9971	0.0047		1.00472	0.229
pst016	1	Interacting 30 cm (11.81 in.) and 25.6 cm (10.01 in.) diameter cylinders of Pu Nitrate solution (152.5 and 115.1 g Pu/l [1.273 and 0.9605 lb/gal]) and nitric acid (2N) in air	0.9980	0.0043	1.00555	0.230
	2		0.9980	0.0043	1.00501	0.229
	3		0.9980	0.0043	1.00672	0.229
	4		0.9980	0.0043	1.00696	0.228
	5		0.9969	0.0038	1.00372	0.163
	6		0.9969	0.0038	1.00502	0.162
	7		0.9969	0.0038	1.00582	0.162
	8		0.9969	0.0038	1.00492	0.162
	9		0.9963	0.0033	1.00541	0.161
	10		0.9963	0.0033	1.00564	0.161
	11		0.9963	0.0033	1.00658	0.162
pst017	1	Interacting 30 cm (11.81 in.) and 25.6cm (10.01 in.) diameter	0.9969	0.0038	1.00379	0.162
	2		0.9969	0.0038	1.00490	0.162

Table 6-8. List of Validation Critical Configurations

IHECSBE Identifier	Case	Description	Benchmark		Calculated			
			k_{eff}	$\pm\sigma$	k_{eff}	EALF		
	3	cylinders of Pu Nitrate solution (115.1 g Pu/l [0.9605 lb/gal]) in air I	0.9969	0.0038	1.00346	0.162		
	4		0.9969	0.0038	1.00499	0.162		
	5		0.9969	0.0038	1.00452	0.162		
	6		0.9969	0.0038	1.00461	0.162		
	7		0.9969	0.0038	1.00422	0.162		
	8		0.9969	0.0038	1.00485	0.162		
	9		0.9969	0.0038	1.00389	0.162		
	10		0.9969	0.0038	1.00441	0.162		
	11		0.9969	0.0038	1.00387	0.162		
	12		0.9969	0.0038	1.00536	0.162		
	13		0.9969	0.0038	1.00454	0.162		
	14		0.9969	0.0038	1.00545	0.162		
	15		0.9969	0.0038	1.00497	0.162		
	16		0.9969	0.0038	1.00506	0.162		
	17		0.9969	0.0038	1.00568	0.162		
	18		0.9969	0.0038	1.00465	0.162		
	pst020		9	Pu Nitrate solution sphere	1.0000	0.0059	0.99773	0.074
	pst021		3	Pu Nitrate solution sphere	1.0000	0.0065	1.01198	0.302
8		1.0000	0.0065		1.00474	0.302		
pst024	3	Polyethylene reflected Pu Nitrate solution slab	1.0000	0.0062	1.00277	0.444		
	6		1.0000	0.0077	1.00136	1.607		
	16		1.0000	0.0053	1.00457	0.287		
pst025	22	Water reflected Pu Nitrate solution slab	1.0000	0.0044	0.99944	0.240		
	31		1.0000	0.0048	0.99675	0.353		
	42		1.0000	0.0060	0.99558	1.142		
pst026	12	Unreflected Pu Nitrate solution slab	1.0000	0.0047	1.00047	0.239		
	16		1.0000	0.0044	0.99735	0.312		
	19		1.0000	0.0049	0.99688	0.503		

All k_{eff} values were calculated with a standard deviation of 0.0005.

Table 6-9. Comparison of Tsunami Sensitivities and Direct Perturbation Sensitivities for a Representative Selection of Benchmark Critical Configurations

Case	Perturbed Material	Direct Perturbation		TSUNAMI		Number Std. Dev. Apart
		Sensitivity	Sigma	Sensitivity	Sigma	
pcm001c01	H	0.0020	0.0007	0.0014	0.0001	0.94
	Pu-239	0.6016	0.0846	0.6410	0.0017	0.47
pcm001c05	H	0.4158	0.0374	0.2956	0.0092	2.67
	Pu-239	0.1326	0.0171	0.1126	0.0008	1.17
pcm002c01	H	0.0002	0.0007	0.0015	0.0001	1.88
	Pu-239	0.3442	0.0477	0.3856	0.0092	0.85
pcm002c20	H	0.2592	0.0311	0.2390	0.0056	0.64
	Pu-239	0.1804	0.0244	0.1813	0.0009	0.04
pcm002c24	H	0.2673	0.0139	0.2715	0.0048	0.28
	Pu-239	0.1128	0.0059	0.1061	0.0006	1.14
pmf002c01	H	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Pu-239	0.6986	0.0869	0.6881	0.0016	0.12
pmf011c01	H	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Pu-239	0.6529	0.0868	0.6501	0.0014	0.03
pmf024c01	H	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Pu-239	0.7585	0.0867	0.7754	0.0015	0.20
pst001c05	H	0.4075	0.0573	0.4840	0.0074	1.32
	Pu-239	0.0742	0.0104	0.0751	0.0004	0.08
pst014c03	H	0.5493	0.0690	0.6034	0.0047	0.78
	Pu-239	0.0801	0.0108	0.07996	0.0005	0.01
pst015c01	H	0.5613	0.0688	0.5996	0.0039	0.55
	Pu-239	0.0613	0.0098	0.0708	0.0004	0.96
pst024c03	H	0.4644	0.0494	0.3894	0.0093	1.49
	Pu-239	0.0863	0.0133	0.0974	0.0009	0.83
pst024c06	H	0.3395	0.0433	0.3483	0.0090	0.20
	Pu-239	0.1151	0.0165	0.1214	0.0008	0.38
pst024c16	H	0.3605	0.0493	0.4074	0.0106	0.93
	Pu-239	0.1159	0.0138	0.1021	0.0010	1.00
pst025c31	H	0.3530	0.0435	0.3452	0.0061	0.18
	Pu-239	0.0920	0.0129	0.0953	0.0007	0.26

pcm = PU-COMP-MIXED

pmf = PU-MET-FAST

pst = PU-SOL-THERM

Table 6-10. Comparison of Direct Perturbation Sensitivities and Tsunami Sensitivities for the 11 Representative Applications

Case	Perturbed Material	Direct Perturbation		TSUNAMI		Number Std. Dev. Apart
		Sensitivity	Sigma	Sensitivity	Sigma	
cv001	Pu-239	0.6857	0.1051	0.8527	0.1390	0.96
	H ₂ O	0.1539	0.0215	0.1977	0.0654	0.64
cv031	Pu-239	0.0970	0.0173	0.0944	0.0306	0.07
	H ₂ O	0.8443	0.0999	0.9643	0.3201	0.36
fhlsf001	Pu-239	0.7719	0.1032	0.7434	0.0017	0.28
	H ₂ O	0.1778	0.0059	0.1382	0.0234	1.63
fhlsf031	Pu-239	0.1204	0.0272	0.1451	0.0057	0.89
	H ₂ O	0.8882	0.1089	0.6675	0.0781	1.65
fslsf001	Pu-239	0.7183	0.1060	0.7418	0.0018	0.22
	H ₂ O	0.1836	0.0212	0.1441	0.0226	1.28
fslsf031	Pu-239	0.1590	0.0272	0.1304	0.0020	1.05
	H ₂ O	0.7623	0.1117	0.6070	0.0297	1.34
hexs100	Pu-239	0.1329	0.0247	0.1302	0.0013	0.11
	H ₂ O	0.8358	0.0940	0.5574	0.1259	1.77
hexsdry	Pu-239	0.2105	0.0805	0.1524	0.0006	0.72
	H ₂ O	0.7135	0.0654	0.7153	0.0060	0.03
octs100	Pu-239	0.1469	0.0235	0.1368	0.0025	0.43
	H ₂ O	0.5071	0.0965	0.5577	0.0357	0.49
octsdry	Pu-239	0.0944	0.0835	0.1549	0.0006	0.72
	H ₂ O	0.8289	0.0644	0.7584	0.0065	1.09
sph14	Pu-239	0.1633	0.0241	0.1212	0.0120	1.56
	H ₂ O	0.9150	0.0963	0.6715	0.1178	1.60

Table 6-11. Comparison of METAL SPHERE Applications to Critical Benchmarks

IHECSBE Identifier	Case	cv001 c _k	fhlsf001 c _k	fslsf001 c _k	EALF (eV)	H/Pu	k _{eff}
pcm001	1	0.9686	0.9684	0.9682	9.73E+05	0.05	1.02438
pcm002	1	0.9427	0.9440	0.9439	4.56E+03	0.05	1.03757
	2	0.9390	0.9404	0.9402	3.98E+03	0.05	1.03641
	3	0.9345	0.9361	0.9359	3.26E+03	0.05	1.03179
	4	0.9260	0.9277	0.9275	2.42E+03	0.05	1.02547
	5	0.9158	0.9178	0.9176	1.76E+03	0.05	1.02328

Table 6-11. Comparison of METAL SPHERE Applications to Critical Benchmarks

IHECSBE Identifier	Case	<i>cv001</i> c_k	<i>fhlsf001</i> c_k	<i>fslsf001</i> c_k	EALF (eV)	H/Pu	k_{eff}
pmf002	1	0.9699	0.9714	0.9709	1.27E+06	0.00	0.99666
pmf011	1	0.9948	0.9960	0.9958	8.37E+04	0.00	0.99746
pmf022	1	0.9856	0.9871	0.9866	1.23E+06	0.00	0.99409
pmf024	1	0.9917	0.9930	0.9927	6.31E+05	0.00	0.99872
pmf027	1	0.9916	0.9930	0.9928	7.02E+04	0.00	1.00215
pmf029	1	0.9822	0.9837	0.9832	1.26E+06	0.02	0.99155
pmf031	1	0.9915	0.9929	0.9926	1.85E+05	0.02	1.00215

All k_{eff} values were calculated with a standard deviation of 0.0005.

For all applications H/ Pu = 0.0

EALF values for the above applications is as follows:

Case cv001: 5.449E+04 eV

Case fhlsf001: 7.872E+04 eV

Case fslsf001: 7.976E+04 eV

Table 6-12. Comparison of SOLUTION LATTICE Applications to Critical Benchmarks

IHECSBE Identifier	Case	<i>fhlsf031</i> c_k	<i>fslsf031</i> c_k	<i>hexs100</i> c_k	<i>octs100</i> c_k	EALF (eV)	H/Pu Ratio	k_{eff}
pcm001	5	0.9102	0.9078	0.9324	0.9307	1.468	65.37	1.01403
pcm002	10	0.9296	0.9262	0.9471	0.9457	4.026	15.46	1.03534
	11	0.9328	0.9297	0.9494	0.9480	4.405	15.46	1.03184
	12	0.9362	0.9334	0.9517	0.9505	4.970	15.46	1.03209
	13	0.9345	0.9317	0.9499	0.9487	5.262	15.46	1.02982
	14	0.9353	0.9325	0.9500	0.9489	5.405	15.46	1.03451
	15	0.9307	0.9276	0.9465	0.9452	5.366	15.46	1.03220
	16	0.9315	0.9286	0.9478	0.9465	4.998	15.46	1.02841
	17	0.9101	0.9069	0.9311	0.9297	4.762	16.40	1.01596
	18	0.9140	0.9100	0.9318	0.9306	5.998	16.40	1.01408
	19	0.9180	0.9150	0.9365	0.9353	6.268	16.40	1.01680
	20	0.9148	0.9109	0.9318	0.9307	6.446	16.40	1.01355
	21	0.9187	0.9157	0.9369	0.9358	6.400	16.40	1.01719
	22	0.9111	0.9071	0.9292	0.9280	6.241	16.40	1.01789
	23	0.8933	0.8903	0.9159	0.9141	0.663	65.37	1.01077
	24	0.8979	0.8940	0.9196	0.9178	0.673	65.37	1.01215
	25	0.9007	0.8970	0.9220	0.9202	0.684	65.37	1.01189
26	0.9007	0.8969	0.9220	0.9202	0.689	65.37	1.01298	

Table 6-12. Comparison of SOLUTION LATTICE Applications to Critical Benchmarks

IHECSBE Identifier	Case	<i>fhlsf031</i> c_k	<i>fslsf031</i> c_k	<i>hexs100</i> c_k	<i>octs100</i> c_k	EALF (eV)	H/Pu Ratio	k_{eff}
	27	0.8996	0.8957	0.9211	0.9194	0.700	65.37	1.01294
	28	0.9024	0.8987	0.9236	0.9218	0.703	65.37	1.01412
	29	0.9043	0.9008	0.9252	0.9235	0.708	65.37	1.01424
pst001	4	0.8973	0.8953	0.9000	0.8994	0.147	190.43	1.00433
	5	0.8999	0.8978	0.9030	0.9024	0.155	180.16	1.00819
	6	0.9340	0.9319	0.9409	0.9400	0.337	91.19	1.00882
pst007	2	0.9262	0.9234	0.9318	0.9309	0.267	109.55	1.00909
	3	0.9235	0.9216	0.9290	0.9282	0.254	113.97	1.00375
pst014	11	0.8985	0.8985	0.9024	0.9017	0.162	220.19	1.00475
pst015	1	0.9100	0.9069	0.9141	0.9132	0.230	162.67	1.00653
	2	0.9107	0.9077	0.9143	0.9135	0.229	162.67	1.00642
	3	0.9115	0.9085	0.9146	0.9138	0.229	162.67	1.00535
	4	0.9119	0.9090	0.9148	0.9140	0.229	162.67	1.00597
	5	0.9118	0.9089	0.9147	0.9139	0.228	162.67	1.00658
	6	0.9126	0.9097	0.9151	0.9144	0.228	162.67	1.00733
	7	0.9084	0.9055	0.9145	0.9135	0.230	162.67	1.00753
	8	0.9097	0.9066	0.9141	0.9132	0.230	162.67	1.00520
	9	0.9113	0.9083	0.9146	0.9138	0.229	162.67	1.00625
	10	0.9126	0.9097	0.9152	0.9144	0.228	162.67	1.00457
	11	0.9095	0.9065	0.9145	0.9136	0.231	162.67	1.00329
	12	0.9107	0.9077	0.9145	0.9137	0.230	162.67	1.00475
	13	0.9117	0.9087	0.9148	0.9140	0.229	162.67	1.00501
	14	0.9124	0.9095	0.9151	0.9143	0.228	162.67	1.00636
	15	0.9071	0.9042	0.9140	0.9130	0.231	162.67	1.00765
	16	0.9096	0.9066	0.9143	0.9134	0.230	162.67	1.00520
	17	0.9112	0.9082	0.9146	0.9138	0.229	162.67	1.00472
pst016	1	0.9112	0.9081	0.9145	0.9137	0.230	162.66	1.00555
	2	0.9125	0.9096	0.9150	0.9143	0.229	162.66	1.00501
	3	0.9126	0.9097	0.9151	0.9143	0.229	162.66	1.00672
	4	0.9127	0.9099	0.9151	0.9144	0.228	162.66	1.00696
	8	0.8989	0.8989	0.9025	0.9019	0.162	220.20	1.00492
pst017	1	0.8977	0.8948	0.8980	0.8974	0.162	220.19	1.00379
	2	0.8985	0.8962	0.8998	0.8992	0.162	220.19	1.00490
	5	0.8973	0.8944	0.8979	0.8974	0.162	220.19	1.00452
	7	0.8974	0.8947	0.8987	0.8981	0.162	220.19	1.00422
pst021	3	0.9233	0.9203	0.9258	0.9251	0.302	131.30	1.01198

Table 6-12. Comparison of SOLUTION LATTICE Applications to Critical Benchmarks

IHECSBE Identifier	Case	<i>fhlsf031</i> c_k	<i>fslsf031</i> c_k	<i>hexs100</i> c_k	<i>octs100</i> c_k	EALF (eV)	H/Pu Ratio	k_{eff}
	8	0.9234	0.9204	0.9258	0.9251	0.302	131.30	1.00474
pst024	3	0.9065	0.9025	0.9213	0.9198	0.444	115.40	1.00277
	6	0.9190	0.9141	0.9376	0.9360	1.607	59.25	1.00136
pst025	31	0.9034	0.8987	0.9187	0.9172	0.353	115.40	0.99675
	42	0.9233	0.9179	0.9412	0.9398	1.142	59.25	0.99558
pst026	19	0.9090	0.9050	0.9232	0.9217	0.503	115.40	0.99688

All k_{eff} values were calculated with a standard deviation of 0.0005.

For all applications H/ Pu = 28.79

EALF values for the above applications is as follows:

Case fhlsf031: 2.693 eV

Case fslsf031: 2.695 eV

Case hexs100: 2.548 eV

Case octs100: 2.547 eV

Table 6-13. Comparison of SINGLE UNIT SOLUTION Applications to Critical Benchmarks

IHECSBE	Case	<i>cv031</i> c_k	<i>sph14</i> c_k	EALF (eV)	H / Pu	k_{eff}
pcm002	10	0.9067	0.9282	4.026	15.46	1.03534
	11	0.9091	0.9309	4.405	15.46	1.03184
	12	0.9118	0.9339	4.970	15.46	1.03209
	13	0.9081	0.9308	5.262	15.46	1.02982
	14	0.9083	0.9311	5.405	15.46	1.03451
	15	0.9025	0.9257	5.366	15.46	1.03220
	16	0.9046	0.9276	4.998	15.46	1.02841
	29	0.9018	0.9168	0.708	65.37	1.01424
pst001	4	0.9388	0.9314	0.147	190.43	1.00433
	5	0.9401	0.9333	0.155	180.16	1.00819
	6	0.9583	0.9588	0.337	91.19	1.00882
pst007	2	0.9558	0.9530	0.267	109.55	1.00909
	3	0.9534	0.9515	0.254	113.97	1.00375
	8	0.9292	0.9194	0.112	258.46	0.99874
pst014	3	0.9438	0.9340	0.162	220.19	1.00489
	4	0.9446	0.9346	0.162	220.19	1.00520
	6	0.9451	0.9351	0.162	220.19	1.00533
	8	0.9411	0.9320	0.163	220.19	1.00292

Table 6-13. Comparison of SINGLE UNIT SOLUTION Applications to Critical Benchmarks

IHECSBE	Case	<i>cv031</i> c_k	<i>sph14</i> c_k	EALF (eV)	H / Pu	k_{eff}
	9	0.9432	0.9335	0.162	220.19	1.00336
	10	0.9440	0.9342	0.162	220.19	1.00470
	11	0.9380	0.9351	0.162	220.19	1.00475
	12	0.9453	0.9352	0.162	220.19	1.00440
	14	0.9411	0.9320	0.163	220.19	1.00461
	16	0.9447	0.9348	0.162	220.19	1.00416
	17	0.9450	0.9351	0.162	220.19	1.00372
	20	0.9435	0.9338	0.162	220.19	1.00455
	22	0.9449	0.9349	0.162	220.19	1.00405
	23	0.9454	0.9353	0.162	220.19	1.00358
	25	0.9397	0.9310	0.163	220.19	1.00367
	26	0.9428	0.9333	0.162	220.19	1.00279
	27	0.9448	0.9349	0.162	220.19	1.00479
	28	0.9447	0.9347	0.162	220.19	1.00403
	29	0.9452	0.9352	0.162	220.19	1.00392
	30	0.9381	0.9298	0.163	220.19	1.00519
	31	0.9399	0.9313	0.163	220.19	1.00260
	32	0.9412	0.9320	0.163	220.19	1.00296
	33	0.9421	0.9328	0.163	220.19	1.00340
	34	0.9432	0.9336	0.162	220.19	1.00408
	35	0.9435	0.9338	0.162	220.19	1.00533
pst015	1	0.9494	0.9432	0.230	162.67	1.00653
	2	0.9506	0.9442	0.229	162.67	1.00642
	3	0.9518	0.9451	0.229	162.67	1.00535
	4	0.9523	0.9456	0.229	162.67	1.00597
	5	0.9522	0.9456	0.228	162.67	1.00658
	6	0.9533	0.9465	0.228	162.67	1.00733
	7	0.9444	0.9403	0.230	162.67	1.00753
	8	0.9487	0.9428	0.230	162.67	1.00520
	9	0.9513	0.9448	0.229	162.67	1.00625
	10	0.9532	0.9464	0.228	162.67	1.00457
	11	0.9471	0.9420	0.231	162.67	1.00329
	12	0.9502	0.9440	0.230	162.67	1.00475
	13	0.9518	0.9453	0.229	162.67	1.00501
	14	0.9530	0.9462	0.228	162.67	1.00636

Table 6-13. Comparison of SINGLE UNIT SOLUTION Applications to Critical Benchmarks

IHECSBE	Case	<i>cv031</i> c_k	<i>sph14</i> c_k	EALF (eV)	H / Pu	k_{eff}
	15	0.9423	0.9387	0.231	162.67	1.00765
	16	0.9481	0.9425	0.230	162.67	1.00520
	17	0.9511	0.9447	0.229	162.67	1.00472
pst016	1	0.9511	0.9446	0.230	162.66	1.00555
	2	0.9532	0.9463	0.229	162.66	1.00501
	3	0.9533	0.9465	0.229	162.66	1.00672
	4	0.9535	0.9466	0.228	162.66	1.00696
	5	0.9431	0.9334	0.163	220.20	1.00372
	6	0.9443	0.9344	0.162	220.20	1.00502
	7	0.9456	0.9355	0.162	220.20	1.00582
	8	0.9387	0.9357	0.162	220.20	1.00492
	9	0.9453	0.9352	0.161	220.20	1.00541
	10	0.9457	0.9356	0.161	220.20	1.00564
	11	0.9456	0.9355	0.162	220.20	1.00658
pst017	1	0.9460	0.9358	0.162	220.19	1.00379
	2	0.9446	0.9362	0.162	220.19	1.00490
	3	0.9457	0.9356	0.162	220.19	1.00346
	4	0.9451	0.9352	0.162	220.19	1.00499
	5	0.9453	0.9354	0.162	220.19	1.00452
	6	0.9456	0.9355	0.162	220.19	1.00461
	7	0.9442	0.9351	0.162	220.19	1.00422
	8	0.9452	0.9352	0.162	220.19	1.00485
	9	0.9454	0.9353	0.162	220.19	1.00389
	10	0.9448	0.9348	0.162	220.19	1.00441
	11	0.9458	0.9357	0.162	220.19	1.00387
	12	0.9453	0.9352	0.162	220.19	1.00536
	13	0.9450	0.9350	0.162	220.19	1.00454
	14	0.9446	0.9347	0.162	220.19	1.00545
	15	0.9453	0.9352	0.162	220.19	1.00497
	16	0.9453	0.9352	0.162	220.19	1.00506
	17	0.9455	0.9354	0.162	220.19	1.00568
	18	0.9453	0.9352	0.162	220.19	1.00465
pst021	3	0.9601	0.9546	0.302	131.30	1.01198
	8	0.9604	0.9548	0.302	131.30	1.00474
pst024	3	0.9205	0.9260	0.444	115.40	1.00277

Table 6-13. Comparison of SINGLE UNIT SOLUTION Applications to Critical Benchmarks

IHECSBE	Case	<i>cv031</i> c_k	<i>sph14</i> c_k	EALF (eV)	H / Pu	<i>k_{eff}</i>
	6	0.9085	0.9240	1.607	59.25	1.00136
	16	0.9068	0.9088	0.287	161.28	1.00457
pst025	31	0.9127	0.9165	0.353	115.40	0.99675
	42	0.9119	0.9251	1.142	59.25	0.99558
pst026	12	0.9157	0.9147	0.239	189.80	1.00047
	16	0.9074	0.9099	0.312	161.28	0.99735
	19	0.9240	0.9297	0.503	115.40	0.99688

All k_{eff} values were calculated with a standard deviation of 0.0005.

For all applications H/ Pu = 28.79

EALF values for the above applications is as follows:

Case cv031: 1.094 eV

Case sph14: 1.259 eV

Table 6-14. Comparison of DRY LATTICE Applications to Critical Benchmarks

IHECSBE Identifier	Case	<i>Hexsdry</i> c_k	<i>Octsdry</i> c_k	EALF (eV)	H / Pu	k_{eff}
pcm001	3	0.9549	0.9509	30.518	15.46	1.02356
	4	0.9313	0.9262	37.727	16.40	0.99275
	5	0.9437	0.9378	1.468	65.37	1.01403
pcm002	10	0.9693	0.9646	4.026	15.46	1.03534
	11	0.9718	0.9676	4.405	15.46	1.03184
	12	0.9739	0.9701	4.970	15.46	1.03209
	13	0.9738	0.9700	5.262	15.46	1.02982
	14	0.9741	0.9706	5.405	15.46	1.03451
	15	0.9721	0.9681	5.366	15.46	1.03220
	16	0.9722	0.9681	4.998	15.46	1.02841
	17	0.9538	0.9479	4.762	16.40	1.01596
	18	0.9594	0.9545	5.998	16.40	1.01408
	19	0.9607	0.9557	6.268	16.40	1.01680
	20	0.9607	0.9560	6.446	16.40	1.01355
	21	0.9612	0.9563	6.400	16.40	1.01719
	22	0.9584	0.9534	6.241	16.40	1.01789
	23	0.9181	0.9117	0.663	65.37	1.01077
	24	0.9249	0.9187	0.673	65.37	1.01215
	25	0.9270	0.9211	0.684	65.37	1.01189
	26	0.9273	0.9213	0.689	65.37	1.01298
27	0.9271	0.9210	0.700	65.37	1.01294	
28	0.9292	0.9233	0.703	65.37	1.01412	
29	0.9306	0.9248	0.708	65.37	1.01424	
pst001	6	0.9227	0.9223	0.337	91.19	1.00882
pst007	2	0.9122	0.9120	0.267	109.55	1.00909
	3	0.9059	0.9060	0.254	113.97	1.00375
pst021	3	0.9081	0.9093	0.302	131.30	1.01198
	8	0.9078	0.9090	0.302	131.30	1.00474
pst024	3	0.9156	0.9121	0.444	115.40	1.00277
	6	0.9523	0.9473	1.607	59.25	1.00136
pst025	31	0.9067	0.9025	0.353	115.40	0.99675
	42	0.9488	0.9437	1.142	59.25	0.99558
pst026	19	0.9196	0.9164	0.503	115.40	0.99688

All k_{eff} values were calculated with a standard deviation of 0.0005.

For all applications H/ Pu = 28.79

EALF values for the applications are as follows: Case *hexsdry*: 4.864 eV

Case *octsdry*: 5.042 eV

6.9.4 Calculation Results

6.9.4.1 *Calculations Supporting Single Package Analysis*

Table 6-15 lists calculations performed to support the standard single package analysis for normal conditions of transport and hypothetical accident conditions.

Table 6-15. Tabulation of Calculations Supporting the Standard Single Package Analysis for Normal Conditions of Transport and Hypothetical Accident Conditions

Case Name	k_{eff}	σ	Model Type	Description	H/Pu	Case location
<i>Sudma</i>	0.55252	0.00049	detailed	Pu metal sphere, no water in TB-1	0	/pat1/apps/normsp/detailed/met/
<i>sudmb</i>	0.64919	0.00049	detailed	Pu metal sphere reflected by water in TB-1	0	/pat1/apps/normsp/detailed/met/
<i>sudmwoodm</i>	0.64857	0.00050	detailed	sudmb with 80% of redwood density	0	/pat1/apps/normsp/detailed/met/
<i>sudmwoodp</i>	0.65003	0.00049	detailed	sudmb with 120% of redwood density	0	/pat1/apps/normsp/detailed/met/
<i>sudmc</i>	0.65037	0.00049	detailed	sudmb with gaps filled with water	0	/pat1/apps/normsp/detailed/met/
<i>sudmd</i>	0.64988	0.00049	detailed	sudmc with copper changed to water	0	/pat1/apps/normsp/detailed/met/
<i>sudme</i>	0.64889	0.00050	detailed	sudmd with aluminum changed to water	0	/pat1/apps/normsp/detailed/met/
<i>sudmf</i>	0.65231	0.00050	detailed	sudme with redwood changed to water	0	/pat1/apps/normsp/detailed/met/
<i>sudsb</i>	0.56797	0.00051	detailed	Pu-water in TB-1	28.8	/pat1/apps/normsp/detailed/soln/
<i>sudswoodm</i>	0.55988	0.00053	detailed	sudsb with 80% of redwood density	28.8	/pat1/apps/normsp/detailed/soln/
<i>sudswoodp</i>	0.57611	0.00049	detailed	sudsb with 120% of redwood density	28.8	/pat1/apps/normsp/detailed/soln/
<i>sudsc</i>	0.59112	0.00050	detailed	sudsb with gaps filled with water	28.8	/pat1/apps/normsp/detailed/soln/
<i>sudsd</i>	0.59200	0.00050	detailed	sudsc with copper changed to water	28.8	/pat1/apps/normsp/detailed/soln/
<i>sudse</i>	0.59316	0.00049	detailed	sudsd with aluminum changed to water	28.8	/pat1/apps/normsp/detailed/soln/
<i>sudsf</i>	0.62781	0.00052	detailed	sudse with redwood changed to water	28.8	/pat1/apps/normsp/detailed/soln/
<i>sudsg</i>	0.70400	0.00050	detailed	sudsf with steel changed to water	28.8	/pat1/apps/normsp/detailed/soln/
<i>cv001^a</i>	0.65648	0.00046	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	0	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
<i>cv002</i>	0.65418	0.00049	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	0.013	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
<i>cv003</i>	0.65184	0.00048	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	0.027	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
<i>cv004</i>	0.64541	0.00049	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	0.070	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
<i>cv005</i>	0.63449	0.00050	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	0.15	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
<i>cv006</i>	0.62351	0.00049	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	0.24	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
<i>cv007</i>	0.61203	0.00049	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	0.33	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
<i>cv008</i>	0.60147	0.00050	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	0.45	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/

Table 6-15. Tabulation of Calculations Supporting the Standard Single Package Analysis for Normal Conditions of Transport and Hypothetical Accident Conditions

Case Name	k_{eff}	σ	Model Type	Description	H/Pu	Case location
cv009	0.59098	0.00049	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	0.57	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
cv010	0.58139	0.00045	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	0.72	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
cv011	0.57148	0.00049	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	0.89	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
cv012	0.56435	0.00049	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	1.09	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
cv013	0.55469	0.00050	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	1.34	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
cv014	0.54769	0.00050	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	1.63	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
cv015	0.54270	0.00050	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	2.00	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
cv016	0.53871	0.00050	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	2.48	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
cv017	0.53848	0.00049	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	3.12	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
cv018	0.54156	0.00050	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	4.01	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
cv019	0.54894	0.00049	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	5.34	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
cv020	0.56885	0.00049	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	7.57	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
cv021	0.60586	0.00050	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	12.0	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
cv022	0.60740	0.00054	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	12.1	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
cv023	0.54664	0.00049	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	5.34	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
cv024	0.56835	0.00050	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	7.57	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
cv025	0.61086	0.00050	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	12.0	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
cv026	0.62366	0.00049	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	13.5	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
cv027	0.63709	0.00049	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	15.4	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
cv028	0.65343	0.00049	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	17.7	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
cv029	0.67196	0.00053	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	20.9	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
cv030	0.69513	0.00057	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	25.4	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
cv031 ^a	0.70756	0.00055	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	28.8	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
cv032	0.70106	0.00054	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	32.0	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/

Table 6-15. Tabulation of Calculations Supporting the Standard Single Package Analysis for Normal Conditions of Transport and Hypothetical Accident Conditions

Case Name	k_{eff}	σ	Model Type	Description	H/Pu	Case location
cv033	0.69423	0.00050	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	36.8	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
cv034	0.68607	0.00051	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	43.2	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
cv035	0.67715	0.00051	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	52.1	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
cv036	0.66815	0.00052	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	65.4	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
cv037	0.65515	0.00050	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	87.7	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
cv038	0.63611	0.00053	simplified	redwood and outer steel drum modeled as water	132.2	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/cv/
sp001	0.64320	0.00049	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	0	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp002	0.64219	0.00049	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	0.013	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp003	0.63869	0.00050	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	0.027	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp004	0.63183	0.00049	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	0.070	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp005	0.62057	0.00049	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	0.15	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp006	0.60807	0.00049	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	0.24	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp007	0.59672	0.00049	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	0.33	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp008	0.58466	0.00049	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	0.45	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp009	0.57386	0.00049	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	0.57	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp010	0.56209	0.00048	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	0.72	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp011	0.55118	0.00049	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	0.89	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp012	0.54046	0.00049	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	1.09	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp013	0.53048	0.00049	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	1.34	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp014	0.52122	0.00050	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	1.63	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp015	0.51185	0.00049	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	2.00	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp016	0.50434	0.00050	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	2.48	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp017	0.49909	0.00050	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	3.12	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp018	0.49516	0.00049	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	4.01	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/

Table 6-15. Tabulation of Calculations Supporting the Standard Single Package Analysis for Normal Conditions of Transport and Hypothetical Accident Conditions

Case Name	k_{eff}	σ	Model Type	Description	H/Pu	Case location
sp019	0.49425	0.00047	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	5.34	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp020	0.49848	0.00049	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	7.57	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp021	0.51005	0.00050	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	12.0	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp022	0.51044	0.00049	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	12.1	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp023	0.46393	0.00049	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	5.34	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp024	0.47663	0.00049	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	7.57	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp025	0.50178	0.00049	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	12.0	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp026	0.50911	0.00049	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	13.5	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp027	0.51691	0.00050	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	15.4	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp028	0.52725	0.00049	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	17.7	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp029	0.53595	0.00047	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	20.9	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp030	0.54436	0.00049	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	25.4	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp031	0.54872	0.00053	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	28.8	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp032	0.54122	0.00053	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	32.0	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp033	0.53213	0.00049	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	36.8	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp034	0.52280	0.00049	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	43.2	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp035	0.51265	0.00054	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	52.1	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp036	0.50240	0.00049	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	65.4	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp037	0.48877	0.00050	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	87.7	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
sp038	0.46921	0.00049	simplified	nominal redwood & steel modeled	132.2	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood/
rwh001	0.64527	0.00049	simplified	120% redwood density	0	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
rwh002	0.64286	0.00050	simplified	120% redwood density	0.013	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
rwh003	0.64097	0.00050	simplified	120% redwood density	0.027	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
rwh004	0.63332	0.00050	simplified	120% redwood density	0.070	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/

Table 6-15. Tabulation of Calculations Supporting the Standard Single Package Analysis for Normal Conditions of Transport and Hypothetical Accident Conditions

Case Name	k_{eff}	σ	Model Type	Description	H/Pu	Case location
<i>rwh005</i>	0.62197	0.00049	simplified	120% redwood density	0.15	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
<i>rwh006</i>	0.60969	0.00049	simplified	120% redwood density	0.24	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
<i>rwh007</i>	0.59769	0.00049	simplified	120% redwood density	0.33	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
<i>rwh008</i>	0.58662	0.00049	simplified	120% redwood density	0.45	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
<i>rwh009</i>	0.57499	0.00049	simplified	120% redwood density	0.57	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
<i>rwh010</i>	0.56412	0.00050	simplified	120% redwood density	0.72	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
<i>rwh011</i>	0.55307	0.00050	simplified	120% redwood density	0.89	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
<i>rwh012</i>	0.54232	0.00049	simplified	120% redwood density	1.09	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
<i>rwh013</i>	0.53303	0.00050	simplified	120% redwood density	1.34	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
<i>rwh014</i>	0.52358	0.00049	simplified	120% redwood density	1.63	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
<i>rwh015</i>	0.51553	0.00049	simplified	120% redwood density	2.00	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
<i>rwh016</i>	0.50835	0.00050	simplified	120% redwood density	2.48	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
<i>rwh017</i>	0.50323	0.00050	simplified	120% redwood density	3.12	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
<i>rwh018</i>	0.49883	0.00050	simplified	120% redwood density	4.01	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
<i>rwh019</i>	0.49978	0.00049	simplified	120% redwood density	5.34	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
<i>rwh020</i>	0.50463	0.00047	simplified	120% redwood density	7.57	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
<i>rwh021</i>	0.51893	0.00049	simplified	120% redwood density	12.0	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
<i>rwh022</i>	0.51840	0.00048	simplified	120% redwood density	12.1	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
<i>rwh023</i>	0.47035	0.00049	simplified	120% redwood density	5.34	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
<i>rwh024</i>	0.48375	0.00050	simplified	120% redwood density	7.57	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
<i>rwh025</i>	0.51085	0.00049	simplified	120% redwood density	12.0	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
<i>rwh026</i>	0.51912	0.00049	simplified	120% redwood density	13.5	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
<i>rwh027</i>	0.52822	0.00049	simplified	120% redwood density	15.4	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
<i>rwh028</i>	0.53713	0.00050	simplified	120% redwood density	17.7	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/

Table 6-15. Tabulation of Calculations Supporting the Standard Single Package Analysis for Normal Conditions of Transport and Hypothetical Accident Conditions

Case Name	k_{eff}	σ	Model Type	Description	H/Pu	Case location
<i>rwh029</i>	0.54898	0.00049	simplified	120% redwood density	20.9	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
<i>rwh030</i>	0.55880	0.00048	simplified	120% redwood density	25.4	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
<i>rwh031</i>	0.56354	0.00049	simplified	120% redwood density	28.8	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
<i>rwh032</i>	0.55604	0.00049	simplified	120% redwood density	32.0	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
<i>rwh033</i>	0.54737	0.00050	simplified	120% redwood density	36.8	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
<i>rwh034</i>	0.53800	0.00049	simplified	120% redwood density	43.2	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
<i>rwh035</i>	0.52800	0.00049	simplified	120% redwood density	52.1	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
<i>rwh036</i>	0.51637	0.00051	simplified	120% redwood density	65.4	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
<i>rwh037</i>	0.50261	0.00049	simplified	120% redwood density	87.7	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
<i>rwh038</i>	0.48353	0.00048	simplified	120% redwood density	132.2	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood+/
<i>rwl001</i>	0.64199	0.00050	simplified	80% redwood density	0	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl002</i>	0.64025	0.00050	simplified	80% redwood density	0.013	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl003</i>	0.63708	0.00050	simplified	80% redwood density	0.027	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl004</i>	0.63111	0.00050	simplified	80% redwood density	0.070	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl005</i>	0.61906	0.00049	simplified	80% redwood density	0.15	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl006</i>	0.60665	0.00049	simplified	80% redwood density	0.24	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl007</i>	0.59477	0.00050	simplified	80% redwood density	0.33	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl008</i>	0.58214	0.00049	simplified	80% redwood density	0.45	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl009</i>	0.57065	0.00050	simplified	80% redwood density	0.57	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl010</i>	0.55970	0.00050	simplified	80% redwood density	0.72	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl011</i>	0.54871	0.00050	simplified	80% redwood density	0.89	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl012</i>	0.53773	0.00048	simplified	80% redwood density	1.09	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl013</i>	0.52837	0.00050	simplified	80% redwood density	1.34	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl014</i>	0.51804	0.00050	simplified	80% redwood density	1.63	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/

Table 6-15. Tabulation of Calculations Supporting the Standard Single Package Analysis for Normal Conditions of Transport and Hypothetical Accident Conditions

Case Name	k_{eff}	σ	Model Type	Description	H/Pu	Case location
<i>rwl015</i>	0.50939	0.00049	simplified	80% redwood density	2.00	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl016</i>	0.50098	0.00049	simplified	80% redwood density	2.48	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl017</i>	0.49512	0.00050	simplified	80% redwood density	3.12	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl018</i>	0.49014	0.00049	simplified	80% redwood density	4.01	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl019</i>	0.48891	0.00049	simplified	80% redwood density	5.34	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl020</i>	0.49139	0.00050	simplified	80% redwood density	7.57	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl021</i>	0.50138	0.00052	simplified	80% redwood density	12.0	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl022</i>	0.50208	0.00050	simplified	80% redwood density	12.1	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl023</i>	0.45772	0.00049	simplified	80% redwood density	5.34	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl024</i>	0.46789	0.00049	simplified	80% redwood density	7.57	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl025</i>	0.49183	0.00050	simplified	80% redwood density	12.0	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl026</i>	0.49746	0.00049	simplified	80% redwood density	13.5	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl027</i>	0.50572	0.00050	simplified	80% redwood density	15.4	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl028</i>	0.51415	0.00050	simplified	80% redwood density	17.7	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl029</i>	0.52251	0.00049	simplified	80% redwood density	20.9	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl030</i>	0.52951	0.00050	simplified	80% redwood density	25.4	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl031</i>	0.53188	0.00050	simplified	80% redwood density	28.8	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl032</i>	0.52522	0.00057	simplified	80% redwood density	32.0	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl033</i>	0.51621	0.00049	simplified	80% redwood density	36.8	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl034</i>	0.50720	0.00052	simplified	80% redwood density	43.2	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl035</i>	0.49733	0.00049	simplified	80% redwood density	52.1	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl036</i>	0.48574	0.00053	simplified	80% redwood density	65.4	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl037</i>	0.47230	0.00049	simplified	80% redwood density	87.7	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/
<i>rwl038</i>	0.45497	0.00049	simplified	80% redwood density	132.2	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/rdwood-/

Table 6-15. Tabulation of Calculations Supporting the Standard Single Package Analysis for Normal Conditions of Transport and Hypothetical Accident Conditions

Case Name	k_{eff}	σ	Model Type	Description	H/Pu	Case location
spw001	0.65033	0.00050	simplified	redwood modeled as water	0	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
spw002	0.64843	0.00049	simplified	redwood modeled as water	0.013	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
spw003	0.64700	0.00049	simplified	redwood modeled as water	0.027	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
spw004	0.63908	0.00049	simplified	redwood modeled as water	0.070	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
spw005	0.62742	0.00050	simplified	redwood modeled as water	0.15	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
spw006	0.61727	0.00049	simplified	redwood modeled as water	0.24	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
spw007	0.60483	0.00049	simplified	redwood modeled as water	0.33	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
spw008	0.59386	0.00050	simplified	redwood modeled as water	0.45	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
spw009	0.58271	0.00049	simplified	redwood modeled as water	0.57	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
spw010	0.57190	0.00049	simplified	redwood modeled as water	0.72	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
spw011	0.56188	0.00049	simplified	redwood modeled as water	0.89	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
spw012	0.55272	0.00049	simplified	redwood modeled as water	1.09	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
spw013	0.54391	0.00049	simplified	redwood modeled as water	1.34	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
spw014	0.53537	0.00049	simplified	redwood modeled as water	1.63	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
spw015	0.52825	0.00049	simplified	redwood modeled as water	2.00	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
spw016	0.52190	0.00050	simplified	redwood modeled as water	2.48	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
spw017	0.51869	0.00050	simplified	redwood modeled as water	3.12	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
spw018	0.51825	0.00049	simplified	redwood modeled as water	4.01	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
spw019	0.52240	0.00049	simplified	redwood modeled as water	5.34	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
spw020	0.53256	0.00050	simplified	redwood modeled as water	7.57	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
spw021	0.55865	0.00050	simplified	redwood modeled as water	12.0	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
spw022	0.55901	0.00049	simplified	redwood modeled as water	12.1	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
spw023	0.50382	0.00049	simplified	redwood modeled as water	5.34	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
spw024	0.52217	0.00046	simplified	redwood modeled as water	7.57	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/

Table 6-15. Tabulation of Calculations Supporting the Standard Single Package Analysis for Normal Conditions of Transport and Hypothetical Accident Conditions

Case Name	k_{eff}	σ	Model Type	Description	H/Pu	Case location
<i>spw025</i>	0.55687	0.00049	simplified	redwood modeled as water	12.0	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
<i>spw026</i>	0.56847	0.00050	simplified	redwood modeled as water	13.5	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
<i>spw027</i>	0.57991	0.00050	simplified	redwood modeled as water	15.4	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
<i>spw028</i>	0.59315	0.00049	simplified	redwood modeled as water	17.7	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
<i>spw029</i>	0.60796	0.00049	simplified	redwood modeled as water	20.9	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
<i>spw030</i>	0.62351	0.00049	simplified	redwood modeled as water	25.4	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
<i>spw031</i>	0.63291	0.00050	simplified	redwood modeled as water	28.8	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
<i>spw032</i>	0.62643	0.00050	simplified	redwood modeled as water	32.0	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
<i>spw033</i>	0.61758	0.00050	simplified	redwood modeled as water	36.8	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
<i>spw034</i>	0.60761	0.00049	simplified	redwood modeled as water	43.2	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
<i>spw035</i>	0.59709	0.00051	simplified	redwood modeled as water	52.1	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
<i>spw036</i>	0.58537	0.00051	simplified	redwood modeled as water	65.4	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
<i>spw037</i>	0.56841	0.00050	simplified	redwood modeled as water	87.7	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/
<i>spw038</i>	0.54692	0.00051	simplified	redwood modeled as water	132.2	/pat1/apps/normsp/simple/h2owood/

^a Limiting cases listed in Table 6-1.

6.9.4.2 *Calculations Supporting Normal Conditions of Transport Array Analysis*

Table 6-16 lists calculations performed to support the analysis of arrays of undamaged packages.

Note that some case names were used multiple times. Refer to the case description and file location to differentiate cases.

Table 6-16. Tabulation of Calculations Supporting the Analysis of Arrays of Undamaged Packages

Case Name	k_{eff}	σ	Package Model Type	Array Type	Description	H/Pu	Location
<i>fsld001</i>	0.65045	0.00049	detailed	square	Base detailed model	0	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsld/
<i>fsld002</i>	0.64841	0.00050	detailed	square	Base detailed model	0.013	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsld/
<i>fsld003</i>	0.64611	0.00050	detailed	square	Base detailed model	0.027	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsld/
<i>fsld004</i>	0.63833	0.00049	detailed	square	Base detailed model	0.070	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsld/
<i>fsld005</i>	0.62772	0.00050	detailed	square	Base detailed model	0.15	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsld/
<i>fsld006</i>	0.61627	0.00050	detailed	square	Base detailed model	0.24	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsld/
<i>fsld007</i>	0.60399	0.00049	detailed	square	Base detailed model	0.33	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsld/
<i>fsld008</i>	0.59302	0.00049	detailed	square	Base detailed model	0.45	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsld/
<i>fsld009</i>	0.58156	0.00049	detailed	square	Base detailed model	0.57	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsld/
<i>fsld010</i>	0.57146	0.00046	detailed	square	Base detailed model	0.72	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsld/
<i>fsld011</i>	0.55968	0.00048	detailed	square	Base detailed model	0.89	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsld/
<i>fsld012</i>	0.55046	0.00048	detailed	square	Base detailed model	1.09	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsld/
<i>fsld013</i>	0.54098	0.00050	detailed	square	Base detailed model	1.34	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsld/
<i>fsld014</i>	0.53212	0.00049	detailed	square	Base detailed model	1.63	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsld/
<i>fsld015</i>	0.52530	0.00050	detailed	square	Base detailed model	2.00	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsld/
<i>fsld016</i>	0.51857	0.00050	detailed	square	Base detailed model	2.48	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsld/
<i>fsld017</i>	0.51468	0.00046	detailed	square	Base detailed model	3.12	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsld/
<i>fsld018</i>	0.51149	0.00050	detailed	square	Base detailed model	4.01	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsld/
<i>fsld019</i>	0.51174	0.00050	detailed	square	Base detailed model	5.34	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsld/
<i>fsld020</i>	0.51919	0.00050	detailed	square	Base detailed model	7.57	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsld/
<i>fsld021</i>	0.53283	0.00049	detailed	square	Base detailed model	12.0	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsld/
<i>fsld022</i>	0.53398	0.00050	detailed	square	Base detailed model	12.1	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsld/
<i>fsld023</i>	0.47720	0.00049	detailed	square	Base detailed model	5.34	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsld/
<i>fsld024</i>	0.49116	0.00050	detailed	square	Base detailed model	7.57	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsld/
<i>fsld025</i>	0.52185	0.00050	detailed	square	Base detailed model	12.0	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsld/
<i>fsld026</i>	0.53042	0.00049	detailed	square	Base detailed model	13.5	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsld/

Table 6-16. Tabulation of Calculations Supporting the Analysis of Arrays of Undamaged Packages

Case Name	k_{eff}	σ	Package Model Type	Array Type	Description	H/Pu	Location
<i>fsl</i> d027	0.54015	0.00049	detailed	square	Base detailed model	15.4	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsl/
<i>fsl</i> d028	0.55270	0.00049	detailed	square	Base detailed model	17.7	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsl/
<i>fsl</i> d029	0.56357	0.00049	detailed	square	Base detailed model	20.9	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsl/
<i>fsl</i> d030	0.57757	0.00051	detailed	square	Base detailed model	25.4	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsl/
<i>fsl</i> d031	0.58160	0.00049	detailed	square	Base detailed model	28.8	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsl/
<i>fsl</i> d032	0.57339	0.00053	detailed	square	Base detailed model	32.0	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsl/
<i>fsl</i> d033	0.56487	0.00061	detailed	square	Base detailed model	36.8	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsl/
<i>fsl</i> d034	0.55433	0.00050	detailed	square	Base detailed model	43.2	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsl/
<i>fsl</i> d035	0.54470	0.00049	detailed	square	Base detailed model	52.1	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsl/
<i>fsl</i> d036	0.53388	0.00053	detailed	square	Base detailed model	65.4	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsl/
<i>fsl</i> d037	0.51999	0.00053	detailed	square	Base detailed model	87.7	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsl/
<i>fsl</i> d038	0.50063	0.00050	detailed	square	Base detailed model	132.2	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/fsl/
<i>fsl</i> d001a	0.65125	0.00049	detailed	square	base detailed model, Gap -> redwood	0.0	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/swap/
<i>fsl</i> d001b	0.64857	0.00049	detailed	square	fsl001a with copper -> redwood	0.0	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/swap/
<i>fsl</i> d001c	0.64787	0.00050	detailed	square	fsl001b with aluminum -> redwood	0.0	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/swap/
<i>fsl</i> d001d	0.65266	0.00048	detailed	square	fsl001c with redwood -> water	0.0	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/swap/
<i>fsl</i> d031a	0.58653	0.00050	detailed	square	base detailed model, Gap -> redwood	28.8	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/swap/
<i>fsl</i> d031b	0.57760	0.00053	detailed	square	fsl031a with copper -> redwood	28.8	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/swap/
<i>fsl</i> d031c	0.57512	0.00056	detailed	square	fsl031b with aluminum -> redwood	28.8	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/swap/
<i>fsl</i> d031d	0.62750	0.00050	detailed	square	fsl031c with redwood -> water	28.8	/pat1/apps/normarray/detail/swap/
<i>fsl</i> w001	0.64556	0.00050	simplified	square	base simplified model	0	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fsl</i> w002	0.64345	0.00049	simplified	square	base simplified model	0.013	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fsl</i> w003	0.64008	0.00050	simplified	square	base simplified model	0.027	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fsl</i> w004	0.63367	0.00050	simplified	square	base simplified model	0.070	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fsl</i> w005	0.62197	0.00049	simplified	square	base simplified model	0.15	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fsl</i> w006	0.60997	0.00049	simplified	square	base simplified model	0.24	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/

Table 6-16. Tabulation of Calculations Supporting the Analysis of Arrays of Undamaged Packages

Case Name	k_{eff}	σ	Package Model Type	Array Type	Description	H/Pu	Location
<i>fslw007</i>	0.59731	0.00050	simplified	square	base simplified model	0.33	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fslw008</i>	0.58659	0.00050	simplified	square	base simplified model	0.45	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fslw009</i>	0.57517	0.00050	simplified	square	base simplified model	0.57	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fslw010</i>	0.56406	0.00050	simplified	square	base simplified model	0.72	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fslw011</i>	0.55274	0.00050	simplified	square	base simplified model	0.89	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fslw012</i>	0.54323	0.00048	simplified	square	base simplified model	1.09	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fslw013</i>	0.53268	0.00050	simplified	square	base simplified model	1.34	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fslw014</i>	0.52321	0.00049	simplified	square	base simplified model	1.63	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fslw015</i>	0.51526	0.00049	simplified	square	base simplified model	2.00	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fslw016</i>	0.50857	0.00050	simplified	square	base simplified model	2.48	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fslw017</i>	0.50236	0.00050	simplified	square	base simplified model	3.12	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fslw018</i>	0.49835	0.00050	simplified	square	base simplified model	4.01	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fslw019</i>	0.49909	0.00046	simplified	square	base simplified model	5.34	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fslw020</i>	0.50414	0.00049	simplified	square	base simplified model	7.57	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fslw021</i>	0.51817	0.00049	simplified	square	base simplified model	12.0	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fslw022</i>	0.51808	0.00049	simplified	square	base simplified model	12.1	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fslw023</i>	0.46952	0.00050	simplified	square	base simplified model	5.34	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fslw024</i>	0.48411	0.00050	simplified	square	base simplified model	7.57	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fslw025</i>	0.51041	0.00050	simplified	square	base simplified model	12.0	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fslw026</i>	0.51930	0.00049	simplified	square	base simplified model	13.5	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fslw027</i>	0.52770	0.00050	simplified	square	base simplified model	15.4	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fslw028</i>	0.53726	0.00049	simplified	square	base simplified model	17.7	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fslw029</i>	0.55000	0.00051	simplified	square	base simplified model	20.9	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fslw030</i>	0.55945	0.00049	simplified	square	base simplified model	25.4	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fslw031</i>	0.56510	0.00052	simplified	square	base simplified model	28.8	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fslw032</i>	0.55740	0.00051	simplified	square	base simplified model	32.0	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/

Table 6-16. Tabulation of Calculations Supporting the Analysis of Arrays of Undamaged Packages

Case Name	k_{eff}	σ	Package Model Type	Array Type	Description	H/Pu	Location
<i>fslw033</i>	0.54891	0.00051	simplified	square	base simplified model	36.8	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fslw034</i>	0.53927	0.00050	simplified	square	base simplified model	43.2	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fslw035</i>	0.52975	0.00050	simplified	square	base simplified model	52.1	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fslw036</i>	0.51761	0.00050	simplified	square	base simplified model	65.4	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fslw037</i>	0.50314	0.00049	simplified	square	base simplified model	87.7	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fslw038</i>	0.48423	0.00051	simplified	square	base simplified model	132.2	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood/
<i>fslw+001</i>	0.64568	0.00050	simplified	square	120% redwood density	0	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+ /
<i>fslw+002</i>	0.64401	0.00050	simplified	square	120% redwood density	0.013	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+ /
<i>fslw+003</i>	0.64154	0.00050	simplified	square	120% redwood density	0.027	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+ /
<i>fslw+004</i>	0.63415	0.00049	simplified	square	120% redwood density	0.070	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+ /
<i>fslw+005</i>	0.62300	0.00050	simplified	square	120% redwood density	0.15	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+ /
<i>fslw+006</i>	0.61131	0.00049	simplified	square	120% redwood density	0.24	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+ /
<i>fslw+007</i>	0.59906	0.00049	simplified	square	120% redwood density	0.33	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+ /
<i>fslw+008</i>	0.58795	0.00049	simplified	square	120% redwood density	0.45	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+ /
<i>fslw+009</i>	0.57705	0.00049	simplified	square	120% redwood density	0.57	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+ /
<i>fslw+010</i>	0.56459	0.00049	simplified	square	120% redwood density	0.72	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+ /
<i>fslw+011</i>	0.55413	0.00048	simplified	square	120% redwood density	0.89	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+ /
<i>fslw+012</i>	0.54491	0.00045	simplified	square	120% redwood density	1.09	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+ /
<i>fslw+013</i>	0.53489	0.00050	simplified	square	120% redwood density	1.34	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+ /
<i>fslw+014</i>	0.52548	0.00048	simplified	square	120% redwood density	1.63	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+ /
<i>fslw+015</i>	0.51717	0.00050	simplified	square	120% redwood density	2.00	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+ /
<i>fslw+016</i>	0.51105	0.00049	simplified	square	120% redwood density	2.48	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+ /
<i>fslw+017</i>	0.50560	0.00050	simplified	square	120% redwood density	3.12	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+ /
<i>fslw+018</i>	0.50222	0.00047	simplified	square	120% redwood density	4.01	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+ /
<i>fslw+019</i>	0.50263	0.00049	simplified	square	120% redwood density	5.34	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+ /
<i>fslw+020</i>	0.50756	0.00050	simplified	square	120% redwood density	7.57	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+ /

Table 6-16. Tabulation of Calculations Supporting the Analysis of Arrays of Undamaged Packages

Case Name	k_{eff}	σ	Package Model Type	Array Type	Description	H/Pu	Location
<i>fslw+021</i>	0.52384	0.00050	simplified	square	120% redwood density	12.0	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+/
<i>fslw+022</i>	0.52420	0.00048	simplified	square	120% redwood density	12.1	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+/
<i>fslw+023</i>	0.47407	0.00050	simplified	square	120% redwood density	5.34	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+/
<i>fslw+024</i>	0.48817	0.00050	simplified	square	120% redwood density	7.57	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+/
<i>fslw+025</i>	0.51666	0.00049	simplified	square	120% redwood density	12.0	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+/
<i>fslw+026</i>	0.52504	0.00049	simplified	square	120% redwood density	13.5	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+/
<i>fslw+027</i>	0.53514	0.00049	simplified	square	120% redwood density	15.4	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+/
<i>fslw+028</i>	0.54560	0.00050	simplified	square	120% redwood density	17.7	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+/
<i>fslw+029</i>	0.55633	0.00049	simplified	square	120% redwood density	20.9	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+/
<i>fslw+030</i>	0.56867	0.00050	simplified	square	120% redwood density	25.4	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+/
<i>fslw+031</i>	0.57535	0.00050	simplified	square	120% redwood density	28.8	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+/
<i>fslw+032</i>	0.56751	0.00050	simplified	square	120% redwood density	32.0	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+/
<i>fslw+033</i>	0.55898	0.00049	simplified	square	120% redwood density	36.8	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+/
<i>fslw+034</i>	0.54947	0.00050	simplified	square	120% redwood density	43.2	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+/
<i>fslw+035</i>	0.53894	0.00052	simplified	square	120% redwood density	52.1	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+/
<i>fslw+036</i>	0.52669	0.00050	simplified	square	120% redwood density	65.4	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+/
<i>fslw+037</i>	0.51434	0.00049	simplified	square	120% redwood density	87.7	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+/
<i>fslw+038</i>	0.49369	0.00050	simplified	square	120% redwood density	132.2	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood+/
<i>fslw-001</i>	0.64322	0.00049	simplified	square	80% redwood density	0	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslw-002</i>	0.64209	0.00049	simplified	square	80% redwood density	0.013	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslw-003</i>	0.63918	0.00049	simplified	square	80% redwood density	0.027	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslw-004</i>	0.63135	0.00049	simplified	square	80% redwood density	0.070	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslw-005</i>	0.62016	0.00049	simplified	square	80% redwood density	0.15	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslw-006</i>	0.60936	0.00051	simplified	square	80% redwood density	0.24	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslw-007</i>	0.59841	0.00050	simplified	square	80% redwood density	0.33	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslw-008</i>	0.58495	0.00049	simplified	square	80% redwood density	0.45	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/

Table 6-16. Tabulation of Calculations Supporting the Analysis of Arrays of Undamaged Packages

Case Name	k_{eff}	σ	Package Model Type	Array Type	Description	H/Pu	Location
<i>fslw-009</i>	0.57363	0.00049	simplified	square	80% redwood density	0.57	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslw-010</i>	0.56234	0.00047	simplified	square	80% redwood density	0.72	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslw-011</i>	0.55087	0.00049	simplified	square	80% redwood density	0.89	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslw-012</i>	0.54208	0.00049	simplified	square	80% redwood density	1.09	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslw-013</i>	0.53233	0.00047	simplified	square	80% redwood density	1.34	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslw-014</i>	0.52107	0.00049	simplified	square	80% redwood density	1.63	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslw-015</i>	0.51317	0.00048	simplified	square	80% redwood density	2.00	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslw-016</i>	0.50645	0.00049	simplified	square	80% redwood density	2.48	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslw-017</i>	0.49987	0.00049	simplified	square	80% redwood density	3.12	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslw-018</i>	0.49590	0.00049	simplified	square	80% redwood density	4.01	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslw-019</i>	0.49662	0.00049	simplified	square	80% redwood density	5.34	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslw-020</i>	0.49943	0.00049	simplified	square	80% redwood density	7.57	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslw-021</i>	0.51299	0.00049	simplified	square	80% redwood density	12.0	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslw-022</i>	0.51393	0.00049	simplified	square	80% redwood density	12.1	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslw-023</i>	0.46574	0.00049	simplified	square	80% redwood density	5.34	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslw-024</i>	0.47934	0.00049	simplified	square	80% redwood density	7.57	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslw-025</i>	0.50573	0.00049	simplified	square	80% redwood density	12.0	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslw-026</i>	0.51249	0.00049	simplified	square	80% redwood density	13.5	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslw-027</i>	0.52155	0.00050	simplified	square	80% redwood density	15.4	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslw-028</i>	0.53176	0.00049	simplified	square	80% redwood density	17.7	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslw-029</i>	0.54201	0.00051	simplified	square	80% redwood density	20.9	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslw-030</i>	0.55290	0.00050	simplified	square	80% redwood density	25.4	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslw-031</i>	0.55812	0.00050	simplified	square	80% redwood density	28.8	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslw-032</i>	0.55161	0.00050	simplified	square	80% redwood density	32.0	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslw-033</i>	0.54153	0.00051	simplified	square	80% redwood density	36.8	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslw-034</i>	0.53183	0.00051	simplified	square	80% redwood density	43.2	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/

Table 6-16. Tabulation of Calculations Supporting the Analysis of Arrays of Undamaged Packages

Case Name	k_{eff}	σ	Package Model Type	Array Type	Description	H/Pu	Location
<i>fslw-035</i>	0.52267	0.00049	simplified	square	80% redwood density	52.1	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslw-036</i>	0.51073	0.00050	simplified	square	80% redwood density	65.4	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslw-037</i>	0.49751	0.00050	simplified	square	80% redwood density	87.7	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslw-038</i>	0.47683	0.00050	simplified	square	80% redwood density	132.2	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/wood-/
<i>fslsf001</i>	0.65043	0.00049	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	0	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf002</i>	0.64824	0.00049	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	0.013	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf003</i>	0.64602	0.00050	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	0.027	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf004</i>	0.63965	0.00049	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	0.070	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf005</i>	0.62794	0.00050	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	0.15	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf006</i>	0.61670	0.00050	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	0.24	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf007</i>	0.60480	0.00050	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	0.33	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf008</i>	0.59330	0.00049	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	0.45	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf009</i>	0.58317	0.00049	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	0.57	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf010</i>	0.57226	0.00050	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	0.72	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf011</i>	0.56133	0.00050	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	0.89	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf012</i>	0.55309	0.00050	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	1.09	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf013</i>	0.54294	0.00050	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	1.34	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf014</i>	0.53598	0.00050	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	1.63	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf015</i>	0.52848	0.00050	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	2.00	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf016</i>	0.52345	0.00049	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	2.48	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf017</i>	0.51828	0.00050	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	3.12	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf018</i>	0.51784	0.00049	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	4.01	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf019</i>	0.52162	0.00049	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	5.34	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf020</i>	0.53219	0.00050	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	7.57	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf021</i>	0.55776	0.00049	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	12.0	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf022</i>	0.56011	0.00050	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	12.1	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/

Table 6-16. Tabulation of Calculations Supporting the Analysis of Arrays of Undamaged Packages

Case Name	k_{eff}	σ	Package Model Type	Array Type	Description	H/Pu	Location
<i>fslsf023</i>	0.50415	0.00050	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	5.34	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf024</i>	0.52298	0.00050	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	7.57	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf025</i>	0.55762	0.00049	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	12.0	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf026</i>	0.56821	0.00050	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	13.5	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf027</i>	0.58015	0.00049	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	15.4	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf028</i>	0.59283	0.00050	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	17.7	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf029</i>	0.60854	0.00053	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	20.9	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf030</i>	0.62355	0.00049	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	25.4	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf031^a</i>	0.63371	0.00050	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	28.8	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf032</i>	0.62580	0.00050	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	32.0	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf033</i>	0.61719	0.00049	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	36.8	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf034</i>	0.60732	0.00050	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	43.2	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf035</i>	0.59799	0.00055	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	52.1	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf036</i>	0.58499	0.00050	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	65.4	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf037</i>	0.56893	0.00053	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	87.7	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fslsf038</i>	0.54583	0.00050	simplified	square	redwood -> water, steel modeled	132.2	/pat1/apps/normarray/square/water/
<i>fhlsf001</i>	0.64477	0.00046	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	0	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
<i>fhlsf002</i>	0.64302	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	0.013	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
<i>fhlsf003</i>	0.64060	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	0.027	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
<i>fhlsf004</i>	0.63350	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	0.070	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
<i>fhlsf005</i>	0.62093	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	0.15	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
<i>fhlsf006</i>	0.61030	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	0.24	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
<i>fhlsf007</i>	0.59855	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	0.33	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
<i>fhlsf008</i>	0.58671	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	0.45	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
<i>fhlsf009</i>	0.57560	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	0.57	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
<i>fhlsf010</i>	0.56323	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	0.72	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/

Table 6-16. Tabulation of Calculations Supporting the Analysis of Arrays of Undamaged Packages

Case Name	k_{eff}	σ	Package Model Type	Array Type	Description	H/Pu	Location
fhlsf011	0.55382	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	0.89	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
fhlsf012	0.54309	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	1.09	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
fhlsf013	0.53321	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	1.34	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
fhlsf014	0.52341	0.00046	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	1.63	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
fhlsf015	0.51540	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	2.00	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
fhlsf016	0.50797	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	2.48	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
fhlsf017	0.50226	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	3.12	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
fhlsf018	0.49941	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	4.01	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
fhlsf019	0.49842	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	5.34	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
fhlsf020	0.50482	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	7.57	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
fhlsf021	0.51877	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	12.0	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
fhlsf022	0.51934	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	12.1	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
fhlsf023	0.46992	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	5.34	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
fhlsf024	0.48301	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	7.57	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
fhlsf025	0.51101	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	12.0	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
fhlsf026	0.51898	0.00047	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	13.5	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
fhlsf027	0.52944	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	15.4	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
fhlsf028	0.53935	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	17.7	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
fhlsf029	0.55131	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	20.9	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
fhlsf030	0.56197	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	25.4	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
fhlsf031	0.56731	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	28.8	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
fhlsf032	0.55967	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	32.0	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
fhlsf033	0.55178	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	36.8	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
fhlsf034	0.54092	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	43.2	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
fhlsf035	0.53123	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	52.1	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
fhlsf036	0.51910	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	65.4	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/

Table 6-16. Tabulation of Calculations Supporting the Analysis of Arrays of Undamaged Packages

Case Name	k_{eff}	σ	Package Model Type	Array Type	Description	H/Pu	Location
<i>fhlsf037</i>	0.50549	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	87.7	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
<i>fhlsf038</i>	0.48705	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	base simplified model	132.2	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/wood/
<i>fhlsf001</i>	0.64580	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	0	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
<i>fhlsf002</i>	0.64354	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	0.013	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
<i>fhlsf003</i>	0.64179	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	0.027	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
<i>fhlsf004</i>	0.63556	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	0.070	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
<i>fhlsf005</i>	0.62339	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	0.15	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
<i>fhlsf006</i>	0.61212	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	0.24	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
<i>fhlsf007</i>	0.59963	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	0.33	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
<i>fhlsf008</i>	0.58871	0.00048	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	0.45	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
<i>fhlsf009</i>	0.57679	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	0.57	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
<i>fhlsf010</i>	0.56523	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	0.72	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
<i>fhlsf011</i>	0.55469	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	0.89	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
<i>fhlsf012</i>	0.54377	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	1.09	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
<i>fhlsf013</i>	0.53468	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	1.34	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
<i>fhlsf014</i>	0.52574	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	1.63	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
<i>fhlsf015</i>	0.51752	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	2.00	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
<i>fhlsf016</i>	0.51053	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	2.48	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
<i>fhlsf017r1</i>	0.50524	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	3.12	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
<i>fhlsf018</i>	0.50272	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	4.01	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
<i>fhlsf019</i>	0.50388	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	5.34	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
<i>fhlsf020</i>	0.50898	0.00048	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	7.57	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
<i>fhlsf021</i>	0.52383	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	12.0	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
<i>fhlsf022</i>	0.52515	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	12.1	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
<i>fhlsf023</i>	0.47521	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	5.34	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
<i>fhlsf024</i>	0.48937	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	7.57	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/

Table 6-16. Tabulation of Calculations Supporting the Analysis of Arrays of Undamaged Packages

Case Name	k_{eff}	σ	Package Model Type	Array Type	Description	H/Pu	Location
fhlsf025	0.51784	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	12.0	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
fhlsf026	0.52630	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	13.5	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
fhlsf027	0.53525	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	15.4	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
fhlsf028	0.54683	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	17.7	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
fhlsf029	0.55869	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	20.9	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
fhlsf030	0.56901	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	25.4	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
fhlsf031	0.57554	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	28.8	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
fhlsf032	0.56919	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	32.0	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
fhlsf033	0.55945	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	36.8	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
fhlsf034	0.55105	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	43.2	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
fhlsf035	0.54102	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	52.1	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
fhlsf036	0.52769	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	65.4	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
fhlsf037	0.51388	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	87.7	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
fhlsf038	0.49489	0.00048	simplified	hexagonal	120% redwood density	132.2	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodp/
fhlsf001	0.64316	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	0	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf002	0.64231	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	0.013	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf003	0.63914	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	0.027	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf004	0.63221	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	0.070	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf005	0.62042	0.00048	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	0.15	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf006	0.60825	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	0.24	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf007	0.59688	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	0.33	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf008	0.58585	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	0.45	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf009	0.57398	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	0.57	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf010	0.56363	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	0.72	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf011	0.55205	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	0.89	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf012	0.54133	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	1.09	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/

Table 6-16. Tabulation of Calculations Supporting the Analysis of Arrays of Undamaged Packages

Case Name	k_{eff}	σ	Package Model Type	Array Type	Description	H/Pu	Location
fhlsf013	0.53164	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	1.34	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf014	0.52125	0.00048	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	1.63	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf015	0.51309	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	2.00	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf016	0.50720	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	2.48	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf017	0.50025	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	3.12	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf018	0.49739	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	4.01	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf019	0.49650	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	5.34	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf020	0.50184	0.00048	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	7.57	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf021	0.51472	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	12.0	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf022	0.51465	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	12.1	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf023	0.46681	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	5.34	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf024	0.47993	0.00048	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	7.57	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf025	0.50549	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	12.0	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf026	0.51495	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	13.5	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf027	0.52304	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	15.4	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf028	0.53359	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	17.7	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf029	0.54539	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	20.9	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf030	0.55441	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	25.4	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf031	0.56076	0.00048	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	28.8	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf032	0.55336	0.00048	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	32.0	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf033	0.54346	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	36.8	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf034	0.53431	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	43.2	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf035	0.52422	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	52.1	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf036	0.51261	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	65.4	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf037	0.49912	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	87.7	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/
fhlsf038	0.47869	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	80% redwood density	132.2	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/woodm/

Table 6-16. Tabulation of Calculations Supporting the Analysis of Arrays of Undamaged Packages

Case Name	k_{eff}	σ	Package Model Type	Array Type	Description	H/Pu	Location
<i>fhlsf001^a</i>	0.65094	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	0	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf002</i>	0.64849	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	0.013	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf003</i>	0.64581	0.00047	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	0.027	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf004</i>	0.63992	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	0.070	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf005</i>	0.62683	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	0.15	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf006</i>	0.61620	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	0.24	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf007</i>	0.60544	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	0.33	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf008</i>	0.59378	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	0.45	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf009</i>	0.58304	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	0.57	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf010</i>	0.57217	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	0.72	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf011</i>	0.56250	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	0.89	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf012</i>	0.55238	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	1.09	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf013</i>	0.54361	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	1.34	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf014</i>	0.53538	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	1.63	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf015</i>	0.52817	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	2.00	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf016</i>	0.52190	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	2.48	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf017</i>	0.51867	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	3.12	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf018</i>	0.51768	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	4.01	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf019</i>	0.52126	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	5.34	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf020</i>	0.53261	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	7.57	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf021</i>	0.55883	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	12.0	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf022</i>	0.55958	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	12.1	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf023</i>	0.50296	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	5.34	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf024</i>	0.52189	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	7.57	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf025</i>	0.55819	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	12.0	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf026</i>	0.56757	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	13.5	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/

Table 6-16. Tabulation of Calculations Supporting the Analysis of Arrays of Undamaged Packages

Case Name	k_{eff}	σ	Package Model Type	Array Type	Description	H/Pu	Location
<i>fhlsf027</i>	0.57968	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	15.4	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf028</i>	0.59359	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	17.7	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf029</i>	0.60825	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	20.9	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf030</i>	0.62311	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	25.4	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf031</i>	0.63235	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	28.8	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf032</i>	0.62619	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	32.0	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf033</i>	0.61657	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	36.8	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf034</i>	0.60778	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	43.2	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf035</i>	0.59750	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	52.1	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf036</i>	0.58477	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	65.4	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf037</i>	0.56890	0.00050	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	87.7	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/
<i>fhlsf038</i>	0.54643	0.00049	simplified	hexagonal	redwood -> water, steel modeled	132.2	/pat1/apps/normarray/hex/water/

^a Limiting cases listed in Table 6-1.

6.9.4.3 Calculations Supporting Hypothetical Accident Conditions Array Analysis

Table 6-17 lists calculations performed to support the analysis of damaged package arrays.

Table 6-17. Tabulation of Calculations Supporting the Analysis of Arrays of Damaged Packages

Case Name	k_{eff}	σ	Package Model Type	H/Pu	Water Density Fraction in Wood Zone	Array Type	Number of Packages	Description	Locations
<i>enda1088</i>	0.67887	0.00049	end	28.8	0	hex	1088	identical repeated layers	/pat1/apps/hacarray/arraystudy/
<i>enda1088m</i>	0.65085	0.00050	end	0	0	hex	1088	enda1088 with Pu metal spheres	/pat1/apps/hacarray/arraystudy/
<i>enda920</i>	0.66587	0.00049	end	28.8	0	hex	920	identical repeated layers	/pat1/apps/hacarray/arraystudy/
<i>endb1088</i>	0.68125	0.00049	end	28.8	0	hex	1088	alternating layers, bringing pairs of TB-1s closer	/pat1/apps/hacarray/arraystudy/
<i>endb920</i>	0.66644	0.00049	end	28.8	0	hex	920	alternating layers, bringing pairs of TB-1s closer	/pat1/apps/hacarray/arraystudy/
<i>hex0</i>	0.70411	0.00056	side	28.8	0	hex	1152	varying number of packages with air between	/pat1/apps/hacarray/arraystudy/
<i>hex0a</i>	0.65776	0.00054	side	28.8	0	hex	576	varying number of packages with air between	/pat1/apps/hacarray/arraystudy/
<i>hex0b</i>	0.67551	0.00054	side	28.8	0	hex	768	varying number of packages with air between	/pat1/apps/hacarray/arraystudy/
<i>hex0c</i>	0.63864	0.00053	side	28.8	0	hex	384	varying number of packages with air between	/pat1/apps/hacarray/arraystudy/
<i>hex0d</i>	0.61622	0.00056	side	28.8	0	hex	256	varying number of packages with air between	/pat1/apps/hacarray/arraystudy/
<i>hex0e</i>	0.58981	0.00059	side	28.8	0	hex	128	varying number of packages with air between	/pat1/apps/hacarray/arraystudy/
<i>hex0met</i>	0.67138	0.00049	side	0	0	hex	1152	hex0 with Pu metal spheres	/pat1/apps/hacarray/arraystudy/
<i>hex100</i>	0.71538	0.00050	side	28.8	1	hex	1152	varying number of packages with water between	/pat1/apps/hacarray/arraystudy/
<i>hex100a</i>	0.71524	0.00051	side	28.8	1	hex	576	varying number of packages with water between	/pat1/apps/hacarray/arraystudy/
<i>hex100b</i>	0.71456	0.00049	side	28.8	1	hex	768	varying number of packages with water between	/pat1/apps/hacarray/arraystudy/
<i>hex100c</i>	0.71449	0.00056	side	28.8	1	hex	384	varying number of packages with water between	/pat1/apps/hacarray/arraystudy/
<i>hex100d</i>	0.71498	0.00049	side	28.8	1	hex	256	varying number of packages with water between	/pat1/apps/hacarray/arraystudy/
<i>hex100e</i>	0.71481	0.00056	side	28.8	1	hex	128	varying number of packages with water between	/pat1/apps/hacarray/arraystudy/
<i>hex100met</i>	0.66365	0.00049	side	0	1	hex	1152	hex100 with Pu metal spheres	/pat1/apps/hacarray/arraystudy/
<i>nest1a</i>	0.58966	0.00051	side	28.8	0	nest-1	384	varying number of packages with air between	/pat1/apps/hacarray/arraystudy/
<i>nest1b</i>	0.61431	0.00053	side	28.8	0	nest-1	864	varying number of packages with air between	/pat1/apps/hacarray/arraystudy/
<i>nest1c</i>	0.64080	0.00053	side	28.8	0	nest-1	1296	varying number of packages with air between	/pat1/apps/hacarray/arraystudy/
<i>nest1met</i>	0.66557	0.00049	side	0	0	nest-1	384	nest1a with Pu metal spheres	/pat1/apps/hacarray/arraystudy/
<i>nest1metc</i>	0.66991	0.00050	side	0	0	nest-1	1296	nest1c with Pu metal spheres	/pat1/apps/hacarray/arraystudy/
<i>nest2a</i>	0.61807	0.00053	side	28.8	0	nest-2	512	varying number of packages with air between	/pat1/apps/hacarray/arraystudy/
<i>nest2b</i>	0.65206	0.00050	side	28.8	0	nest-2	1152	varying number of packages with air between	/pat1/apps/hacarray/arraystudy/
<i>nest2c</i>	0.63333	0.00050	side	28.8	0	nest-2	768	varying number of packages with air between	/pat1/apps/hacarray/arraystudy/
<i>nest2met</i>	0.66594	0.00051	side	0	0	nest-2	512	nest2a with Pu metal spheres	/pat1/apps/hacarray/arraystudy/

Table 6-17. Tabulation of Calculations Supporting the Analysis of Arrays of Damaged Packages

Case Name	k_{eff}	σ	Package Model Type	H/Pu	Water Density Fraction in Wood Zone	Array Type	Number of Packages	Description	Locations
<i>nest2metb</i>	0.67004	0.00050	side	0	0	nest-2	1152	nest2b with Pu metal spheres	/pat1/apps/hacarray/arraystudy/
<i>octa</i>	0.67746	0.00052	side	28.8	0	square	1024	varying number of packages with air between	/pat1/apps/hacarray/arraystudy/
<i>octb</i>	0.66231	0.00049	side	28.8	0	square	768	varying number of packages with air between	/pat1/apps/hacarray/arraystudy/
<i>octc</i>	0.64717	0.00049	side	28.8	0	square	576	varying number of packages with air between	/pat1/apps/hacarray/arraystudy/
<i>octd</i>	0.69364	0.00053	side	28.8	0	square	1280	varying number of packages with air between	/pat1/apps/hacarray/arraystudy/
<i>octmet</i>	0.67989	0.00050	side	0	0	square	1024	octa with Pu metal spheres	/pat1/apps/hacarray/arraystudy/
<i>hexsdry^a</i>	0.70268	0.00065	side	28.8	0	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexsol/
<i>hexs0005</i>	0.70279	0.00053	side	28.8	0.005	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexsol/
<i>hexs001</i>	0.69192	0.00055	side	28.8	0.01	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexsol/
<i>hexs002</i>	0.67005	0.00055	side	28.8	0.02	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexsol/
<i>hexs003</i>	0.65145	0.00049	side	28.8	0.03	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexsol/
<i>hexs004</i>	0.63834	0.00052	side	28.8	0.04	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexsol/
<i>hexs005</i>	0.62834	0.00050	side	28.8	0.05	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexsol/
<i>hexs0075</i>	0.61259	0.00052	side	28.8	0.075	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexsol/
<i>hexs010</i>	0.60541	0.00049	side	28.8	0.1	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexsol/
<i>hexs015</i>	0.60543	0.00050	side	28.8	0.15	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexsol/
<i>hexs020</i>	0.61187	0.00052	side	28.8	0.2	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexsol/
<i>hexs030</i>	0.63261	0.00050	side	28.8	0.3	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexsol/
<i>hexs040</i>	0.65171	0.00055	side	28.8	0.4	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexsol/
<i>hexs050</i>	0.66806	0.00050	side	28.8	0.5	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexsol/
<i>hexs060</i>	0.68073	0.00050	side	28.8	0.6	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexsol/
<i>hexs070</i>	0.69265	0.00054	side	28.8	0.7	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexsol/
<i>hexs080</i>	0.70127	0.00049	side	28.8	0.8	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexsol/
<i>hexs090</i>	0.70936	0.00053	side	28.8	0.9	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexsol/
<i>hexs095</i>	0.71150	0.00049	side	28.8	0.95	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexsol/
<i>hexs100^a</i>	0.71538	0.00050	side	28.8	1	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexsol/
<i>hexs100_1</i>	0.69003	0.00051	side	28.8	1	hex	1152	TB-1s moved 1 cm from flat side of package	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexsol/
<i>hexs100_2</i>	0.67021	0.00050	side	28.8	1	hex	1152	TB-1s moved 2 cm from flat side of package	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexsol/

Table 6-17. Tabulation of Calculations Supporting the Analysis of Arrays of Damaged Packages

Case Name	k_{eff}	σ	Package Model Type	H/Pu	Water Density Fraction in Wood Zone	Array Type	Number of Packages	Description	Locations
<i>hexs100_3</i>	0.65663	0.00049	side	28.8	1	hex	1152	TB-1s moved 3 cm from flat side of package	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/hexstudy/hexsol/
<i>hexs100_p5</i>	0.70177	0.00054	side	28.8	1	hex	1152	TB-1s moved 0.5 cm from flat side of package	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/hexstudy/hexsol/
<i>hexs100</i>	0.71523	0.00029	side	28.8	1	hex	1152	Rerun for tighter convergence for sens study	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/hexstudy/hexsol/sens_study/
<i>Hexsdry</i>	0.70274	0.00031	side	28.8	0	hex	1152	Rerun for tighter convergence for sens study	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/hexstudy/hexsol/sens_study/
<i>ph13m2</i>	0.70171	0.00031	side	28.8	0	hex	1152	hexsdry with TB-1 steel at 98% density	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/hexstudy/hexsol/sens_study/dry/
<i>ph13p2</i>	0.70457	0.00033	side	28.8	0	hex	1152	hexsdry with TB-1 steel at 102% density	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/hexstudy/hexsol/sens_study/dry/
<i>ss304m2</i>	0.70217	0.00032	side	28.8	0	hex	1152	hexsdry with drum steel at 98% density	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/hexstudy/hexsol/sens_study/dry/
<i>ss304p2</i>	0.70441	0.00031	side	28.8	0	hex	1152	hexsdry with drum steel at 102% density	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/hexstudy/hexsol/sens_study/dry/
<i>ph13m2</i>	0.71474	0.00028	side	28.8	1	hex	1152	hexs100 with TB-1 steel at 98% density	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/hexstudy/hexsol/sens_study/wet/
<i>ph13p2</i>	0.71500	0.00032	side	28.8	1	hex	1152	hexs100 with TB-1 steel at 102% density	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/hexstudy/hexsol/sens_study/wet/
<i>ss304m2</i>	0.71474	0.00030	side	28.8	1	hex	1152	hexs100 with drum steel at 98% density	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/hexstudy/hexsol/sens_study/wet/
<i>ss304p2</i>	0.71425	0.00028	side	28.8	1	hex	1152	hexs100 with drum steel at 102% density	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/hexstudy/hexsol/sens_study/wet/
<i>Hexmdry</i>	0.67134	0.00049	side	0	0	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/hexstudy/hexmet/
<i>hexm0005</i>	0.67054	0.00049	side	0	0.005	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/hexstudy/hexmet/
<i>hexm001</i>	0.66744	0.00050	side	0	0.01	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/hexstudy/hexmet/
<i>hexm002</i>	0.66340	0.00049	side	0	0.02	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/hexstudy/hexmet/
<i>hexm003</i>	0.66093	0.00049	side	0	0.03	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/hexstudy/hexmet/
<i>hexm004</i>	0.65925	0.00050	side	0	0.04	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/hexstudy/hexmet/
<i>hexm005</i>	0.65746	0.00050	side	0	0.05	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/hexstudy/hexmet/
<i>hexm0075</i>	0.65555	0.00049	side	0	0.075	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/hexstudy/hexmet/
<i>hexm010</i>	0.65528	0.00049	side	0	0.1	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/hexstudy/hexmet/

Table 6-17. Tabulation of Calculations Supporting the Analysis of Arrays of Damaged Packages

Case Name	k_{eff}	σ	Package Model Type	H/Pu	Water Density Fraction in Wood Zone	Array Type	Number of Packages	Description	Locations
hexm015	0.65577	0.00049	side	0	0.15	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexmet/
hexm020	0.65561	0.00048	side	0	0.2	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexmet/
hexm030	0.65735	0.00049	side	0	0.3	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexmet/
hexm040	0.65854	0.00050	side	0	0.4	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexmet/
hexm050	0.66053	0.00049	side	0	0.5	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexmet/
hexm060	0.66232	0.00049	side	0	0.6	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexmet/
hexm070	0.66298	0.00050	side	0	0.7	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexmet/
hexm080	0.66268	0.00049	side	0	0.8	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexmet/
hexm090	0.66261	0.00049	side	0	0.9	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexmet/
hexm095	0.66402	0.00050	side	0	0.95	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexmet/
hexm100	0.66365	0.00049	side	0	1	hex	1152	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexmet/
hexm100_1	0.65861	0.00050	side	0	1	hex	1152	TB-1s moved 1 cm from flat side of package	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexmet/
hexm100_2	0.65607	0.00049	side	0	1	hex	1152	TB-1s moved 2 cm from flat side of package	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexmet/
hexm100_3	0.65325	0.00050	side	0	1	hex	1152	TB-1s moved 3 cm from flat side of package	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexmet/
hexm100_p5	0.66003	0.00049	side	0	1	hex	1152	TB-1s moved 0.5 cm from flat side of package	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/hexmet/
hexs100i01	0.71442	0.00049	side	28.8	1	hex	1152	1% water density between packages	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/inter/
hexs100i02	0.71503	0.00050	side	28.8	1	hex	1152	2% water density between packages	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/inter/
hexs100i05	0.71569	0.00058	side	28.8	1	hex	1152	5% water density between packages	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/inter/
hexs100i10	0.71469	0.00049	side	28.8	1	hex	1152	10% water density between packages	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/inter/
hexs100i25	0.71398	0.00057	side	28.8	1	hex	1152	25% water density between packages	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/inter/
hexs100i50	0.71610	0.00050	side	28.8	1	hex	1152	50% water density between packages	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/inter/
hexs100i75	0.71429	0.00053	side	28.8	1	hex	1152	75% water density between packages	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/inter/
hexs100i90	0.71330	0.00050	side	28.8	1	hex	1152	90% water density between packages	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/inter/
hexs100i100	0.71491	0.00062	side	28.8	1	hex	1152	100% water density between packages	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/inter/
hexsdryi01	0.70379	0.00056	side	28.8	0	hex	1152	1% water density between packages	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/inter/
hexsdryi02	0.70389	0.00060	side	28.8	0	hex	1152	2% water density between packages	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/inter/
hexsdryi05	0.69864	0.00052	side	28.8	0	hex	1152	5% water density between packages	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/inter/
hexsdryi10	0.68545	0.00050	side	28.8	0	hex	1152	10% water density between packages	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/hexstudy/inter/

Table 6-17. Tabulation of Calculations Supporting the Analysis of Arrays of Damaged Packages

Case Name	k_{eff}	σ	Package Model Type	H/Pu	Water Density Fraction in Wood Zone	Array Type	Number of Packages	Description	Locations
hexsdryi25	0.65098	0.00049	side	28.8	0	hex	1152	25% water density between packages	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/hexstudy/inter/
hexsdryi50	0.62096	0.00053	side	28.8	0	hex	1152	50% water density between packages	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/hexstudy/inter/
hexsdryi75	0.60452	0.00050	side	28.8	0	hex	1152	75% water density between packages	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/hexstudy/inter/
hexsdryi90	0.59848	0.00050	side	28.8	0	hex	1152	90% water density between packages	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/hexstudy/inter/
hexsdryi100	0.59412	0.00050	side	28.8	0	hex	1152	100% water density between packages	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/hexstudy/inter/
octmdry	0.66773	0.00049	side	0	0	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/octstudy/mctal/
octm001	0.66815	0.00050	side	0	0.001	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/octstudy/mctal/
octm005	0.66646	0.00048	side	0	0.005	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/octstudy/mctal/
octm001	0.66552	0.00049	side	0	0.01	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/octstudy/mctal/
octm002	0.66298	0.00051	side	0	0.02	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/octstudy/mctal/
octm003	0.66009	0.00050	side	0	0.03	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/octstudy/mctal/
octm005	0.65731	0.00049	side	0	0.05	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/octstudy/mctal/
octm010	0.65370	0.00049	side	0	0.1	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/octstudy/mctal/
octm020	0.65596	0.00049	side	0	0.2	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/octstudy/mctal/
octm030	0.65707	0.00049	side	0	0.3	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/octstudy/mctal/
octm040	0.65914	0.00050	side	0	0.4	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/octstudy/mctal/
octm050	0.66046	0.00049	side	0	0.5	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/octstudy/mctal/
octm060	0.66105	0.00049	side	0	0.6	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/octstudy/mctal/
octm070	0.66256	0.00049	side	0	0.7	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/octstudy/mctal/
octm080	0.66262	0.00049	side	0	0.8	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/octstudy/mctal/
octm090	0.66378	0.00049	side	0	0.9	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/octstudy/mctal/
octm095	0.66449	0.00049	side	0	0.95	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/octstudy/mctal/
octm0975	0.66410	0.00048	side	0	0.975	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/octstudy/mctal/
octm099	0.66435	0.00050	side	0	0.99	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/octstudy/mctal/
octm100	0.66424	0.00049	side	0	1	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/octstudy/mctal/
octsdry	0.67719	0.00057	side	28.8	0	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/octstudy/soln/
octs0001	0.67944	0.00049	side	28.8	0.001	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/octstudy/soln/
octs0005	0.67808	0.00055	side	28.8	0.005	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sidcimpact/octstudy/soln/

Table 6-17. Tabulation of Calculations Supporting the Analysis of Arrays of Damaged Packages

Case Name	k_{eff}	σ	Package Model Type	H/Pu	Water Density Fraction in Wood Zone	Array Type	Number of Packages	Description	Locations
<i>octs001</i>	0.67270	0.00059	side	28.8	0.01	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/octstudy/soln/
<i>octs002</i>	0.65588	0.00053	side	28.8	0.02	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/octstudy/soln/
<i>octs003</i>	0.64169	0.00049	side	28.8	0.03	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/octstudy/soln/
<i>octs005</i>	0.62271	0.00056	side	28.8	0.05	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/octstudy/soln/
<i>octs010</i>	0.60422	0.00050	side	28.8	0.1	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/octstudy/soln/
<i>octs020</i>	0.61144	0.00055	side	28.8	0.2	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/octstudy/soln/
<i>octs030</i>	0.63223	0.00053	side	28.8	0.3	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/octstudy/soln/
<i>octs040</i>	0.65161	0.00053	side	28.8	0.4	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/octstudy/soln/
<i>octs050</i>	0.66810	0.00063	side	28.8	0.5	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/octstudy/soln/
<i>octs060</i>	0.68116	0.00050	side	28.8	0.6	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/octstudy/soln/
<i>octs070</i>	0.69215	0.00050	side	28.8	0.7	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/octstudy/soln/
<i>octs080</i>	0.70046	0.00059	side	28.8	0.8	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/octstudy/soln/
<i>octs090</i>	0.70881	0.00053	side	28.8	0.9	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/octstudy/soln/
<i>octs095</i>	0.71225	0.00050	side	28.8	0.95	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/octstudy/soln/
<i>octs0975</i>	0.71395	0.00048	side	28.8	0.975	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/octstudy/soln/
<i>octs099</i>	0.71434	0.00057	side	28.8	0.99	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/octstudy/soln/
<i>octs100</i>	0.71466	0.00051	side	28.8	1	square	1024	Varying water density in redwood zone	/pat1/apps/hacarray/sideimpact/octstudy/soln/

^a Limiting cases listed in Table 6-1.

6.9.4.4 Calculations Supporting Single Package Air Transport Analysis

Table 6-18 lists calculations performed to support the analysis of a single package of fissile material intended for air transport.

Table 6-18. Tabulation of Calculations Supporting the Analysis of a Single Package of Fissile Material Intended for Air Transport

Case Name	k_{eff}	σ	g Pu	g H ₂ O	Fissile Radius	H/Pu	Location
sph01	0.65568	0.00050	1300	0	2.501	0	/pat1/apps/hacsp/
sph02	0.64479	0.00050	1300	3	2.544	0.07	/pat1/apps/hacsp/
sph03	0.63394	0.00050	1300	7	2.590	0.15	/pat1/apps/hacsp/
sph04	0.61194	0.00049	1300	16	2.694	0.33	/pat1/apps/hacsp/
sph05	0.59142	0.00049	1300	28	2.817	0.57	/pat1/apps/hacsp/
sph06	0.57162	0.00050	1300	44	2.965	0.89	/pat1/apps/hacsp/
sph07	0.55477	0.00050	1300	65	3.151	1.33	/pat1/apps/hacsp/
sph08	0.54322	0.00049	1300	98	3.394	2.00	/pat1/apps/hacsp/
sph09	0.53893	0.00049	1300	153	3.736	3.11	/pat1/apps/hacsp/
sph10	0.55022	0.00050	1300	262	4.277	5.34	/pat1/apps/hacsp/
sph11	0.60548	0.00050	1300	588	5.388	12.01	/pat1/apps/hacsp/
sph12	0.64898	0.00049	1300	869	6.068	17.74	/pat1/apps/hacsp/
sph13	0.69693	0.00051	1300	1242	6.789	25.36	/pat1/apps/hacsp/
sph14 ^a	0.71468	0.00050	1300	1412	7.067	28.78	/pat1/apps/hacsp/
sph15	0.68744	0.00055	1300	1412	7.313	28.78	/pat1/apps/hacsp/
sph16	0.62157	0.00049	1300	1412	8.049	28.78	/pat1/apps/hacsp/
sph17	0.55564	0.00049	1300	1412	9.214	28.78	/pat1/apps/hacsp/
sph18	0.48650	0.00049	1300	1412	11.608	28.78	/pat1/apps/hacsp/
sph19	0.46577	0.00049	1300	1412	13.074	28.78	/pat1/apps/hacsp/
sph20	0.45167	0.00050	1300	1412	14.626	28.78	/pat1/apps/hacsp/
sph21	0.42551	0.00050	1300	1412	19.850	28.78	/pat1/apps/hacsp/
sph22	0.41048	0.00049	1300	1412	25.010	28.78	/pat1/apps/hacsp/
sph23	0.39143	0.00049	1300	1412	31.510	28.78	/pat1/apps/hacsp/

^a Limiting case listed in Table 6-1.

6.9.5 Sample inputs

This section contains the *csas25* input decks used for the limiting cases shown in Table 6-1 in Section 6.1.2.

6.9.5.1 Input File For *cv031.inp*

```
=csas25
pat1, single package, case cv031.inp
v6-238
read composition
'4.4327% of MTD Pu = 19.84 g/cm3
pu-239      1 0.879448 300  end
h2o         1 0.955673 300  end
'
h2o         2 1.0 300  end
end composition
read celldata
  multiregion spherical left_bdy=reflected right_bdy=vacuum end
    1          5.4
    2          35.4
  end zone
end celldata
read parameter
  npg=3000
  gen=1050
  nsk=50
  sig=0.0005
  htm=no
end parameter
read geometry
unit 1
com='fuel/moderator region, pu metal/water, sphere'
  cylinder 1 1    5.4    2p8.0680
  cylinder 2 1   35.4    2p38.07
end geometry
end data
end
```

6.9.5.2 Input File for *fslsf001.inp*

```

=csas25
pat1, 17x17x9 square pitched array, void between drums, water in drum
v6-238
read composition
' 100% of MTD Pu = 19.84 g/cm3
pu-239      1 19.84      300  end
h2o         1 1e-9       300  end
'
' water in TB-1, but outside fuel (rho=0.9982 g/cm3)
h2o         2 1.0        300  end
'
' PH13-8Mo for TB-1 containment vessel
fe          3 0 6.3246E-02 300 end
cr          3 0 1.1430E-02 300 end
ni          3 0 6.3532E-03 300 end
mo          3 0 1.0931E-03 300 end
al          3 0 1.9435E-03 300 end
mn          3 0 8.4843E-05 300 end
'
'replace Redwood with full density water
h2o         4 1.0        300  end
'
' ss304 for drum body (rho= 7.94 g/cm3)
ss304       5 1.0 300 end
end composition
read celldata
  multiregion spherical left_bdy=reflected right_bdy=vacuum end
    1          2.501
    2          5.4
    3          6.612
    4          28.0289
    5          28.299
    0          29.000
  end zone
end celldata
read parameter
npg=3000
gen=1050
nsk=50
sig=0.0005
htm=no
end parameter
read geometry
unit 1
com='fuel/moderator region, pu metal, sphere + x dir'
sphere      1 1      2.501
cylinder    2 1      5.4      2p8.07
cylinder    3 1      6.612     2p9.2815
cylinder    4 1      28.0289 2p50.368
cylinder    5 1      28.299  2p50.639
cuboid      0 1      4p28.3  2p50.639
global unit 2
array 1 0.0 0.0 0.0
replicate 2 1 6*30.0 1

```

```
end geometry
read array
  ara=1 nux=17 nuy=17 nuz=9 fill f1 end fill
end array
read mixt
  eps=1.0
end mixt
end data
end
```

6.9.5.3 Input File for hexs100.inp

```
=csas25
pat1, damaged, hex arrangement
v6-238
read composition
pu-239      1 0.879448 300  end
h2o        1 0.955673 300  end
'
' PH13-8Mo for TB-1 containment vessel
fe         3 0 6.3246E-02 300 end
cr         3 0 1.1430E-02 300 end
ni         3 0 6.3532E-03 300 end
mo         3 0 1.0931E-03 300 end
al         3 0 1.9435E-03 300 end
mn         3 0 8.4843E-05 300 end
'
' water for flooding drum (rho=0.9982 g/cm3)
h2o        4 1.0      300 end
'
' ss304 for drum body (rho= 7.94 g/cm3)
ss304      5 1.0 300 end
'
' water between drum (rho=0.9982 g/cm3)
h2o        6 0.00001 300 end
'
' water reflector around array
' vf = 1 -> rho=0.9982 g/cm3
h2o        7 1.0 300 end
'
'
end composition
read celldata
  multiregion spherical left_bdy=reflected right_bdy=reflected  end
      1      5.4
      3      6.612
      4     37.194
      5     37.465
      6      38
  end zone
end celldata
read parameter
  npg=3000
  gen=1050
  nsk=50
  sig=0.0005
  htm=no
end parameter
read geometry
unit 1
com='fuel/moderator region, pu metal, sphere'
cylinder  1 1    5.4    2p8.07    origin 0 6.613
cylinder  3 1    6.612  2p9.2815  origin 0 6.613
zhemicyl+y 4 1   37.194  2p50.368
zhemicyl+y 5 1   37.465  2p50.639
unit 2
```

```
com='fuel/moderator region, pu metal, sphere'
cylinder 1 1 5.4 2p8.07 origin 6.613 0
cylinder 3 1 6.612 2p9.2815 origin 6.613 0
zhemicyl+x 4 1 37.194 2p50.368
zhemicyl+x 5 1 37.465 2p50.639
unit 3
com='fuel/moderator region, pu metal, sphere'
cylinder 1 1 5.4 2p8.07 origin 0 -6.613
cylinder 3 1 6.612 2p9.2815 origin 0 -6.613
zhemicyl-y 4 1 37.194 2p50.368
zhemicyl-y 5 1 37.465 2p50.639
unit 4
com='fuel/moderator region, pu metal, sphere'
cylinder 1 1 5.4 2p8.07 origin -6.613 0
cylinder 3 1 6.612 2p9.2815 origin -6.613 0
zhemicyl-x 4 1 37.194 2p50.368
zhemicyl-x 5 1 37.465 2p50.639
unit 5
com='drum steel on flat side of drum on long side'
cuboid 5 1 0.2708 0 2p37.465 2p50.639
unit 6
com='drum steel on flat side of drum on short side'
cuboid 5 1 2p37.465 0.2708 0 2p50.639
unit 7
com='fuel/moderator region, pu metal, sphere'
cuboid 6 1 2p37.737 2p65.1622 2p50.639
hole 1 0 -64.8914 0
hole 6 0 -65.1622 0
hole 2 -37.466 0 0
hole 5 -37.737 0 0
hole 3 0 64.8914 0
hole 6 0 64.8914 0
hole 4 37.466 0 0
hole 5 37.466 0 0
global unit 8
com='array of hemicylinder drums'
array 1 0 0 0
reflector 7 1 30 0 30 0 30 0 1
end geometry
read array
ara=1 nux=4 nuy=3 nuz=3
fill 36*7 end fill
end array
read bound
+fc=vacuum -fc=mirror
end bound
end data
end
```

6.9.5.4 Input File for sph14.inp

```
=csas25
pat1, single package for 10CFR71.55(f) analysis
v6-238
read composition
' 4.4327% of MTD Pu = .879448 g/cm3
pu-239      1 .879448      300      end
h2o         1 .9557       300      end
'
' water outside Pu/water mix
' vf =1.0 -> rho=0.9982 g/cm3
h2o         2 1.0         300      end
'
end composition
read celldata
  multiregion spherical left_bdy=reflected right_bdy=vacuum end
  1          7.0667
  2          27.0667
  end zone
end celldata
read parameter
npg=3000
gen=1050
nsk=50
sig=0.0005
htm=no
end parameter
read geometry
unit 1
com='pu metal/water sphere and 20 cm water reflector'
  sphere 1 1 7.0667
  reflector 2 1 20.0 1
end geometry
end data
end
```

6.9.5.5 USLSTATS Input File for Application cv031

tsunami, c(k) vs. keff trend for cv031

0.999	0.950	0.950	0.0	1.0	-1	0.05
0.9659	1.00882	0.0005				
0.9602	1.00909	0.0005				
0.9604	1.00375	0.0005				
0.9454	1.00734	0.0005				
0.9481	1.00676	0.0005				
0.9455	1.00641	0.0005				
0.9454	1.00573	0.0005				
0.9456	1.00559	0.0005				
0.9455	1.00593	0.0005				
0.9513	1.00855	0.0005				
0.9521	1.00844	0.0005				
0.9531	1.00736	0.0005				
0.9536	1.00799	0.0005				
0.9535	1.00860	0.0005				
0.9545	1.00935	0.0005				
0.9490	1.01046	0.0005				
0.9509	1.00812	0.0005				
0.9528	1.00918	0.0005				
0.9543	1.00749	0.0005				
0.9503	1.00621	0.0005				
0.9521	1.00767	0.0005				
0.9533	1.00793	0.0005				
0.9542	1.00929	0.0005				
0.9474	1.01058	0.0005				
0.9507	1.00812	0.0005				
0.9528	1.00764	0.0005				
0.9525	1.00757	0.0005				
0.9542	1.00702	0.0005				
0.9544	1.00874	0.0005				
0.9546	1.00898	0.0005				
0.9458	1.00895	0.0005				
0.9486	1.00804	0.0005				
0.9455	1.00914	0.0005				
0.9458	1.00937	0.0005				
0.9458	1.01032	0.0005				
0.9461	1.00691	0.0005				
0.9472	1.00802	0.0005				
0.9458	1.00658	0.0005				
0.9455	1.00812	0.0005				
0.9457	1.00764	0.0005				
0.9458	1.00773	0.0005				
0.9458	1.00734	0.0005				
0.9455	1.00797	0.0005				
0.9456	1.00701	0.0005				
0.9459	1.00699	0.0005				
0.9455	1.00849	0.0005				
0.9453	1.00766	0.0005				
0.9455	1.00810	0.0005				
0.9455	1.00819	0.0005				
0.9457	1.00881	0.0005				
0.9455	1.00777	0.0005				
0.9601	1.01198	0.0005				
0.9603	1.00474	0.0005				

6.9.6 Considerations for Pu/Be Sources

6.9.6.1 Background: The Need for Pu/Be Source Evaluation

Following completion of work for the revision of Section 6, NCS evaluation of an additional loading of the PAT-1 package was requested. The proposed additional loading includes Pu/Be source items, with the total desired inventory of the sources per PAT-1 package consisting of less than 200 g (0.441 lb) Pu alloy and less than 30 g (0.066 lb) Be metal. Other than the Pu and Be, the proposed loading includes no other neutron-multiplying materials.

Be has several unique properties for interaction with neutrons. It is a neutron-multiplying media; when subjected to high-energy neutrons (such as those from Pu-239 fission), Be can multiply neutrons via ($n, 2n$) nuclear reactions. In solid form (e.g., as metal parts), Be can be a more effective neutron reflector than water, for either moderated or unmoderated fissile materials.

The preceding sections and analyses of Section 6 do not evaluate presence of Be as an allowable component or material for co-loading with the general-purpose Pu metal loading limit (1300 g [2.87 lb]). If the 1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu limit were to be reevaluated with significant quantities of Be, the reevaluation effort would be substantial and the existing conclusions (e.g., assigned CI value) might require change.

As an alternative approach, it was recognized that if very low loading limits (for both Pu and Be) were accepted as a second (separate) authorized PAT-1 loading, the NCS evaluation work performed for the 1300 g (2.87 lb) general-form Pu metal loading could be demonstrated as clearly bounding the proposed Pu/Be source loading.

6.9.6.2 Basic Comparison Argument for Pu/Be Sources

The basic reason why the proposed Pu/Be source loading limit is bounded by the 1300 g (2.87lb) Pu loading evaluation is that the:

- Permitted Pu loading for the sources is very small (relative to the evaluated 1300 g [2.87 lb] Pu metal loading); and
- Quantity of Be permitted in the source loading (30 g [0.66 lb]) is sufficiently limited to the extent that the beryllium cannot offset the reactivity effect associated with the significant reduction in Pu mass loading.

A simple observation reveals that the impact of the Be presence should be no greater than that resulting from replacement of the Be mass with an equal mass of Pu-239. Using a “mass replacement” basis, it may be asserted that the PAT-1 Pu/Be source loading (200 g [0.441 lb] maximum of Pu alloy plus (30 g [0.066 lb] maximum of Be) is no more reactive than a loading of 230 g (0.507 lb) Pu-239.

The comparison could be done on a more conservative “volume replacement” basis. If theoretical densities of 1.85 g/cm^3 (0.067 lb/in.^3) for the Be [Reference 2, page 4-43] and 19.84 g/cm^3 (0.7167 lb/in.^3) for the Pu [Reference 2, page 4-83] are assumed, and the 30 g (0.066 lb) of Be is replaced by an equal volume of Pu-239 metal (322 g [0.71 lb] Pu-239), the

resulting idealized package loading (522 g [1.15 lb] Pu-239) remains well below the evaluated general-purpose loading limit of 1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu. If the 200 g (0.441 lb) Pu-239 contained in the sources is considered as the initial (primary) loading constituent, the reactivity effect of adding 30 g (0.066 lb) Be cannot plausibly exceed the reactivity effect due to addition of over 10 times that mass (322 g [0.71 lb]) of Pu-239.

This conclusion that a loading of 200 g (0.441 lb) Pu-239 plus 30 g (0.066 lb) Be is bounded by NCS evaluation of a loading of 1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu-239 holds true for all normal and accident condition models evaluated in Section 6.

6.9.6.3 Supporting Scoping Calculations - Computational Method

For informational purposes only: scoping calculations were performed to augment the comparison argument of Section 6.9.6.2. All inputs and outputs for these calculations are located in the directory tree /pat1/apps/Pu/Be.

The calculations were performed using a quality-assurance-controlled version of SCALE 5.1 similar to that described in Section 6.3.3. The scoping calculations were performed on a cluster of 36 workstations referred to as the *cpile2* cluster and identified as node1 through node36; these workstations all utilized LINUX operating systems. The SCALE 5.1 software used for the scoping calculations was in a directory tree starting at /projects/ymp/scale5.1/ and is under a quality assurance plan, software quality assurance plans, and requirements based on 10 CFR 71 Subpart H.

Although there is no difference in the source SCALE software or data used for other Section 6 calculations and these scoping calculations, the scoping calculations were executed on a different set of workstations, using a different operating system. Thus, as a preliminary action, the benchmark validation set in Table 6-8 was reexecuted on the noted LINUX workstations. For the 125-experiment set, the average of all prior-computed k_{eff} values (as shown in Table 6-8 for the UNIX workstations) is 1.00792; the average of all reexecuted cases (using the LINUX workstations) is 1.00795. This indicates a negligible difference between calculations performed on the LINUX and UNIX computing platforms.

6.9.6.4 Scoping Calculations - Single Packages

Table 6-19 provides scoping calculation results for variant models based on cases *cv001* and *cv031*. The single-package scoping results support that a significant reduction in the neutron multiplication factor is expected from a reduced container loading from 1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu-239 to 200 g Pu-239, and that the inclusion of 30 g (0.066 lb) Be (with the 200 g [0.441 lb] Pu-239 loading) is a negligible positive reactivity effect. These results also support that modeling of the 30 g (0.066 lb) of Be as 30 g (0.066 lb) of Pu-239 is conservative, and that modeling of the 30 g (0.066 lb) of Be as 322 g (0.71 lb) Pu-239 on a volume replacement basis is highly conservative.

Table 6-19. Scoping Calculation Results for Single Packages

Case	$k_{eff} \pm \sigma^a$	Notes
<i>cv001^b</i>	0.65648 ± 0.00046	Prior UNIX result (see Table 6-1 and Table 6-15): Loading of a 1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu-239 metal sphere in the TB-1 vessel. The remaining TB-1 volume is filled with H ₂ O in this case, and in all <i>cv001</i> variants listed below.
<i>cv001-v0</i>	0.65686 ± 0.00050	Current result; rerun of <i>cv001</i> on LINUX workstations.
<i>cv001-v1</i>	0.25956 ± 0.00050	Loading of <i>cv001</i> changed to a homogeneous (metal alloy) sphere containing 200 g (0.441 lb) Pu-239 and 30 g (0.066 lb) Be, Be: Pu-239 = 4.0.
<i>cv001-v2</i>	0.36315 ± 0.00048	Loading of <i>cv001</i> changed to 200 g (0.441 lb) Pu-239 metal sphere surrounded by a 30 g (0.066 lb) Be metal shell.
<i>cv001-v3</i>	0.35350 ± 0.00050	Loading of <i>cv001-v2</i> changed to 200 g (0.441 lb) Pu-239 metal sphere. (The Be volume is modeled as H ₂ O.)
<i>cv001-v4</i>	0.37073 ± 0.00048	Loading of <i>cv001-v2</i> changed to 230 g (0.507 lb) Pu-239 metal sphere. (The Be mass is modeled as Pu-239 metal.)
<i>cv001-v5</i>	0.48962 ± 0.00045	Loading of <i>cv001-v2</i> changed to 522 g (1.151 lb) Pu-239 metal sphere. (The Be volume is modeled as Pu-239 metal.)
<i>cv031^b</i>	0.70756 ± 0.00055	Prior UNIX result (see Table 6-1 and Table 6-15): The TB-1 vessel is loaded with a homogeneous metal-water mixture containing 1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu-239 metal, and adequate H ₂ O so as to completely fill the TB-1 volume. H: Pu-239 = 28.8.
<i>cv031-v0</i>	0.70817 ± 0.00051	Current result; rerun of <i>cv031</i> on LINUX workstations.
<i>cv031-v1</i>	0.61285 ± 0.00054	Loading of <i>cv031</i> changed to a homogeneous mixture of 200 g (0.441 lb) Pu-239 metal, 30 g (0.066 lb) Be metal, and adequate H ₂ O so as to completely fill the TB-1 vessel. H: Pu-239 = 192.4, Be: Pu-239 = 4.0.
<i>cv031-v2</i>	0.61382 ± 0.00055	Loading of <i>cv031-v1</i> changed to a homogeneous mixture of 200 g (0.441 lb) Pu-239 metal and adequate H ₂ O so as to completely fill the TB-1 vessel. H: Pu-239 = 194.5. (Be volume modeled as H ₂ O.)
<i>cv031-v3</i>	0.62322 ± 0.00051	Loading of <i>cv031-v1</i> changed to a homogeneous mixture of 230 g (0.507 lb) Pu-239 metal and H ₂ O. (Be mass modeled as Pu-239.)
<i>cv031-v4</i>	0.66172 ± 0.00054	Loading of <i>cv031-v1</i> changed to a homogeneous mixture of 522 g (1.151 lb) Pu-239 metal and H ₂ O. (Be volume modeled as Pu-239.)

^a KENO V.a calculated k_{eff} and standard deviation values.

^b For *cv001* and *cv031* and variant models, the interior of the TB-1 vessel is fully occupied by the payload material (Pu-239, and Be if modeled), with all remaining volume within the TB-1 vessel volume occupied by H₂O. Structural materials of the TB-1 vessel and the PAT-1 container are not modeled. Instead, the TB-1 payload is centered within a cylinder of water; the water cylinder has the approximate outer dimensions of the PAT-1 container.

6.9.6.5 Scoping Calculations - Normal Condition of Transport Arrays

Table 6-20 provides scoping calculation results for variant models based on cases *fhlsf001* and *fhlsf031*. The conclusions are the same as for Table 6-19 single-package results. The computed neutron multiplication constant is significantly reduced by lowering the per-package payload from 1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu-239 to 200 g (0.441 lb) Pu-239, the effect of adding 30 g (0.066 lb) Be

to the 200 g (0.441 lb) Pu-239 loading appears insignificant, and modeling the Be as Pu-239 on mass-replacement or volume-replacement bases is conservative.

Table 6-20. Scoping Calculation Results for Normal Conditions of Transport Arrays

Case	$k_{eff} \pm \sigma^a$	Notes
<i>fhlsf001^b</i>	0.65094 ± 0.00049	Prior UNIX result (see Table 6-1 and Table 6-16): Each TB-1 vessel is loaded with a 1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu-239 metal sphere. The remaining TB-1 volume is filled with H ₂ O in this case, and in all <i>fhlsf001</i> variants listed below.
<i>fhlsf001-v0</i>	0.65081 ± 0.00050	Current result; rerun of <i>fhlsf001</i> on LINUX workstations.
<i>fhlsf001-v1</i>	0.25262 ± 0.00047	Loading of <i>fhlsf001</i> changed to a homogeneous (metal alloy) sphere containing 200 g (0.441 lb) Pu-239 and 30 g (0.066 lb) Be.
<i>fhlsf001-v2</i>	0.35924 ± 0.00049	Loading of <i>fhlsf001</i> changed to 200 g (0.441 lb) Pu-239 metal sphere surrounded by a 30 g (0.066 lb) Be metal shell.
<i>fhlsf001-v3</i>	0.35163 ± 0.00050	Loading of <i>fhlsf001-v2</i> changed to 200 g (0.441 lb) Pu-239 metal sphere. (Be volume modeled as H ₂ O.)
<i>fhlsf001-v4</i>	0.36844 ± 0.00049	Loading of <i>fhlsf001-v2</i> changed to 230 g (0.507 lb) Pu-239 metal sphere. (Be mass modeled as Pu-239.)
<i>fhlsf001-v5</i>	0.48541 ± 0.00050	Loading of <i>fhlsf001-v2</i> changed to 522 g (1.151 lb) Pu-239 metal sphere. (Be volume modeled as Pu-239.)
<i>fslsf031^c</i>	0.63371 ± 0.00050	Prior UNIX result (see Table 6-1 and Table 6-16): Each TB-1 vessel is loaded with a homogeneous metal-water mixture containing 1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu-239 metal, with adequate H ₂ O so as to completely fill the TB-1 volume.
<i>fslsf031-v0</i>	0.63336 ± 0.00050	Current result; rerun of <i>fslsf031</i> on LINUX workstations.
<i>fslsf031-v1</i>	0.52070 ± 0.00050	Loading of <i>fslsf031</i> changed to a homogeneous mixture of 200 g (0.441 lb) Pu-239 metal, 30 g (0.066 lb) Be metal, and H ₂ O.
<i>fslsf031-v2</i>	0.52248 ± 0.00059	Loading of <i>fslsf031-v1</i> changed to a homogeneous mixture of 200 g (0.441 lb) Pu-239 metal and H ₂ O. (Be volume modeled as H ₂ O.)
<i>fslsf031-v3</i>	0.53184 ± 0.00050	Loading of <i>fslsf031-v1</i> changed to a homogeneous mixture of 230 g (0.507 lb) Pu-239 metal and H ₂ O. (Be mass modeled as Pu-239.)
<i>fslsf031-v4</i>	0.57872 ± 0.00050	Loading of <i>fslsf031-v1</i> changed to a homogeneous mixture of 522 g (1.151 lb) Pu-239 metal and H ₂ O. (Be volume modeled as Pu-239.)

^a KENO V.a calculated k_{eff} and standard deviation values.

^b Close-packed hexagonal-pitch array of 2646 undamaged packages, redwood modeled as H₂O (see Figure 6-2 and Figure 6-10).

^c Close-packed square-pitch array of 2601 undamaged packages, redwood modeled as H₂O (see Figure 6-2 and Figure 6-8).

6.9.6.6 Scoping Calculations - Hypothetical Accident Condition Arrays

Table 6-21 provides scoping calculation results for hypothetical accident condition arrays. These results further support the conclusions of Sections 6.9.5.4 and 6.9.6.5.

Table 6-21. Scoping Calculation Results for Hypothetical Accident Condition Arrays

Case	$k_{eff} \pm \sigma^a$	Notes
<i>hexs100</i> ^b	0.71538 ± 0.00050	Prior UNIX result (see Table 6-1 and Table 6-17): Each TB-1 vessel is loaded with a homogeneous metal-water mixture containing 1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu-239 metal, with adequate H ₂ O so as to completely fill the TB-1 volume.
<i>hexs100-v0</i>	0.71491 ± 0.00051	Current result; rerun of <i>hexs100</i> on LINUX workstations.
<i>hexs100-v1</i>	0.59043 ± 0.00050	Loading of <i>hexs100</i> changed to a homogeneous mixture of 200 g (0.441 lb) Pu-239 metal, 30 g (0.066 lb) Be metal, and H ₂ O.
<i>hexs100-v2</i>	0.59173 ± 0.00051	Loading of <i>hexs100-v1</i> changed to a homogeneous mixture of 200 g (0.441 lb) Pu-239 metal and H ₂ O. (Be volume modeled as H ₂ O.)
<i>hexs100-v3</i>	0.60218 ± 0.00049	Loading of <i>hexs100-v1</i> changed to a homogeneous mixture of 230 g (0.507 lb) Pu-239 metal and H ₂ O. (Be mass modeled as Pu-239.)
<i>hexs100-v3a</i>	0.57171 ± 0.00049	Same as <i>hexs100-v3</i> , except that the H ₂ O density in the TB-1 vessel is reduced by 5%.
<i>hexs100-v4</i>	0.65593 ± 0.00054	Loading of <i>hexs100-v1</i> changed to a homogeneous mixture of 522 g (1.151 lb) Pu-239 metal and H ₂ O. (Be volume modeled as Pu-239.)
<i>hexs100-v4a</i>	0.62931 ± 0.00049	Same as <i>hexs100-v4</i> , except that the H ₂ O density in the TB-1 vessel is reduced by 5%.
<i>hexsdry</i> ^c	0.70268 ± 0.00065	Prior UNIX result (see Table 6-1 and Table 6-17): Each TB-1 vessel is loaded with a homogeneous metal-water mixture containing 1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu-239 metal, with adequate H ₂ O so as to completely fill the TB-1 volume.
<i>hexsdry-v0</i>	0.70344 ± 0.00054	Current result; rerun of <i>hexsdry</i> on LINUX workstations.
<i>hexsdry-v1</i>	0.58264 ± 0.00051	Each TB-1 vessel contains a homogeneous metal-water mixture containing 200 g (0.441 lb) Pu-239. A 30 g (0.066 lb) uniform-thickness shell of Be metal lines the interior surface of the TB-1 vessel. All TB-1 volume is filled with either Be metal or the Pu-239-H ₂ O mixture.
<i>hexsdry-v2</i>	0.57995 ± 0.00055	Loading of <i>hexsdry</i> changed to a homogeneous mixture of 200 g (0.441 lb) Pu-239 metal, 30 g Be metal, and H ₂ O.
<i>hexsdry-v3</i>	0.58414 ± 0.00052	Loading of <i>hexsdry-v2</i> changed to a homogeneous mixture of 200 g (0.441 lb) Pu-239 metal and H ₂ O. (Be volume modeled as H ₂ O.)
<i>hexsdry-v4</i>	0.59441 ± 0.00048	Loading of <i>hexsdry-v2</i> changed to a homogeneous mixture of 230 g (0.507 lb) Pu-239 metal and H ₂ O. (Be mass modeled as Pu-239.)
<i>hexsdry-v4a</i>	0.56395 ± 0.00052	Same as <i>hexsdry-v4</i> , except that the H ₂ O density in the TB-1 vessel is reduced by 5%.
<i>hexsdry-v5</i>	0.64122 ± 0.00055	Loading of <i>hexsdry-v2</i> changed to a homogeneous mixture of 522 g (1.151 lb) Pu-239 metal and H ₂ O. (Be volume modeled as Pu-239.)
<i>hexsdry-v5a</i>	0.61907 ± 0.00055	Same as <i>hexsdry-v5</i> , except that the H ₂ O density in the TB-1 vessel is reduced by 5%.

^a KENO V.a calculated k_{eff} and standard deviation values.

^b Close-packed array of 1152 damaged (side-impact model) packages (see Figure 6-4 and Figure 6-12). The redwood is modeled as full-density water.

^c Close-packed array of 1152 damaged (side-impact model) packages (see Figure 6-4 and Figure 6-12). The redwood is modeled as void.

The results of cases *hexs100-v3a*, *hexs100-v4a*, *hexsdry-v4a*, and *hexsdry-v5a* all confirm that although the modeled Pu mass (as a homogeneous Pu-239-H₂O mixture) for the Pu/Be cases is significantly less than the general-purpose 1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu load limit, the metal-water mixtures remain under moderated. For each of these four cases, a slight reduction in H₂O content modeled within the TB-1 vessel resulted in a significant reduction in the computed k_{eff} value. A similar effect would be expected for other PAT-1 NCS models, where the TB-1 payload is modeled as a homogeneous mixture of Pu metal and water.

6.9.6.7 Scoping Calculations - Single Package, Air Transport Provision

Table 6-22 provides scoping calculation results for single packages under the air transport accident provision. These results are consistent with conclusions of Sections 6.9.6.4 through 6.9.6.6.

Table 6-22. Scoping Calculation Results for Single Package, Air Transport Accident Provision

Case	$k_{eff} \pm \sigma^a$	Notes
<i>sph14</i> ^b	0.71468 ± 0.00050	Prior UNIX result (see Table 6-1 and Table 6-18): The payload is modeled as a homogeneous metal-water mixture containing 1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu-239.
<i>sph14-v0</i>	0.71540 ± 0.00050	Current result; rerun of <i>sph14</i> on LINUX workstations.
<i>sph14-v1</i>	0.62441 ± 0.00056	The central sphere of <i>sph14</i> is modeled as two regions: a central sphere contains 200 g (0.441 lb) Pu-239 as a homogeneous metal-water mixture; and an enclosing Be metal shell of mass 30 g (0.066 lb).
<i>sph14-v2</i>	0.62282 ± 0.00051	The fuel sphere of <i>sph14</i> is changed to a homogeneous mixture of 200 g (0.441 lb) Pu-239 metal, 30 g (0.066 lb) Be metal, and H ₂ O.
<i>sph14-v3</i>	0.62457 ± 0.00050	The fuel sphere of <i>sph14-v2</i> is changed to a homogeneous mixture of 200 g (0.441 lb) Pu-239 metal and H ₂ O. (Be volume modeled as H ₂ O.)
<i>sph14-v4</i>	0.63287 ± 0.00054	The fuel sphere of <i>sph14-v2</i> is changed to a homogeneous mixture of 230 g (0.507 lb) Pu-239 metal and H ₂ O. (Be mass modeled as Pu-239.)
<i>sph14-v5</i>	0.67065 ± 0.00051	The fuel sphere of <i>sph14-v2</i> is changed to a homogeneous mixture of 522 g (1.151 lb) Pu-239 metal and H ₂ O. (Be volume modeled as Pu-239.)

^a KENO V.a calculated k_{eff} and standard deviation values.

^b The mixture volume (or mixture volume plus Be shell volume for case *sph14-v1*) equals the internal volume of the TB-1 containment vessel. The containment vessel and remaining structural materials of the PAT-1 container are not explicitly modeled. Instead, the payload volume (1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu-239 as a metal-water mixture) is modeled as a sphere, surrounded by a water reflector providing a reflector thickness of 20 cm (7.87 in.). In all variant cases, a 20 cm (7.87 in.) thickness of close-fitting water reflector is maintained.

6.9.6.8 Additional Scoping Calculations – Hypothetical Accident Condition Arrays (*hexmdry*)

In Sections 6.9.6.4 through 6.9.6.7, each limiting-case result in Table 6-1 (see Section 6.1.2) was considered with reduced Pu loadings (200 g [0.441 lb] Pu-239) and various modeling changes to account for the impact of 30 g (0.066 lb) Be.

For all array cases from Table 6-1, water was a dominant factor in controlling neutron moderation and secondary influences on package-to-package interaction. Even for case *hexsdry*, where the only PAT-1 structural materials in the model were the TB-1 vessel and outer drum

steels, water within the fully flooded TB-1 vessel remained a significant neutron moderator. Thus, the potential effects of beryllium to enhance package-to-package interaction effects (in the *hexsdry* scoping calculations of Section 6.9.6.6) are masked by the presence of significant water moderation.

Case *hexmdry* (of Table 6-17) presents the same damaged-container and array models as case *hexsdry*. Case *hexmdry* contains a payload of Pu-239 metal, as was selected as the basis model for Table 6-23 scoping calculations. The purpose of this final set of calculations is to more closely inspect for potential neutron moderating and reflecting effects of the beryllium, for array conditions where the Be surrounds the Pu-239 mass in each package and no H₂O moderator is present outside the TB-1 containment vessel.

The results of Table 6-23 indicate that the effect of the small mass of Be per package (30 g [0.066 lb]) is small compared to the effects of partial or full water flooding internal to the TB-1 vessels.

Table 6-23. Additional Scoping Calculation Results for Hypothetical Accident Condition Arrays

Case	$k_{eff} \pm \sigma^a$	Notes
<i>hexmdry</i> ^b	0.67134 ± 0.00049	Prior UNIX result (see Figure 6-17): Each TB-1 vessel is loaded with a 1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu-239 metal sphere, with the remaining volume within the TB-1 vessel being filled with H ₂ O.
<i>hexmdry-v0</i>	0.67183 ± 0.00050	Current result; rerun of <i>hexmdry</i> on LINUX workstations.
<i>hexmdry-v1</i>	0.57556 ± 0.00023	Same loading as <i>hexmdry</i> (1300 g (2.87 lb) Pu-239 metal sphere), all H ₂ O is removed from the TB-1 vessel (the only water in the model is the 30 cm (11.81 in.) thick external array reflector).
<i>hexmdry-v2</i>	0.17899 ± 0.00010	Similar to <i>hexmdry-v1</i> , except that the loading per TB-1 vessel has been changed to a homogeneous sphere of Pu-Be metal/alloy, containing 200 g (0.441 lb) Pu-239 and 30 g (0.066 lb) Be.
<i>hexmdry-v3.x</i>	0.30226 ± 0.00049	Similar to <i>hexmdry-v1</i> , except that the loading per TB-1 vessel has been changed to a 200 g (0.441 lb) sphere of Pu-239 metal. The k_{eff} increased from case <i>hexmdry-v2</i> due to the increased Pu density resulting from removal of the Be.
<i>hexmdry-v3.0</i>	0.31515 ± 0.00049	Similar to <i>hexmdry-v1</i> , except that the loading per TB-1 vessel has been changed to a 200 g (0.441 lb) sphere of Pu-239 metal surrounded by a close-fitting 30 g (0.066 lb) shell of Be metal.
<i>hexmdry-v3.10</i>	0.32548 ± 0.00049	Same loading as <i>hexmdry-v3.0</i> , a 1.0 cm thick shell of H ₂ O surrounds the Be/Pu-239.
<i>hexmdry-v3.20</i>	0.33928 ± 0.00048	Same loading as <i>hexmdry-v3.0</i> , a 2.0 cm thick shell of H ₂ O surrounds the Be/Pu-239.
<i>hexmdry-v3.30</i>	0.35326 ± 0.00050	Same loading as <i>hexmdry-v3.0</i> , a 3.0 cm thick shell of H ₂ O surrounds the Be/Pu-239.
<i>hexmdry-v3.f</i>	0.36606 ± 0.00048	Same loading as <i>hexmdry-v3.0</i> , the remainder of the TB-1 vessel is completely filled with H ₂ O.

^a KENO V.a calculated k_{eff} and standard deviation values.

^b Close-packed array of 1152 damaged (side-impact model) packages (see Figure 6-4 and Figure 6-12). The redwood is modeled as void.

7. PACKAGE OPERATIONS

This section describes the operations used to load and unload the plutonium metal contents as described in Section 1.2.2 of this addendum. The section includes loading and unloading procedures for the configurations depicted in Figures 1-3 through 1-6; loading the *T-Ampoule Assembly*^A (designated T-Ampoule) and *Ring, Filler* (designated Ring Filler) in the TB-1 *Containment Vessel* (designated TB-1); loading the TB-1 in the AQ-1 overpack, preparing the package for shipment; and returning or storing the empty packaging.

The PAT-1 package shall be operated in accordance with applicable Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), U.S. Department of Transportation, and other federal, state, and local regulations to protect the health and safety of the public, workers, and the environment. Furthermore, the PAT-1 shall be operated according to a National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) approved quality assurance (QA) plan and U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Order 461.1A¹ requirements.

Specific criteria for operating the PAT-1 package with the plutonium metal content are presented in this section. The package user shall develop detailed site-specific operating procedures based on these criteria and on the NRC-issued certificate of compliance (CoC). Therefore, the operating procedures shall be written in accordance with 10 CFR 71,² Subparts A, G, and H. Operational radiation exposures for package operations shall be maintained to as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA), as required by the “Standards for Protection against Radiation” in 10 CFR 20.1101(b).³

7.1 Package Loading

The package user shall:

1. Be authorized to acquire, package, transport, or transfer radioactive, fissile, or special nuclear material;
2. Possess a copy of the current NRC PAT-1 CoC, SAR,⁴ and this SAR Addendum;
3. Comply with all requirements and restrictions specified in the NRC PAT-1 CoC, SAR,⁴ and this SAR Addendum;
4. Be a NRC or DOE, EM-60 registered user; and
5. Perform all PAT-1 operations under a NNSA-approved, site-specific, 10 CFR 71² Subpart H-compliant, QA plan.

A cutaway illustration of a PAT-1 package loaded and assembled for shipment is shown in Figure 7-1. The individual part names called out in the procedures are identified in *italics* and drawing number are provided in the tables for clarity. Prior to loading the PAT-1 package, verify that the requirements specified in Section 8.0 have been met for the *Overpack, AQ*

^A The title of the drawing is shown in italics and is used interchangeably with the designated name in this addendum. The drawing number and title information is shown in Section 1.3.2 and Table 7-1, 7-2, 7-3, and 7-4 in this addendum and in Chapter 9 of the SAR.⁴ Drawing titles and numbers are used to provide clarity of discussion when specifying components for the procedures.

(designated AQ-1), TB-1, *Ring, Filler, T-Ampoule Assembly, Inner Cradle*, and *Sample Container-1 (SC-1) Assembly* (designated SC-1) and *Sample Container-2 (SC-2) Assembly* (designated SC-2). This addendum describes the loading-related preparations, tests, and inspections of the T-Ampoule. It includes inspections performed prior to loading the package, to verify that it is not damaged and radiation and surface contamination levels are within allowable limits.




Figure 7-1. Assembled PAT-1 Showing AQ-1, TB-1, and T-Ampoule

7.1.1 Preparation for Loading

The TB-1 *Containment Vessel* (1017) is loaded while outside the *Overpack, AQ* (1002). See Section 1.2.2 of this addendum and the current CoC for the plutonium metal and composite contents.

Detailed, written operating procedures shall be prepared by the user to include, at a minimum, the process steps listed below before the plutonium metal content is placed into the PAT-1 package. These steps, initiated by the operating personnel and their supervisor, ensure that:

- (a) All applicable documents have been reviewed by operating personnel and are available for further review, if necessary.
- (b) The radioactive materials proposed for shipment are authorized by the CoC, and the use of the package complies with the conditions of the CoC.
- (c) The package has been properly maintained and is in an unimpaired condition. All required refurbishment and periodic maintenance shall have been performed and documented within the schedule requirements of the CoC, SAR, SAR Addendum, and maintenance program.
- (d) The TB-1 components are visually inspected for defects to include visible damage to the circular knife-edge on both the body and lid. Small nicks (0.079 cm [1/32 in.]) in the knife-edge may be hand-filed to restore contour and verified leak tight using the leakage rate test of 10^{-7} std cm³/s as described in Section 8.3.1 of the PAT-1 SAR.⁴ Larger nicks are not repairable and any leakage rate test of the TB-1 is no longer valid. If the container fails the leakage rate test, it must be scrapped and replaced with a new TB-1.
- (e) The used TB-1 *Gasket, Copper* (1019) is replaced with a new undamaged *Gasket, Copper* in usable condition prior to each shipment of a loaded TB-1.
- ~~(f) The O-ring for TB-1 Containment Vessel (1017) is inspected for damage prior to each shipment, and replaced if necessary. The TB-1 O-ring is replaced after every third use for radioactive material shipment or annually, whichever occurs first.~~
- (g) A valid leak-test label must be present on the TB-1 *Containment Vessel* to ensure that the required acceptance, leakage rate test and the annual leakage rate test have been performed.
- (h) The *T-Ampoule Assembly* is inspected for any visible damage and replaced if necessary. Per 10 CFR 71.87(b), superficial surface marks are permitted, provided that they fall within part tolerance. The threads and *O-ring* for T-Ampoule groove in the *T-Ampoule Assembly* are inspected for damage. If damage is noted and the container fails a user defined 10^{-3} cm³ air/sec assembly leakage rate test, the container must be scrapped and replaced with a new *T-Ampoule Assembly*.
- (i) The *T-Ampoule Assembly O-ring* is inspected and replaced, if necessary. The O-ring is replaced annually or when damage is discovered.
- (j) Verification of -a successful *TB-1, T-Ampoule Assembly, SC-1 and SC-2* sample container required acceptance, leakage, rate test. See Sections 8.2.2 and 8.3.2 of this Addendum.

- (k) The *Ring, Filler* is inspected for damage and replaced if necessary. Per 10 CFR 71.87(b), superficial surface marks are permitted if they fall within the tolerance of the part. A *Ring, Filler* that no longer fits properly on top of the *T-Ampoule Assembly* (form and function not met) is scrapped and replaced.
- (l) The *Inner Cradle* for the *Sample Container-1 (SC-1) Assembly* or *Sample Container-2 (SC-2) Assembly* shipping configuration is inspected to ensure that it is appropriate for the planned configuration and free of damage. The *Inner Cradle*, once installed, is not expected to wear, and no periodic tests are required. The sample containers must slip freely into and out of the cradle. If tightness is noted during sample container installation, the distance between the legs of the cradle is measured to check for proper gap (7.692 ± 0.005 cm [3.030 ± 0.002 in.]). If tightness is noted, the *Inner Cradle* components should be inspected for damage. If no damage is located, the parts may be hand adjusted for proper fit.
- (m) The *Sample Container-1 (SC-1) Assembly* or *Sample Container-2 (SC-2) Assembly* are inspected for any visible damage and replaced, if necessary. If the threads are damaged on the sample containers and closure is not possible, the containers are scrapped.
- (n) The package's interior, nonfixed, surface contamination levels cannot significantly contaminate the contents. Nonfixed, surface contamination requirements are specified in 10 CFR 20.1906,³ 10 CFR 71.87(i),² and 49 CFR 173.443,⁵ for alpha-, beta-, and gamma-emitting radionuclides.
- (o) All closure fasteners are either furnished with the packaging or are certified replacements and acceptable for use.
- (p) All required package parts necessary equipment are available and ready for use.
- (q) The weight of the plutonium metal and the overall assembly weight of the sample container and *T-Ampoule Assembly* are recorded prior to shipment. The TB-1 contents weight (*Ring, Filler* [2A0262] and *T-Ampoule Assembly* and its contents) shall not exceed 2.1 kg (4.7 lb).

The user may replace the packaging parts listed in Table 7-1 during loading. The certification of all replacement parts must be traceable and recorded by the user. The replacement parts shall be recorded in the QA records with applicable identification information, date of replacement, disposition of the old part, and the name of the individual who performed the replacement, along with the name and signature of the individual who made the entry in the QA records. Records of replacement actions and certifications of completed actions shall be sent to SNL for records management and archiving.

There are two types of facilities that may be used depending on the loading or unloading operation:

- Glove boxes or glove bags, which are used to load and unload the plutonium metal contents into the SC-1 or SC-2 or T-Ampoule. These work spaces have a standard glove box line atmosphere: nitrogen or argon or helium or combination with an oxygen content not exceeding 0.5% and a water moisture content not to exceeding 20100 parts per million. Facilities using the PAT-1 have conduct of operation procedures for glove box/glove bag operations. These procedures address the control and monitoring of oxygen and moisture content and the maintenance of proper atmosphere within the glove boxes. Oxygen and moisture concentrations are monitored during operations in accordance with facility procedures. The procedures to maintain glove box atmospheres are site specific and are intended to maintain product quality of the plutonium material and are not important to the safety the PAT-1 Package.

The selection of glove box or glove bag is based on organizational preference. Both are operationally acceptable provided the inert atmosphere is adequate for sample integrity. The atmosphere in the glove box/bag for loading and unloading the plutonium metal contents is to be inert with an oxygen and moisture concentration < 100 ppm to maintain product quality and not for safety purposes. There are a number of glove box/bag manufacturers that can meet the inert atmosphere requirements and instrument manufacturers that have hardware that can measure the oxygen and moisture concentration to < 100 ppm within the enclosures.



This is an example glove box (Courtesy of Innovative Technology dclay@innovativetechnologyinc.com).

- Open front hoods and downdraft rooms to load or unload SC-1 or SC-2 sample containers with plutonium metal contents into or out of the T-Ampoule. These work spaces do not provide an inert atmosphere. They are open work areas in a standard air atmosphere. Air flow in these work spaces are controlled to draw any potential contamination away from the operators and is exhausted through HEPA filters.

Both work space environments will be used as follows:

- Any sample container (*SC-1* or *SC-2*) or *T-Ampoule Assembly* directly loaded with plutonium will be processed (loaded) in either the glove box or glove bag work space. This will provide the inert atmosphere for the plutonium metal.

- Sample containers *SC-1* or *SC-2* (previously loaded in the glove box or glove bag with an inert atmosphere) will exit the glove box/glove bag and be decontaminated in either the open front hood or downdraft room. The decontaminated sample containers will then be placed into a *T-Ampoule Assembly* (previously prepared with the applicable *Inner Cradle*).

Pending facility requirements, the loading of the *T-Ampoule Assembly* with the decontaminated sample containers (*SC-1* or *SC-2*) may or may not be performed in open front hoods or downdraft rooms.

7.1.2 Loading of Contents

The operating procedures for the PAT-1 packaging with *T-Ampoule Assembly* configuration and plutonium metal content shall be specific regarding the handling of all packaging components and clearly state safety aspects or activities such as personnel protection (radiation, chemical, physical); surface contamination or radiation surveys; nuclear criticality safety; and environment temperature.

Table 7-1. Replacement Parts for the PAT-1 Packaging with *T-Ampoule Assembly*

Part Name (ID)	Part Description	Part Material	Drawing/Specification ^a
<i>Gasket, Copper</i>	Copper seal for TB-1	Copper, half hard 110 ETP per QQ-C-576 ⁶	1019
O-ring, Viton®, for TB-1	V747-75-242	Viton®	1017, Item 5
<i>Ring, Filler</i>	Filler between T-Ampoule and TB-1	Ti-6Al-4V Grade 5	2A0262
<i>T-Ampoule Assembly</i>	Lid and Body of T-Ampoule	Ti-6Al-4V Grade 5	2A0261
<i>O-ring, Viton® A, for T-Ampoule</i>	V-0747-M83248-1-241 O-ring AS568C-241	AMS-R-83248/1, Viton®, Parker Compound V0747-75 or approved equivalent O-ring, 100% virgin Viton®A per SAE AMS 7276G, 75 Durometer Shore A	2A0261, Item 3
<i>Sample Container-1 (SC-1) Assembly</i>	Lid and Body of SC-1	Ti-6Al-4V Grade 5	2A0268
<i>Sample Container-2 (SC-2) Assembly</i>	Lid and Body of SC-2	Ti-6Al-4V Grade 5	2A0265
<i>O-ring, Viton® A, for SC-1 and SC-2</i>	V-0747-M83248-1-147 O-ring AS568C-147	AMS-R83248/1, Viton®, Parker Compound V0747-75 or approved equivalent O-ring, 100% virgin Viton®A per SAE AMS 7276G, 75 Durometer Shore A	2A0268, Item 3 2A0265, Item 3
<i>Inner Cradle</i>	Titanium Cradle for SC-1 or SC-2	Ti-6Al-4V Grade 5	2A0385

^a Drawings are provided in Appendix I.3.2.

7.1.2.1 Loading the *T-Ampoule Assembly*

The *T-Ampoule Assembly* has three basic loading configurations (see Section 1.2 for description):

- Plutonium metal hollow cylinder (831 and 731 gram weights)
- *Sample Container-1* in the *Inner Cradle* (3 of these containers)
- *Sample Container-2* in the *Inner Cradle* (2 of these containers)

The process for loading the plutonium metal, hollow cylinder or sample containers into the *T-Ampoule Assembly* is expected to proceed under the following conditions:

- The *T-Ampoule Assembly* (2A0261) loading operation with a plutonium metal hollow cylinder is performed in a glove box or glove bag with less than ambient atmospheric air pressure, with adequate exhaust filtration, and with appropriate control of surface cleanliness per established requirements for the glove box or glove bag being used.
- Only one type of plastic bagging or tagging material is permitted in the TB-1 per shipment for use external to the sample containers and for labeling of the T-Ampoule. The weight limits are specified below.
 - High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) 70 gram
 - Other polyethylene (PE) 70 gram
 - Polyvinylchloride (PVC) 2.9 gram
 - Teflon (PTFE) 28.9 gram
- The *SC-1* or *SC-2* sample containers have been leak tested to 10^{-3} atm cc/s per Section 8.2.2.

- The sample container (*SC-1* or *SC-2*) loading operation with plutonium metal is performed in a glove box or glove bag with less than ambient atmospheric air pressure with adequate exhaust filtration, and with appropriate control of surface cleanliness for requirements established for the glove box or glove bag being used. The sample containers with plutonium metal content may be loaded into the *T-Ampoule Assembly* in the glove box or glove bag or in an open front hood or downdraft room.
- If sample containers are used, the *Inner Cradle* (2A0385) is already installed into the *T-Ampoule Assembly*.
- Appropriate processing, handling, and contamination control is implemented to maintain an uncontaminated (\leq free release limits) *T-Ampoule Assembly* exterior and *SC-1* and *SC-2* sample container exterior as required per user facility requirements.

Note: The free release limits are described in Appendix D of 10 CFR Part 835. This information is used to identify the need for posting of contamination and high contamination areas in accordance with §835.603(e) and (f) and identifying the need for surface contamination monitoring and control in accordance with §§835.1101 and 835.1102. DOE Order 5400.5 provides the surface contamination guidelines in Chapter IV, Figure IV-1 for DOE sites. The exterior surface of the containers are decontaminated using industry accepted decontamination procedures and surface measurements performed using standard Health Physics survey measurement equipment per user facility requirements.

- The T-Ampoule Assembly shall be serialized, identified, and marked as necessary to identify its contents, and visually inspected to ensure proper assembly and freedom from significant defects. Data is recorded as appropriate.

Steps for loading the *T-Ampoule Assembly*:

1. Visually inspect the parts and materials listed in Table 7-1 for major imperfections,* damage, and cleanliness and ensure that they are ready for loading the specified content.
2. Follow specific content-loading instructions:
 - Plutonium metal hollow cylinder – See Section 7.1.2.1.1
 - *Sample Container-1 (SC-1)* or *Sample Container-2 (SC-2) Assemblies* – See Section 7.1.2.1.2.
3. Visually inspect the *O-ring*, *Viton*[®] *A*, *Parker Compound* installed in the *Body*, *T-Ampoule* (2A0259) to ensure that it is properly lubricated and in place. Flood the interior of the T-Ampoule using the tracer gas for the pre-shipment leak test within the facility-approved glove box or glove bag. See Section 7.1.2.2.

* Major imperfections are flaws, imperfections, or faults that will or may compromise the intended functionality of the assembly.

4. Engage and tighten the *Lid, T-Ampoule* (2A0260) with strap wrenches until the lid and body flange are fully seated.

Note: Because the *T-Ampoule Assembly* is designed with a bore seal, a specified torque is not required for proper closure of the *T-Ampoule Assembly*.

5. Verify that the weight of the *T-Ampoule Assembly* with its contents does not exceed 2035 g.

Note: This total weight does not include the weight of the *Ring, Filler*.

6. Clean and inspect the exterior of the *T-Ampoule Assembly* using standard health physics, swipe tests for plutonium contamination.

7. Implement appropriate processing, handling, and contamination control to maintain an uncontaminated (i.e., \leq free release limits) *T-Ampoule Assembly* exterior.

Note: The *T-Ampoule Assembly* is not a containment boundary. ~~Thus, any leakage rate test requirements are user defined.~~ It is used to maintain product quality and to provide a eutectic prevention barrier. The pre-shipment leakage rate test requirement is 10^{-3} atm cc/s. See Sections 8.1.4 and 8.2.2.1.

Table 7-2. Parts List for T-Ampoule Loading

Quantity (each)	Item	Drawing/Specification
1	<i>T-Ampoule Assembly</i>	2A0261
	<i>Body, T-Ampoule</i>	2A0259
	<i>Lid, T-Ampoule</i>	2A0260
1	<i>O-ring, Viton[®] A, Parker Compound, for T-Ampoule, AS568BC-241 (2-241)</i>	2A0261, Item 3
As required for hollow metal cylinder or samples shipped in SC-1 or SC-2 Sample Containers (see below)	Tantalum Foil	0.001- to 0.009-in. thickness, minimum purity ranges - 99.75% (3N5), 99.98% (3N8) to 99.99% (4N)
As required for supplemental packing of hollow metal cylinder or samples shipped in SC-1 or SC-2 (see below)	Copper Foam	ERG Duocel Open Celled Copper Foam, 10% density, Alloy C10100 oxygen free electronic grade copper conforming to ASTM F68 Class II or better.
Lubricant for T-Ampoule, SC-1 and SC-2	Silicone Grease	Dow Corning High Vacuum Grease or equal
For sample shipments, either SC-1 or SC-2 sample containers are used.		
1	<i>Inner Cradle</i>	2A0385
3	<i>Sample Container-1 (SC-1) Assembly</i>	2A0268
	<i>O-ring, Viton[®] A, Parker Compound, for SC-1, AS568BC-147 (2-147)</i>	2A0268, Item 3
	<i>Lid, Sample Container-1 (SC-1)</i>	2A0267
	<i>Body, Sample Container-1 (SC-2)</i>	2A0264
2	<i>Sample Container-2 (SC-2) Assembly</i>	2A0265
	<i>O-ring, Viton[®] A, Parker Compound, for SC-2, AS568BC-147 (2-147)</i>	2A0265, Item 3
	<i>Lid, Sample Container-2 (SC-2)</i>	2A0269
	<i>Body, Sample Container-2 (SC-2)</i>	2A0266

7.1.2.1.1 Loading the Plutonium Metal, Hollow Cylinder

The plutonium metal, hollow cylinder described in Section 1.2 is may be wrapped with tantalum foil or not wrapped based on operational determination and loaded in the *T-Ampoule Assembly*.

The plutonium metal hollow cylinder is then packed in the *T-Ampoule Assembly* with crushed tantalum foil. There are no positioning requirements for the plutonium metal, hollow cylinder.

The process for loading the plutonium metal hollow cylinder should proceed under the following conditions:

- The plutonium metal hollow cylinder loading operation is performed in a glove box with less than ambient atmospheric air pressure, with adequate exhaust filtration, and with appropriate control of surface cleanliness per established requirements for the glove box or glove bag being used.
- The plutonium metal hollow cylinder may be serialized, identified, and marked as necessary for data recording purposes, per site requirements.
- The plutonium metal hollow cylinder is ready for loading in the glove box or glove bag.
- Tools needed:
 - Strap wrenches – optional
 - User-supplied suction device
 - User-specified gripping tool such as tongs
 - Scale – 1% accuracy

Steps for loading the plutonium metal hollow cylinder into the *T-Ampoule Assembly*:

1. Visually inspect the parts and materials listed in Table 7-2 for major imperfections, damage, and cleanliness, and ensure that they are ready for loading the specified content.
 - Major imperfections of the metal components are cracks, pinholes, dents, deformed threads, and scratches (>0.001 in. deep).
 - Major imperfections of the O-ring are any indication of marring or chafing (i.e., scuff marks), or the O-ring does not have a round cross section.
2. In the glove box, weigh the plutonium metal hollow cylinder and record the weight.
3. Determine whether the plutonium metal hollow cylinder will be wrapped in tantalum foil or not. If the determination is to wrap the cylinder in foil, go to Step 3.a. If the determination is to leave the cylinder unwrapped, go to Step 4.
- 3.a. Wrap the plutonium metal hollow cylinder in tantalum foil and tuck in the foil ends.
4. Determine whether the plutonium metal hollow cylinder will be packed in the T-Ampoule using crushed tantalum foil, ~~copper foam~~ or no supplemental packing material. If the determination is to pack the hollow cylinder using crushed tantalum foil, go to Step 4.a. If the determination is to ~~not use packing material~~, ~~pack the hollow cylinder using copper foam~~, go to Step 4.b. ~~Otherwise~~ go to Step 5.
- 4.a. Add crushed tantalum foil to the open *Body, T-Ampoule*. Go to Step 5.

~~4.b. Place copper foam in the open Body, T-Ampoule.~~

5. Place the plutonium metal hollow cylinder into the *Body, T-Ampoule*. Add additional crushed tantalum foil as necessary to the sides and top based on operational determination.
6. Install the *Lid, T-Ampoule* and complete loading of the *T-Ampoule Assembly* according to the instructions in Section 7.1.2.1.
7. Weigh the loaded *T-Ampoule Assembly* with contents.
8. Record the weight of the loaded *T-Ampoule Assembly* with contents.

Caution: The maximum weight of the *T-Ampoule Assembly* with contents and *Ring, Filler* shall not exceed 2.1 kg (4.7 lb).

7.1.2.1.2 Loading the Sample Containers (SC-1 [3 each] or SC-2 [2 each])

The plutonium metal sample contents, either bare or wrapped with tantalum foil, as described in Section 1.2 are packed in the sample containers. The sample containers are positioned and held in place, inside the *T-Ampoule Assembly* using an *Inner Cradle*, as depicted in Figures 1-3 and 1-4. Sample containers (*SC-1* and *SC-2*) shall not be comingled in the same *T-Ampoule Assembly*.

The process for loading plutonium (Pu) samples into the sample containers should proceed under the following conditions:

- The sample container loading operation is performed in a glove box or glove bag with less than ambient atmospheric air pressure, with adequate exhaust filtration, and with appropriate control of surface cleanliness per established requirements for the glove box or glove bag being used.
- The plutonium metal samples are properly prepared and ready for loading in the glove box or glove bag.
- The cradle is installed in the *T-Ampoule Assembly* per Section 7.1.2.1.3.
- The sample containers have been inspected and the O-rings properly lubricated and in place.
- Tools needed:
 - Strap wrenches – optional
 - User-supplied suction device
 - User-specified gripping tool such as tongs
 - Scale – 1% accuracy

Steps for loading the sample containers:

1. Visually inspect the parts and materials listed in Table 7-2 for major imperfections, damage, and cleanliness to ensure that they are ready for loading the specified content.
 - Major imperfections of the metal components are cracks, pinholes, dents, deformed threads, and scratches (>0.001 in. deep).
 - Major imperfections of the O-ring are any indication of marring or chafing (i.e., scuff marks), or the O-ring does not have a round cross section.
2. Verify that the *Inner Cradle* components (2A0385) are properly installed in the *T-Ampoule Assembly* (see Section 7.1.2.1.3) and that the *T-Ampoule Assembly* is ready for loading.
3. In the glove box or glove bag, weigh and record the plutonium metal.
4. Determine whether the plutonium metal contents will be wrapped in tantalum foil or not. If the determination is to wrap the contents in foil, go to Step 4.a. If the determination is to leave the contents unwrapped, go to Step 5.
- 4.a. Wrap the contents in tantalum foil.
5. Determine whether the plutonium metal contents will be packed in the sample container (*SC-1*, 2A0268 or *SC-2*, 2A0265) using crushed tantalum foil, ~~copper foam~~, or no supplemental packing material. If the determination is to pack the contents using crushed tantalum foil, go to Step 5.a. If the determination is to not use packing material, ~~pack the plutonium metal contents in copper foam, go to Step 5.b. Otherwise,~~ go to Step 6.
- 5.a. Add crushed tantalum foil to the open *Body, Sample Container-1*, 2A0264 or *Body, Sample Container 2*, 2A0266. Go to Step 6.
- ~~5.b. Place copper foam in the open *Body, Sample Container-1*, 2A0264 or *Body, Sample Container-2*, 2A0266.~~
6. Place the plutonium metal contents into the *Body, Sample Container-1*, 2A0264 or *Body Sample Container-2*, 2A0266.
7. Visually inspect the *O-ring*, ~~Parker Compound~~ *Viton® A*, for *SC-1*, 2A0268, Item 3 or *SC-2*, 2A0265, Item 3 to ensure that it is properly lubricated and in place.
8. Engage and tighten by hand the *Lid, Sample Container (SC-1, 2A0267 or SC-2, 2A0269)* until the lid and body flange are fully seated. Hand tightening until seated is only required for proper closure of the *SC-1* or *SC-2*.
9. Weigh the sample container and record the weight.

Note: The maximum weight of the *T-Ampoule Assembly* with contents and *Ring, Filler* should not exceed 2.1 kg (4.7 lb).

10. Lift the sample container with a user-supplied suction pickup and place into the *Inner Cradle*.
11. Install the Spacer (2A0385-040 [2 each] for *SC-1* or 2A0385-045 [1 each] for *SC-2*) between the *SC-1s* and *SC-2s* as depicted in Figure 1-4 for the *SC-1s* or in Figure 1-3 for the *SC-2s* in this addendum with a user-specified gripping tool such as tongs. Visually center the Spacer within the *Inner Cradle*.
12. Repeat Steps 3 through ~~8 (only to Step 7 for the SC-2 configuration)~~ 11 until sample containers are in place in the Inner Cradle.

Note: Loading facility personnel have the option of preloading and weighing the contents of the *SC-1s* and *SC-2s* (Steps 3-6) and ~~loading~~ attaching the lid and weighing the sample container by repeating Steps 7 and 8.

13. Install the *Lid*, *T-Ampoule* and complete loading of the *T-Ampoule Assembly* per Section 7.1.2.1.

7.1.2.1.3 Installation and Removal of the Inner Cradle

7.1.2.1.3.1 Installation of the Inner Cradle

For shipment of *SC-1* or *SC-2* sample containers, an *Inner Cradle* is used to maintain position for normal conditions of transport (NCT). The minimum requirements for cradle installation are presented below; the user site shall prepare detailed installation instructions. Review Drawing 2A0385 (*Inner Cradle*) for assembly view and parts listing. The cradle components are installed as follows:

1. Position *Body*, *T-Ampoule* (2A0259) so that it is upright. Install Dish in Body (2A0385-020) in *Body*, *T-Ampoule*. The dish is not indexed.
2. Install four flat Leg(s) (2A0385-010) into slots in the Dish in Body. Position the legs so they are flush against the *Body*, *T-Ampoule* wall sides.
3. Install the Lock Ring (2A0385-030) so that it locks into the slots at the top of the leg. The correct assembly of the dish and legs will have the flat legs flush against the *Body*, *T-Ampoule* wall sides equidistant from each other.
4. Position *Lid*, *T-Ampoule* (2A0260) so that it is upright. Install the Dish in Lid (2A0383-025) in the *Lid*, *T-Ampoule*. The dish is not indexed.
5. Install four flat Leg(s) (2A0385-015) into slots in the upper dish. Position legs so that they are flush against the *Lid*, *T-Ampoule* wall sides.
6. Install Lock Ring (2A0385-030) so that it locks into the slots at the top of the leg. The correct assembly of the dish and legs will have the flat legs flush against the *Lid*, *T-Ampoule* wall sides equidistant from each other.
7. Measure distance (7.692 ± 0.005 cm [3.030 ± 0.002 in.] shown in Drawing 2A0385 of this addendum) between two opposite legs to verify proper gap.

8. Verify that the Spacer(s) (2A0385-040 [2 each] for SC-1 or 2A0385-045 [1 each] for SC-2) are available for sample container loading operations identified in Section 7.1.2.1.2, Step 11 of this addendum.
9. The installation of the Spacer(s) is a step in the *SC-1* and *SC-2* loading procedures.

7.1.2.1.3.2 Removal of the Inner Cradle

Steps for removing the cradle components from the T-Ampoule:

1. Position *Body, T-Ampoule* (2A02659) so that it is upright. Remove Lock Ring from Leg(s).
2. Remove four flat Leg(s).
3. Remove Dish in Body from *Body, T-Ampoule*.
4. Position *Lid, T-Ampoule* (2A0260) so that it is upright. Remove Lock Ring from Leg(s).
5. Remove four Leg(s).
6. Remove Dish in Lid from *Lid, T-Ampoule*.
7. Inspect parts for damage and place all removed parts into labeled container for storage.
8. Verify that the Spacer(s) (2A0385-040 [2] for SC-1 or 2A0385-045 [1] for SC-2) are included with the stored items.

Note: The removal of the Spacer(s) is a step in the SC-1 and SC-2 unloading procedures.

7.1.2.2 Loading the T-Ampoule Assembly into the TB-1 Containment Vessel (1017) and Evacuation and Backfill of TB-1 with Tracer Gas

The parts and materials required for loading the *T-Ampoule Assembly* into the TB-1 are listed in Table 7-3.

During the *T-Ampoule* loading process, the contents are loaded and the *T-Ampoule* is filled with tracer gas such as helium prior to closure, using standard filling techniques. The *T-Ampoule* is then leak tested to 10^{-3} atm cc/s per ANSI N14.5 as described in Section 8.2.2.1.

The Sandia National Laboratories TB-1 Leak Test Requirements document included in Section 8.3.2 and referenced in Section 8.2.2.2 provides guidance for pre-shipment leakage rate testing of the *TB-1* containment vessel. The preferred technique to fill the *TB-1* with tracer gas is evacuate and backfill; the tracer gas concentration is calculated using the partial pressure of the tracer gas. The leak test procedure shall reference ANSI N14.5 – 97 Appendix A Leakage Test Methods and Procedures using Method A.5.4 Evacuated Envelope (Gas Detector); also referred to as a Bell Jar Technique. Detailed leak testing procedures shall be developed by the user facility using the TB-1 Leak Test Requirements document as a guide. Sandia National Laboratories can provide a

sample leakage rate test procedure for the preparation and testing of the *TB-1* container upon request. The procedure provided by Sandia is for reference only and all responsibility for performing the leak test remains with the user.

Table 7-3. Parts List for Loading the T-Ampoule into the TB-1

Quantity	Item	Drawing/Specification
1 each	<i>TB-1 Shipping Vessel Assembly^a</i>	2A0263
1 each	<i>Body, TB</i>	1022
1 each	<i>Lid, TB</i>	1020
1 each	<i>Gasket, Copper</i>	1019
1 each	O-ring, Viton®, Parker No. V747-75-242	1017, Item 5
12 each	<i>Bolt, Socket, Head, Special 0.500-20</i>	1018
1 each	<i>Lifting, Sling (with Grommets installed)</i>	1017

Table 7-3. Parts List for Loading the T-Ampoule into the TB-1 (Continued)

Quantity	Item	Drawing/Specification
1 each	<i>T-Ampoule Assembly</i> loaded with contents and filled with a tracer gas such as helium from Section 7.1.2	2A0261
3 each	Screw, Cap, Hex Head, 0.250-28 × 0.750 in. long	1017, Item 7, MS90726-6
3 each	Washer	1017, Item 8, MS27183-10
1 each	<i>Ring, Filler</i>	2A0262
As Required	Silicone grease	General Electric G-624 (MIL-S-8660) ⁷ or Dow Corning DC-4 (MIL-S-8660)
As Required	Labels, Nuclear Material	See Section 7.1.2.1.
As Required	Labels, Identification	See Section 7.1.2.1.
As Required	System consists of a mass spectrometer type leak detector with suitable test chamber (Bell Jar) that completely encloses the product (TB-1), auxiliary pressurization equipment (Evacuate and Backfill Chamber, certified tracer gas such as helium), instrumentation necessary for performance of the test under specified conditions, Calibrated Leaks, and Handling equipment	Sandia National Laboratories TB-1 Leak Test Requirements (See Section 8.2.2 and 8.3.2.)

^a This part results from the assembly sequence. See drawing 2A0263 for assembly.

Steps for loading the *T-Ampoule Assembly* into the TB-1 *Containment Vessel* and preparation for tracer gas filling and leakage rate testing:

1. Visually inspect the components of the TB-1 *Containment Vessel* (see Table 7-3) for defects, damage, cleanliness, and for correct part numbers.

Note: See Section 7.1.1(d) for minor repairs to the knife-edge seal.

2. Use the inspection results in Step 1 and take the necessary steps to ensure that the TB-1 *Containment Vessel* components are in conditions necessary to ensure that the resultant package meets the requirements specified in 10 CFR 71.87.

3. Perform radiation contamination swipes to verify that nonfixed contamination levels are ALARA and within the specified limits prior to loading into the TB-1.
4. Place the Body, TB (1022) in the Evacuate and Backfill Chamber.
- ~~4. Place the loaded T-Ampoule Assembly into the Body, TB (1022).~~
5. Place the tracer gas filled and loaded *T-Ampoule Assembly* into the *Body, TB (1022)*.
6. Place and match the *Filler, Ring* into the *T-Ampoule Assembly* curved surface.

7.1.2.3 Tracer Gas Filling and Closing the TB-1 Containment Vessel

Steps for tracer gas filling, closing and leak testing the TB-1:

1. Install an unused Gasket, Copper into the seal groove at the top of the Body, TB.

Note: This is a single-use seal.

- ~~2. Coat the elastomeric O-ring, Biton® with Silicone Grease (see Table 7-3) and install it into the groove in Lid, TB (1020)~~

~~Note: The O-ring for the TB-1 Containment Vessel is replaced after every third use for radioactive material transport or annually, whichever occurs first.~~

3. Insert the Lid, TB into the Body, TB, taking care not to damage the ~~O-ring~~ knife-edge seal surfaces.
 - 3a. Verify edge of copper gasket is visible through one of the bolt holes.
- ~~4. Install three Bolt, Socket, Head, Special 0.500-20 (1018), finger tight, through the Lid, TB into the Body, TB.~~
4. Install cover of Evacuate and Backfill Chamber, tighten fasteners. Complete the following steps to fill TB-1 with tracer gas:
 - 4.1 Record the atmospheric pressure.
 - 4.2 Evacuate the TB-1 to less than 1 Torr (or equivalent).

Note: Reducing the pressure to less than 1 Torr provides a near 100% concentration of tracer gas.
 - 4.3 Backfill the TB-1 with tracer gas (purge all lines and fitting with tracer gas before assembly) to atmospheric pressure.
 - 4.4 Remove Evacuate and Backfill Chamber cover, install three Bolt, Socket, Head, Special 0.500-20 (1018) designated lid bolt in cover symmetrically (positions 1, 5, and 9) and torque bolts to 14 N·m (10 ft·lb).
 - 4.5 Remove the TB-1 from the Evacuate and Backfill Chamber.
 - 4.6 Flush open lid bolt holes with nitrogen, add remaining lid bolts and torque to 14 N·m (10 ft·lb).
 - 4.7 Remove lid bolts 1, 5, and 9, flush bolt holes with nitrogen.
 - 4.8 Reinstall lid bolts 1, 5, and 9, tighten to 14 N·m (10 ft·lb).
5. Tighten the 12 lid bolts, in two steps, in the following sequence: 1-7, 4-10, 2-8, 11-5, 3-9, 12-6. Tighten all bolts to 68 N·m (50 ft·lb) in the first step and to 102 N·m (75 ft·lb) in the second step.

Note: Do not use an impact wrench.

- 5a. Determine tracer gas concentration from the evacuate and backfill technique. The tracer gas can be calculated using the partial pressure of the tracer gas.

$$\% \text{ concentration} = (\text{tracer gas pressure} / \text{total vessel pressure}) \times 100$$

6. Attach the Lifting, Sling (1017) to the Lid, TB using the three Screw, Cap, Hex Head (0.250-28 × 0.750 in.) (1017) and Washers (1017).

~~Note: The Lifting, Sling remains with the TB-1 during loading into and unloading from the Overpack, AQ.~~

- ~~7. Perform a preshipment leakage rate test as specified in Section 8.2.3 of the SAR1. The TB-1 Containment Vessel (assembled Lid, TB, and Body, TB) is assembled in accordance with the steps above and a leakage test shall be completed on the TB-1 Containment Vessel with its radioactive contents. This test shall indicate leakages less than 10^{-3} std atm cm³/sec. An acceptable test would be one in which the TB-1 is placed in a closely fitting chamber, a vacuum is rapidly drawn, and any subsequent rise in chamber pressure is correlated with leakage rate.~~

8. Place assembled TB-1 with tracer gas into the Bell Jar, remove Lifting, Sling and attaching hardware.

9. Place cover of Bell Jar and secure.

10. Perform test using Evacuated Envelope Technique (Bell Jar Technique) under these conditions:

- 10.1 The copper seal is in place based on prior verification.

- 10.2 The leak rate of the TB-1 container shall be determined by placing a TB-1 and T-Ampoule, that has been properly filled with tracer gas and assembled, into a Bell Jar.

- 10.3 The Bell Jar is sealed and connected to a tracer gas leak detector.

- 10.4 The Bell Jar shall also contain a second port that allows for a calibration standard to be attached.

- 10.5 The tracer gas leak detector shall evacuate the Bell Jar to approximately 1 atm of pressure differential.

- 10.6 The tracer gas behind the copper seal is limited and the evacuation (evacuation time and test duration) shall be limited.

- 10.7 After the steady state leak rate is reached, a leak standard with a known leak shall be introduced into the Bell Jar and into the tracer gas leak detector.

- 10.8 The tracer gas leak detector should respond to the known leak within the amount of time required to reach steady state initially and to the degree equal to the leak rate value of the calibrated leak.

- 10.9 If the tracer gas detector does not respond in amplitude equal to the leak rate value of the calibrated leak, the final leak rate value shall be corrected using a correction factor as provided below:

$$\text{LRCF} = \text{LR}_{\text{Std}} / (\text{LR}_{\text{Std Open}} - \text{LR}_{\text{Std Closed}})$$

LRCF = Leak Rate Correction Factor

LR_{Std} = The temperature corrected leak rate of the standard leak

LR_{Std Open} = The leak detector leak rate reading with the standard leak open

LR_{Std Closed} = The leak detector leak rate reading with the standard leak closed

Note: The formula above corrects for any loss of sensitivity that occurs through the test setup, a separate correction factor is required if the trace gas concentration is not 100%).

- 10.10 The acceptance criteria for the TB-1 containment vessel is an air leak not to exceed a leakage rate of 1×10^{-7} ref. cc/s or equivalent.
- 10.11 Test Records – Record data as specified in Sandia National Laboratories TB-1 Leak Test Requirements, Section 6.0.
- 10.12 Remove tested TB-1 with Lifting Sling.

7.1.2.4 Loading the TB-1 / T-Ampoule Shipping Vessel Assembly into the Overpack, AQ

The parts and materials required for loading the *TB-1 / T-Ampoule Shipping Vessel Assembly* into the *Overpack, AQ* are listed in Table 7-4. These parts are visually inspected for major imperfections, damage, cleanliness, and the presence of the correct part number.

- Major imperfections of the metal components are cracks, pinholes, dents, deformed threads, and scratches (>0.001 in. deep).
- Major imperfections of the *T-Ampoule* and *SC-1* and *SC-2* O-rings are any indication of marring or chafing (i.e., scuff marks), or the O-ring does not have a round cross section.

Use these inspection results and take the necessary steps to ensure that the TB-1 Containment Vessel components are in conditions necessary to ensure that the resultant package meets the requirements specified in 10 CFR 71.87.

The tool list for this procedure includes the following:

- Calibrated hand tools with a tolerance of $\pm 5\%$ for package assembly.

Table 7-4. Parts List for Loading the TB-1 Containment Vessel into the AQ-1 Overpack

Quantity	Item	Drawing Number
1 each	<i>TB-1 Shipping Vessel Assembly</i>	2A0263
1 each	<i>Overpack, AQ</i>	1002
1 each	<i>Container Subassembly</i>	1003
1 each	<i>Plug, Removable</i>	1014
1 each	<i>Disc, Removable</i>	1002, Item 10
1 each	<i>Plug, Removable</i>	1013
1 each	<i>Cover, Liner</i>	1007
1 each	<i>Ring, Clamp, Modified</i> with 4 in. 304 SST 0.625-11 hex head bolt and 0.625-11 SST hex locking nut supplied as part of drum (Drawing 1004)	1006
1 each	<i>Cover, Modified</i>	1005
23 each	Screw Cap, Hexagon Head	1002 Item 10, MS90726-60
1 each	<i>Pad, Installation</i>	1027
1 each	<i>Pad, Installation</i>	1026
As required	Security wire and seal [This is a tamper indicating device (TID).]	

Steps for loading the *TB-1 Shipping Vessel Assembly* (2A0263) into the *Overpack, AQ* (1002):

1. Perform the required contamination swipes to verify that nonfixed contamination levels are ALARA and within the limits specified in 49 CFR 173.443⁵ prior to loading *TB-1 Shipping Vessel Assembly* into the *Overpack, AQ*.
2. Set the *Container Subassembly* (1003) of the *Overpack, AQ* upright prior to loading the *TB-1 Shipping Vessel Assembly*.
3. Visually inspect the removable components of the *Overpack, AQ* (see Table 7-4) for defects, damage, cleanliness, and correct part number.
4. Load the *TB-1 Shipping Vessel Assembly* into the *Overpack, AQ-1* assembly using the Lifting, Sling and ensuring that the *TB-1 Shipping Vessel Assembly* is fully seated. This operation is performed manually because the total weight of the TB-1 is less than 22.7 kg (50 lb). A small hoist (453.6 kg [1000 lb] capacity) may be used to lift the TB-1; however, it is not necessary.

Note: The Lifting, Sling remains with the TB-1 during loading into and unloading from the *Overpack, AQ*.

5. Place the smaller inner wooden plug (*Plug, Removable* 1014) on top of the *TB-1 Shipping Vessel Assembly*, aligning the notches in the plug to clear the Sling, Lifting cap screws in the TB-1.
6. Insert, in order, the (1) aluminum disk (*Disc, Removable* (1002)), (2) outer wooden plug (*Plug, Removable* 1013), and (3) the inner larger insulation pad (*Pad, Installation* (1026)), which is centered.
7. Insert, in order, (1) the *Cover, Liner* (1007), (2) the outer smaller insulation pad (*Pad, Installation* [1027]), which is centered, (3) the *Cover, Modified* (1005), and (4) the *Ring, Clamp, Modified* (1006).

Note: During installation, align the index marks on the *Cover, Liner*, the *Cover, Modified*, and the *Ring, Clamp, Modified*, with the index mark on the *Overpack, AQ-1*. A tapered pin is used to line up the holes with the index marks.

8. Install the 23 screw caps, Hexagon Head (MS90726-60) (1002), finger tight, through the assembled clamp ring and covers. Start by installing one hexagon head screw into the hole by the index mark, and tighten until finger tight. Install the remaining hexagon head screws one-by-one: one to left of the first screw, then one to the right of the first screw, working back and forth toward the gap in the clamp ring. Use a tapered pin to help line up these holes.

Note: If some of the screws are faulty and will not screw in, replace them with new certified hexagon head screws.

9. Tighten the 23 hexagon head screws to 20.3 N·m (15 ft-lb).

CAUTION: Impact wrenches should not be used. For Steps, 8-10, use calibrated hand tools with a tolerance of $\pm 5\%$ for closure of the package.

10. Install the 4-in.-long hexagon head screw in the clamp ring and tighten to 68 N·m (50 ft-lb).

Note: Impact wrenches should not be used.

11. Install the locking nut on the 4-in.-long screw. Hold a wrench on the screw to keep it from turning, and torque the nut to 41 N·m (30 ft-lb).

A security wire and seal (tamper indicating device [TID]) are installed through the holes provided in the clamp ring lugs.

7.1.3 Preparation for Transport

7.1.3.1 PAT-1 Package Handling

Based on the proposed maximum weight of 1300 g (2.87 lb) plutonium metal, the Criticality Safety Index (CSI) is 0.1.

The PAT-1 package is handled using hoists or forklifts and a strap or specially made drum handling equipment to lift and rotate the package. Operating procedures shall include requirements for safe handling of the package and requirements to limit clamping pressures on the forklift drum handling equipment to prevent damage to the *PAT-1 Assembly* (1001).

7.1.3.2 PAT-1 Package Decontamination

The PAT-1 package may be placed in areas subject to contamination. If the package becomes contaminated, each user shall prepare procedures to decontaminate packages. The procedures should consider, at a minimum, the following:

- Exterior of the package is stainless steel.
- Package vent holes are sealed with plastic plugs.
- Labels and markings on the package must be legible.
- Cleaning solution must be checked for radioactive contamination after use.

7.1.3.3 Requirements Prior to Transport of PAT-1 Package

Prepare the PAT-1 for transport as follows:

1. The onsite movement of this package to storage or to a staging area will be performed in accordance with approved facility procedures.
2. The procedure for loading the PAT-1 package onto the shipping skid is presented below:
 - a. Skid – The PAT-1 is loaded on a PAT-1 wooden skid for transportation and handling. ASTM D 3953⁸ describes proper strapping of the PAT-1 to the wooden skid. All wooden items (wood crates, shipping containers, dunnage, and pallets) are to conform to the appropriate U.S., United Nations (UN), and European Union (EU) legislation regarding *bursaphelenchus xylophilus*, commonly known as the pine wood nematode, and is to be marked appropriately. The containers are typically marked with the letters “HT,” which means heat treatment and is described on the following website:
http://www.aphis.usda.gov/import_export/plants/plant_exports/wpm/wpm_heat_treatment.shtml). General information discusses the requirement for the wood and is located at the following website:
http://www.aphis.usda.gov/import_export/plants/plant_exports/wpm/index.shtml. Procurement documentation for the heat-treated wood shall be retained by SNL. The PAT-1 is steel-banded to the skid that is provided with the AQ-1 overpack for transportation and handling.
 - b. Lifting Equipment – An overhead hoist of the required capacity with a nylon strap may be used to load the PAT-1 package onto the PAT-1 skid. If a suitable overhead hoist is unavailable, a forklift may be used with a nylon strap attached to the tines. Alternately, a forklift equipped with nonmarring side grippers or a forklift drum lifter/rotator designed for the 24 ½ in. diameter of the PAT-1 with

- c. 226.8 kg (500 lb) capacity (with safety factor) can be used. Gripping pressure over a 1 ft² area on each side is not to exceed 40 psi (5760 lb). The gripper equipment must meet the requirements of 29 CFR 1910.178.⁹
- d. Handling – The PAT-1 is easily hoisted or lowered with a nylon strap attached to midpoint of the upright or horizontal PAT-1, either by the hoist or forklift. For loading onto the skid, the PAT-1 is positioned over the skid and slowly lowered.
- e. Transport – The skid mounted PAT-1 may be moved, after being properly secured, with a forklift from one location to another or loaded onto a truck for transport to an aircraft loading facility.

The shipper shall ensure that the quality control requirements of 49 CFR 173.475⁵ and the routine determination requirements of 10 CFR 71.87² have been satisfied prior to each shipment. The detailed operating procedures of 10 CFR 71.87(f) shall provide evidence that these requirements are met and shall include, at a minimum, the process steps listed below for preparing the PAT-1 for transport:

1. Verify that the package is proper for the content shipped and verified with the appropriate records by the user prior to content loading per 10 CFR 71.87(a).
2. Verify that the package is in an unimpaired physical condition per 10 CFR 71.87(b).
3. Ensure that the package closure devices are properly installed, secured, and free of defects per 10 CFR 71.87(c).
4. Ensure that the containment vessel has been properly loaded and requirements for shipment have been followed, witnessed, checked, and noted in the QA log.
5. Ensure the internal pressure of the containment system does not exceed the design pressure during transportation per 10 CFR 71.85(b); there are no pressure relief devices per 10 CFR 71(e) in the package.
6. Perform surveys for nonfixed surface contamination. The surveys shall be performed in accordance with user facility procedures. The survey shall use criteria derived from the surface radioactivity guidance of 10 CFR 20.1906,³ 10 CFR 71.87(i),² 49 CFR 173.443,⁵ or the user's site-specific criteria, whichever is the most stringent. Surface contamination on any part of the package must not exceed the limits specified in 49 CFR 173.443, Table 9.⁵
7. Perform radiation measurements. Emanations must not exceed the limits prescribed in 49 CFR 173.441.⁵ Measuring equipment used for surveys must be calibrated and of sufficient accuracy. The radiation (gamma, neutron) emanating from the package shall be measured before the package is released for transport per 10 CFR 71.47 and 71.87(j).² The radiation dose rate is measured at the surface to ensure that it does not exceed the expected or allowable dose rate. It is also measured at 1 meter from the package to determine the package's transportation index (TI).

8. Mark and label the outside of the package in conformance with 49 CFR 172,¹⁰ Sections D and E, 49 CFR 172.310, 172.400, and 172.403 (classified information shall not be revealed).
9. Verify that the package has been properly leak tested and results are noted in the QA log.
10. Ensure all lifting and handling equipment is available and certified for use.
11. Load the PAT-1 on the skid and check strapping for transport.
12. Ensure all loading records for shipment are prepared and maintained.

7.1.3.4 Securing Package to Transport Vehicle

The PAT-1 package shall be secured against movement within the transport vehicle under conditions normally incident to transportation per 49 CFR 177.834¹¹ and 177.842(d). Detailed securing procedures shall be prepared by the shipper and include these requirements as a minimum. The loading and unloading procedures shall include measures to ensure that:

1. DOE/OST package tie down requirements are met.
2. Only an approved, DOE/OST conveyance is used.
3. All reasonable precautions are, employed to prevent motion of the vehicle during loading and unloading.
4. No tampering of packages occurs during transportation.
5. No transport vehicle is loaded or unloaded unless a qualified person, as established according to the loading or unloading procedure, is in attendance, at all times.

7.2 Package Unloading

7.2.1 Receipt of Package from Carrier

Upon receipt of the PAT-1 package from the transport carrier, the following steps must be completed:

1. The consignor (hereafter referred to as the shipper) shall provide any special instructions to the consignee (hereafter referred to as the receiver) to safely open the package per 10 CFR 71.89, including special tools and precautions for handling or unloading. These instructions shall include special actions in the event the tamper indicating device (TID) is not intact, surface contamination is too high, or radiation surveys are too high.
2. The receiver shall accept the package by surveying the conveyance and package surface for contamination and external radiation levels. The receiver's procedures shall clearly indicate that contamination and radiation surveys and inspections shall be conducted upon receipt of the package. The receiver shall, at a minimum, include the following in their procedures in accordance with 10 CFR 71.111:

- Surveying of the conveyance and package for radioactive contamination and radiation levels.
 - Examining the packaging for surface damage that may have occurred during shipping or handling.
 - Examining the TID to ensure the package has not been tampered with during transport. Reporting when a TID is not intact.
 - Ensuring that all lifting and handling equipment is available and certified for use.
3. All users shall include provisions for reporting safety concerns associated with the packaging or its use. The user shall notify the NRC in accordance with 10 CFR 20.2202, and DOE in accordance with DOE Order 231.1A and 10 CFR 20.2202. Although 10 CFR 20.2202 provides a graduated reporting period based on the severity of the incident, DOE Order 231.1A delineates specific reporting periods in accordance with the category of the occurrence. Incidents that require notification include:
- Removable radioactive surface contamination in excess of the limits specified in 10 CFR 71.87; and
 - External radiation levels in excess of the limits specified in 10 CFR 71.47.
4. At a minimum, the user shall maintain the records outlined below for the radiation protection program and the dosimetry records of all monitored individuals:
- ALARA plans and programs and their implementation
 - Individual occupational dose records
 - Monitoring and area control records
 - Monitoring method records
 - Training records of site employees, radiation workers, and radiation safety personnel
 - Records of exposure (provided to all workers)

7.2.2 Removal of Contents

This section describes the operations for unloading the contents from the PAT-1 package.

Should the TID be damaged or not intact, verify that there is no leakage of contents. Notify the shipper and the carrier about the TID. Do not proceed until the cause of damage has been determined.

The operational steps include:

1. Remove the PAT-1 from the skid, if not already performed.
2. Inspect for tampering and remove the security wire and seal.
3. Remove the 23 Screw, Cap, and Hexagon Head at the top of the package.
4. Remove the 4-in.-long hex head screw and associated lock nut from the *Ring, Clamp Modified* (1006).
5. Remove the *Ring, Clamp Modified*.
6. Remove the *Cover, Modified* (1005).
7. Remove the outer, smaller insulation pad (*Pad, Insulation* [1027]).
8. Remove the *Cover, Liner* (1007).
9. Remove the inner, larger insulation pad (*Pad, Insulation* [1026]).
10. Remove the outer wood plug (*Plug, Removable* [1013]).
11. Remove the aluminum disc (*Disc, Removable* [1002, Item 10]).
12. Remove the inner smaller wood plug (*Plug, Removable* [1014]).
13. Lift the *TB-1 Shipping Vessel Assembly* (2A0263) out of the *Overpack, AQ* (1002) using the TB-1 lifting sling.

Note: The Lifting Sling remains attached to the TB-1 during loading and unloading into the *Overpack, AQ-1*.

14. Remove the lifting sling from the *TB-1 Shipping Vessel Assembly*.

CAUTION: Pressure relief action may accompany removal of the TB-1 vessel lid. Use the following step to safely release this pressure.

1. Loosen the TB-1 closure bolts incrementally until any pressure is relieved.

7.2.2.1 Opening the TB-1 and Removing the T-Ampoule Assembly from the TB-1

See discussion in Section 7.1.1 of this addendum for facility requirements for unloading a filled *T-Ampoule Assembly*.

Steps for opening the *TB-1* and unloading the *T-Ampoule Assembly*:

6. Remove the 12 Bolt, Socket, Head (1018) that secures the *Lid, TB* (1020) to the *Body, TB* (1022).

7. Remove the *Lid, TB* from the *Body, TB*. This can be done by reinstalling the 3 screws (1017, Item 7, used for the lifting sling) and turning them evenly until the lid releases.
8. Remove *Ring, Filler* (2A0262).
9. Remove the *T-Ampoule Assembly* (2A0261) with contents from the *TB-1*. Unloading of the filled T-Ampoule is performed based on user facility requirements.
10. Reassemble the TB-1, install in the *Overpack, AQ* (1002) and place the PAT-1 package in safe storage.

7.2.2.2 **Opening the T-Ampoule Assembly in a Controlled Atmosphere**

The receiving facility will develop the necessary detailed procedures for opening the T-Ampoule loaded with a plutonium hollow cylinder or sample containers.

7.2.2.2.1 Unloading a T-Ampoule Assembly with Plutonium Metal Hollow Cylinder

The process for unloading the *T-Ampoule Assembly* with plutonium metal hollow cylinders should proceed under the following conditions.

- Unloading the *T-Ampoule Assembly* with plutonium hollow metal cylinder is performed in a glove box with less than atmospheric air pressure, with adequate exhaust filtration, and with appropriate control of surface cleanliness for requirements established for the glove box or glove bag being used.
- Radiation monitoring personnel to check for contamination.
- Tools needed:
 - Strap wrenches
 - User-specified gripping tool such as tongs

Steps for unloading the plutonium metal hollow cylinder from the *T-Ampoule Assembly*:

1. Monitor for contamination before opening the *T-Ampoule Assembly* and when readied for storage.
2. Loosen *Lid, T-Ampoule* and *Body, T-Ampoule* with strap wrenches.
3. Place *T-Ampoule Assembly* upright with *Lid, T-Ampoule* at the top.

Optional: The *T-Ampoule Assembly* may be placed horizontal for unloading plutonium metal hollow cylinders.

4. Remove the *Lid, T-Ampoule* by hand.
5. Remove plutonium metal hollow cylinder with user specified gripping tool and packing material, if used.

6. Replace *Lid, T-Ampoule* by hand.
7. Clean and inspect the exterior of the T-Ampoule Assembly using standard health physics, swipe tests for plutonium contamination.
8. Place *T-Ampoule Assembly* in storage.

7.2.2.2.2 Unloading a T-Ampoule Assembly with Sample Containers

The process for unloading the *T-Ampoule Assembly* with sample containers *SC-1* or *SC-2* should proceed under the following conditions.

- Unloading the *T-Ampoule Assembly* with *Sample Containers* is performed in a glove box or glove bag with less than atmospheric air pressure, with adequate exhaust filtration, and with appropriate control of surface cleanliness for requirements established for the glove box or glove bag being used.
- Radiation monitoring personnel to check for contamination.
- Tools needed:
 - Strap wrenches
 - User specified gripping tool such as tongs
 - User supplied suction device

Steps for unloading the *Sample Containers* from the *T-Ampoule Assembly*:

1. Monitor for contamination before opening the *T-Ampoule Assembly* and when readied for storage.
2. Loosen *Lid, T-Ampoule* and *Body, T-Ampoule* with strap wrenches.
3. Place *T-Ampoule Assembly* upright with *Lid, T-Ampoule* at the top.
4. Remove the *Lid, T-Ampoule* by hand.
5. Remove *Sample Containers* with user supplied suction device or user specified gripping tool. Remove the *Spacer(s)* between the *Sample Containers*.
6. Loosen *Lid, Sample Container* for *SC-1* or *SC-2* by hand or with strap wrenches.
7. Remove contents and packing from the *Sample Container(s)*.
8. Replace *Lid, Sample Container* for *SC-1* or *SC-2* by hand.
9. Place empty assembled *Sample Containers* and *Spacers* in *Body, T-Ampoule* using a user supplied suction device or user specified gripping tool.
10. Replace *Lid, T-Ampoule* by hand.

11. Clean and inspect the exterior of the *T-Ampoule Assembly* using standard health physics, swipe tests for plutonium contamination.
12. Place *T-Ampoule Assembly* in storage.

7.3 Preparation of Empty Package for Transport

If the empty PAT-1 package is to be transported, the following procedure shall be employed. Note that the empty PAT-1 package may be shipped without a *T-Ampoule Assembly*. Section 7.3.1 applies when the PAT-1 is shipped with an empty *T-Ampoule Assembly*. Section 7.3.2 applies when the PAT-1 is shipped without a T-Ampoule.

Note: When storing or preparing an empty TB-1 vessel for return shipment, care should be taken to avoid damaging the knife-edges (which engage the copper gasket) on the lid and body of the vessel.

7.3.1 Transporting the PAT-1 Package with an Empty T-Ampoule

1. Ensure that the contents have been removed from the *T-Ampoule Assembly* (2A0261) and that the *T-Ampoule Assembly* is free of contamination.
2. Tighten (hand tight) the *T-Ampoule Assembly* with the existing O-ring.
3. Place the *T-Ampoule Assembly* within the *Body TB* (1022).
4. Place Lid, TB (1020) with previously installed copper seal ~~and O-ring~~ on the body and tighten the 12 cap screws to 34 N·m (25 ft-lb) in the following sequence: 1–7, 4–10, 2–8, 11–5, 3–9, 12–6.

Note: Prepare a template with the numbering sequence in a clockwise orientation. Arbitrarily define one hole in the TB-1 as “1” and tighten according to the sequence.

5. Visually inspect the *Overpack, AQ* (1002) for damage, defects, and cleanliness.
6. Attach the lifting sling on the TB-1 using the three cap screws (0.250-28UNF) and washers.
7. Using the lifting sling, place the TB-1 in the *Overpack, AQ* and make sure it is seated.
8. Place the inner wood plug (smaller, [1014]) on top of the TB-1 and align the notches in the plug to clear the lifting screws in the TB-1.
9. Insert, in order, (1) the aluminum disk (1002, Item 10), (2) the outer wood plug (1013), and (3) the inner insulation pad (larger, [1026]).
10. Insert, in order, (1) the cover liner (1007), (2) the outer insulation pad (smaller, 1027), cover (1005), and (3) clamp ring (1006) with the index mark aligned to the index mark on the *Overpack, AQ* (1002).

11. Install the 23 hexagon head bolts through the assembled clamp ring, cover, and cover liner and finger tighten.
12. Tighten the 23 hexagon head bolts to 20 N·m (15 ft-lb).
13. Install the 4-in.-long hexagon head bolt in the clamp ring and tighten to 68 N·m (50 ft-lb).
14. Install the locking nut on the 4-in.-long bolt and tighten to 41 N·m (30 ft-lb).

7.3.2 Transporting an Empty PAT-1 package without a T-Ampoule

1. Place *Lid, TB* (1020) with previously installed copper seal ~~and O-ring~~ on body and tighten the 12 cap screws to 34 N·m (25 ft-lb).
2. Visually inspect the *Overpack, AQ* (1002) for damage, defects, and cleanliness.
3. Attach the lifting sling on the TB-1 lid using the three cap screws (0.250-28UNF) and washers.
4. Place the TB-1 in the *Overpack, AQ* using the lifting sling, and make sure it is seated.
5. Place the inner wood plug (smaller, [1014]) on top of the TB-1 and align the notches in the plug to clear the lifting screws in the TB-1.
6. Insert, in order, (1) the aluminum disk (1002, Item 10), (2) the outer wood plug (1013), and (3) the inner insulation pad (larger, [1026]).
7. Insert, in order, (1) the cover liner (1007), (2) the outer insulation pad (smaller, [1027]), (3) the cover (1005), and (4) the clamp ring (1106) with the index mark aligned with the index mark on the *Overpack, AQ*.
8. Install the 23 hexagon head bolts through the assembled clamp ring, the cover, and the cover liner and finger tighten.
9. Tighten the 23 hexagon head bolts to 20 N·m (15 ft-lb).
10. Install the 4-in.-long hexagon head bolt in the clamp ring and tighten to 68 N·m (50 ft-lb).
11. Install the locking nut on the 4-in.-long bolt and tighten to 41 N·m (30 ft-lb).
12. If the package is transported off-site, ensure compliance with 49 CFR 173.443 Table 9, Surface Contamination (at each of the required stages of the packing process), and 49 CFR 172 Sections D & E (49 CFR 172.310, .400 and .403), Marking and Labeling.

The package is now ready for empty transport or storage.

7.3.3 Storage of an Empty PAT-1 Package with or without the TB-1 and Internal Hardware

The PAT-1 packaging, when not in use, shall be stored assembled (with lids in place) inside of a temperature- and humidity-controlled building. The temperature range shall be between 10°C (50°F) and 27°C (80°F), and the relative humidity shall not exceed 60% within the building.

7.4 Other Operations

Through special arrangement with the transport carrier, the shipper shall ensure observance of the operational controls for each air shipment of plutonium, as specified in the package Certificate of Compliance (CoC).

7.5 Appendix

7.5.1 References

1. United States. Dept. of Energy. DOE Order 461.1A, "Packaging and Transfer or Transportation of Materials of National Security Interest." October 2, 1996.
2. United States. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Code of Federal Regulations, 10 CFR 71. "Packaging and Transportation of Radioactive Material." January 1, 2009.
3. United States. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Code of Federal Regulations, 10 CFR 20. "Standards for Protection Against Radiation." January 1, 2009.
4. United States. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. NUREG-0361. "Safety Analysis Report for the Plutonium Air Transportable Package, Model PAT-1." Washington, D.C. 1978.
5. United States. Dept. of Transportation. Code of Federal Regulations, 49 CFR 173. "Shippers – General Requirements for Shipments and Packaging." October 1, 2008.
6. QQ-C-576, Federal Specification Copper Flat Products with Slit, Slit and Edge-Rolled, Sheared, Sawed or Machined Edges (Plate, Bar, Sheet, and Strip). July 12, 1961.
7. MIL-S-8660C, Military Specification Silicone Compound, NATO Code Number S-736. September 22, 1983.
8. ASTM D 3953 – 07a. "Standard Specification for Strapping, Flat Steel, and Seals," ASTM International. West Conshohocken, PA. October 1, 2007.
9. United States. Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Labor. Code of Federal Regulations, 29 CFR 1910.178. "Powered Industrial Trucks.." July 1, 2008.
10. United States. Dept. of Transportation. Code of Federal Regulations, 49 CFR 172. "Hazardous Materials Table, Special Provisions, Hazardous Materials Communications, Emergency Response Information, and Training Requirements." October 1, 2008.
11. United States. Dept. of Transportation. Code of Federal Regulations. 49 CFR 177. "Carriage by Public Highway." October 1, 200

8. ACCEPTANCE TESTS AND MAINTENANCE PROGRAM

The acceptance tests and maintenance program for the PAT-1 package *Overpack, AQ* (designated AQ-1) and TB-1 *Containment Vessel^A* (designated TB-1) are described in the SAR¹ (NUREG-0361, Chapter 8). This section describes the acceptance tests and maintenance program for the *Ring, Filler* (Drawing 2A0262, designated Ring Filler); *T-Ampoule Assembly* (Drawing 2A0261, designated T-Ampoule); *Sample Container-1 (SC-1) Assembly* (Drawing 2A0268, designated SC-1); *Sample Container-2 (SC-2) Assembly* (Drawing 2A0265, designated SC-2); and *Inner Cradle* (Drawing 2A0385, designated Inner Cradle). Minimum acceptance requirements for fabricating, procuring, and maintaining these components are presented in this section. Detailed procedures shall be developed based on criteria contained herein and on the Certificate of Compliance (CoC)². The fabrication specifications for these components are listed on the fabrication drawings in Section 1.3.2 and Section 1.3.3 of this addendum, respectively.

8.1 Acceptance Tests

This section describes the acceptance tests for the *Ring, Filler*, the *T-Ampoule Assembly*, the *Sample Container-1 (SC-1) Assembly*, the *Sample Container-2 (SC-2) Assembly*, the *Inner Cradle*, and procured components. Note that SC-1 or SC-2 is used as the short form of the long drawing name. For this section, these items are grouped as “T-Ampoule components” and are described in Table 8-1.

Table 8-1. T-Ampoule Components

Item	Description	Material	Drawing No. ^a
<i>Ring, Filler</i>	Filler between T-Ampoule and TB-1	Ti-6Al-4V Grade 5	2A0262
<i>T-Ampoule Assembly</i>	Lid and Body of T-Ampoule	Ti-6Al-4V Grade 5	2A0261
<i>O-ring, Viton[®] A, for T-Ampoule</i>	V-0747 M83248-1-241 O-ring AS568C6-241	AMS-R-83248/1, Viton[®], Parker Compound V0747-75 or approved equivalent O-ring, 100% virgin Viton [®] A per SAE AMS 7276G, 75 Durometer Shore A	2A0261, Item 3
<i>Sample Container-1 (SC-1) Assembly</i>	Lid and Body of SC-1	Ti-6Al-4V Grade 5	2A0268
<i>Sample Container-2 (SC-2) Assembly</i>	Lid and Body of SC-2	Ti-6Al-4V Grade 5	2A0265

^A The title of the drawing is shown in italics and is used interchangeably with the designated name in this addendum. The drawing number and title information are shown in Section 1.3.2 and Table 9-3 in this addendum and in Chapter 9 of the SAR.¹ Drawing titles are used to ensure clarity when specifying components.

<i>O-ring, Viton® A, for SC-1 and SC-2</i>	V-0747 M83248-1-147 O-ringAS568C6-147	AMS-R83248/1, Viton®, Parker Compound V0747-75 or approved equivalent O-ring, 100% virgin Viton® A per SAE AMS 7276G, 75 Durometer Shore A	2A0268, Item 3 2A0265, Item 3
<i>Inner Cradle</i>	Supports SC-1 and SC-2 Sample Containers	Ti-6Al-4V Grade 5	2A0385

^a A specification on materials is provided in Section 1.3.3, PAT-1040 *Titanium and O-ring Materials and Component Fabrication Specification* in this addendum.

The package custodian shall determine that the T-Ampoule components listed above have been fabricated in accordance with the approved design and the drawings in Section 1.3.2 of this addendum and inspected for cracks, pinholes, uncontrolled voids, or other defects that could significantly reduce its effectiveness per Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 71.85 [10 CFR 71.85(c) and (a)].³

Requirements are derived from the packaging drawings and specifications and shall be considered as minimum requirements. This information shall be present in the package custodian's fabrication records.

The minimum acceptance inspection and test requirements for the T-Ampoule components are specified in Table 8-2. These requirements must be met prior to the first use of these components per 10 CFR 71.85.

8.1.1 Visual Inspections and Measurements

Visual inspections with the unaided eye of all pertinent features on the T-Ampoule components shall be performed during fabrication. The inspections include markings, surface conditions, and measurements (i.e., toleranced dimensions, positioning, edge breaks, surface finish).

Measurements will be performed by qualified personnel and with calibrated equipment. There is no welding on these components. The required inspections are described in Table 8-2. Failure to meet the acceptance criteria is cause for rejection of the component.

8.1.1.1 Surface Condition

The metal surfaces of the T-Ampoule components shall be visually inspected for penetrations, dents, and corrosion. Any penetrations, dents, and corrosion found, is cause for rejection of the component. The surfaces must be in accordance with the dimensional requirements from the drawings. Per 10 CFR 71.85(a), superficial markings on the surface (scuff marks) are permitted only if they are within the dimensional tolerances for the component.

8.1.2 Weld Examinations

Not applicable: There are no welds in the T-Ampoule components.

8.1.3 Structural and Pressure Tests

Mechanical property tensile tests are required for the T-Ampoule components. The T-Ampoule component vessels listed are not containment boundaries for normal conditions of transport (NCT) and hypothetical accident conditions (HAC), and no credit is taken for pressure retention.

Table 8-2. Acceptance Tests for T-Ampoule Components

Note: The referenced sections and PAT-1040 specification are found in this addendum.

Component	Visual Inspections and Measurements	Structural and Pressure Tests	Leakage Tests ^c	Component and Material Tests	Miscellaneous Tests
<i>Ring, Filler</i>	Visual Inspection and Measurement ^b (Section 8.1.1) Marking (PAT-1040) Surface Condition ^d (Section 8.1.1.1 and PAT-1040)	No Structural or Pressure Tests Required	Not Applicable (NA)	Material Certification ^a (PAT-1040) COC ^e (PAT-1040) CMTRs (Sections 8.1.3.1 and 8.1.5.3); and PAT-1040	
<i>T-Ampoule Assembly</i>	Visual Inspection and Measurement ^b (Section 8.1.1) Marking (PAT-1040) Surface Condition ^d (Section 8.1.1.1 and PAT-1040)	No Structural or Pressure Tests Required	User Defined Perform leakage rate test – acceptance is less than 10^{-3} atm cc/s	Material Certification ^a (PAT-1040) COC ^e (PAT-1040) CMTRs (Sections 8.1.3.1 and 8.1.5.3); and PAT-1040	Fit and Function (Section 8.1.8) Measurement (Section 8.1.8)
<i>O-ring, Viton[®] A, for T-Ampoule</i>	Visual Inspection (Section 8.1.5.1 and PAT-1040)	NA	NA	Material Certification (PAT-1040) COC (PAT-1040)	
<i>Sample Container-1 (SC-1) Assembly</i>	Visual Inspection and Measurement ^b (Section 8.1.1, Section 8.1.1.1, and Section 8.1.8) Surface Conditions and Marking (PAT-1040)	No Structural or Pressure Tests Required	User Defined Perform leakage rate test – acceptance is less than 10^{-3} atm cc/s	Material Certification ^a (PAT-1040) COC ^e (PAT-1040) CMTRs (Sections 8.1.3.1 and 8.1.5.3); and PAT-1040	Fit and Function (Section 8.1.8)

Table 8-2. Acceptance Tests for T-Ampoule Components (Continued)

Component	Visual Inspections and Measurements	Structural and Pressure Tests	Leakage Tests ^c	Component and Material Tests	Miscellaneous Tests
<i>Sample Container-2 (SC-2) Assembly</i>	Visual Inspection and Measurement ^b (Section 8.1.1, Section 8.1.1.1, and Section 8.1.8) Surface Conditions and Marking (PAT-1040)	No Structural or Pressure Tests Required	User Defined Perform leakage rate test – acceptance is less than 10^{-3} atm cc/s	Material Certification ^a (PAT-1040) COC ^e (PAT-1040) CMTRs (Sections 8.1.3.1 and 8.1.5.3); and PAT-1040	Fit and Function (Section 8.1.8)
<i>O-ring, Viton[®] A, for SC-1 and SC-2</i>	Visual Inspection (Section 8.1.5.1 and PAT-1040) Marking (Section 8.1.5.1) Packing (Section 8.1.5.1)	NA	NA	Material Certification (PAT-1040) COC (PAT-1040)	
<i>Inner Cradle</i>	Visual Inspection and Measurement ^b (Section 8.1.1 and Section 8.1.8) Marking (PAT-1040) Surface Condition ^d (Section 8.1.1.1 and PAT-1040)	No Structural or Pressure Tests Required	NA	Material Certification ^a (PAT-1040) COC ^e (PAT-1040) CMTRs (Sections 8.1.3.1 and 8.1.5.3); and PAT-1040	Fit and Function (Section 8.1.8)

^a Material certification – Certified quantitative data (physical, mechanical, chemical, and/or visual) in the form of Certified Material Test Reports (CMTRs) demonstrating compliance with the drawing/specification requirements; in particular, stress-strain curves for Ti-6Al-4V Grade 5 material. If applicable, heat treatment information is included in the CMTR.

^b Measurement – Dimensional inspections; critical dimensions are noted on the drawings.

^c Leak Test – The vessels listed here are not containment boundaries for normal conditions of transport (NCT) and hypothetical accident conditions (HAC). Leakage rate tests are to demonstrate retention of glovebox atmosphere that is required as part of laboratory support operations to minimize metal contents degradation; the leakage rate acceptance requirements are user defined.

^d Damage – The components shall be inspected to ascertain that there are no cracks, pinholes, uncontrolled voids, or other defects that could significantly reduce the effectiveness of the packaging (10 CFR 71.85(a)).

^e COC (Certificate of Conformance) – A document signed or otherwise authenticated by an authorized individual certifying the degree to which items or services meet specified requirements

8.1.3.1 Mechanical Property Tests

Material mechanical property tests shall be performed on Ti-6Al-4V Grade 5 material for T-Ampoule components to assure that higher strength requirements specified in Section 2, *Structural Evaluation*, of this addendum are met for the ASTM B-348, Grade 5 and ASTM B-265, Grade 5 material. The minimum strength requirements specified in this addendum are higher than the minimum strengths specified in the ASTM standards and are 0.2% yield strength (965 MPa [140 ksi]), ultimate tensile strength (1034 MPa [150 ksi]), elongation at tensile failure (10%), and reduction in area at failure (20%). Acceptance criteria are provided in the appropriate material national standards referenced in the specification entitled PAT-1040, *Titanium and Materials and Component Fabrication Specification*, in Section 1.3.3.1 and in the drawings in Section 1.3.2 of this addendum. Failure to meet the acceptance criteria is cause for rejection of the component.

8.1.3.2 Pressure Tests

The *T-Ampoule Assembly*, *Sample Container-1 (SC-1) Assembly*, and *Sample Container-2 (SC-2) Assembly* are not containment vessels and pressure tests are not required for acceptance or maintenance. In accordance with 71.85(b), any new TB-1 *Containment Vessel* shall be pressure-tested in accordance with the regulation.

8.1.4 Leakage Tests

The *T-Ampoule Assembly* is not a containment boundary for NCT and HAC. It serves as a eutectic prevention barrier. The ~~user will define~~ leakage rate test requirements for the *T-Ampoule Assembly*, *Sample Container-1 (SC-1) Assembly*, and *Sample Container-2 (SC-2) Assembly* is 10^{-3} atm cc/s and is for laboratory support operations to maintain product quality.

The TB-1 acceptance tests are referenced in Section 8.2.2.2 and 8.3.2 of this Addendum.

8.1.5 Component and Material Tests

8.1.5.1 O-Ring Tests

O-rings for the *T-Ampoule Assembly*, *Sample Container-1 (SC-1) Assembly*, and *Sample Container-2 (SC-2) Assembly* shall be visually inspected. The O-ring surfaces shall be smooth, nonporous, and free of skin defects. O-rings that do not meet these requirements shall be rejected.

Each O-ring shall be packaged separately to provide traceability. Each O-ring package shall be marked with an O-ring identification number, lot number, cure date, and compound number. These material identification numbers shall be assigned uniquely to each lot and to each size of O-ring. The identifications shall be adequate to trace O-rings to their raw material master batch. Improper packaging, marking, or lack of proper documentation COC is cause for rejection. The shelf life of the O-rings is unlimited per Society of Aerospace Engineers (SAE) issued Aerospace Recommended Practice (ARP) 5316.⁴ The shelf life requirement for O-rings of ARP 5316 shall be applied to all organizations handling the O-rings for this package.

8.1.5.2 Titanium Material Property Tests

Material mechanical property tensile tests shall be performed on Ti-6Al-4V Grade 5 material for T-Ampoule components to assure that higher strength requirements specified in Section 2 and PAT-1040 *Titanium and O-Ring Materials and Component Fabrication Specification* of this

addendum are met for the ASTM B-348 Grade 5 and ASTM B-265 Grade 5 material. The minimum strengths are 0.2% yield strength (965 MPa (140 ksi)), ultimate tensile strength (1034 MPa (150 ksi)), elongation at tensile failure (10%), and reduction in area at failure (20%). Acceptance criteria are provided in the appropriate material national standards referenced in the PAT-1040 specification in Section 1.3.3 and in the drawings in Section 1.3.2 of this addendum. Failure to meet the acceptance criteria is cause for rejection of the material.

8.1.5.3 Chemical Tests

Tests shall be performed to determine the chemical properties of the T-Ampoule component materials and provided in the Certified Material Test Report (CMTR) as specified in the PAT-1040 *Titanium and O-Ring Materials and Component Fabrication Specification* in Section 1.3.3 and in the drawings in Section 1.3.2 of this addendum. Material that cannot be traced to the mill or heat-treatment lot shall be rejected.

8.1.6 Shielding Tests

No shielding tests are required for the T-Ampoule components. No credit is taken in Section 5 of this addendum for internal shielding within the TB-1.

8.1.7 Thermal Tests

A thermal test is not required for the *T-Ampoule Assembly*, since the maximum heat generation of the payload is the same as that in the SAR.¹

8.1.8 Miscellaneous Tests

The *Inner Cradle* in the *T-Ampoule Assembly* shall be assembled and checked for function and fit with the *SC-1* or *SC-2*. The clearance gap between the two flat legs of the *Inner Cradle* is 7.692 ± 0.005 cm (3.030 ± 0.002 in.) and is shown in Drawing Number 2A0385 in this addendum. The *Inner Cradle* Assembly may be hand-smoothed for proper fit and function. Individual components of the *Inner Cradle* will be rejected if fit and function are not met.

8.2 Maintenance Program

When the package is being packed or unpacked, the T-Ampoule components shall be examined to ensure that all components are present and functional. A record of the examination results will be generated and must include the identification and serial numbers of the component examined, the names of the personnel who performed the packing/unpacking and examination, and the date of the activity.

Maintenance on the metal T-Ampoule components shall be performed annually and shall include visual inspection. A record of the maintenance action(s) will be generated. The record must identify maintenance performed, the component(s) on which the maintenance was performed, and the names of the personnel who performed the maintenance.

The TB-1 periodic test and maintenance requirements are referenced in ~~Section 8.3 of the SAR.~~ Section ~~8.3.1~~ 8.2.2.2 and 8.3.2 of this Addendum ~~of the SAR~~¹ ~~describes the requirements for the TB-1 Containment Vessel and Section 8.3.2 describes the replacement of gaskets on the TB-1.~~ Note that for the plutonium metal shipments described in this Addendum, the TB-1 O-ring is not used.

8.2.1 Structural and Pressure Tests

No structural or pressure tests are required for maintenance of the T-Ampoule components.

8.2.2 Leakage Tests

8.2.2.1 *T-Ampoule, Sample Container-1 (SC-1), and Sample Container-2 (SC-2)*

The *T-Ampoule Assembly* is not a containment boundary for NCT and HAC. The ~~user will define~~ leakage rate test requirements for the *T-Ampoule Assembly, Sample Container-1 (SC-1) Assembly, and Sample Container-2 (SC-2) Assembly* is 10^{-3} atm cc/s and is for laboratory support operations to maintain product quality. This testing is performed through the use of a test method with sufficient sensitivity such as an evacuated envelope test (Bell Jar) or a pressure change test (A.5.1 Gas pressure drop or A5.2 Gas pressure rise) as described in ANSI N14.5 American National Standard for Radioactive Materials – Leakage Tests on Packages for Shipment, 1997. Note that the test procedure shall demonstrate test sensitivity for pre-shipment as required for ANSI N14.5 97. ~~If~~ Since the T-Ampoule is filled with tracer gas, the evacuated envelope technique may be used. It is desirable to have about a 100% concentration of tracer gas within the T-Ampoule, which could be verified using standard techniques such as sampling with an oxygen monitor, flushing/spraying within a glove bag, or closing the T-Ampoule within a glovebox that has a known concentration of helium. The 10^{-3} atm cc/s leakage rate tests shall be performed for fabrication, maintenance, and pre-shipment. Periodic testing is not required.

8.2.2.2 *TB-1*

The TB-1 is the containment boundary with copper seal, and the TB-1 O-ring is not used for plutonium metal shipments in the PAT-1. The TB-1 leakage rate specification is 10^{-7} std cc/s (air) for Fabrication, Maintenance, Periodic, and Pre-shipment testing. The specification is provided in Section 8.3.2, Leak Testing, and is entitled “Sandia National Laboratories TB-1 Leak Test Requirements.” The leak test system consists of a mass spectrometer type leak detector together with a suitable test chamber that will completely enclose the test product, auxiliary pressurization equipment, and instrumentation necessary for performance of the test under the specified conditions. The test procedure that is used to determine the leakage rate of the TB-1 containment vessel shall meet the leak testing requirements of ANSI N14.5 American National Standard for Radioactive Materials – Leakage Tests on Packages for Shipment, 1997.

The following leakage rate tests apply to the TB-1 Containment Vessel:

Fabrication: Fabrication leakage rate testing shall be performed prior to first use.

Maintenance: Maintenance leakage rate testing shall be performed prior to returning a package to service following a maintenance repair.

Periodic: Periodic leakage rate testing shall be performed on annually.

Pre-shipment Pre-shipment leakage rate testing shall be performed before each shipment. Before the testing, the contents must be loaded, the T-Ampoule must be filled with tracer gas, and the containment system must be assembled.

When Fabrication, Maintenance or Periodic leak tests are performed, the test shall be completed for the TB-1 without the T-Ampoule installed.

8.2.3 Component and Material Tests

During loading operations, the O-rings for the *T-Ampoule Assembly*, *Sample Container-1 (SC-1) Assembly*, and *Sample Container-2 (SC-2) Assembly* will be inspected for cracks, gouges, or other damage. If any damage is detected, the O-ring must be replaced. The O-rings will be replaced annually.

8.2.4 Thermal Tests

Since the maximum heat generation of the payload is the same as that in the SAR,¹ a thermal test is not applicable for the *T-Ampoule Assembly*.

8.2.5 Miscellaneous Tests

If installation of *Sample Container-1 (SC-1) Assembly* or *Sample Container-2 (SC-2) Assembly* in the *Inner Cradle* becomes difficult after repeated loading and unloading, the *Inner Cradle* must be inspected for damage and for proper clearance between the *Inner Cradle* legs and *SC-1* or *SC-2* sample containers. If damage to the *Inner Cradle* component(s) is discovered, the damaged component(s) will be replaced and the test in Section 8.1.8 of this addendum performed.

The test described in Section 8.1.8 of this addendum is performed annually to ensure that the *Sample Container-1 (SC-1) Assembly* and *Sample Container-2 (SC-2) Assembly* fit and function properly within the *Inner Cradle* of the *T-Ampoule Assembly*. If tightness is observed, inspection and repair as described in Section 8.1.8 shall be performed.

8.3 Appendix

8.3.1 References

1. United States. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. NUREG-0361. "Safety Analysis Report for the Plutonium Air Transportable Package, Model PAT-1." Washington, D.C. 1978.
2. United States. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. "Certificate of Compliance for Radioactive Material Packages," Certificate Number 0361, Revision Number 9, Docket Number 71-0361, Package Identification Number USA/0361/B(U)F-96. March 4, 2009.
3. United States. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Code of Federal Regulations. 10 CFR 71. "Packaging and Transportation of Radioactive Material." January 1, 2009.
4. Society of Aerospace Engineers (SAE). "ARP 5316 Elastomer Shelf Life Recommendation." Aerospace Recommended Practice (ARP) 5316, 1998.
http://www.oringsusa.com/html/shelf_life.html.

8.3.2 Leak Testing

The leak test requirements for plutonium metal shipments for the TB-1 containment vessel are described in the stand-alone document that follows.

LEAK TESTING SPECIALISTS, INC.

www.leaktesting.com
 5790 Hoffber Ave. Suite 505 Orlando, FL 32822 Phone (407) 737-6415 Fax (407) 737-6416
 Offices in: Washington, DC - Oak Ridge, TN - Orlando, FL

SANDIA NATIONAL LABORATORIES

TB-1 CONTAINMENT VESSEL

LEAK TEST REQUIREMENTS

LTS USE ONLY		CLIENT USE ONLY	
X	Initial Issue	X	Approved - Without Comment
	Issued as a Revision		Approved - With Comment
	Document Change Notice		Not Approved - Comments Attached
			Client Approval Not Required

Signature on file at LTS Aug. 6 2010

LTS - Level III Approval Date

Signature on file at LTS

LTS - QA Approval Date

Richard Yoshimura 09/01/2010

Client Approval Date

Richard Yoshimura, SNL 09/01/2010

Reviewer Name & Company Date

Any changes to this document shall void LTS Level III endorsement unless accompanied with a DCN or approved document revision.

This document is approved for use only with the project identified in the title.

TB-1 Containment Vessel Leak Test Requirements for Plutonium Metal Shipments

1.0 General

1.1 Scope

This specification defines the requirements for the quantitative measurement of the leakage rate of a sealed component for use with plutonium metal shipments of the Plutonium Air Transportable (PAT-1) Package. A mass spectrometer type leak detector is used. The test procedure that is used to determine the leakage rate of the TB-1 Containment Vessel shall meet the leak testing requirements of ANSI N14.5, American National Standard for Radioactive Materials - Leakage Tests on Packages for Shipment.

1.2 Product Description

The item to be leak tested per this specification is the TB-1 Containment Vessel, drawing number 1017, which is a major component of the Plutonium Air Transportable (PAT-1) Package, drawing number 1001. The detail parts of the TB-1 Containment Vessel are:

- TB Body
- TB Lid
- Copper Gasket
- O-Ring (For plutonium metal shipments, the elastomer O-Ring is not used)
- Bolt, Socket Head, Special, 0.500-200NF (12 required)
- T-Ampoule filled with tracer gas is used to provide assurance that gross leaks are detected during TB-1 Containment Vessel leak testing.

1.3 Definitions

1.3.1 Background

Test system background is included in the leakage rate results. This may be spurious output of the leak detector expressed in suitable terms, due to the response to all gases other than the actual leakage of tracer gas from the product being tested and/or the known leak. The background may be inherent in the detector or extraneous, and includes absorbed tracer gas.

1.3.2 Units

1.3.2.1 Pressure Units

- a. **Millimeter of Mercury (mmHg).**
A unit of pressure corresponding to a column of mercury exactly 1 millimeter high at 0°C under standard gravity acceleration of 980.665 cm/sec².
- b. **Micron of Mercury (uHg)**
A unit of pressure equal to 1/1000 of millimeter of mercury pressure unit.
- c. **Torr**
A unit of pressure equal to 1/760 of a standard atmosphere; differs by only one part in 7 million from a millimeter of

mercury. Torr is the preferred pressure unit for low pressure (vacuum) measurement.

1.3.2.2 Leakage Rate Units

(cubic centimeter per second, standard temperature and pressure). A flow rate of gas in terms of cubic centimeters per second in which the gas volume is reduced to standard temperature and pressure. 1.0 std cc/sec. = 0.75 Torr-liter/sec. = 1.0×10^1 Pa m³/sec. = 1.013 Bar cc³/sec.

1.3.3 STP (Standard Pressure and Temperature)

Defined as 0° C and 760 Torr.

1.3.4 The K Factor

Equals the Square Root of Molecular weight of helium/Molecular weight of air.

1.3.5 Sealed Product

A product which is capable of maintaining, or of being sealed by special fixtures to maintain, an internal pressure or vacuum.

1.3.6 Tracer Gas

A gas that is used to measure the leakage rate of the product being tested. A tracer of a known concentration shall be used.

1.3.7 Leakage Rate

The quantity of gas flowing in unit time into, or out of, the product under test, reduced to units of volume at standard temperature and pressure.

1.3.7.1 Tracer Gas Leakage Rate

The leakage rate tests results, calculated without application of a K factor, from the leak detector readings.

1.3.7.2 Total Gas Leakage Rate

An estimate of the product leakage rate, obtained by multiplying the tracer gas leakage rate by the specified K factor.

1.3.7.3 Maximum Permissible Leakage Rate

The maximum total gas leakage rate limit allowable for product acceptance.

1.3.8 Known Leak

A calibrated device from which tracer gas is emitted at a known rate.

2.0 Documents

The following documents, of the exact issue shown, form a part of this specification to the extent specified herein.

- 2.1 NRC Information Notice 97-57 LEAK TESTING OF PACKAGING USED IN THE TRANSPORT OF RADIOACTIVE MATERIAL**

3.0 General Requirements

3.1 Equipment Capability

3.1.1 The system shall consist of a mass spectrometer type leak detector together with a suitable test chamber that will completely enclose the product, auxiliary pressurization equipment, and instrumentation necessary for performance of the test under the specified conditions.

3.2 Gases

A tracer gas such as helium shall be used. The concentration of tracer gas shall be known.

3.3 Calibration of Known Leak

The known leaks used shall be calibrated by the Primary Standards Laboratory specified in the contract or purchase order. Calibration shall be performed prior to initial use and at intervals thereafter in accordance with policies established by the Primary Standards Laboratory.

3.4 Personnel

Personnel shall be qualified and certified as Level II in the test method or Level III Leak Testing in accordance with a Written Procedure for the Qualification and Certification of Nondestructive Testing Personnel and the appropriate quality program.

3.5 Test Procedures

The test procedure should reference nationally recognized codes and standards as part of the leak test control of variables and evidence of adequate sensitivity. Examples of national codes and standards are ASME Section V Article 10, ASTM E 493 – 06 Inside-Out Leak Test and ASNT Recommended Practice SNT-TC-1A. The PAT-1 owner can provide a sample test procedure for the preparation and testing of the TB-1 Containment Vessel upon request. The procedure provided is for reference only and all responsibility for performing the leak test remains with the user.

3.6 Test Method

The leak test procedure shall reference ANSI N14.5 – 97 Appendix A Leakage Test Methods and Procedures. The procedure shall specifically reference Method A.5.4 Evacuated Envelope (Gas Detector). The Evacuated Envelope technique (also referred to as a Bell Jar Technique) accurately measures the leakage rate of a vessel or component that has been pre-filled with helium and placed within the evacuated envelope.

3.7 Test Frequency

This test method is intended to meet the Fabrication, Maintenance, Periodic (Annual) and Pre-shipment leakage rate requirements of ANSI N14.5 – 97. The following leak tests apply to the TB-1 Containment Vessel.

3.7.1 Fabrication

Fabrication leakage rate testing shall be performed prior to first use.

3.7.2 Maintenance

Maintenance leakage rate testing shall be performed prior to returning a package to service following a maintenance repair.

3.7.3 Periodic

Periodic leakage rate testing shall be performed annually.

3.7.4 Pre-shipment

Pre-shipment leakage rate testing shall be performed before each shipment, after the contents are loaded and the containment system is assembled.

3.8 Test Sensitivity

The test sensitivity requirement for leakage rate testing shall demonstrate the test can detect a leakage rate of one half of the ref air leakage.

3.9 Tracer Gas Concentration

The leak test requires that the TB-1 Containment Vessel be filled with a tracer gas. The concentration of the tracer gas shall be known and should be 100%; if the tracer gas is less than 100% the final leakage rate shall be corrected to compensate for tracer gas concentration.

3.9.1 The preferred technique to fill the TB-1 Containment Vessel with tracer gas is to evacuate and backfill. The tracer gas concentration can be calculated using the partial pressure of the tracer gas.

$\% \text{ concentration} = (\text{Trace gas pressure} / \text{total vessel pressure}) \times 100$. This process shall be performed using an absolute pressure gauge.

3.9.1.1 Place the TB-1 Containment Vessel into the pressure chamber.

3.9.1.2 Record the atmospheric pressure.

3.9.1.3 Evacuate the TB-1 Containment Vessel to less than 1 Torr (or equivalent).

3.9.1.4 Backfill the TB-1 Containment Vessel with tracer gas (purge all lines and fitting with tracer gas before assembly) to atm pressure.

3.9.1.5 Remove the TB-1 Containment Vessel from the pressure chamber and secure the lid.

Note: The technique used to fill the TB-1 Containment Vessel with tracer gas and secure the TB-1 Containment Vessel lid shall not compromise the tracer gas concentration.

4.0 General Leak Test Procedure

- 4.1** Fill the T-Ampoule with tracer gas and secure inside the TB-1 Containment Vessel.
- 4.2** As part of the final assembly and after the TB-1 Containment Vessel lid is in place verify that the edge of the copper gasket is visible through one of the bolt holes.
- 4.3** The leakage rate of the TB-1 Containment Vessel shall be determined by placing a TB-1 Containment Vessel that has been properly filled with a tracer gas and assembled, into a bell jar.
- 4.4** The bell jar is sealed and connected to a tracer gas leak detector.
- 4.5** The bell jar shall also contain a second port that allows for a calibration standard to be attached.
- 4.6** The tracer gas leak detector shall evacuate the bell jar to approximately 1 atm of pressure differential.
- 4.7** The tracer gas behind the copper seal is limited and the evacuation (evacuation time and test duration) shall be limited.
- 4.8** After the steady state leakage rate is reached, a leak standard with a known leakage rate shall be introduced in the bell jar and into the tracer gas leak detector.
- 4.9** The tracer gas detector should respond to the known leak within the amount of time required to reach steady state initially and to the degree equal to the leakage rate value of the calibrated leak.
- 4.10** If the tracer gas detector does not respond in amplitude equal to the leakage rate value of the calibrated leak, the final leakage rate value shall be corrected using a correction factor.

4.11 The Leakage Rate Correction Factor is calculated as follows:

$$LRCF = LR_{std} / (LR_{std\ open} - LR_{std\ closed})$$

LRCF = Leakage rate Correction Factor.

LR_{std} = The temperature corrected leakage rate of the standard leak.

$LR_{std\ Open}$ = The leak detector leakage rate reading with the standard leak open.

$LR_{std\ Closed}$ = The leak detector leakage rate reading with the standard leak closed.

Note: The formula above corrects for any loss of sensitivity that occurs through the test setup, a separate correction factor is required if the tracer gas concentration is not 100%.

5.0 Acceptance Criteria

5.1 The current requirements for leak testing the TB-1 Containment Vessel is an air leak not to exceed a leakage rate of 1.0×10^{-7} atm cc/sec. or equivalent. The current pre-shipment leakage rate requirements for a one time use seal are the same for all leakage testing for periodic, fabrication and maintenance leakage rate test.

6.0 Test Records

The following information shall be recorded by the testing laboratory. Copies of test records shall be distributed as specified in the contract or purchase order.

- 6.1 Product part number and serial number.
- 6.2 Purchase order or contract number.
- 6.3 Make and model number of leak detector used.
- 6.4 Value of known leaks used.
- 6.5 Test pressures (internal and external).
- 6.6 Tracer gas and concentration used.
- 6.7 Fill gas used.
- 6.8 Leak detector output readings.

7.0 References:

- 7.1 ASTM E 493 Inside-Out Leak Test.
- 7.2 ASME Section V Article 10 – Boiler & Pressure Vessel Code as applicable.

7.3 ANS: N14.5 1997 – American National Standard for Radioactive Materials - Leakage Tests on Packages for Shipment.

7.4 PAT-1 Safety Analysis Report Addendum.

This page intentionally left blank

9. QUALITY ASSURANCE

This section supplements Chapter 9, *Specifications and Drawings*, of the *Safety Analysis Report for the Plutonium Air Transportable Package, Model PAT-1*, NUREG-0361,¹ and defines the quality assurance (QA) requirements and methods of compliance for requirements associated with the PAT-1 package.

In the late 1970s, Sandia National Laboratories (SNL) developed the PAT-1 under a contract with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). The PAT-1 was designed to meet the requirements specified in NUREG-0360, *Qualification Criteria to Certify a Package for Air Transport of Plutonium*.² The QA chapter of SAND76-0587 (NUREG/CR-0030)³ defined the PAT-1 design, procurement, fabrication, testing, and recommended package-user QA requirements. The Department of Energy (DOE) Bendix, Kansas City Plant manufactured 24 PAT-1 packaging systems. SNL used 18 of these 24 packaging systems to perform certification qualification testing, and the remaining packaging systems were made available for use.

The current QA requirements for packaging and transportation of radioactive material are prescribed in Title 10 Code of Federal Regulations Part 71 (10 CFR 71)⁴ Subpart H, *Quality Assurance*, as supplemented by DOE orders for DOE organizations/activities. The NRC guidance for establishing QA programs (QAPs) for packaging used in the transport of radioactive material is provided in Regulatory Guide 7.10 (RG 7.10), *Establishing Quality Assurance Programs for Packaging Used in Transport of Radioactive Material*, Revision 2.⁵ Each of the requirements specified in Subpart H apply to the PAT-1 activities within the scope of this addendum. While not every Subpart H requirement may be applicable to every activity from design through use of the packaging system (based on the nature of the activity), across all of those activities, each of the Subpart H requirements is applicable at least once. PAT-1 activities shall be performed under QAPs that meet all of the applicable 10 CFR 71 Subpart H and DOE/NNSA QA requirements. National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) users of the PAT-1 package must establish and maintain a 10 CFR 71 Subpart H-compliant QAP before designation as an authorized user of the PAT-1 package.

For SNL PAT-1 activities, the *10 CFR 71, Packaging and Transportation of Radioactive Material – Quality Assurance Program Plan*⁶ is the applicable QA program plan.

9.1 Organization

SNL is responsible for maintaining PAT-1 QA requirements, developing new QA requirements and/or specifications based on 10 CFR 71 Subpart H requirements, if necessary, and revising the Safety Analysis Report (SAR) QA requirements, if necessary. The NNSA Service Center, Packaging Certification Division is responsible for ensuring NNSA organizations that perform PAT-1 activities establish, maintain, and comply with applicable QA requirements. All SNL PAT-1 activities are conducted under the NNSA-approved SNL corporate QAP, the *Sandia National Laboratories 10 CFR 71, Subpart H Quality Assurance Program Plan (QAPP), AS-PT-PD-04*⁶ and associated Quality Assurance Implementing Procedures (QAIPs). The program is implemented using a graded approach, as dictated by the importance to safety of the activity being performed.

The responsible organizations are staffed by technically competent personnel with freedom to make appropriate objective judgments, recommendations, and decisions consistent with delegated authority. The level of authority and independence delegated to the QA function were provided in organization charts that were available when the original set of packages was fabricated.

In this addendum, SNL is the design organization and package custodian and has support from Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) and Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL). ORNL provides shielding and criticality analyses for the plutonium metal contents described in Sections 5 and 6 of this addendum. LANL consults with shippers and provides recommendations for the design of the inner packing and proposed plutonium metal contents. LANL also provides technical data on eutectics prevention and estimates of gas generation from volatilization of elastomers at high temperature, in the form of LANL-issued reports or documents.

SNL prepared a Subpart H QAPP that was approved by the DOE/NNSA Packaging Certification Division in 2007.⁶ Applicable portions of that plan were extended to ORNL for the shielding and criticality analyses and audits were conducted to ensure compliance.

The SNL Management System Improvement Department provides QA support for the PAT-1 Recertification Project.

See Figure 9-1 for a diagram of the organizational relationships for the PAT-1 Recertification Project.

The users of the PAT-1 package for plutonium metals are contractor organizations within the DOE.




Figure 9-1. Organization Chart for PAT-1 Recertification

9.2 Quality Assurance Program

9.2.1 General

The SNL QAPP is implemented through the use of SNL corporate procedures, where they exist, or the preparation, review, approval, and use of QAIPs for QA controls that are not implemented in the SNL corporate system. These procedures provide a system of checks and balances to ensure a safe, reliable, quality product. Table 9-1 describes the relationship between the

implementing documents and the sections of Subpart H that they implement. The SNL QAP does not apply to PAT-1 packaging system users who use the PAT-1 packaging system to transport materials. These users must have their own NRC- or NNSA-approved 10 CFR 71 Subpart H QAPs.

Table 9-1. Relationship between QA Implementing Documents and Elements of 10 CFR 71, Subpart H

Implementing Document ID	Title	Related Element of Subpart H	Description
AS-PT-PD-04 ⁶	<i>Sandia National Laboratories Title 10 CFR 71, Packaging and Transportation of Radioactive Material Quality Assurance Program Plan</i>	71.103 Organization	Describes the organizational roles, responsibilities, and relationships for activities and projects subject to 10 CFR 71, Subpart H, including packaging system recertification for the PAT-1 system.
AS-PT-PD-04 ⁶	<i>Sandia National Laboratories Title 10 CFR 71, Packaging and Transportation of Radioactive Material Quality Assurance Program Plan</i>	71.105 Quality Assurance Program	Describes the SNL QA program for activities and projects subject to 10 CFR 71, Subpart H, in general.
1071H-QAIP 2-2 ⁷	<i>Project Quality Plan Preparation</i>		Prescribes controls for preparing a Quality Plan specific to an individual project, e.g., PAT-1 Recertification.
1071H-QAIP 3-1 ⁸	<i>Design Control</i>	71.107 Package Design Control	Prescribes design control measures for packaging system items and components.
1071H-QAIP 9-2 ⁹	<i>Model Validation</i>		Prescribes control mechanisms applied to validating mathematical models used for analysis.
1071H-QAIP 9-3 ¹⁰	<i>Analyses and Calculations</i>		Prescribes controls applied to the conduct of analyses and calculations, including QA controls on software used for those analyses.
SNL Corporate Process Requirement 500.2.1	<i>Procurement Manual</i>	71.109 Procurement Document Control	Prescribes controls for preparation, content, and processing of procurement documents at SNL to ensure that applicable regulatory requirements, design bases, technical, and quality requirements are included or referenced in those documents.
1071H-QAIP 4-111	<i>Control of Procurement Documents and Purchased Material, Equipment, and Services</i>		Prescribes controls for preparation, content, and processing of procurement documents by procuring organizations other than SNL to ensure that applicable regulatory requirements, design bases, technical, and quality requirements are included or referenced in those documents.

Table 9-1. Relationship between QA Implementing Documents and Elements of 10 CFR 71, Subpart H (Continued)

Implementing Document ID	Title	Related Element of Subpart H	Description
1071H-QAIP 5-1 ¹²	<i>Quality Assurance Implementing Procedure Preparation and Content</i>	71.111 Instructions, Procedures, and Drawings	Prescribes controls specific to the content and processing of documents that establish and implement the QA program.
1071H-QAIP 3-1 ⁸	<i>Design Control</i>		Prescribes controls specific to the content and processing of design drawings and specifications.
1071H-QAIP 6-1 ¹³	<i>Document Review and Approval</i>	71.113 Document Control	Prescribes tools and documentation for review (“inspection”) and approval of documents.
1071H-QAIP 6-2 ¹⁴	<i>Document Control</i>		Prescribes controls for the issuance, use, and revision of documents that, themselves, prescribe processes or specify requirements.
SNL Corporate Process Requirement 500.2.1	<i>Procurement Manual</i>	71.115 Control of Purchased Material, Equipment, and Services	Prescribes controls to ensure that materials, equipment, or services procured by SNL conform to requirements specified in the procurement documents.
1071H-QAIP 4-1 ¹¹	<i>Control of Procurement Documents and Purchased Material, Equipment, and Services</i>		Prescribes controls to ensure that materials, equipment, or services procured by organizations other than SNL conform to requirements specified in the procurement documents.
1071H-QAIP 8-1 ¹⁵	<i>Identification and Control of Materials, Parts, and Components</i>	71.117 Identification and Control of Materials, Parts, and Components	Prescribes controls to ensure that only correct and accepted items are used as parts of a packaging system.
1071H-QAIP 9-1 ¹⁶	<i>Control of Special Processes</i>	71.119 Control of Special Processes	Prescribes controls to ensure that special processes are conducted in a way that ensures their success.
1071H-QAIP 10-1 ¹⁷	<i>Inspection and Identification of Item Status</i>	71.121 Internal Inspection	Prescribes controls applicable to inspection activities focused on items, components, and assemblies.
1071H-QAIP 9-5 ¹⁸	<i>Technical Products and Deliverable Reports</i>		Prescribes process for review and approval (“inspection”) of technical product documents.
1071H-QAIP 6-1 ¹³	<i>Document Review and Approval</i>		Prescribes tools and documentation for review (“inspection”) and approval of documents.
1071H-QAIP 9-5 ¹⁸	<i>Technical Products and Deliverable Reports</i>		Prescribes process for review and approval (“inspection”) of technical product documents.
1071H-QAIP 11-1 ¹⁹	<i>Control of Data Collection and Testing Activities</i>	71.123 Test Control	Prescribes controls for testing of items, assemblies, or systems to determine whether they meet specified requirements.

Table 9-1. Relationship between QA Implementing Documents and Elements of 10 CFR 71, Subpart H (Continued)

Implementing Document ID	Title	Related Element of Subpart H	Description
SNL Corporate Process Requirement 100.3.1	<i>Standards and Calibration</i>	71.125 Control of Measuring and Test Equipment	Prescribes controls applicable to measuring and test equipment used by SNL organizations to ensure validity of data and measurements.
1071H-QAIP 12-1 ²⁰	<i>Control of Measuring and Test Equipment</i>		Prescribes controls applicable to measuring and test equipment used by organizations other than SNL to ensure validity of data and measurements.
SNL Corporate Process Requirement 500.2.3	<i>Property/Assets Management User's Manual</i>	71.127 Handling, Storage, and Shipping Control	Prescribes controls to be applied at SNL to preclude damage or deterioration of items and materials.
1071H-QAIP 13-1 ²¹	<i>Control of Shipping, Handling, Storage, Preservation, and Cleaning</i>		Prescribes controls to be applied at locations other than SNL to preclude damage or deterioration of items and materials.
1071H-QAIP 10-1 ¹⁷	<i>Inspection and Identification of Item Status</i>	71.129 Inspection, Test, and Operating Status	Prescribes controls to ensure that the status of items with respect to inspections or tests is indicated.
1071H-QAIP 15-1 ²²	<i>Control of Nonconformances and Corrective Action</i>	71.131 Nonconforming Materials, Parts, or Components	Prescribes controls to ensure that materials, parts, or components that do not meet requirements are not used or installed.
SNL Corporate Process Requirement 001.3.11	<i>Corporate Corrective Action Process</i>	71.133 Corrective Action	Prescribes controls to ensure that conditions adverse to quality are identified and corrected by SNL.
1071H-QAIP 15-1 ²²	<i>Control of Nonconformances and Corrective Action</i>		Prescribes controls to ensure that conditions adverse to quality are identified and corrected by organizations other than SNL.
SNL Corporate Process Requirement 400.2.20	<i>Management of Information Throughout its Lifecycle</i>	71.135 Quality Assurance Records	Prescribes controls for the management of records, including QA records.
SNL Corporate Process Requirement 001.3.5	<i>Audits</i>	71.137 Audits	Prescribes policy and controls concerning internal and external audits at SNL.
SNL Corporate Process Requirement 001.3.10	<i>Corporate Self-Assessment Process</i>		Prescribes controls for the performance of internal assessments at SNL.

A graded approach is used to selectively apply the QA requirements to the PAT-1 packaging components and related services and activities in accordance with 10 CFR 71.105. The purpose of the graded approach is to select the QA controls and appropriate verifications to the various packaging items, services, and activities consistent with the extent of their importance to health and safety.

The SNL QAP is extended to ORNL through an implementation plan and QAIPs for the shielding and criticality analyses performed for this addendum. LANL provides technical data and reports that are approved under their quality program requirements for unlimited release external reports.

9.2.2 QA Levels

The QA chapter of SAND76-0587 (NUREG/CR-0030)³ defines the PAT-1 design, procurement, fabrication, testing, and recommended package user QA requirements for the first 24 PAT-1 packaging systems. At that time, there were no defined quality categories.

The tasks performed in support of the PAT-1 with T-Ampoule configuration and plutonium metal content certification effort, and the packaging components for this configuration, are provided in Tables 9-2 and 9-3, respectively. The tasks and packaging components were classified in accordance with the quality categories defined in RG 7.10, Revision 2,⁵ Appendix A, and SNL *QAPP, AS-PT-PD-04*⁶ based on their importance to safety.

Table 9-4 documents the level of control required for each quality category applicable to the packaging system elements. These defined quality categories consider the impact to safety if the component fails or performs outside of design parameters.

9.2.2.1 Graded Quality Category A Items

These items and tasks are critical to safe operations, and include structures, components, and systems for which a failure or malfunction could directly result in a condition that would adversely affect public health and safety. This includes such conditions as loss of primary containment with subsequent release of radioactive material, loss of shielding, or an unsafe geometry compromising criticality control.

9.2.2.2 Graded Quality Category B Items

These items and tasks have a major impact on safety and includes structures, components, and systems for which a failure or malfunction could indirectly result in a condition that would adversely affect public health and safety. However, an unsafe condition could result only if the primary event occurs in conjunction with a secondary event, or other failure or environmental occurrence.

Table 9-2. Project Tasks and Quality Categories for PAT-1 Addendum

Project Tasks	Quality Category
1. Project Management	None
2. SAR Structural Analysis for T-Ampoule and Contents for NCT, HAC, and Pu Air Transport	Category A
3. SAR Criticality and Shielding Analysis for NCT, HAC and Pu Air Transport	Category A
4. Eutectics Evaluation	Category B
5. Leak Testing for T-Ampoule	Category C
6. SAR Amendment Preparation	None
7. Redesign and Drawings for SAR	Category B
8. SAR Amendment Assembly	None
9. SAR Section Review	None
10. Meeting Preparation	None
11. SAR Revision Based on NRC Comments	None
12. Testing for Model Verification and Margin	Category B
13. Update QA Section 9	None

Table 9-3. QA Categories for Design and Procurement of *T-Ampoule Assembly* and Inner Packing

Component Name	Drawing Number	Quality Category
<i>Ring, Filler</i>	2A0262	A
<i>T-Ampoule Assembly</i>	2A0261	A
<i>O-ring, Viton[®] for T-Ampoule</i>	2A0261, Item 3	C
<i>Inner Cradle</i>	2A0385	B
<i>Sample Container-1 (SC-1) Assembly</i>	2A0268	B
<i>Sample Container-2 (SC-2) Assembly</i>	2A0265	B
<i>O-ring, Viton for SC-1</i>	2A0268, Item 3	C
<i>O-ring, Viton for SC-2</i>	2A0265, Item 3	C

Table 9-4. Level of QA Control Per QA Element

QA Element	Level of Assurance Effort	Quality Category		
		A	B	C
9.1	Organization – grading not applicable	—	—	—
9.2	Quality Assurance Program – grading not applicable	—	—	—
9.3	Package Design Control <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ All controls applicable ▪ No controls required 	X	X	X
9.4	Procurement Document Control <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Procurement Documents receive management review ▪ Procurement Documents receive technical review ▪ Procurement Documents receive QA review ▪ No review required 	X X X	X X	X
9.5	Instructions, Procedures, and Drawings – Instructions and procedures (such as QAIPs) are prepared and applied to all quality categories, and design drawings are prepared regardless of the quality category of the subject item. Therefore, grading not applicable for this element.	—	—	—
9.6	Document Control – Document control is applied to <u>all</u> instructions, procedures, and drawings; quality categories are not applicable to those documents. Therefore, grading is not applicable for this element.	—	—	—
9.7	Control of Purchased Material, Equipment, and Services <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ All controls applicable ▪ No audit/surveillance of supplier required if supplier is qualified; all other controls applicable ▪ Only receipt inspection to verify procurement document requirements met requirements 	X	X	X
9.8	Identification and Control of Material, Parts, and Components <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Identification by part ID/drawing number and package S/N ▪ Identification by part ID/drawing number only required 	X	X	X
9.9	Control of Special Processes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Performed by qualified persons using qualified procedures and equipment ▪ No controls required 	X	X	X
9.10	Inspection <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ All controls applicable – no grading 	X	X	X
9.11	Test Control – testing may be performed on assembled components sets of various quality categories; therefore, no grading by quality category is appropriate – all controls applicable.	—	—	—
9.12	Control of Measuring and Test Equipment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ All controls applicable – no grading 	X	X	X

Table 9-4. Level of QA Control Per QA Element (continued)

QA Element	Level of Assurance Effort	Quality Category		
		A	B	C
9.13	Handling, Storage, and Shipping Control <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ All controls applicable ▪ Instructions, procedures, specifications, or drawings not required to govern handling or storage. 	X	X	X
9.14	Inspection, Test, and Operating Status <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ All controls applicable – no grading 	X	X	X
9.15	Nonconforming Materials, Parts, or Components <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ All controls applicable ▪ Control to ensure item not put in use, dispose without records 	X	X	X
9.16	Corrective Action <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ All controls applicable ▪ Determination of cause of condition and action to preclude recurrence not required 	X	X	X
9.17	QA Records – grading not meaningful – all controls applicable	X	X	X
9.18	Audits – grading not applicable	—	—	—

Note: Entries of “grading not applicable” in the above table indicate QAP elements for which a grading scheme for implementing the element is either illogical (i.e., the element is not applied at the individual component level, such as Sections 9.1, 9.2, 9.5, 9.6, 9.11, and 9.18 of this addendum) or where differentiation in the applicability of the element provides no significant value for this project (Sections 9.10, 9.12, 9.14, and 9.17 of this addendum).

9.2.2.3 Graded Quality Category C Items

These items and tasks have only a minor impact on safety, and include structures, components, and systems for which a failure or malfunction would not significantly reduce packaging effectiveness and would be unlikely to create a condition that would adversely affect public health and safety. Examples include dunnage, packaging hardware, security lockwire and seals, etc.

9.3 Package Design Control

Design processes are established and implemented to satisfy the requirements of 10 CFR 71.107. Processes, implemented via procedures, shall ensure that design features of packaging systems are appropriately translated into specifications, drawings, procedures, and instructions. Control measures are established for criticality, shielding, thermal, and structural analyses under both normal and accident condition analyses as defined in applicable Department of Transportation (DOT) and NRC regulations.

The design documents (e.g., drawings and specifications) for Quality Category A and B items (*T-Ampoule Assembly; Ring, Filler; Sample Container-1 (SC-1) Assembly; Sample Container-2 (SC-2) Assembly; and Inner Cradle*) are controlled through inclusion in Section 1 of this addendum, and any changes will be reviewed for approval by the NRC. Changes to Category C

items may be approved by NNSA, provided that the applicant demonstrates and documents that the proposed change does not affect safety.

Procedures are established for control design activities to ensure that the following:

- Design activities will be planned, controlled, and documented.
- Regulatory requirements, design requirements, and appropriate quality standards will be correctly translated into specifications, drawings, and procedures.
- Competent engineering personnel, independent of those who produced the design, perform design verification. Verification may include design reviews, alternate calculations, or verification testing. Verification tests are conducted in accordance with test procedures developed, reviewed, approved, and controlled in accordance with this document.
- Design interface controls will be established and adequate.
- Design, specification, drawing, and procedure changes will be reviewed and approved in the same manner as the original issue. Where a proposed design change potentially affects licensed conditions, the QAP shall ensure that licensing considerations have been reviewed and are complied with or otherwise reconciled by amending the license.
- Design errors and deficiencies will be documented, corrected, and corrective action taken to prevent recurrence.

Materials, parts, equipment, and processes essential to the function of items that are important to safety will be selected and reviewed for suitability of application.

9.4 Procurement Document Control

Procurement/acquisition processes and related document control activities shall be established and implemented to satisfy the requirements of 10 CFR 71.109. Processes, implemented via procedures, shall ensure that appropriate levels of quality are achieved for the procurement of material, equipment, and services.

Implementing procedures shall ensure that procurement documents clearly define applicable technical and QA requirements, including codes, standards, regulatory requirements and commitments, and contractual requirements. These documents serve as the principal documents for the procurement of structures, systems, components, and related services for use in the design, fabrication, maintenance and operation, inspection and testing of storage and/or transportation systems. Procedures shall ensure that purchased material, components, equipment, and services adhere to the applicable requirements. Furthermore:

- The assignment of quality requirements through procurement documents is administered and controlled.
- Procurement activities are performed in accordance with approved procedures delineating requirements for preparation, review, approval, and control of procurement documents.
- Procurement documents shall be reviewed and approved by authorized personnel for consistency with these requirements.

- Revisions to procurement documents are reviewed and approved by the same cognizant groups as the original document.
- Quality requirements are included in quality-related purchase orders as applicable to the scope of the procurement referencing 10 CFR 71, Subpart H or other codes and standards, as appropriate. In particular, procuring organizations that do not, themselves, have an NRC-accepted Subpart H QAP must require subcontractors to have such a QAP or recognized equivalent (e.g., a QAP that meets requirements of ANSI/ASME NQA-1, 1983).²³
- Procurement documents will require vendors to roll-down appropriate QA requirements to sub tier suppliers.
- Audits and/or surveys may be conducted to determine acceptability of proposed vendors based on the quality/performance requirements of the item/activity being purchased. These audits/surveys may be based on one or all of the following criteria: the vendor's capability to comply with the requirements of 10 CFR 71, Subpart H; a review of previous records to establish the past performance of the vendor; and/or a survey of the vendor's facilities and review of the supplier's QAP to assess adequacy and verify implementation of quality controls consistent with the requirements being invoked. Such audits or surveys shall be conducted in accordance with Section 9.18 of this addendum.
- Procurement documents shall specify the right of access to supplier facilities by the procuring entity for performing source surveillance and/or audit activities.
- Periodic surveillance of vendor in-process activities may be performed to verify vendor compliance with the procurement documents. When deemed necessary, the need for surveillance shall be specified in approved procurement documents.
- Procurement documents shall include provisions that suppliers either maintain or supply QA records that provide evidence of conformance to the procurement documents. Additionally, procurement documents shall designate the vendor documents required for submittal to the procuring entity for review and/or approval.

The components procured and fabricated per this addendum include *T-Ampoule Assembly; Ring, Filler; Sample Container-1 (SC-1) Assembly; Sample Container-2 (SC-2) Assembly; Inner Cradle* and *O-rings* for the *T-Ampoule Assembly* and sample containers (*SC-1* and *SC-2*). SNL shall have access to vendor facilities and shall qualify and approve the vendor QAP. The vendor QAP shall describe systems for planning, performing, and assessing work, which ensure materials, systems, results, and personnel meet stated quality objectives. The vendor shall ensure any material suppliers and subcontractors utilized during the performance of the contract meet the vendor's QAP. The vendor QAP shall be submitted to SNL for review and written approval, prior to commencement of any work.

Procurement of consumables and replacement parts will be performed under the SNL Subpart H program in a manner consistent with the quality category assigned to the individual items.

9.5 Instructions, Procedures, and Drawings

As required by 10 CFR 71.111, instructions, procedures, and drawings shall be prepared to prescribe processes or work activities, specify requirements, or establish design. Those

documents shall be used in the performance of the work to which they apply. Processes, implemented via procedures, shall ensure that appropriate levels of quality are achieved in development of those documents.

Implementing procedures shall be established to ensure that methods for complying with each of the applicable criteria of 10 CFR Part 71 for activities affecting quality during design, fabrication, inspection, testing, use, and maintenance are specified in instructions, procedures, and/or drawings.

In addition:

- Instructions, procedures, and drawings shall be developed, reviewed, approved, used, and controlled in accordance with the requirements of approved procedures. These instructions, procedures, and drawings shall include appropriate quantitative and qualitative acceptance criteria.
- Changes to instructions, procedures, and drawings are developed, reviewed, approved, used, and controlled with the same requirements and controls applied to the original documents.
- Compliance with these approved instructions, procedures, and drawings is mandatory.

Specific activities regarding preparation of packaging for use, repair, rework, maintenance, loading contents, unloading contents, and transport must be conducted in accordance with written and approved instructions, procedures, and/or drawings. These documents must identify appropriate inspection and hold points and emphasize characteristics that are important to safety and quality. Transportation package procedures are to be developed and reviewed by technical and quality staff and shall be approved by appropriate levels of management.

9.5.1 Operating Procedures for Preparation and Use of Packaging System

Activities concerning loading and shipping shall be performed in accordance with written operating procedures developed by the user and approved by the package custodian. Packaging first-time usage tests, sequential loading and unloading operations, technical constraints, acceptance limits, and references shall be specified in the procedures.

9.5.2 Operating Procedure Changes

Changes in operating procedures that affect the process must be reviewed and approved in the same manner as the initial issue.

9.6 Document Control

As required by 10 CFR 71.113, documents that prescribe processes or work activities, specify requirements, or establish design shall be controlled to ensure the use of applicable versions of those documents during the performance of activities that affect quality. Controlled documents include, but are not limited to:

- Project Plans
- QAP and QAIPs

- This addendum
- Design specifications
- Design and fabrication drawings
- Design verification test procedures along with the results of these test procedures
- Acceptance and maintenance test plans and test procedures
- Special process specifications and procedures

Documents that prescribe activities affecting quality are to be reviewed and approved for technical adequacy and inclusion of appropriate quality requirements prior to approval and issuance. Changes to documents that prescribe activities affecting quality shall be reviewed and approved by the same organization that performed the initial review and approval or by qualified responsible organizations. Measures are taken to ensure that only current documents are available at the locations where activities affecting quality are performed prior to commencing work.

Package users are responsible for establishing, developing, reviewing, approving, distributing, revising, and retaining their documents. Documents that require control, level of control, personnel responsibilities, and training requirements are to be identified.

Packaging-system-related documents to be controlled include, as a minimum:

- Specifications
- Drawings of packaging and components
- Fabrication records
- Operating procedures
- Maintenance procedures
- Inspection and operational test procedures and resulting data
- Loading and unloading procedures
- Preparation for transport procedures
- Repair procedures

Revisions are processed in the same manner as the original issue and only the latest revisions must be available for use.

Documentation received from the supplier for each package must be filed by package serial number. These documents will be retained at the user facility.

9.7 Control of Purchased Material, Equipment, and Services

As required by 10 CFR 71.115, purchased material, equipment, and services shall be controlled according to applicable procurement requirements. Processes, implemented via procedures, shall

ensure that appropriate levels of quality are achieved. Quality category designations are used to grade the application of QA requirements for procurements.

Control of purchased material, equipment, and services consist of the following activities:

- Implementing procedures shall be established to ensure that purchased material, equipment, and services conform to procurement documents.
- Suppliers are required to provide objective evidence that items or services provided meet the requirements specified in procurement documents. Items shall be properly identified on appropriate records that are available to permit verification of conformance with procurement documents. Any procurement requirements not met by suppliers shall be reported in accordance with Section 9.15, *Nonconforming, Materials, Parts, or Components* of this addendum for control and correction of the condition.
- Performance of source surveillance, test, and shipping and/or receiving inspection activities to verify compliance with approved design and licensing requirements, procurement document requirements, or contract specifications must be performed in accordance with approved procedures.
- For commercial “off-the-shelf” items, where specific quality controls appropriate for nuclear applications cannot be imposed in a practical manner, quality verification shall be performed to verify the acceptability and conformance of an item to procurement document requirements.

9.8 Identification and Control of Material, Parts, and Components

As required by 10 CFR 71.117, activities concerning the identification and control of material, parts, and components of the PAT-1 packaging system to be used for transport of radioactive material shall be controlled. Processes, implemented via procedures, shall ensure that appropriate levels of quality are achieved through the identification and control of those materials, parts, and components.

The requirements for identification and control of material, parts, and components include:

- Implementing procedures shall be established to identify and control materials, parts, and components. These procedures shall ensure identification of items by appropriate means during fabrication, installation, and use of the items, and prevent the inadvertent use of incorrect or defective items.
- Each component, if specified in the drawing, shall be assigned a unique serial number after fabrication or purchase. All documentation associated with subsequent storage, use, maintenance, inspection, acceptance, etc., must refer to the assigned serial number.
- Requirements for identification are established during the preparation of procedures and specifications.
- Methods and location of identification are selected so as not to adversely affect the quality of the item(s) being identified.

- Items with a limited shelf or operating life are controlled to prevent their inappropriate use.
- Control and identification must be maintained either directly on the item or within documents traceable to the item to ensure that only correct and acceptable items are used. When physical identification is not practical, other appropriate means of control must be established, such as bagging, physical separation, or procedural control.

Verification of acceptance status is required prior to use. Items that are not acceptable must be controlled accordingly. Control of nonconforming items is addressed in Section 9.15, *Nonconforming Parts, Materials, or Components*, of this addendum.

Each AQ-1 data plate on the PAT-1 package will be conspicuously and durably marked with information identifying the package owner, model number, unique serial number, and package gross weight, in accordance with 10 CFR 71.85(c) and drawing number 1002.

The *T-Ampoule* will be assigned a unique serial number after fabrication. The vendor shall mark the product, packaging, and accompanying documentation with the item's part number. Laser marking is required on all metal parts (*T-Ampoule Assembly*; *Ring*, *Filler*; *Sample Container-1*; *Sample Container-2*; and *Inner Cradle*) identified in the engineering drawing set. The laser-produced marking will be a contrasting color on the metal surface, without edges or depth.

Replacement parts must be identified by marking, tagging, or labeling to ensure correct application. Items should be marked based on their quality category. Items such as the O-rings for the TB-1 *Containment Vessel*, *T-Ampoule Assembly*, and *Sample Container-1 (SC-1) Assembly* and *Sample Container-2 (SC-2) Assembly* that are too small or that otherwise do not lend themselves to marking must be individually packaged and the package marked with the material certification, size, cure date, and shelf-life, etc., as appropriate. All replacement fasteners for the AQ-1 and TB-1 as described in NUREG-0361 (Drawing No. 1002, 1003, 1004, 1017, and 1018) must be source traceable, certified, and marked (or in marked packaging) to reflect their identity, and segregated from other similar fasteners to prevent misuse or installation of unacceptable fasteners. Items that have limited calendar-life, operating-life cycles (*Gasket Copper*, Drawing No. 1019), or shelf life (such as the TB-1 *Containment Vessel*, *T-Ampoule Assembly*, and sample container (*SC-1* or *SC-2*) *O-rings*) must be controlled (QAIP 8-1, 13-1) to preclude the use of expired items. Processes (see Section 7 of this addendum) shall be in place to replace aging items prior to failure or expiration.

9.9 Control of Special Processes

As required by 10 CFR 71.119, special processes (QAIP 9-1) used to fabricate or inspect the PAT-1 system or components shall be controlled. The requirements for control of special processes include:

- Implementing procedures shall be established to control special processes used in the fabrication and inspection of storage/transport systems. These processes may include welding, nondestructive examination, or other special processes as identified in procurement documents.
- Special processes shall be performed in accordance with approved procedures.

- Personnel who perform special processes will be trained and qualified in accordance with applicable codes, standards, specifications, and/or other specific requirements. Records of qualified procedures and personnel are to be maintained and kept current by the organization that performs the special processes.
- Equipment used in conduct of special processes must be qualified in accordance with applicable codes, standards, and specifications. Qualification records of special process procedures, equipment, and personnel must be maintained.

Welding shall be performed as specified in PAT-1030. Nondestructive examination personnel (e.g., for visual inspection, penetrant inspection, or leak testing, etc.) shall be qualified at the American Society for Nondestructive Testing (ASNT) Level II or III for the examination technique to be employed. Containment vessel and criticality control component structural welds must be examined using the approved nondestructive method cited on the design drawings.

Fabrication of the *T-Ampoule Assembly; Ring, Filler; Sample Container-1 (SC-1) Assembly; Sample Container-2 (SC-2) Assembly; and Inner Cradle* is specified in PAT-1040 *Titanium Material Specification and Fabrication*, Section 1.3.3 of this addendum.

9.10 Internal Inspection

In accordance with 10 CFR 71.121, inspection activities used to ensure that materials, parts, and components of the PAT-1 packaging system for transport of radioactive material shall be controlled. Processes, implemented via procedures, shall ensure that appropriate inspections are performed prior to acceptance or use of the packaging or components.

Requirements for control of inspection activities include:

- Implementing procedures shall be established to ensure that inspection is performed to verify that materials, parts, processes, or other activities affecting quality conform to documented instructions, procedures, specifications, drawings, and/or procurement documents.
- Mandatory hold points, inspection equipment requirements, acceptance criteria, personnel qualification requirements, performance characteristics, variable and/or attribute recording instructions, reference documents, and other requirements are considered and included, as applicable, during inspection and surveillance planning.
- Personnel performing inspection activities shall be trained and qualified in accordance with written, approved procedures.
- Inspections are to be performed by individuals other than those who performed or supervise the subject activities.
- Inspection and process monitoring are both required where either one, by itself, will not provide QA.
- Modifications and/or repairs to and replacement of packaging system components and assemblies are inspected in accordance with the original design and inspection requirements or approved alternatives.

9.10.1 Inspections During Fabrication

Specific inspection criteria are incorporated into the drawings for the PAT-1 packaging. The components fabricated in this addendum include the *T-Ampoule Assembly*; *Ring, Filler*; *Sample Container-1 (SC-1) Assembly*; *Sample Container-2 (SC-2) Assembly*; and *Inner Cradle*.

Inspection requirements for fabrication are divided into two areas of responsibility which document that an accepted package conforms to design criteria. These two areas are

- In-process inspections performed by the fabricator pursuant to fabricator's graded QA requirements under the Manufacturing/Fabrication Plan; and
- Independent surveillance of fabrication activities performed by individuals acting on behalf of the purchaser pursuant to graded QA requirements.

The vendor (fabricator) is required to submit a Manufacturing/Fabrication Plan prior to the start of fabrication for approval by SNL. This plan shall be used as a tool for establishing witness and hold points. A review for compliance with procurement documents is normally performed as part of the surveillance function at the vendor facility. The plan shall define how fabrications and inspections are performed, processes are engaged, and the qualification requirements for personnel.

A full-dimensional inspection of manufactured parts shall be performed and documented to ensure adherence to the dimensions and associated tolerances in the engineering drawings. ASME Y14.5M, Dimensioning and Tolerancing,²⁴ shall serve as the basis standard for the dimensional inspections.

Inspections must be documented and records delivered in individual data packages accompanying the manufactured parts in accordance with the procurement specification. Vendor inspectors shall be suitably experienced and qualified. Inspectors independent of the operation shall carry out acceptance inspections.

Independent surveillance activities will be performed by qualified personnel selected with SNL approval. SNL reserves the right to have its own qualified inspectors and personnel present during fabrication and inspections activities. Notice of at least five working days is required prior to inspection and testing activities by the vendor so SNL may arrange its staff participation. Hold points may be identified in the schedule for coordination of inspection activities.

Upon delivery of the fabricated components to SNL, the parts will be dimensionally and visually inspected by SNL inspectors as follows:

- Visually inspect components and assemblies for damage.
- Verify that purchase order/job order number is marked on parts, packaging, or accompanying documentation.
- Verify that the Certified Material Test Report (CMTR) contains purchase order/job number, vendor name, and address and is signed by an authorized vendor representative.
- Verify that a Certificate of Conformance (COC) is provided for each lot of components.

- Verify that the COC contains SNL purchase order/job order number, vendor name and address, and is signed by an authorized vendor representative.
- Verify vendor QAP was shipped with components.
- Verify dimensional attributes when established for components or assemblies.

9.10.2 Inspections during Initial Acceptance and during Service Life

Independent inspections shall be performed by SNL upon receipt of the PAT-1 packaging prior to first usage and on an annual basis. Post-loading inspections by the user are also performed prior to shipment. Inspection (by qualified independent inspection personnel) must include the following:

- First-Usage/Annual by SNL – Ensure compliance with procurement documents. As discussed in Section 8 of this addendum, Acceptance Tests and Maintenance Operations, perform first-time-usage inspections. Annually, ensure adequate packaging maintenance to ensure that performance is not impaired, as discussed in Section 8 of this addendum.
- Preshipment by User – Verify proper assembly and that leak testing (if applicable) is carried out as specified in Section 7 of this addendum, Package Operations. Verify proper contents, assembly, marking, shipping papers, and implementation of any special instructions to ensure that each loaded package is ready for delivery to the carrier.

9.11 Test Control

In accordance with 10 CFR 71.123, testing activities, including design verification testing, acceptance testing of fabricated components and assemblies, and operational testing of the PAT-1 system by users, shall be controlled. Processes, implemented via procedures, shall ensure that testing activities are completed as specified in design documentation and this addendum.

Requirements for test control include the following:

- Implementing procedures shall be established to ensure that required acceptance, first-use, preshipment, and annual tests as identified in design or procurement documents are performed and appropriately controlled.
- Test personnel shall have appropriate training and shall be qualified for the level of testing they perform. Personnel shall be qualified in accordance with approved, written instructions, procedures, and/or checklists.
- Tests shall be performed by qualified personnel in accordance with approved, written instructions, procedures, and/or checklists. Test procedures must contain or reference the following information, as applicable:
 - Acceptance criteria contained in the applicable test specifications or design and procurement documents.
 - Instructions for performance of tests, including environmental conditions.
 - Test prerequisites, such as test equipment, instrumentation requirements, personnel qualification requirements, fabrication, or operational status of the items to be tested.

- Provisions for data recording and records retention.
- Test results are to be documented and evaluated to ensure that acceptance criteria have been satisfied.
- Tests for modifications, repairs, or replacements of safety-related and important-to-safety structures, systems, or components are to be performed in accordance with the original design and testing requirements or approved alternatives.

Tests are required when it is necessary to demonstrate that an item or process will perform satisfactorily. Test procedures must specify the objectives of the tests, testing methods, required documentation, and acceptance criteria. Tests conducted by vendors at vendor facilities must be specified in procurement documents. Personnel who conduct tests, test equipment, and procedures must be qualified, and records documenting qualification retained.

9.11.1 Acceptance Tests

The fabricator (vendor) must supply to SNL, QA documentation for the fabrication of each PAT-1 packaging system in accordance with applicable drawings, specifications, and/or other written requirements, including the results of acceptance tests specified in Section 8 of this addendum.

SNL, the package custodian, must ensure required tests for packaging pressure, leakage rate, or others are performed prior to first usage.

9.11.2 Maintenance Tests

Annual maintenance testing will be performed by SNL to ensure the PAT-1 packaging performance has not deteriorated with time and usage. The requirements for the annual tests are documented in the Section 8, *Acceptance Tests and Maintenance Program* of this addendum. The results of these tests shall be documented and maintained with the specific packaging records.

9.11.3 Preshipment Tests

Preshipment tests, as specified in Section 8, *Acceptance Tests and Maintenance Program* of this addendum and shall be performed and documented by the package user.

9.12 Control of Measuring and Test Equipment

In accordance with 10 CFR 71.125, measuring and test equipment used for inspection and testing activities shall be controlled to ensure their usability, accuracy, and precision as follows:

- Implementing procedures shall be established to ensure that tools, gages, instruments, and other measuring and testing equipment (M&TE) are properly controlled, calibrated and adjusted to maintain accuracy within required limits.
- M&TE are calibrated at scheduled intervals against certified standards with known, valid relationships to national standards. If no national standards exist, the basis for calibration shall be documented. Calibration intervals are based on required accuracy, precision, purpose, frequency of use, stability characteristics, and other conditions that could affect measurement.

- Calibrations shall be performed in accordance with approved, written procedures.
- M&TE shall be identified, labeled, or tagged indicating the next required calibration due date, and traceable to calibration records.
- If M&TE is found to be out of calibration, an evaluation shall be performed and documented regarding the validity of inspections or tests performed and the acceptability of items inspected or tested since the previous acceptable calibration. The current status of M&TE is to be recorded and maintained. Any M&TE that is consistently found to be out of calibration shall be repaired or replaced.

Special calibration and control measures for rules, tape measures, levels, and other such devices are not required when normal commercial practices provide adequate accuracy.

9.13 Handling, Storage, and Shipping Control

In accordance with 10 CFR 71.127, the handling, storage, and shipping activities for materials, parts, and components of the PAT-1 packaging system used to transport radioactive material shall be controlled. Processes, implemented via procedures, shall ensure that such handling, shipping, and storage activities provide adequate control.

Requirements for handling, storage, and shipping control include the following:

- Implementing procedures shall be established to ensure that materials, parts, assemblies, spare parts, special tools, and equipment are handled, stored, packaged, and shipped in a manner to prevent damage, loss, loss of identity, or deterioration.
- When necessary, storage procedures address special requirements for environmental protection, such as inert gas atmospheres, moisture control, temperature levels, etc.

Package users shall ensure that PAT-1 system components and assemblies are controlled to prevent damage or loss, protect against damage or deterioration, and provide for adequate safety of personnel involved with handling, storage, and shipment (outgoing and incoming) operations.

Handling, storage, and shipping must be conducted in accordance with written and approved instructions, procedures, specifications, and/or drawings. These documents must identify appropriate information regarding shelf life, environment, temperature, cleaning, handling, and preservation, as applicable, to meet design, regulatory, and/or DOE shipping requirements which include applicable DOT requirements.

9.14 Inspection, Test, and Operating Status

In accordance with 10 CFR 71.129, the inspection, test, and operating status of materials, parts, and components of the PAT-1 packaging system to be used for transport of radioactive material shall be identified and controlled. Processes, implemented via procedures, shall ensure that appropriate inspections and tests occur prior to acceptance or use of the packaging or component, and identify the status of packaging items, components, etc.

Requirements for identifying inspection, test, and operating status include the following:

- Implementing procedures shall be established to ensure that the inspection and test status of materials, items, structures, systems, and components throughout fabrication, installation, operation, and test are clearly indicated by suitable means, (e.g., tags, labels, cards, form sheets, checklists, etc.).
- Bypassing required inspections, tests, or other critical operations is prevented through the use of approved instructions, procedures, or other controls.
- As appropriate, the operating status of nonconforming, inoperative, or malfunctioning components of a storage/transport system (e.g., valves, switches, etc.) is indicated to prevent inadvertent operation. The application and removal of status indicators are performed in accordance with approved instructions and procedures.
- Any nonconforming items are identified and controlled in accordance with Section 9.15 of this addendum.

Package users shall ensure that the status of inspection and test activities is identified on the item or in documents traceable to the item to ensure that proper inspections or tests have been performed and that those items that do not pass inspection are not used. The status of fabrication, inspection, testing, assembly, and refurbishment activities must be identified in documents traceable to the package components.

Measures established in specifications, procedures, and other instructions shall ensure that the following objectives are met:

- Personnel responsible for oversight of packaging inspections can readily ascertain the status of inspections, tests, and/or operating conditions.
- No controlled items are overlooked.
- Inadvertent use or installation of nonconforming items is prevented.
- Documentation is complete.

9.15 Nonconforming Materials, Parts, or Components

In accordance with 10 CFR 71.131, nonconforming materials, parts, and components of the PAT-1 packaging system used for transport of radioactive material shall be controlled. Processes, implemented via procedures, shall ensure that nonconforming conditions are identified and appropriately dispositioned.

The requirements for nonconforming materials, parts, or components include the following:

- Implementing procedures shall be established to control materials, parts, and components that do not conform to requirements and prevent their inadvertent use during fabrication or service.
- Nonconforming items include those items that do not meet specification or drawing requirements. Additionally, nonconforming items include items not fabricated or tested (1) in accordance with approved written procedures, (2) by qualified processes, or (3) by

qualified personnel where use of such procedures, processes, or personnel is required by the fabrication, test, inspection, or QA requirements.

- Nonconforming items are identified and/or segregated to prevent their inadvertent use until properly dispositioned. Nonconforming items are identified by marking, use of tagout procedures, or other methods that do not adversely affect the end use of the item if the nonconforming item can be restored to the applicable QA requirement. The identification shall be legible and easily recognizable. When identification of each nonconforming item is not practical, the container, package, or segregated storage area, as appropriate, is identified.
- Nonconforming conditions are documented and affected organizations are notified. The nonconformance report (NCR) shall include a description of the nonconforming condition. Nonconforming items shall be dispositioned as Use-As-Is, Reject, Repair, or Rework.
- Acceptability of rework or repair of nonconforming materials, parts, and components is verified through reinspection and/or retesting the item to the original requirements or equivalent inspection/testing methods. Inspection requirements for nonconforming items following rework, repair, or modification shall be detailed in the NCR and approved following determination of the disposition.
- The disposition of nonconforming items as Use-As-Is or Repair shall include technical justification and independent verification to assure compliance with design, regulatory, and contractual requirements.
- NCRs are part of the inspection records and are periodically reviewed to identify quality trends. Unsatisfactory quality trends are documented as detailed in Section 9.16 of this addendum. The results of these reviews are to be reported to management.
- NCRs relating to internal activities are issued to management of the affected organization. The appropriate QA Manager shall approve the disposition and perform follow-up activities to assure proper closure.
- Compliance with the evaluation and reporting requirements of 10 CFR 21 related to defects and noncompliance is controlled by approved procedures.

The components procured and fabricated per this addendum include *T-Ampoule Assembly; Ring, Filler; Sample Container-1 (SC-1) Assembly; Sample Container-2 (SC-2) Assembly; Inner Cradle* and *O-rings* for the *T-Ampoule Assembly*, and sample containers (*SC-1 or SC-2*). Nonconforming items are to be reported as outlined in the vendor's approved QAP, with immediate notification to SNL. NCRs shall be compiled to document the details of the nonconformance. Any nonconforming components shall be clearly identified and segregated. NCRs shall be traceable to the actual component(s) affected.

SNL shall perform corrective action(s) per Section 9.16 of this addendum. SNL shall disposition "Use-As-Is" and "Repair" nonconforming items in writing prior to the vendor proceeding with the next activity or step in the fabrication process. A copy of the completed NCR shall be submitted to SNL by the vendor as part of the manufacturing documentation.

9.16 *Corrective Action*

As required by 10 CFR 71.133, requirements for corrective action shall be established and implemented. Processes, implemented via procedures, shall ensure that issues associated with transportation and packaging activities are identified and corrected.

Corrective action activities shall be controlled. The requirements for corrective action include the following:

- Implementing procedures shall be established to identify and address conditions adverse to quality.
- Significant and/or repetitive failures, unsatisfactory quality trends, malfunctions, and deficiencies with material, components, equipment, and operations are to be promptly identified, documented, and reported to appropriate management.
- The cause of the condition and corrective action necessary to prevent recurrence are identified, implemented, and followed up to verify corrective action is complete and effective.

9.17 *Quality Assurance Records*

As required by 10 CFR 71.135, QA records shall be controlled. The QA records system will ensure that documented evidence relative to quality-related activities is maintained and available for use by DOE/NNSA and/or regulatory agencies.

Requirements for QA records include the following:

- Implementing procedures shall be established to assure control of quality records.
- Approved procedures identify the types of documents to be retained as QA records, as well as those to be retained by the originating organization. QA records are retained by the design organization (design, fabrication, and acceptance records) or by the user (use, shipment, inspection, in-use testing, and maintenance records). Records are identified, indexed, and stored in accessible locations.
- QA Records are retained for 3 years after the life of the packaging to which they apply per 10 CFR 71.91(d) to furnish evidence of activities affecting the quality of structures, systems, and components that are safety-related or important to safety. These records include records of design, procurement, fabrication, assembly, inspection, and testing. In addition, 10 CFR 71.135 states that the records shall be retained 3 years beyond the date when the last activity for which the quality program was developed.
- Maintenance records shall include the use of operating logs; results of reviews, inspections, tests, and audits; results from monitoring work performance and material analyses; results of maintenance, modification, and repair activities; qualification of personnel, procedures, and equipment; records of calibration of measuring and test equipment; and related instructions, procedures, and drawings.

- Requirements for indexing, record retention period, storage method(s) and location(s), classification, preservation measures, disposition of nonpermanent records, and responsibility for safekeeping are specified in approved procedures.
- Record storage facilities are established to prevent destruction of records by fire, flood, theft, and deterioration due to environmental conditions (such as temperature, humidity, or vermin). As an alternative, two identical sets of records (dual storage) may be maintained at separate locations.

Sufficient records must be maintained by package users to furnish evidence of item quality and activities affecting quality. QA records that must be retained three years after the life of the packaging to which they apply include:

- Appropriate production-related records that are generated throughout the package manufacturing and fabrication process (design organization).
- QA records are retained by the design organization (design, fabrication, procurement, and acceptance records), by the organization designated by the DOE/NNSA to perform maintenance (inspection, maintenance, procurement and acceptance records) and the users (inspection, use, shipment and in-use testing [leakage rate testing, etc.] records).
- Records documenting repair, rework, and replacement (user) shall be maintained for the lifetime of the package.
- Audit reports and corrective actions.
- Records used as a baseline for maintenance (user).
- Records showing evidence of delivery of packages to a carrier and proof that all DOT requirements were satisfied (user).

QA records shall be:

- Legible
- Completed to reflect the work accomplished and relevant results or conclusions
- Signed (including printed or typed name of the signing individual) and dated or otherwise authenticated by authorized personnel.

QA records should be placed in a records storage area as soon as feasible to avoid loss or damage. Individual QA records must be generated and maintained for each package and include the unique package identifier.

Records are to be available for inspection as per applicable DOE requirements.

9.17.1 Subcontractor/Supplier Submittal Requirements Summary

The components procured and fabricated per in this addendum include *T-Ampoule Assembly; Ring, Filler; Sample Container-1 (SC-1) Assembly; Sample Container-2 (SC-2) Assembly; Inner Cradle* and *O-rings* for the *T-Ampoule Assembly* and sample containers (*SC-1* or *SC-2*). The vendor shall provide a minimum of three copies of all required document submittals, accompanied by official vendor document transmittal forms. Prior to shipment of the above

mentioned components, the vendor shall submit a formal construction report to SNL for review and written approval (see Section 1.3.3 of this addendum).

9.18 Audits

In accordance with 10 CFR 71.137, audit requirements shall be established and implemented. Processes, implemented via procedures, shall ensure that activities pertaining to audits and assessments are controlled.

Requirements for audits and assessments are as follows:

- Implementing procedures shall be established to ensure that periodic audits verify compliance with all applicable requirements of the QAP and determine its effectiveness. Areas and activities to be audited, such as design, procurement, fabrication, inspection, and testing of storage/transportation systems, are to be identified as part of the audit plan.
- Vendor QAPs, procedures, and implementation activities may be audited to evaluate and verify that they are adequate and comply with applicable requirements.
- Audits are planned and scheduled in a manner to provide coverage and coordination with ongoing QAP activities commensurate with the status and importance of the activities.
- Audits are performed by trained and qualified personnel not having direct responsibilities in the areas being audited, and are conducted in accordance with written plans and checklists. Audit results are documented and reviewed by management having responsibility for the area audited. Corrective actions and schedules for implementation are established and recorded. Audit reports include an objective evaluation of the quality-related practices, procedures, and instructions for the areas or activities being audited and the effectiveness of implementation.
- Responsible management shall undertake corrective actions as a follow-up to audit reports when appropriate. The responsible management shall evaluate audit results for indications of adverse trends that could affect quality. When results of such assessments so indicate, appropriate corrective action will be implemented.

The components procured and fabricated per this addendum include *T-Ampoule Assembly; Ring, Filler; Sample Container-1; Sample Container-2; Inner Cradle* and *O-rings* for the T-Ampoule and sample containers. SNL reserves the right to audit and assess the vendor and the vendor subcontractors and supplier to verify conformance to the QAP prior to award of the contract, and at any time during the performance of the contract.

9.19 Appendix

9.19.1 References

1. United States. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. NUREG-0361. "Safety Analysis Report for the Plutonium Air Transportable Package, Model PAT-1." Washington, D.C. 1978.
2. United States. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. NUREG-0360. "Qualification Criteria to Certify a Package for Air Transport of Plutonium." Washington, D.C. 1978.

3. United States. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. NUREG/CR-0030. "PARC (Plutonium Accident Resistant Container) Program Research, Design, and Development," (SAND76-0587). Sandia National Laboratories. Albuquerque, New Mexico. Reprinted January 1983.
4. United States. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Code of Federal Regulations, 10 CFR 71. "Packaging and Transportation of Radioactive Material." January 1, 2009.
5. United States. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Regulatory Guide 7.10 (RG 7.10). *Establishing Quality Assurance Programs for Packaging Used in Transport of Radioactive Material*, Revision 2. Washington, D.C. March 2005.
6. Sandia National Laboratories. "Title 10 Code of Federal Regulations Part 71 Packaging and Transportation of Radioactive Material – Quality Assurance Program Plan." AS-PT-PD-04. Albuquerque, New Mexico. March 5, 2009.
7. 1071H-QAIP 2-2. "Project Quality Plan." Sandia National Laboratories. Albuquerque, New Mexico.
8. 1071H-QAIP 3-1. "Design Control." Sandia National Laboratories. Albuquerque, New Mexico.
9. 1071H-QAIP 9-2. "Model Validation." Sandia National Laboratories. Albuquerque, New Mexico.
10. 1071H-QAIP 9-3. "Analyses and Calculations," Sandia National Laboratories. Albuquerque, New Mexico.
11. 1071H-QAIP 4-1. "Control of Procurement Documents and Purchased Material, Equipment, and Services," Sandia National Laboratories. Albuquerque, New Mexico.
12. 1071H-QAIP 5-1. "Quality Assurance Implementing Procedure Preparation and Content," Sandia National Laboratories. Albuquerque, New Mexico.
13. 1071H-QAIP 6-1. "Document Review and Approval," Sandia National Laboratories. Albuquerque, New Mexico.
14. 1071H-QAIP 6-2. "Document Control," Sandia National Laboratories. Albuquerque, New Mexico.
15. 1071H-QAIP 8-1. "Identification and Control of Materials, Parts, and Components." Sandia National Laboratories. Albuquerque, New Mexico.
16. 1071H-QAIP 9-1. "Control of Special Processes." Sandia National Laboratories. Albuquerque, New Mexico.
17. 1071H-QAIP 10-1. "Inspection and Identification of Item Status." Sandia National Laboratories. Albuquerque, New Mexico.

18. 1071H-QAIP 9-5. "Technical Products and Deliverable Reports." Sandia National Laboratories. Albuquerque, New Mexico.
19. 1071H-QAIP 11-1. "Control of Data Collection and Testing Activities." Sandia National Laboratories. Albuquerque, New Mexico.
20. 1071H-QAIP 12-1. "Control of Measuring and Test Equipment." Sandia National Laboratories. Albuquerque, New Mexico.
21. 1071H-QAIP 13-1 "Control of Shipping, Handling, Storage, Preservation, and Cleaning." Sandia National Laboratories. Albuquerque, New Mexico.
22. 1071H-QAIP 15-1. "Control of Nonconformances and Corrective Action." Sandia National Laboratories. Albuquerque, New Mexico.
23. American National Standards Institute (ANSI). ANSI/ASME NQA-1-1983. 1983.
24. American Society of Mechanical Engineers. "Dimensioning and Tolerancing." New York: 2009.

DISTRIBUTION		
1	NRC Document Control	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001
2 and 1 (electronic copy)	NNSA/PCD	NZ/Building 382-1 P. O. Box 5400 Albuquerque, NM 87185-5400
1 and 1 (electronic copy)	NNSA/Paul Mann	NA-172.1/Building 382-3 P.O. Box 5400 Albuquerque, NM 87185-5400
2 and 1 (electronic copy)	Energy Solutions/Scott Shiraga	2345 Stevens Dr Suite 240 Richland, WA 99354
1 and 1 (electronic copy)	MS0718	David Miller
4 and 3 (electronic copy)	MS0718	Richard Yoshimura
9 and 1 (electronic copy)	MS0718	To Richard Yoshimura for NRC Review Team
1 (electronic copy)	MS0899	Technical Library



Sandia National Laboratories