Long-Term Care Cost Estimate Gas Hills East, WY, Site

This page estimates long-term (LT) care cost using the methods in NUREG 0706, Appendix R. Scenario II is most applicable to the LT care porgram at this site. LT cost estimates are compared below alongside the NRC guidance. The estimates in the columns labeled "NRC 1978" and "NRC 2010" are from NUREG 0706, Appendix R, Scenario II, as excerpted; the 2010 costs are escalated using the calculation below, an escatacion of 222 percent. The estimates in the column labeled "DOE LCB" are from the life-cycle baseline (LCB) that correrspond to the estimating methods used by NRC in NUREG 0706, Appendix R, Scenario II. The DOE costs are escalated from 2007 to 2010 dollars, an escalation of 8.0 percent.

NRC cost estimate escalation using CPI-urban:

CPI Dec 1978 67.7 CPI June 2010 217.965 Escalation (percent change): 2.219572

Escalation factor calculated as a percent difference: (2010 CPI - 1978 CPI) / (1978 CPI)

Lower and upper bound

bound bound \$5,000 \$7,000

Lower

Upper estimates are at the bottom of Page R-4 and top of page R-5.

NRC LT cost range, 1978 dollars:

NRC LT cost range, 2010 dollars \$16,098 \$22,537

DOE life-cycle baseline costs escalation using CPI-urban:

CPI - Oct 2006 (start of FY07): 201.8 CPI - June 2010: 217.965 Escalation (percent change):

Escalation factor calculated as a percent difference: (2010 CPI - 2006 CPI) / (2006 CPI)

3. SCENARIO II - INSPECTION PLUS GROUNDWATER MONITORING

For Scenario II the primary component again is annual inspections; however, this scenario includes an increased level of groundwater monitoring. While the cost for the inspector's time remains as the major element of the total surveillance costs under Scenario II, equipment costs (including a vehicle for transporting the monitoring equipment) and sample analysis costs increase considerably. Establishment of a groundwater sampling program involving frequent sampling is expected to be required, if at all, at only some sites where particular problems or concerns are identified during the preoperational and operational monitoring periods and during the compliance determination period. (See Section 14.1.)

While it is not expected that it be warranted, an increased level of groundwater monitoring can be postulated for all sites to provide a potential upper bound of site surveillance costs. This scenario assumes that monitoring wells at all sites would be checked using dynamic as opposed to simple static (bailer lowered into monitoring well) samples. More specifically, submersible pumps powered by gasoline generators mounted on four-wheel drive vehicles are postulated for driving between sites to draw samples and perform visual inspections. It is likely that the frequency of this mode of inspection could be reduced considerably by taking most samples using portable bailing equipment (which could be carried by inspectors flying to sites). The results of these static samples would be checked every several years by the more sophisticated dynamic sample taking procedures.

3.1 Inspection

Again, the amount of time required for travel and inspection depends on the location of mill sites, with respect to the home base and with respect to each other. The location of mill sites is assumed to follow the pattern described under Scenario I. If it is assumed that Grand Junction, Colorado is the inspector's home base, the distances to the four other mill clusters are as follow:

Grants, New Mexico Casper, Wyoming Spokane, Washington Falls City, Texas

R-3

For this scenario, the situation 25-50 years into the future is covered; that is, after a large number of sites have been decommissioned. More specifically, it is assumed that there are 25 decommissioned sites. This figure was somewhat arbitrarily selected. It is expected that only a few sites will be decommissioned between now and the year 2000. Therefore, when this is added to the number of currently inactive sites, the round figure of 25 sites seems reasonable to use for purposes of this analysis.

The location of current peripheral sites indicates that a good estimate of total mileage traveled per year for the 25 sites, a figure which we have somewhat arbitrarily selected as a likely estimate of the total number of decommissioned sites by the year 2000, is double this figure or about 13,000 miles. While this distance is assumed for this illustrative scenario, it is possible that sample taking and inspection could be performed through regional DOE operations, such as at the Richland/Hanford site, in which case costs could be somewhat less.

A more complete description of assumptions for travel and inspection costs under Scenario II are:

- Each site is inspected approximately once a year by the mobile inspectors unit.
- Total time of travel assumed to cover the 25 or so sites = 13,000 miles + 350 mi/day = 37 days This is equivalent to about 1.5 days per site.

Average time in inspection and sample taking at sites where about 5 wells are sampled - 1 to 2 days.

- Ratio of in-office time to the time in travel and in field 2:1 to 3:1.
- Two persons would go to sites to do inspection and sampling. These would be senior and junior technicians. (\$30,000 to \$20,000 for salary and overhead assumed respectively.) Supervision and office followup might be done by a project manager (\$50,000 for salary and overhead assumed) in conjunction with the two field workers.

Based on these assumptions, costs for travel and inspection compute as follows:

Lower bound:

- 2.5 days (travel and inspection) x 2 inspectors
 - 2.5 x \$125 (\$30,000 per year)

+ 2.5 x \$ 83 (\$20,000 per year)

2.5 x \$208 ~\$200 2.5 days x \$200 = \$500 \$500 5 x \$35 = \$175 \$175 per diem = \$35/day travel - \$.17/mile \$.17 x 13,000 miles = \$2,210 \$100

\$2,210 ÷ 25 = \$88 ~\$100

10 days (office) x \$200 = \$2,000\$2,000 \$2,775/year or ~\$3,000/year

Upper bound:

3.5 days (travel and inspection) x 2 inspectors

3.5 x \$125

+ 3.5 x \$ 83 3.5 days x \$200 = \$700 3.5 x \$208 ~\$200 per diem = \$35/day 7 x \$35 = \$245 travel - \$.17/mile \$.17 x 13,000 miles = \$2,210

\$2,210 ÷ 25 = \$88 or ~\$100

21 days (office) x \$200 = \$4,260

\$700

\$245

\$100

For this scenario, the situation 25-50 years into the future is covered; that is, after a large number of sites have been decommissioned. More specifically, it is assumed that there are 25 decommissioned sites. This figure was somewhat arbitrarily selected. It is expected that only a few sites will be decommissioned between now and the year 2000. Therefore, when this is added to the number of currently inactive sites, the round figure of 25 sites seems reasonable to use for purposes of this analysis.

The location of current peripheral sites indicates that a good estimate of total mileage traveled per year for the 25 sites, a figure which we have somewhat arbitrarily selected as a likely estimate of the total number of decommissioned sites by the year 2000, is double this figure or about 13,000 miles. While this distance is assumed for this fillustrative scenario, it is possible that sample taking and inspection could be performed through regional DOE operations, such as at the Richland/Hanford site, in which case costs could be somewhat less.

A more complete description of assumptions for travel and inspection costs under Scenario II are:

Each site is inspected approximately once a year by the mobile inspectors unit.

Total time of travel assumed to cover the 25 or so sites = 13,000 miles + 350 mi/day = 37 days
This is equivalent to about 1.5 days per site.

Average time in inspection and sample taking at sites where about 5 wells are sampled - 1 to 2 days.

Ratio of in-office time to the time in travel and in field - 2:1 to 3:1. Two persons would go to sites to do inspection and sampling. These would be senior and junior technicians. (\$30,000 to \$20,000 for salary and overhead assumed respectively.) Supervision and office followup might be done by a project manager (\$50,000 for salary and overhead assumed) in conjunction with the two field workers.

Based on these assumptions, costs for travel and inspection compute as follows:

2.5 days (travel and inspection) x 2 inspectors

2.5 x \$125 (\$30,000 per year)

+ 2.5 x \$ 83 (\$20,000 per year)

2.5 x \$208 ~\$200 2.5 days x \$200 = \$500

per diem = \$35/day 5 x \$35 = \$175 travel - \$.17/mile \$.17 x 13,000 miles = \$2,210

\$2,210 ÷ 25 = \$88 ~\$100

10 days (office) x \$200 = \$2,000

\$700

\$245

\$100

\$500

\$175

\$100

Upper bound:

3.5 days (travel and inspection) \times 2 inspectors

3.5 x \$125

+ 3.5 x \$ 83

3.5 x \$208 **~**\$200 per diem = \$35/day

3.5 days x \$200 = \$700 7 x \$35 = \$245

travel - \$.17/mile \$.17 x 13,000 miles = \$2,210

\$2,210 ÷ 25 = \$88 or ~\$100

21 days (office) x \$200 = \$4,260

\$4,200 \$5,245 or ~5,000/year

R-4

3.2 Equipment

Equipment would include the following:

a. Capital Costs

2 submersible pumps (operating pump and spare). Capacity of 6.6 gpm @ 480 feet. Sized to permit very deep well sampling. Unit price - \$335 \$670

2 generators - (one for spare), 7 hp. units at \$580 each.

\$12,000

4-wheel drive vehicle with A-frame sampler hoist and power winch.

\$750

Hose -500 ft. 1" hose @ \$1.50 lineal foot Total costs for above

\$14,580

Monitoring wells are assumed to be in place from operational monitoring period. Each assumed to be replaced every 50 years, 5 at each site. 4-inch PVC and concrete-cased well with padlocked manhole, \$7,000 each 2 5 x 7000 + 50 years = \$700 at each site per year.

b. Annual Capital Costs and Supplies

Assume equipment is amortized over 5 year

period - $\frac{$14,580}{5}$ = \$2,916 \sim \$3,000

\$3,000

Maintenance costs and repair supplies. 10% capital costs assumed.

\$700 per site for monitoring well replacement

\$1,500

Supplies and miscellaneous sampling equipment such as sample bottles, reagents, fuel for generator, etc. covered. (Sampling analysis costs below.) Total costs

\$4,000 \$8,500

c. Average Annual Capital Cost per Site

\$8,500 ÷ 25 = \$340 ~\$350

\$350

3.3 Sample Analysis

The specific kind of water sample analysis that must be performed will be a function of site specific concerns. During the periods of preoperational and operational monitoring, selected species such as sulfate ion may be identified as effective indicators of potential groundwater problems. Therefore, analysis procedures could be simplified to isolate indicator elements. Assumptions that have been made with respect to sample analysis for this cost estimate are:

- The kinds of analysis and costs outlined on the following table are assumed to bound the situation.
- Five samples are drawn at each site two upstream and three downstream.
- On the average a fairly comprehensive analysis could be performed for approximately \$750 1000 per site.

Therefore, the lower and upper bound cost estimates for Scenario II are:

Lower bound:

\$3,000 (travel and inspection) + \$1,000 (sampling equipment) + \$1,000 (sample analysis) = \$5,000

R-5

Upper bound:

\$5,000 (travel and inspection) + \$1,000 (sampling equipment) + \$1,000 (sample analysis) = \$7,000.

COST OF WATER QUALITY ANALYSES²
(1978 Dollars)

Major Inorganic Chemicals

Iron Magnesium Chloride

Uranium Vanadium Copper Selenium Molybdenum Arsenic Radium 225

Carbonate Bicarbonate Sodium

Potassium Electrical Conductivity

Group Rate: \$53.50 to \$107.00

Comprehensive Analysis

Lead Iron Mangar Chrom

Mercury Zinc Barium Fluoride Boron Magnesium Chloride Sulfate Carbonate Bicarbona Nitrate Ammonia Sodium Calcium

Potassium Silica Gross Alpha Gross Beta Total Dissolved Solids PH Electrical Conductivity

Group Rate: \$206.00 to \$447.00

Gross Alpha Gross Beta

Radium 226 Uranium

Group Rate: \$41.00 to \$94.00

	NRC 1978	NRC 2010	DOE LCB			
Contractor project management	\$0	\$0	\$3,035			
DOE program management	\$0	\$0	\$17,000		1	И.
				This cost is	added to	annual LT
				surveilland	e estimate	after other costs for
				contracted	services h	ave been summed
				and marke	d up for ov	erheads. NRC does
				not include	program n	nanager time.
In the second se						
Inspection, Reporting, and Travel		₾4E 770	ФГ 40 7	NDC :I		sia a Ialaaa
Labor	\$4,900	\$15,776	\$5,427	NRC inclu		ring labor, e cost in inspection
						eparate trips for
					and sampli	
				inspection	and sampi	ing.
	+					
Expenses	\$245	\$789	\$405			
•						
Vehicle	\$100	\$322	\$108			
				NRC 1978	labor, expe	enses,and vehicle
				costs total	\$5,245 per	upper bound
				estimate		
Annual maintenance						
	\$0	\$0	\$1,485	Weed cont	rol, signs, i	ncidentals
]	
Monitoring		A				
Equipment	\$350	\$1,127	\$0			nes 3 csg volume
						PA guidance for low
						equipment
						oes not capitalize
						it costs such as
					bladder pui	
					costs are r are cost est	not included in the
				DOELIC	are cost est	imate.
NA - II I	#700	#0.054	#5.005	DOE		
Well replacement	\$700	\$2,254	\$5,065			lls, 30 year service
				years.	s, NRC ass	sumes 5 wells, 50
	+			years.		1
Labor	\$0	\$0	\$6 997	NRC inclu	des monito	ring Jahor
Laboi	Ψ0	Ψ0	Ψ0,007			e cost in inspection
						eparate trips for
					and sampli	
				орооно	and campi	9.
	+					
Expenses	\$0	\$0	\$591			
Vehicle	\$0	\$0	\$216			
			<u> </u>			
Analysis	\$1,000	\$3,220	\$1,609			
	<u> </u>					<u> </u>
	NRC 1978	NRC 2010	DOE LCB			not include DOE
				•	lanagemer	
Subtotal (DOE is unburdened)	\$7,295	\$23,487	\$24,829	NRC total	in 1978 dol	lars differs from
						(\$7,000) due to
				-	f itemized o	costs in Scenario II
	<u> </u>			estimate.		
Subtotal, Burdened			\$42,459	Unburdene	ed rate plus	burdens for
				contractor	indirect rate	e, program
				manageme	ent, and co	ntingency
DOE Program Management			\$17,000			
Total Annual Cost		\$23,487	\$59,459			
	\bot					
LT Care Fee (PV=A/i)		\$2,348,678	\$5,945,909			
T		<u> </u>		_		
Total Annual Cost, Scenario I	\$2,500	\$8,049				timated costs. Note
						not include rigorous
	i l					nonitoring, program
						nt, or annual
						as basis for LT care

To burden DOE contractor costs, the following composite adder is used. This includes Indirect rate (including award fee, G&A, and project support overhead at the GJ site.), project management (contractor management overhead), and contingency.

LT Care Fee, Scenario I, 2010 dollars

1.71

fee base amount in 10 CFR 40 Appendix

A Criterion 10.

Long-Term Surveillance and Maintenance Requirements and Estimated Costs Gas Hills East, UMTRCA Title II Site

Long-Term Care Fee Elements and Calculation

		event, DOE	event, DOE		DOE	DOE
		estimate,	estimate,		estimate,	estimate,
		2010	2010		2010	2010
		dollars,	dollars,	Frequency	dollars,	dollars,
Activity	Justification	unburdened	burdened	(yr/event)	unburdened	burdened
Project Management	Necessary for operation of a Federal program	\$3,035	\$5,190	1	\$3,035	\$5,190
Annual Inspection	10 CFR 40.28, 10CFR 40 Appendix A Criterion 12	\$4,341	\$7,423	. 1	\$4,341	\$7,423
Annual reporting	10 CFR 40 Appendix A Criterion 12	\$1,600	\$2,736	. 1	\$1,600	\$2,736
Follow-up inspections and emergency response	10 CFR 40.28	\$0	\$0	C	\$0	\$0
Groundwater Monitoring	10 CFR 40 Appendix A Criterion 7 and approved ACL	\$9,303	\$15,909	1	\$9,303	\$15,909
Well Replacement	NUREG 0706 Appendix R Scenario 2	\$151,956	\$259,856	30	\$5,065	\$8,662
Sign Maintenance	NUREG 1620 Section E3.5, "necessary control feature"	\$3,789	\$6,479	15	\$253	\$432
Vegetation monitoring and control	Per UMTRCA, DOE assumes land stewardship responsibilities	\$1,233	\$2,108	. 1	\$1,233	\$2,108
DOE Oversight	Necessary for operation of Federal program	\$17,000	\$17,000	1	\$17,000	\$17,000
Total Annual Cost		\$192,256	\$316,701	=	\$41,829	\$59,459

Long-term care fee: (Perpetuity Calculation: PV = A/i)

\$4,182,883 \$5,945,909

Annual cost, Annual cost,

Event: an occurrence of a particular activity. Events can occur annually or once every few years.

If an event occurs only every few years, the annual cost is the event cost divided by the frequency of occurrence.

Not costed:

Fence maintenance

Erosion or other nonroutine monitoring or repair (e.g., subsidence monitoring and repair, Cannot predict long-term performance, although there is riprap placement) typically one incident annually across all DOE sites

The Gas Hills East site can tolerate livestock, the site is remote and probability of intrusion and vandalism is low Cannot predict long-term performance, although there is typically one incident annually across all DOE sites

Notes

Fully burdened contractor costs represent total cost to the U.S. Government

To burden contractor costs, the following composite adder is used. This includes Indirect rate (including award fee, G&A, and project support overhead at the GJ site.), project management (contractor management overhead), and contingency.

