

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

November 4, 2010

Mr. R. M. Krich
Vice President, Nuclear Licensing
Tennessee Valley Authority
3R Lookout Place
1101 Market Street
Chattanooga, TN 37402-2801

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR WITHHOLDING INFORMATION FROM PUBLIC DISCLOSURE

FOR BROWNS FERRY NUCLEAR PLANT, UNIT 1, AREVA FUEL

TRANSITION, UNIT 1 CYCLE 9 RELOAD SAFETY ANALYSIS FOR 105%

ORIGINAL LICENSED THERMAL POWER (TAC NO. ME3775)

Dear Mr. R. Krich:

By letter dated April 16, 2010, you submitted an affidavit dated March 30, 2010, executed by Alan B. Meginnis of AREVA NP, Inc. You requested that the information contained in the following document be withheld from public disclosure pursuant to Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), Part 2, Section 2.390:

Report ANP-2863(P), Revision 1, "Browns Ferry Unit 1 Cycle 9 Reload Safety Analysis for 105 % OLTP [original licensed thermal power]," dated March 2010.

A nonproprietary copy of this document has been placed in the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC's) Public Document Room and added to the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System Public Electronic Reading Room.

The affidavit stated that the submitted information should be considered exempt from mandatory public disclosure for the following reasons:

Use of the information by a competitor would permit the competitor to significantly reduce its expenditures, in time or resources, to design, produce, or market a similar product or service.

The information reveals certain distinguishing aspects of a process, methodology, or component, the exclusive use of which provides a competitive advantage for AREVA NP in product optimization or marketability.

The information is vital to a competitive advantage held by AREVA NP, would be helpful to competitors to AREVA NP, and would likely cause substantial harm to the competitive position or AREVA NP.

We have reviewed your application and the material in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.390 and, on the basis of the statements in the affidavit, have determined that the submitted information sought to be withheld contains proprietary commercial information and should be withheld from public disclosure.

Therefore, the version(s) of the submitted information marked as proprietary will be withheld from public disclosure pursuant to 10 CFR 2.390(b)(5) and Section 103(b) of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended.

Withholding from public inspection shall not affect the right, if any, of persons properly and directly concerned to inspect the documents. If the need arises, we may send copies of this information to our consultants working in this area. We will, of course, ensure that the consultants have signed the appropriate agreements for handling proprietary information.

If the basis for withholding this information from public inspection should change in the future such that the information could then be made available for public inspection, you should promptly notify the NRC. You also should understand that the NRC may have cause to review this determination in the future, for example, if the scope of a Freedom of Information Act request includes your information. In all review situations, if the NRC makes a determination adverse to the above, you will be notified in advance of any public disclosure.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, I can be reached at 301-415-1321.

Sincerely,

Stewart N. Bailey, Senior Project Manager

Plant Licensing Branch II-2

Division of Operating Reactor Licensing Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-259

cc: Alan B. Meginnis, Manager Product Licensing AREVA NP Inc.

Additional distribution via Listserv

R. Krich - 2 -

Therefore, the version(s) of the submitted information marked as proprietary will be withheld from public disclosure pursuant to 10 CFR 2.390(b)(5) and Section 103(b) of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended.

Withholding from public inspection shall not affect the right, if any, of persons properly and directly concerned to inspect the documents. If the need arises, we may send copies of this information to our consultants working in this area. We will, of course, ensure that the consultants have signed the appropriate agreements for handling proprietary information.

If the basis for withholding this information from public inspection should change in the future such that the information could then be made available for public inspection, you should promptly notify the NRC. You also should understand that the NRC may have cause to review this determination in the future, for example, if the scope of a Freedom of Information Act request includes your information. In all review situations, if the NRC makes a determination adverse to the above, you will be notified in advance of any public disclosure.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, I can be reached at 301-415-1321.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Stewart N. Bailey, Senior Project Manager Plant Licensing Branch II-2 Division of Operating Reactor Licensing Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-259

cc: Alan B. Meginnis, Manager Product Licensing AREVA NP Inc.

Additional distribution via Listserv

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC RidsNrrLABClayton RidsNrrDssSnpb LPLII-2 R/F RidsNrrDorlLpl2-2 RidsOgcRp RidsNrrDssSrxb RidsNrrPMBrownsFerry RidsRgn2MailCenter RidsNrrAcrsAcnwMailCenter

ADAMS Accession No.: ML102520301

OFFICE	LPL2-2/PM	LPL2-2/LA	LPL2-2/BC
NAME	SBailey	BClayton (SLittle for)	DBroaddus
DATE	09/13/2010	09/13/2010	11/04/2010

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY