



NUCLEAR ENERGY INSTITUTE

DOCKETED
USNRC

September 15, 2010 (10:45am)

Janet R. Schlueter
DIRECTOR
FUEL & MATERIALS SAFETY
NUCLEAR GENERATION DIVISION

September 15, 2010

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
RULEMAKINGS AND
ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

Ms. Annette Vietti-Cook
Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Subject: Request for Extension of Public Comment Period on "Physical Protection of Byproduct Material; Proposed Rule" (75FR33902) and Draft Guidance Document (75FR40756); Docket Number NRC-2008-0120

Project Number: 689

Dear Ms. Vietti-Cook:

On behalf of the nuclear industry, the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI)¹ submits the following request for consideration by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff. Specifically, we request an extension of the public comment periods for the subject proposed rule from October 13, 2010 to January 15, 2011 and for the subject draft guide from November 12, 2010 to January 15, 2011. In addition, we request that NRC conduct additional public meetings prior to submittal of the draft final rule to the Commission for approval.

As NRC has acknowledged, the proposed rule is extensive by its very nature and includes new requirements beyond those contained in previously issued and implemented NRC security orders or compatible Agreement State requirements. In addition, while the related draft guidance document provides needed insight on demonstrating rule compliance, it too is extensive. From the nuclear power plants to medical use licensees to radioactive material shippers and carriers, industry needs additional time to ensure it fully comprehends the proposed rule, identify any unintended consequences from its implementation and submit constructive comments to inform the rule and guidance document.

¹ NEI is the organization responsible for establishing unified nuclear industry policy on matters affecting the nuclear energy industry. NEI's members include all utilities licensed to operate commercial nuclear power plants in the United States, nuclear plant designers, major architect/engineering firms, fuel fabrication facilities, nuclear material licensees, and other organizations and individuals involved in the nuclear energy industry.

Template = SECY-067

DS 10

Ms. Vietti-Cook
September 15, 2010
Page 2

Also, during the insightful discussions at the August 2010 Organization of Agreement States' meeting, several stakeholders including Agreement State personnel expressed concern over various provisions in the proposed rule and its potential impact both to the States and their licensees, e.g., current difficulties regarding local law enforcement coordination. Likewise, during the NRC public workshop held in Austin, Texas on September 1, 2010, it became evident that many licensees were not aware of the proposed rule until the meeting notices were issued, despite efforts by NRC, the Agreement States, and others to distribute this information. Also, the second and last public workshop scheduled for September 20th allows just 23 days for stakeholders to coordinate comments and submit well thought-out comment letters on the proposed rule and guidance document. We do not believe this amount of time is adequate to meet our mission.

In addition, delaying the comment period by three months will not have an adverse impact on safety or security since the byproduct materials subject to the rule are already adequately managed and protected under the NRC security orders and compatible Agreement State requirements in place and inspected against today. Therefore, there is no apparent negative safety or security impact from extending the public comment period on the proposed Part 37 rule and associated draft guide from this fall to January 15, 2011.

Finally, we believe that NRC should consider conducting one or more additional public workshops prior to submitting the draft final rule to the Commission for approval. The purpose would be to explain how the staff addressed and resolved the more major or controversial topics addressed in the public comments received.

Thank you for your prompt consideration of this request. I may be reached at 202-739-8098 or jrs@nei.org to discuss this matter.

Sincerely,



Janet R. Schlueter

c: Ms. Merri L. Horn, FSME/DILR/RB-B, NRC
Ms. Josephine M. Piccone, FSME/DILR, NRC

Rulemaking Comments

From: BELL, Denise [dxb@nei.org] on behalf of SCHLUETER, Janet [jrs@nei.org]
Sent: Wednesday, September 15, 2010 9:53 AM
Subject: Request for Extension of Public Comment Period on "Physical Protection of Byproduct Material; Proposed Rule" and Draft Guidance Document
Attachments: 09-15-10_NRC_Request for Extension on Proposed Part 37.pdf

September 15, 2010

Ms. Annette Vietti-Cook
Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Subject: Request for Extension of Public Comment Period on "Physical Protection of Byproduct Material; Proposed Rule" (75FR33902) and Draft Guidance Document (75FR40756); Docket Number NRC-2008-0120

Project Number: 689

Dear Ms. Vietti-Cook:

On behalf of the nuclear industry, the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI)^[1] submits the following request for consideration by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff. Specifically, we request an extension of the public comment periods for the subject proposed rule from October 13, 2010 to January 15, 2011 and for the subject draft guide from November 12, 2010 to January 15, 2011. In addition, we request that NRC conduct additional public meetings prior to submittal of the draft final rule to the Commission for approval.

As NRC has acknowledged, the proposed rule is extensive by its very nature and includes new requirements beyond those contained in previously issued and implemented NRC security orders or compatible Agreement State requirements. In addition, while the related draft guidance document provides needed insight on demonstrating rule compliance, it too is extensive. From the nuclear power plants to medical use licensees to radioactive material shippers and carriers, industry needs additional time to ensure it fully comprehends the proposed rule, identify any unintended consequences from its implementation and submit constructive comments to inform the rule and guidance document.

Also, during the insightful discussions at the August 2010 Organization of Agreement States' meeting, several stakeholders including Agreement State personnel expressed concern over various provisions in the proposed rule and its potential impact both to the States and their licensees, e.g., current difficulties regarding local law enforcement coordination. Likewise, during the NRC public workshop held in Austin, Texas on September 1, 2010, it became evident that many licensees were not aware of the proposed rule until the meeting notices were issued, despite efforts by NRC, the Agreement States, and others to distribute this information. Also, the second and last public workshop scheduled for September 20th allows just 23 days for stakeholders to coordinate comments and submit well thought-out comment letters on the proposed rule and guidance document. We do not believe this amount of time is adequate to meet our mission.

Janet R. Schlueter
Director, Fuel and Materials Safety

Nuclear Energy Institute
1776 I St. N.W., Suite 400
Washington, DC 20006
www.nei.org

P: 202-739-8098
F: 202-533-0132
E: jrs@nei.org

nuclear. clean air energy.

This electronic message transmission contains information from the Nuclear Energy Institute, Inc. The information is intended solely for the use of the addressee and its use by any other person is not authorized. If you are not the intended recipient, you have received this communication in error, and any review, use, disclosure, copying or distribution of the contents of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone or by electronic mail and permanently delete the original message. IRS Circular 230 disclosure: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS and other taxing authorities, we inform you that any tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties that may be imposed on any taxpayer or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

Sent through outbound.mailwise.com

[1] NEI is the organization responsible for establishing unified nuclear industry policy on matters affecting the nuclear energy industry. NEI's members include all utilities licensed to operate commercial nuclear power plants in the United States, nuclear plant designers, major architect/engineering firms, fuel fabrication facilities, nuclear material licensees, and other organizations and individuals involved in the nuclear energy industry.

Received: from mail2.nrc.gov (148.184.176.43) by TWMS01.nrc.gov
(148.184.200.145) with Microsoft SMTP Server id 8.1.393.1; Wed, 15 Sep 2010
09:55:12 -0400

X-Ironport-ID: mail2

X-SBRS: 4.4

X-MID: 25223641

X-fn: 09-15-10_NRC_Request for Extension on Proposed Part 37.pdf

X-IronPort-Anti-Spam-Filtered: true

X-IronPort-Anti-Spam-Result:

AmwAAE5tkExllxcPkGdsb2JhbACBRZ9bA1AVAQEBAQkJDAcRBB7DG4JwGoI3BI0q

X-IronPort-AV: E=Sophos;i="4.56,371,1280721600";

d="pdf?scan'208,217";a="25223641"

Received: from anders.electric.net ([72.35.23.15]) by mail2.nrc.gov with
ESMTP; 15 Sep 2010 09:55:10 -0400

Received: from 1OvsS4-0005mV-TZ by anders.electric.net with emc1-ok (Exim
4.69) (envelope-from <dxb@nei.org>) id 1OvsS5-0005r4-W1 for
rulemaking.comments@nrc.gov; Wed, 15 Sep 2010 06:55:09 -0700

Received: by emcmailer; Wed, 15 Sep 2010 06:55:09 -0700

Received: from [208.116.169.4] (helo=NEIEMAIL01.nei.org) by
anders.electric.net with esmtps (TLSv1:AES128-SHA:128) (Exim 4.69)
(envelope-from <dxb@nei.org>) id 1OvsS4-0005mV-TZ; Wed, 15 Sep 2010
06:55:08
-0700

Received: from NEIEMAIL01.nei.org ([10.2.100.2]) by NEIEMAIL01 ([10.2.100.2])
with mapi; Wed, 15 Sep 2010 09:53:12 -0400

From: "SCHLUETER, Janet" <jrs@nei.org>

Sender: "BELL, Denise" <dxb@nei.org>

Date: Wed, 15 Sep 2010 09:53:11 -0400

Subject: Request for Extension of Public Comment Period on "Physical
Protection of Byproduct Material; Proposed Rule" and Draft Guidance
Document

Thread-Topic: Request for Extension of Public Comment Period on "Physical
Protection of Byproduct Material; Proposed Rule" and Draft Guidance
Document

Thread-Index: ActU3VTYp+vZErZETKqrr1i8ZTLOAQ==

Message-ID: <F58158664D8832499929D012657455BC119377A1@NEIEMAIL01>

Accept-Language: en-US

X-MS-Has-Attach: yes

X-MS-TNEF-Correlator:

acceptlanguage: en-US

X-Outbound-IP: 208.116.169.4

X-Env-From: dxb@nei.org

X-PolicySMART: 609541, 425095

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Language: en-US

Content-Type: multipart/mixed;

boundary="_004_F58158664D8832499929D012657455BC119377A1NEIEMAIL01_"

To: Undisclosed recipients.;

Return-Path: dxb@nei.org