Life-cycle baseline costs on the "BOE Detail" worksheet are in 2007 dollars and are escalated to 2010 dollars using the calculation below using CPI-urban values: CPI - Oct 2006 (start of FY07):

 CPI - Oct 2006 (start of FY07):
 201.8

 CPI - June 2010:
 217.965

 Escalation (percent change):
 0.080

1.71

Cost per Cost per

Escalation factor calculated as a percent difference: (2010 CPI - 2006 CPI) / (2006 CPI)

WBS #:	Subtask Title:		Period of Performance:
1.108.1.06	Title II: Gas Hills East, WY, Disp. Site		FY 2011 - FY 2085
Prepared by: Mic	hael Widdop		
		[Signature]	Date
Reviewed by:			
		[Signature]	Date

Cost Estimating Methodology (Summarize the primary methodologies and data sources used to estimate the types of cost - Labor, Equipment, Supplies, Services, Subcontracts, Training, and Travel):

- Cost estimates were prepared on the basis of previous years' actual costs for similar sites that are applicable to the FY06 scope of work.
- Indirect rates established in Stoller's contract with DOE are included in the cost estimates.

Planning Scope and Basis:

WBS No. 1.108.1.06.1.1.01, Title II: Gas Hills East, Site Transition Costs

Description	Travel Split Factor	Resource Code	Number of Staff	Labor Hours per Worker	Total Labor Hours for Task	Labor Cost (\$)
DPLH LABOR AND LABOR COST						
Site Management (FY07 and FY08) Develop list of site transition activities, update site transition schedule, and identify specific resources to fulfill identified requirements.		PME3-S	1	24	24	\$1,405
Provide management and coordination of transition activities, to include meetings with NRC, DOE, and State of Wyoming, the licensee, and others as necessary.		PME3-S	1	16	16	\$937
Conduct a technical information exchange and		PME3-S	1	16	16	\$937
continure transfer of technical data and other pertinent information with the owner to ensure the Office of Legacy Management is ready and able to meet all programmatic requirements during FY06.		CSE3-S	1	4	4	\$180
Ensure that the Corps of Engineers is briefed on the status of site access and is made aware of the need to establish permanent site access.		PME3-S	1	2	2	\$117
Ground Water Modeling (FY07)						

Total Labor Cost (\$)					\$7,824
Total Labor Hours				142	
Assumes that the models are not extremely complex, either hydrologically or geochemically					
Prepare a letter report to summarize review findings, cite possible limitations of the model or qualify its conditional use, and provide recommendations as appropriate to improve the validity of the model.					
Review numerical ground water model for validity of approach, accuracy in the numerical representation of the conceptual model, reasonableness of input parameters including starting concentrations (if applicable) and hydraulic conductivity field, success of calibration to hydraulic head and water budget, sensitivity of model output/conclusions to uncertain inputs.					
Review project documents for site hydrogeology, nature and extent of contamination, ground water monitoring network, conceptual model of ground water flow and contaminant transport, water budget, and sources of model input parameters	GHE2-S	1	80	80	\$4,248

Description	Travel Split Factor	Qty	Unit Cost (\$)	Number of Staff	Total Cost per Item (\$)
TRAVEL					
Inspection					
N/A					
Total Travel		Т		\$	-
Description		Qty	Unit Cost (\$)	Total Cos	per Item (\$)
TRAINING					
N/A				\$	-
Total Training Cost				\$	-
EQUIPMENT					
N/A				\$	-
Total Equipment Cost				\$	-
SUPPLIES / SERVICES					
N/A					
Total Supplies/Services Cost				\$	-
SUBCONTRACTS					
N/A				\$	-

Ba	sis of Estimate	_	
Total Subcontract Cost			\$ -
OTHER			
N/A		L	
Total Other Cost			\$ -
TOTAL ODC (UNBURDENED)			\$ -

Planning Scope and Basis:

