



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

7/21/2010

75 FR 42466

8

RECEIVED

2010 SEP 16 AM 10:34

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

SUNSI Review Complete
Template = ADM-013
E-REDS = ADM-03
Add =
S. Lemont (ex 18)

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

The draft EIS makes an unproven assertion that there is a need for domestically produced enriched uranium. However, this claim was never proven and often contradicted in the draft. 1) The nuclear renaissance is too expensive and faces enormous delays; 2) the current US fleet of reactors has operated with an adequate supply of fuel for decades; 3) the draft EIS asserts that the licensing of this facility would create a supply of enriched uranium in *excess* of the need.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note **my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.**

Sincerely,

Name: Tyler Hoovis

Address: 319 N. 30th street Apt D-101


Phone Number: 208-403-3135

RECEIVED

2009 SEP 15 PM 3:10

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001



20555\$0001

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

Currently, the draft EIS is inadequate and fails to address the fact that uranium enrichment is a technology used for proliferation. The NRC should produce an unclassified non-proliferation assessment for the EREF. To refuse to do so, based on the fact that Areva intends to enrich uranium to no more than 5%, misses an important point: Gas centrifuge uranium enrichment is a proliferable technology and precedents exist for non-proliferation assessments of proliferable technology, whether the license allows for proliferation or not.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note **my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.**

Sincerely,

Name: *Jacob King*
Address: *8642 W. Driftwood*
Phone Number: *202-873-7159*

RECEIVED

2010 SEP 15 PM 3:10


TOLEDO
BRANCH
SERIALIZED
INDEXED

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

Currently, the draft EIS is inadequate and fails to address the fact that uranium enrichment is a technology used for proliferation. The NRC should produce an unclassified non-proliferation assessment for the EREF. To refuse to do so, based on the fact that Areva intends to enrich uranium to no more than 5%, misses an important point: Gas centrifuge uranium enrichment is a proliferable technology and precedents exist for non-proliferation assessments of proliferable technology, whether the license allows for proliferation or not.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my **adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.**

Sincerely, 
Name: Giovanna Campos
Address:
Phone Number: 965-1256

RECEIVED

09 SEP 15 PM 3:10

FILES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
10/10/10

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

HOUSE TO 537

10 SEP 2010 PM 3 L



USA FIRST CLASS FOREVER

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

Currently, the draft EIS is inadequate and fails to address the fact that uranium enrichment is a technology used for proliferation. The NRC should produce an unclassified non-proliferation assessment for the EREF. To refuse to do so, based on the fact that Areva intends to enrich uranium to no more than 5%, misses an important point: Gas centrifuge uranium enrichment is a proliferable technology and precedents exist for non-proliferation assessments of proliferable technology, whether the license allows for proliferation or not.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.

Sincerely, Jessica Tonga

Name:

Address: 1314 Manitou Boise, ID 83706

Phone Number: 208-724-0107

RECEIVED

2010 SEP 15 PM 3:10

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
151110

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

BOISE ID 837

10 SEP 2010 PM 2 L



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555#0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

Currently, the draft EIS is inadequate and fails to address the fact that uranium enrichment is a technology used for proliferation. The NRC should produce an unclassified non-proliferation assessment for the EREF. To refuse to do so, based on the fact that Areva intends to enrich uranium to no more than 5%, misses an important point: Gas centrifuge uranium enrichment is a proliferable technology and precedents exist for non-proliferation assessments of proliferable technology, whether the license allows for proliferation or not.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.

Sincerely,

Name: Joseph Vass

Address: 1406 Camel Back Ln, Boise, ID, 83702

Phone Number: 208-283-9225

RECEIVED

2010 SEP 15 PM 3:11

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

HOUSE ID 337
10 SEP 2010 PM 2 L



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

The draft EIS makes an unproven assertion that there is a need for domestically produced enriched uranium. However, this claim was never proven and often contradicted in the draft. 1) The nuclear renaissance is too expensive and faces enormous delays; 2) the current US fleet of reactors has operated with an adequate supply of fuel for decades; 3) the draft EIS asserts that the licensing of this facility would create a supply of enriched uranium in excess of the need.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.

Sincerely,

Name: Naomi Johnson

Address: 814 N 7th St. #3, Boise, ID 83702

Phone Number: 208-401-4665

RECEIVED


SEP 15 PM 3:11

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

9015E 3D 537

10 SEP 2010 PM 2 L



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

The draft EIS makes an unproven assertion that there is a need for domestically produced enriched uranium. However, this claim was never proven and often contradicted in the draft. 1) The nuclear renaissance is too expensive and faces enormous delays; 2) the current US fleet of reactors has operated with an adequate supply of fuel for decades; 3) the draft EIS asserts that the licensing of this facility would create a supply of enriched uranium in excess of the need.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.

Sincerely,

Name: Pamela Hanson

Address: 1839 W. Boise Ave # D Boise, ID 83704

Phone Number: (208) 320 7821

RECEIVED


SEP 15 PM 3:11

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
US NRC

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

BOISE ID 837

10 SEP 2010 PM 2 L



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

Currently, the draft EIS is inadequate and fails to address the fact that uranium enrichment is a technology used for proliferation. The NRC should produce an unclassified non-proliferation assessment for the EREF. To refuse to do so, based on the fact that Areva intends to enrich uranium to no more than 5%, misses an important point: Gas centrifuge uranium enrichment is a proliferable technology and precedents exist for non-proliferation assessments of proliferable technology, whether the license allows for proliferation or not.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my adamant opposition to the licensing of the **EREF**.

Sincerely,

Name: Neil Myaoka

Address: 7376 Bulen Drive Anchorage Alaska 99507

Phone Number: 907-748-6907

RECEIVED

SEP 15 PM 3:11

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
18370

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

BOISE ID 837

10 SEP 2010 PM 2 L



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

Currently, the draft EIS is inadequate and fails to address the fact that uranium enrichment is a technology used for proliferation. The NRC should produce an unclassified non-proliferation assessment for the EREF. To refuse to do so, based on the fact that Areva intends to enrich uranium to no more than 5%, misses an important point: Gas centrifuge uranium enrichment is a proliferable technology and precedents exist for non-proliferation assessments of proliferable technology, whether the license allows for proliferation or not.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.

Sincerely,

Name:

Susan Jenkins

Address:

2717 N. Esquire Drive Boise ID 83704

Phone Number:

(208) 1058-8157

RECEIVED

2010 SEP 15 PM 3:11

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
SERV

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

BOYSE 10 537

10 SEP 2010 PM 2 L



USA FIRST CLASS PERMIT NO. 1000 BOYSE ID

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

Currently, the draft EIS is inadequate and fails to address the fact that uranium enrichment is a technology used for proliferation. The NRC should produce an unclassified non-proliferation assessment for the EREF. To refuse to do so, based on the fact that Areva intends to enrich uranium to no more than 5%, misses an important point: Gas centrifuge uranium enrichment is a proliferable technology and precedents exist for non-proliferation assessments of proliferable technology, whether the license allows for proliferation or not.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note **my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.**

Sincerely,

Name: *Mason Richens*

Address: *1559 W. Cesar Chavez*

Phone Number: *208-847-5158*

RECEIVED

SEP 15 PM 3:11

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
15910

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

BOYCE TO 857

10 SEP 2010 PM 2 L



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

The draft EIS makes an unproven assertion that there is a need for domestically produced enriched uranium. However, this claim was never proven and often contradicted in the draft. 1) The nuclear renaissance is too expensive and faces enormous delays; 2) the current US fleet of reactors has operated with an adequate supply of fuel for decades; 3) the draft EIS asserts that the licensing of this facility would create a supply of enriched uranium in excess of the need.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.

Sincerely,

Name: *Jade McKeibey*

Address: *3948 S. Veneto P.O. Meridian, ID 83642*

Phone Number:

RECEIVED


2010 SEP 15 PM 3:11

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
10/15/10

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001


BOISE ID 837

10 SEP 2010 PM 2 L



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

Currently, the draft EIS is inadequate and fails to address the fact that uranium enrichment is a technology used for proliferation. The NRC should produce an unclassified non-proliferation assessment for the EREF. To refuse to do so, based on the fact that Areva intends to enrich uranium to no more than 5%, misses an important point: Gas centrifuge uranium enrichment is a proliferable technology and precedents exist for non-proliferation assessments of proliferable technology, whether the license allows for proliferation or not.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.

Sincerely,

Name: *Tim Natteger*

Address: *1770 E. Seaside Ct.*

Phone Number: *(208) 570-7213*

RECEIVED

2010 SEP 15 PM 3:12

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
10/15/10

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

HOUSE ID 837

10 SEP 2010 PM 2 L



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
LISND

2010 SEP 15 PM 3:12

RECEIVED

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

Currently, the draft EIS is inadequate and fails to address the fact that uranium enrichment is a technology used for proliferation. The NRC should produce an unclassified non-proliferation assessment for the EREF. To refuse to do so, based on the fact that Areva intends to enrich uranium to no more than 5%, misses an important point: Gas centrifuge uranium enrichment is a proliferable technology and precedents exist for non-proliferation assessments of proliferable technology, whether the license allows for proliferation or not.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Sincerely,

Name: SEAN CAMPBELL
Address: 2301 S Chris Wes Dr # 709
Phone Number: 208 515 1252

BOISE ID 837

10 SEP 2010 PM 2 L



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

The draft EIS makes an unproven assertion that there is a need for domestically produced enriched uranium. However, this claim was never proven and often contradicted in the draft. 1) The nuclear renaissance is too expensive and faces enormous delays; 2) the current US fleet of reactors has operated with an adequate supply of fuel for decades; 3) the draft EIS asserts that the licensing of this facility would create a supply of enriched uranium in excess of the need.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.

Sincerely,

Name:

Alison Duffin

Address:

130 S Denver Ave Boise ID 83706

Phone Number:

226-5148

RECEIVED

2010 SEP 15 PM 3:12

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
ES/MS/CS

2010 SEP 15 PM 3:12

RECEIVED

Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed


The draft EIS makes an unproven assertion that there is a need for domestically produced enriched uranium. However, this claim was never proven and often contradicted in the draft. 1) The nuclear renaissance is too expensive and faces enormous delays; 2) the current US fleet of reactors has operated with an adequate supply of fuel for decades; 3) the draft EIS asserts that the licensing of this facility would create a supply of enriched uranium in excess of the need.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001


Sincerely,

Name: Lisa Stimpson
Address: 1405 Poen Rd
Phone Number: (208) 697-9976



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

Currently, the draft EIS is inadequate and fails to address the fact that uranium enrichment is a technology used for proliferation. The NRC should produce an unclassified non-proliferation assessment for the EREF. To refuse to do so, based on the fact that Areva intends to enrich uranium to no more than 5%, misses an important point: Gas centrifuge uranium enrichment is a proliferable technology and precedents exist for non-proliferation assessments of proliferable technology, whether the license allows for proliferation or not.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.

Sincerely,

Name: Emily Harvey

Address: 1421 Cesar Chavez Ln.


Phone Number: 208-869-7873

RECEIVED

2010 SEP 15 PM 3:12


RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
ENRREG

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

Currently, the draft EIS is inadequate and fails to address the fact that uranium enrichment is a technology used for proliferation. The NRC should produce an unclassified non-proliferation assessment for the EREF. To refuse to do so, based on the fact that Areva intends to enrich uranium to no more than 5%, misses an important point: Gas centrifuge uranium enrichment is a proliferable technology and precedents exist for non-proliferation assessments of proliferable technology, whether the license allows for proliferation or not.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note **my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.**

Sincerely,


Name: *Drew M. Harris*
Address: *1217 Michigan Ave, Boise, Id 83706*
Phone Number: *304 670 9191*

RECEIVED

2010 SEP 15 PM 3:12


RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
101770

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

The draft EIS makes an unproven assertion that there is a need for domestically produced enriched uranium. However, this claim was never proven and often contradicted in the draft. 1) The nuclear renaissance is too expensive and faces enormous delays; 2) the current US fleet of reactors has operated with an adequate supply of fuel for decades; 3) the draft EIS asserts that the licensing of this facility would create a supply of enriched uranium in excess of the need.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.

Sincerely,

Name:

Address:

Phone Number:

Hannah Rainey

7721 McMullen St. Boise, ID 83709

(801) 691-3292

RECEIVED

2010 SEP 15 PM 3:1

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
IS-NRC

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

BOISE ID 857

10 SEP 2010 PM 2 L



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

The draft EIS makes an unproven assertion that there is a need for domestically produced enriched uranium. However, this claim was never proven and often contradicted in the draft. 1) The nuclear renaissance is too expensive and faces enormous delays; 2) the current US fleet of reactors has operated with an adequate supply of fuel for decades; 3) the draft EIS asserts that the licensing of this facility would create a supply of enriched uranium in excess of the need.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.

Sincerely,

Name: *Andrea Guerri*

Address: *2401 S. Apple Street Boise, ID 83706*

Phone Number:

RECEIVED


2010 SEP 15 PM 3:12

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
LEAF

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001


BOISE ID 837

10 SEP 2010 PM 2 L



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

The draft EIS makes an unproven assertion that there is a need for domestically produced enriched uranium. However, this claim was never proven and often contradicted in the draft. 1) The nuclear renaissance is too expensive and faces enormous delays; 2) the current US fleet of reactors has operated with an adequate supply of fuel for decades; 3) the draft EIS asserts that the licensing of this facility would create a supply of enriched uranium in excess of the need.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.

Sincerely,

Name: Courtney Hollar

Address: 625A N. Fair Oaks Pl.

Phone Number: 208-853-8253

RECEIVED


2010 SEP 15 PM 3:12

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
IS/IFC

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

BOISE ID 837

10 SEP 2010 PM 3 L



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

Currently, the draft EIS is inadequate and fails to address the fact that uranium enrichment is a technology used for proliferation. The NRC should produce an unclassified non-proliferation assessment for the EREF. To refuse to do so, based on the fact that Areva intends to enrich uranium to no more than 5%, misses an important point: Gas centrifuge uranium enrichment is a proliferable technology and precedents exist for non-proliferation assessments of proliferable technology, whether the license allows for proliferation or not.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.

Sincerely,

Name: David Minick

Address: 4973 N. Maidenstone Way, Boise ID, 83713

Phone Number: 208-846-1119

RECEIVED


2010 SEP 15 PM 3:12

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
ISN/DO

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

BOISE ID 837

10 SEP 2010 PM 2 L



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010


I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

The draft EIS makes an unproven assertion that there is a need for domestically produced enriched uranium. However, this claim was never proven and often contradicted in the draft. 1)-The nuclear renaissance is too expensive and faces enormous delays; 2) the current US fleet of reactors has operated with an adequate supply of fuel for decades; 3) the draft EIS asserts that the licensing of this facility would create a supply of enriched uranium in excess of the need.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.



Sincerely,

Name:

Address:

Phone Number:

RECEIVED


2010 SEP 15 PM 3:12

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
LICENSING

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001


BOX 10 337

10 SEP 2010 PM 2 L



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

Currently, the draft EIS is inadequate and fails to address the fact that uranium enrichment is a technology used for proliferation. The NRC should produce an unclassified non-proliferation assessment for the EREF. To refuse to do so, based on the fact that Areva intends to enrich uranium to no more than 5%, misses an important point: Gas centrifuge uranium enrichment is a proliferable technology and precedents exist for non-proliferation assessments of proliferable technology, whether the license allows for proliferation or not.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note **my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.**

Sincerely,

Name:

Address:

Phone Number:

Daniel Jones

938-2969

RECEIVED


2010 SEP 15 PM 3:12

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
LS/NRC

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

BOISE ID 837

10 SEP 2010 PM 2 L



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

The draft EIS makes an unproven assertion that there is a need for domestically produced enriched uranium. However, this claim was never proven and often contradicted in the draft. 1) The nuclear renaissance is too expensive and faces enormous delays; 2) the current US fleet of reactors has operated with an adequate supply of fuel for decades; 3) the draft EIS asserts that the licensing of this facility would create a supply of enriched uranium in excess of the need.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.


Sincerely, *Verly Larsen*
Name: *Verly Larsen*
Address:
Phone Number: *(208) - 538 - 7144*

RECEIVED

2010 SEP 15 PM 3:12


RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

The draft EIS makes an unproven assertion that there is a need for domestically produced enriched uranium. However, this claim was never proven and often contradicted in the draft. 1) The nuclear renaissance is too expensive and faces enormous delays; 2) the current US fleet of reactors has operated with an adequate supply of fuel for decades; 3) the draft EIS asserts that the licensing of this facility would create a supply of enriched uranium in excess of the need.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.

Sincerely,

Name:

Olivia Joelsen

Address:

1523 Denver Ave

Phone Number:

284-8477

RECEIVED

2010 SEP 15 PM 3:13


RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

Currently, the draft EIS is inadequate and fails to address the fact that uranium enrichment is a technology used for proliferation. The NRC should produce an unclassified non-proliferation assessment for the EREF. To refuse to do so, based on the fact that Areva intends to enrich uranium to no more than 5%, misses an important point: Gas centrifuge uranium enrichment is a proliferable technology and precedents exist for non-proliferation assessments of proliferable technology, whether the license allows for proliferation or not.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.

Sincerely,


Name: *Danielle Dugger*
Address: *1793 E. Summepiece Ct.*
Phone Number: *208 272 0872*

RECEIVED

2010 SEP 15 PM 3:13


RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

Currently, the draft EIS is inadequate and fails to address the fact that uranium enrichment is a technology used for proliferation. The NRC should produce an unclassified non-proliferation assessment for the EREF. To refuse to do so, based on the fact that Areva intends to enrich uranium to no more than 5%, misses an important point: Gas centrifuge uranium enrichment is a proliferable technology and precedents exist for non-proliferation assessments of proliferable technology, whether the license allows for proliferation or not.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my **adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.**

Sincerely,

Name: *Beall Lee*
Address: *2560 W. Boise Ave, Boise, ID 83706*
Phone Number: -

RECEIVED

2010 SEP 15 PM 3:13


RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
SERIES

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- Is unnecessary
- Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people
- Should not be licensed

Currently, the draft EIS is inadequate and fails to address the fact that uranium enrichment is a technology used for proliferation. The NRC should produce an unclassified non-proliferation assessment for the EREF. To refuse to do so, based on the fact that Areva intends to enrich uranium to no more than 5%, misses an important point: Gas centrifuge uranium enrichment is a proliferable technology and precedents exist for non-proliferation assessments of proliferable technology, whether the license allows for proliferation or not.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my adamant opposition to the licensing of the **EREF**.

Sincerely, *Mike Pennington*

Name:

Address: *926 N. 28th St Boise, ID 83702*


Phone Number: *208-447-8513*

RECEIVED

2010 SEP 15 AM 3:13

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
US:RFO

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001



Dear Nuclear Regulatory Commission:

09/2010

I am writing in regards to the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Eagle Rock Enrichment Facility proposed in eastern Idaho (NUREG-1945 draft).

This enrichment factory:

- **Is unnecessary**
- **Poses a risk to Idaho's natural resources and people**
- **Should not be licensed**

Currently, the draft EIS is inadequate and fails to address the fact that uranium enrichment is a technology used for proliferation. The NRC should produce an unclassified non-proliferation assessment for the EREF. To refuse to do so, based on the fact that Areva intends to enrich uranium to no more than 5%, misses an important point: Gas centrifuge uranium enrichment is a proliferable technology and precedents exist for non-proliferation assessments of proliferable technology, whether the license allows for proliferation or not.

Please take this concern into consideration and adjust the draft EIS accordingly. Also, please note my adamant opposition to the licensing of the EREF.

Sincerely,

Name: *Dina Bonel*
Address: *8014 W. Glavin*
Phone Number: *863-8380*

RECEIVED

2010 SEP 15 PM 3:13

US-100

RULES AND DIRECTIVES

Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001



Chief, Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop TWB-05-B01M
Washington, DC 20555-0001

20555+0001

