

EDO Principal Correspondence Control

FROM: DUE: 09/22/10

EDO CONTROL: G20100572
DOC DT: 09/10/10
FINAL REPLY:

Rochelle Becker
Alliance for Nuclear Responsibility

TO:

Chairman Jaczko

FOR SIGNATURE OF :

** GRN **

CRC NO: 10-0412

Chairman Jaczko

DESC:

ROUTING:

Diablo Canyon - Seismic Information Workshop
(EDATS: SECY-2010-0447)

Borchardt
Weber
Virgilio
Ash
Mamish
OGC/GC
Collins, RIV
Kotzalas, OEDO

DATE: 09/13/10

ASSIGNED TO:

CONTACT:

NRR

Leeds

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR REMARKS:

EDATS

Electronic Document and Action Tracking System

EDATS Number: SECY-2010-0447

Source: SECY

General Information

Assigned To: NRR

OEDO Due Date: 9/22/2010 11:00 PM

Other Assignees:

SECY Due Date: 9/24/2010 11:00 PM

Subject: Diablo Canyon - Seismic Information Workshop

Description:

CC Routing: RegionIV

ADAMS Accession Numbers - Incoming: NONE

Response/Package: NONE

Other Information

Cross Reference Number: G20100572, LTR-10-0412

Staff Initiated: NO

Related Task:

Recurring Item: NO

File Routing: EDATS

Agency Lesson Learned: NO

OEDO Monthly Report Item: NO

Process Information

Action Type: Letter

Priority: Medium

Signature Level: Chairman Jaczko

Sensitivity: None

Approval Level: No Approval Required

Urgency: NO

OEDO Concurrence: YES

OCM Concurrence: NO

OCA Concurrence: NO

Special Instructions:

Document Information

Originator Name: Rochelle Becker

Date of Incoming: 9/10/2010

Originating Organization: Alliance for Nuclear Responsibility

Document Received by SECY Date: 9/13/2010

Addressee: Chairman Jaczko

Date Response Requested by Originator: NONE

Incoming Task Received: Letter

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL TICKET

Date Printed: Sep 13, 2010 09:12

PAPER NUMBER: LTR-10-0412 **LOGGING DATE:** 09/10/2010
ACTION OFFICE: EDO

AUTHOR: Rochelle Becker (Alliance for Nuc Resp)
AFFILIATION: CA
ADDRESSEE: CHRM Gregory Jaczko
SUBJECT: Concerns Diablo Canyon

ACTION: Signature of Chairman
DISTRIBUTION: RF

LETTER DATE: 09/10/2010
ACKNOWLEDGED: No
SPECIAL HANDLING: Immediate release to the public via SECY/EDO/DPC

NOTES:

FILE LOCATION: ADAMS

DATE DUE: 09/24/2010 **DATE SIGNED:**

EDO --G20100572



ALLIANCE FOR NUCLEAR RESPONSIBILITY

PO Box 1328
San Luis Obispo, CA 93406
www.a4nr.org
rochelle@a4nr.org

September XX, 2010

Gregory B. Jaczko, Chairman
c/o Annette L. Vietti-Cook, Secretary of the Commission
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Mail Stop O-16G4
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Dear Chairman Jaczko,

The Alliance for Nuclear Responsibility would like to thank the NRC for hosting the recent Seismic Information Workshop in San Luis Obispo. We would like the NRC to consider this workshop "Phase one" of an "open and transparent" process to collaborate with local and state representatives and agencies.

The month before the Alliance met with you last March, we read had one of your speeches in which you quoted Ben Franklin. We may have already mentioned this, but we know you will agree it's worth repeating:

"it takes many good deeds to build a good reputation, and only one bad one to lose it."

The NRC's reputation on earthquakes and Diablo is historically tarnished. There may be disagreement on the reasons why, or how tarnished it really is, but there is a well-documented record to support the claim that the NRC's seismic credibility falters in California.

The information provided at the NRC seismic workshop was valuable to our community and to all in attendance, though there is little doubt it left more questions than answers. However, we believe those questions will now be more informed and the process will be better for expertise provided to and from all

stakeholders. We thank the NRC for this *first* step in repairing the trust that has been damaged. Now we ask you to take the next step.

Collaborate with local and state appointed agency representatives and experts to review PG&E's AB 1632 seismic studies and mapping. Our community was given a chance to listen and question those who may be involved in the peer-review and/or an NRC or PG&E seismic-review. Therefore there was a great deal of value in this NRC meeting if it is "Phase one" and the NRC commits to regaining its reputation over seismic oversight in California.

In the denial of our request for a stay, our proposal for the NRC to approach seismic issues differently and in collaboration with state agencies was not addressed. There is some confusion over the origin of this Seismic Information Workshop, but the sparse attendance of this local community was not a reflection of disinterest. The NRC did make an effort to include some jurisdictional agencies, but the outreach effort to local decision-makers was woefully inadequate. The NRC would have benefited in seeking input from either the Alliance or PG&E's advance team to better reach out and inform Californians. The Alliance offers to assist outreach in Phase 2.

The NRC's collaborative efforts to ensure AB 1632 and AB 42 seismic requirements are resolved would be in the best interest of all stakeholders. In his Opening Remarks at the NRC's workshop, our newly elected senator expressed appreciation for the workshop held in his community and expressed his willingness to work with the NRC to review PG&E's completed studies. California Energy Commission (CEC) vice-chairman James Boyd made the same offer in a letter submitted at Thursday's session, and the California Coastal Commission has already notified the NRC relicensing staff of the seismic requirements and studies needed to meet the state/federal consistency review. The Alliance encourages the NRC to accept these offers to collaborate with the state's peer-review panel once PG&E completes the seismic requirements needed to insure safe, reliable and cost effective future electric generation.

The Alliance views the willingness of the NRC to provide seismic experts in California as a valuable step towards beginning a collaborative process. At this recent workshop, many stakeholders were able to meet for the first time. We also thank you for inviting the CEC to present an overview of the history and the status of California's seismic requirements. From the candid feedback among the audience, it appears that Ms. Byron's CEC presentation was probably the only presentation understood by *everyone* in the room.

There were some very valid frustrations with the meeting (which I hope you will review), but for a first attempt—and as a first step—it went well. Our state's local, and federal representatives were there in person and/or had staff in attendance. Interestingly enough, Both Edison and PG&E sat in the same room,

but no one who worked for or represented a utility had any questions of the experts (at least none they were going to ask in a public forum).

Chairman Jaczko, the NRC is asking a state—where Diablo Canyon was permitted on the belief that there were no known earthquake faults within 20 miles of the plant—to live with, invest in, and rely upon 2000 MW of generation from two controversially designed reactors. These reactors are perched above two *known* major active earthquake faults lying within three miles offshore. The Alliance is asking that the NRC to work with our state to avoid, as our senator reminded them, a radioactive BP on California's coast or a gas pipe break destroying a California community and killing four. We are asking that the NRC support California's new seismic studies and mapping before license renewal is adopted.

California has paid once for the cost of inadequate oversight and over-dependence on utility experts at Diablo Canyon. The NRC's reputation and the state's economy cannot afford a repeat of past mistakes. Now would be a good time to agree not to repeat them.

In Peace,

Rochelle Becker

McKelvin, Sheila

From: Rochelle [beckers@thegrid.net]
Sent: Friday, September 10, 2010 2:05 PM
To: NRCExecSec Resource; Coggins, Angela; Collins, Elmo
Cc: Caniano, Roy; Dricks, Victor; Maier, Bill; Dedrick Kathy (EPW); Levenshus Jonathan; Haas Greg; Abajian Shelly; Changus Jonathan; adam hill; Jim Patterson; Greene Eric; Mark Johnsson; Tom Luster; Jennifer Tang; Hillary Pearson Bishop; Adams Gina; Kevin Bell
Subject: Thank you and follow up for the NRC Seismic Information Workshop
Attachments: 091010+A4NR Jaczko+seismic+wkshp.doc FINAL.doc

Dear Chairman Jaczko and Commissioner Svinicki,

Attached is a follow up letter after the NRC's Seismic Information Workshop. I did not send a copy to Mr. Leeds who wrote the NRC's response the Alliance request for a stay as I do not have his email and to my knowledge have never met him.

Please do take time to consider our request as we took time to attend and learn from the NRC's workshop.

In Peace

Rochelle Becker, Executive Director
Alliance for Nuclear Responsibility
www.a4nr.org
PO 1328
San Luis Obispo, Ca 93406-1328

Received: from mail2.nrc.gov (148.184.176.43) by TWMS01.nrc.gov
(148.184.200.145) with Microsoft SMTP Server id 8.1.393.1; Fri, 10 Sep 2010
14:04:48 -0400

X-Ironport-ID: mail2

X-SBRS: 4.7

X-MID: 24942570

X-fn: 091010+A4NR Jaczko+seismic+wkshp.doc FINAL.doc

X-IronPort-Anti-Spam-Filtered: true

X-IronPort-Anti-Spam-Result:

AiEBAO8PikzRVik9k2dsb2JhbACDgJ4qFQEBAQEJCQoJEQMfrX2CV45VBIRJdI0g

X-IronPort-AV: E=Sophos;i="4.56,347,1280721600";

d="doc'32?scan'32,208,32";a="24942570"

Received: from elasmtg-galgo.atl.sa.earthlink.net ([209.86.89.61]) by
mail2.nrc.gov with ESMTP; 10 Sep 2010 14:04:48 -0400

DomainKey-Signature: a=rsa-sha1; q=dns; c=noFWS;

s=dk20050327; d=thegrid.net;

b=M0J5BxZCmFIN9T/4y8lyUT0tZ/rAGcLVXVgdrBkNa4Gb+V74Hbwh5xjVuXsHjLF;

h=Message-ID:Date:From:Reply-To:To:Subject:Cc:Mime-Version:Content-Type:X-Mailer:X-

ELNK-Trace:X-Originating-IP;

Received: from [209.86.224.68] (helo=wamui-hunyo.atl.sa.earthlink.net) by
elasmtg-galgo.atl.sa.earthlink.net with esmtg (Exim 4.67) (envelope-from
<beckers@thegrid.net>) id 1Ou7xv-0006k3-5B; Fri, 10 Sep 2010 14:04:47 -0400

Received: from 24.176.225.100 by webmail.c.earthlink.net with HTTP; Fri, 10
Sep 2010 14:04:45 -0400

Message-ID: <1246980.1284141886650.JavaMail.root@wamui-hunyo.atl.sa.earthlink.net>

Date: Fri, 10 Sep 2010 14:04:46 -0400

From: Rochelle <beckers@thegrid.net>

Reply-To: Rochelle <beckers@thegrid.net>

To: <NRCExecSec@nrc.gov>, Ann Coggins <Angela.Coggins@nrc.gov>, Elmo Collins
<elmo.Collins@nrc.gov>

Subject: Thank you and follow up for the NRC Seismic Information Workshop

CC: Caniano Roy <Roy.Caniano@nrc.gov>, Victor Dricks <VLD@nrc.gov>, Bill Maier
<Bill.Maier@nrc.gov>, "Dedrick Kathy (EPW)" <Kathy_Dedrick@epw.senate.gov>, Levenshus Jonathan <Jonathan.Levenshus@mail.house.gov>, Haas Greg
<greg.haas@mail.house.gov>, Abajian Shelly
<shelly_abajian@feinstein.senate.gov>, Changus Jonathan
<Jonathan.Changus@asm.ca.gov>, adam hill <achill29@hotmail.com>, Jim
Patterson <jpatterson@co.slo.ca.us>, Greene Eric <eric.greene@cpuc.ca.gov>, Mark Johnsson <mjohnsson@coastal.ca.gov>, Tom Luster
<tluster@coastal.ca.gov>, Jennifer Tang <Jennifer_Tang@boxer.senate.gov>, Hillary Pearson Bishop <Hillary_Bishop@boxer.senate.gov>, Adams Gina
<Gina.Adams@asm.ca.gov>, Kevin Bell <Kwbell@energy.state.ca.us>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/mixed;

boundary="====_Part_3330_12276280.1284141886648"

X-Mailer: EarthLink Zoo Mail 1.0

X-ELNK-Trace:

7f9d14e2ce85a5b0454727bc65a4bdb57e22bbb55b37f65260bf4f67c7570bf62c38bad8c9c6b63

3350badd9bab72f9c350badd9bab72f9c350badd9bab72f9c

X-Originating-IP: 209.86.224.68

Return-Path: beckers@thegrid.net