



NRC PUBLIC MEETING FEEDBACK

Category

2

Meeting Date: 08/09/2010 Meeting Title: Public Meeting between the U.S. NRC and the Snake River Alliance regarding the Draft EIS

In order to better serve the public, we need to hear from the meeting participants. Please take a few minutes to fill out this feedback form and return it to NRC.

1. How did you hear about this meeting?

- NRC Web Page
 NRC Mailing List
 Newspaper
 Radio/TV
 Other Snake River Alliance

- | | | <u>Yes</u> | <u>No</u>
(Please explain below) | <u>Somewhat</u> |
|--|-----------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 2. Were you able to find supporting information prior to the meeting? | <u>from SRA</u> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Did the meeting achieve its stated purpose? | | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Has this meeting helped you with your understanding of the topic? | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. Were the meeting starting time, duration, and location reasonably convenient? | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 6. Were you given sufficient opportunity to ask questions or express your views? | | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 7. Are you satisfied overall with the NRC staff who participated in the meeting? | | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

concerns like this speaker will stack in your favor ...

COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS: Sounds like a done deal no matter my comments. Thank you for answering these questions.

110 uranium enrichment facility outside of Falls by AREVA !!! The transport of radioactive materials + the storage of nuclear waste are my biggest concerns. There are safety issues! We will be at risk. How will the waste be disposed of? Snake River Alliance did an excellent job explaining. NRC sounded like bureaucratic babble ignoring the real dangers + concerns. How is this really going to help US live in Idaho, USA?! *Continue Comments on the reverse.*

OPTIONAL

Name: Susan Rainey Organization: private citizen
 Telephone No: (208) 385-0234 E-Mail: _____
 Check here if you would like a member of NRC staff to contact you.

Please fold on the dotted lines with Business Reply side out, tape the bottom, and mail back to the NRC.


COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS: (Continued)

Not worth the risk...

UNITED STATES
 NUCLEAR REGULATORY
 COMMISSION
 WASHINGTON DC 20555-0001

BOISE ID 837

11 AUG 2010 PM 3 U




BUSINESS REPLY MAIL

FIRST CLASS MAIL PERMIT NO. 12904 WASHINGTON DC

POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

STEPHEN LEMONT
 MAIL STOP T-8F5
 OFFICE OF FEDERAL AND STATE MATERIALS AND ENV MGMT PROGRAM:
 U S NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
 WASHINGTON DC 20555-0001





NRC PUBLIC MEETING FEEDBACK

Category

2

Meeting Date: 08/09/2010

Meeting Title: Public Meeting between the U.S. NRC and the Snake River Alliance regarding the Draft EIS

In order to better serve the public, we need to hear from the meeting participants. Please take a few minutes to fill out this feedback form and return it to NRC.

1. How did you hear about this meeting?

- NRC Web Page
- NRC Mailing List
- Newspaper
- Radio/TV
- Other _____

- | | <u>Yes</u> | <u>No</u>
(Please explain below) | <u>Somewhat</u> |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 2. Were you able to find supporting information prior to the meeting? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Did the meeting achieve its stated purpose? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Has this meeting helped you with your understanding of the topic? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. Were the meeting starting time, duration, and location reasonably convenient? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 6. Were you given sufficient opportunity to ask questions or express your views? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 7. Are you satisfied overall with the NRC staff who participated in the meeting? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS:

Thank you for answering these questions.

The Horrors of Balistic Uranium is not mundane to Electric Generation by the Atom. It is not necessary. The inventor of Alternating Current Tesla said rightly 'we are in a sea of energy' wars are caused by artificial scarcity. If an intelligent person who can do the

Continue Comments on the reverse. ↩

OPTIONAL

Name David Jankecki Organization none
 Telephone No. none E-Mail poshlost@hotmail Check here if you would like a member of NRC staff to contact you.

OMB NO 3150-0197

Expires 08/31/2012

Public Protection Notification If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection

Please fold on the dotted lines with Business Reply side out, tape the bottom, and mail back to the NRC.

COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS: (Continued)

maths of electro magnetic Theory of Maxwell and Heaviside can see pos. and neg. vectors in a quaternion calculus. This is FREE (real) energy beyond the trinomial of current easy to engineer maths of Einstein, who knew that the universe was curved, but in a quaternion (4 part) math it is easy to see small spaces are curved also. This is the obvious spot at ambient energy. Free Science.

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY
COMMISSION
WASHINGTON DC 20555-0001

BOISE ID 837
10 AUG 2010 PM 3

NO POSTAGE
NECESSARY
IF MAILED
IN THE
UNITED STATES

BUSINESS REPLY MAIL

FIRST CLASS MAIL PERMIT NO. 12904 WASHINGTON DC
POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY U S NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

STEPHEN LEMONT
MAIL STOP T-8F5
OFFICE OF FEDERAL AND STATE MATERIALS AND ENV MGMT PROGRAM:
U S NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON DC 20555-0001





NRC PUBLIC MEETING FEEDBACK

Category
2

Meeting Date: 08/09/2010 Meeting Title: Public Meeting between the U.S. NRC and the Snake River Alliance regarding the Draft EIS

In order to better serve the public, we need to hear from the meeting participants. Please take a few minutes to fill out this feedback form and return it to NRC.

1. How did you hear about this meeting?

- NRC Web Page
- NRC Mailing List
- Newspaper
- Radio/TV
- Other Snake River Alliance

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Somewhat</u>
		(Please explain below)	
2. Were you able to find supporting information prior to the meeting?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Did the meeting achieve its stated purpose?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Has this meeting helped you with your understanding of the topic?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were the meeting starting time, duration, and location reasonably convenient?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
6. Were you given sufficient opportunity to ask questions or express your views?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Are you satisfied overall with the NRC staff who participated in the meeting?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS:

Thank you for answering these questions.

I very much appreciate having a public meeting in Boise. This proposed project would have a profound impact on Boise and all of Idaho

I am concerned that it appears people from Idaho Falls who testified in Boise might be given time in Idaho Falls as well at that public meeting.

Continue Comments on the reverse.

OPTIONAL


Name Anne Hausvath Organization citizen representing self
Telephone No. 345-9831 E-Mail Check here if you would like a member of NRC staff to contact you.

COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS: (Continued)


If that was the case, I do not appreciate them being ~~at~~ given preference and allowed to testify first in Board.

One opportunity to testify is great - thank you!

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY
COMMISSION
WASHINGTON DC 20555-0001



NO POSTAGE
NECESSARY
IF MAILED
IN THE
UNITED STATES



BUSINESS REPLY MAIL
FIRST CLASS MAIL PERMIT NO. 12904 WASHINGTON DC
POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

STEPHEN LEMONT
MAIL STOP T-8F5
OFFICE OF FEDERAL AND STATE MATERIALS AND ENV MGMT PROGRAM
U S NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON DC 20555-0001





NRC PUBLIC MEETING FEEDBACK

Category
2

Meeting Date: 08/09/2010 Meeting Title: Public Meeting between the U.S. NRC and the Snake River Alliance regarding the Draft EIS

In order to better serve the public, we need to hear from the meeting participants. Please take a few minutes to fill out this feedback form and return it to NRC.

1. How did you hear about this meeting?

- NRC Web Page
- NRC Mailing List
- Newspaper
- Radio/TV
- Other _____

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u> (Please explain below)	<u>Somewhat</u>
2. Were you able to find supporting information prior to the meeting?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Did the meeting achieve its stated purpose?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Has this meeting helped you with your understanding of the topic?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were the meeting starting time, duration, and location reasonably convenient?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were you given sufficient opportunity to ask questions or express your views?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Are you satisfied overall with the NRC staff who participated in the meeting?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS: *August, 2010* Thank you for answering these questions.

I was not satisfied that the public received correct or true information or that the public had full opportunity to express their concerns. Because of the timing, after the hearing, and lack of information as presented by the officials. Presentation of the EIS was very inadequate. It was completed only last month (July 2010). There was little opportunity given for questioning prior to testimony why were exemptions for pre-construction activities given prior to licensing. I am concerned also about what resources

Continue Comments on the reverse. (over)

OPTIONAL

Name: *Gene Mc Cormac* Organization: _____
 Telephone No. 541-337-4232 E-Mail *gmcorm@efn.org* Check here if you would like a member of NRC staff to contact you.

OMB NO 3150-0197 Expires 08/31/2012


Public Protection Notification: If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection

Please fold on the dotted lines with Business Reply side out, tape the bottom, and mail back to the NRC.

COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS: (Continued)

(provided by Idaho taxpayer)

eg. land/water/energy/raw materials will be used? The most glaring operations not addressed or answered concerned the transportation risks, and ultimate unresolved problem of waste disposal. No mention was made of potential contamination of the aquifer, or mention made of the location of the Snake River. Also, there was too much early time in the hearing allotted to elected & other officials out of the Basin area. My most serious concerns were around the EIS - and why no details were given for impacts of construction, operation, or decommissioning of the proposed EREB.



UNITED STATES
 NUCLEAR REGULATORY
 COMMISSION
 WASHINGTON DC 20555-0001

RECEIVED BY MAIL
 21 MAR 1981 10:45 AM '81

NO POSTAGE
 NECESSARY
 IF MAILED
 IN THE
 UNITED STATES



BUSINESS REPLY MAIL
 FIRST CLASS MAIL PERMIT NO. 12904 WASHINGTON DC
 POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

STEPHEN LEMONT
 MAIL STOP T-8F5
 OFFICE OF FEDERAL AND STATE MATERIALS AND ENV MGMT PROGRAM:
 U S NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
 WASHINGTON DC 20555-0001

