

DeweyBurdPubEm Resource

From: Jehle, Patricia
Sent: Wednesday, September 08, 2010 2:08 PM
To: DeweyBurdPubEm Resource
Subject: February 25, 2010 Dewey-Burdock_SEIS_Comments Resource ML102380603
Attachments: February 25, 2010 Dewey-Burdock_SEIS_Comments Resource ML102380603.pdf

Redacted version of ML102380603 (Personally Identifiable Information redacted).

Patricia A. Jehle
Attorney
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of the General Counsel
Materials, Litigation and Enforcement
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852-2738
Office: (301)415-8366

Hearing Identifier: Powertech_Uranium_Dewey_Burdock_LA_Public
Email Number: 153

Mail Envelope Properties (BBC4D3C29CD0E64E9FD6CE1AF26D84D52875BC0501)

Subject: February 25, 2010 Dewey-Burdock_SEIS_Comments Resource ML102380603
Sent Date: 9/8/2010 2:07:44 PM
Received Date: 9/8/2010 2:08:00 PM
From: Jehle, Patricia

Created By: Patricia.Jehle@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"DeweyBurdPubEm Resource" <DeweyBurdPubEm.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	330	9/8/2010 2:08:00 PM
February 25, 2010 Dewey-Burdock_SEIS_Comments Resource ML102380603.pdf		
148348		

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

DeweyBurdNonPubEm Resource

From: Dewey-Burdock_SEIS_Comments Resource
Sent: Thursday, August 26, 2010 12:47 PM
To: DeweyBurdHrgFile Resource
Subject: FW: Docket No. 40-9075 comment

From: Peggy Sperlich [mailto:pbuck@gwtc.net]
Sent: Thursday, February 25, 2010 12:02 PM
To: Dewey-Burdock_SEIS_Comments Resource
Subject: Docket No. 40-9075 comment

Dear Sirs:

My name is Mark J Sperlich and I live in Hot Springs South Dakota. I lived on a farm in rural SD and am very familiar with well water and under ground aquifers as the source for life above ground.

In reference to the Dewey-Burdock Project Docket No. 40-9075:

The Dewey-Burdock Project (ISR) is much to dangerous a project to allow. In this semi arid area of the country the ground water is even more precious of a commodity than the uranium that lies above it. In my opinion the aquifer will be contaminated since there is no way to contain all the freed uranium. All the wells are to act as a barrier to the escape of the chemicals like a bucket, but the bucket has holes in it. Your GEIS will speak on the "aquifer restoration" issue which implies that the contamination is a real threat. Who is going to restore the aquifer? The company which can just go out of business and tie up litigation for years till the county or state is broke financially? Ironically in the same issue that your announcement came out the Hot Springs Star had an article of the clean up of an old uranium mine in Colorado. The clean up was funded by the people that live there. The company just walked away and dropped everything.

Please do not allow the mining at this site. The failure of the water in this area is far to great a risk and the cost will once again be on the backs of the local people. These people who carve out a life and profit by working hard in an area few would see opportunity in, are the back bone of this country. Don't break their backs.

Sincerely;

Mark J Sperlich

Hearing Identifier: Powertech_Uranium_Dewey_Burdock_LA_NonPublic
Email Number: 375

Mail Envelope Properties (2C5246E2C48F77418DF2EE22F3C7DE97065600497E)

Subject: FW: Docket No. 40-9075 comment
Sent Date: 8/26/2010 12:46:47 PM
Received Date: 8/26/2010 12:46:49 PM
From: Dewey-Burdock_SEIS_Comments Resource

Created By: Dewey-Burdock_SEIS_Comments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"DeweyBurdHrgFile Resource" <DeweyBurdHrgFile.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	1808	8/26/2010 12:46:49 PM

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: