

From: Jones, Doug [DCA] [mailto:Doug.Jones@iowa.gov]
Sent: Monday, June 14, 2010 1:32 PM
To: Jones, Doug [DCA]; Eccleston, Charles
Cc: Davis (FSME), Jennifer; O'Rourke, Daniel J.; John F Doershuk
Subject: RE: Comments for Duane Arnold Draft SEIS

Dear Mr. Eccleston,

Per further discussions with Dan O'Rourke on June 3, 2010 about my previously issued comments provided below and re-reading the draft language in the EIS, I am sending this e-mail out to clarify that I believe the provided language does capture the current status for the sites at the facility. The confusion is in the terminology between "potentially NRHP eligible" and "not evaluated". Potentially NRHP eligible means that there has been a preliminary evaluation that has recommended further archaeological evaluation to determine whether the site is eligible for listing on the National Register. The term "Not Evaluated" would cover this recommendation as well as sites that have had no formal evaluation yet. Therefore, it would be beneficial to keep the language as it is in the draft EIS to denote that those sites have had some preliminary evaluations but will need further archaeological investigations to determine whether they are eligible for listing on the National Register if they will be affected by future undertakings and projects.

Douglas W. Jones, Archaeologist and Review and Compliance Program Manager
State Historic Preservation Office
State Historical Society of Iowa
(515) 281-4358