WBS No. 1.108.1.06.1.1.01, Title II: Gas Hills East, Site Transition Costs

Description	Travel Split Factor	Resource Code	Number of Staff	Labor Hours per Worker	Total Labor Hours for Task	Labor Cost (\$)
DPLH LABOR AND LABOR COST						
Site Management (FY08) Develop list of site transition activities, update site transition schedule, and identify specific resources to fulfill identified requirements.		PME3-S	1	24	24	\$1,405
Provide management and coordination of transition activities, to include meetings with NRC, DOE, and State of Wyoming, the licensee, and others as necessary.		PME3-S	1	16	16	\$937
Conduct a technical information exchange and		PME3-S	1	16	16	\$937
continure transfer of technical data and other pertinent information with the owner to ensure the Office of Legacy Management is ready and able to meet all programmatic requirements during FY06.		CSE3-S	1	4	4	\$180
Ensure that the Corps of Engineers is briefed on the status of site access and is made aware of the need to establish permanent site access.		PME3-S	1	2	2	\$117
Long-Term Surveillance Plan (FY08) Draft revisions to the Long-Term Surveillance Plan in response to comments.		PME3-S	1	40	40	\$2,342
Update drawings and figures for LTSP in response to comments.		DSE1-S	1	50	50	\$1,413
Technical editing and document production.		GHE1-S	1	8	8	\$334
Total Labor Hours					160	
Total Labor Cost (\$)						\$7,665

Description	Travel Split Factor	Qty	Unit Cost (\$)	Number of Staff	Total Cost per Item (\$)
TRAVEL					
Inspection					
Orientation Inspection (FY08)					

	Basis of 1	Es	timate			
Lodging	1		1	\$55	1	\$ 55
Per diem	1		1.5	\$31	1	\$ 47
Total Travel				<u>I</u>	\$	 102

Description	Qty	Unit Cost (\$)	Total Cost pe	er Item (\$)
TRAINING				
N/A			\$	-
Total Training Cost			\$	
EQUIPMENT				
N/A			\$	-
Total Equipment Cost			\$	-
SUPPLIES / SERVICES				
N/A				
Total Supplies/Services Cost			\$	-
SUBCONTRACTS				
N/A			\$	-
Total Subcontract Cost			\$	-
OTHER (FY08)				
GSA Vehicle	1	\$100	\$	100
Total Other Cost			\$	100
TOTAL ODC (UNBURDENED)	_		\$	202

Planning Scope and Basis:

WBS Unassigned-1, Title II: Gas Hills East, SiteMgmt-Annual Costs

Description	Resource Code	Number of Staff	Labor Hours per Worker	Total Labor Hours for Task	Labor Cost (\$)
DPLH LABOR AND LABOR COST					
Schedule and budget management, task management, DOE interface (beginning FY09)	PME3-S	1	48	48	\$2,810
Total Labor Hours				48	
Total Labor Cost (\$)			•		\$2,810

Description	Travel Split Factor	Qty	Unit Cost (\$)	Number of Staff	Total Cost per Item (\$)
TRAVEL					
N/A					\$ -
Total Travel				\$	-
Description		Qty	Unit Cost (\$)	Total Cos	t per Item (\$)
TRAINING				\$	-
N/A				\$	-
Total Training Cost				\$	-
EQUIPMENT					
N/A				\$	-
Total Equipment Cost				\$	-
SUPPLIES / SERVICES					
N/A				\$	-
Total Supplies/Services Cost				\$	-
SUBCONTRACTS					
N/A				\$	-
Total Subcontract Cost				\$	-
OTHER					
N/A				\$	-
Total Other Cost				\$	-
TOTAL ODC (UNBURDENED)				\$	-

WBS Unassigned-2, Title II: Gas Hills East, Annulnspc-Annual Costs

Description	Travel Split Factor	Resource Code	Number of Staff	Labor Hours per Worker	Total Labor Hours for Task	Labor Cost (\$)	
DPLH LABOR AND LABOR COST							
Annual inspection: (beginning FY09)							
Checklist and preparation		PME3-S	1	8	8	\$468	
Map preparation		DSE2-S	1	4	4	\$148	
Pre-inspection meeting		PME3-S	4	0.5	2	\$117	
Travel	1	PME3-S	2	16	32	\$1,873	
Site work		PME3-S	2	8	16	\$937	
Reporting/posting/records management: (beginning FY09)							
Writing and post trip wrap-up		PME3-S	1	16	16	\$937	
Production		GHE1-S	1	4	4	\$167	
Map production/edits		DSE2-S	1	4	4	\$148	
Photo archiving (electronic) and report production		TWE2-S	1	1	1	\$29	
Post inspection photos to GEMS		DSE3-S	1	4	4	\$200	
Total Labor Hours 91							
Total Labor Cost (\$)						\$5,025	

	Description	Travel Split Factor	Qty	Unit Cost (\$)	Number of Staff	Total Cost per Item (\$)
TRAVEL						
Inspection						
Inspection travel						
Lodging		1	2	\$55	2	\$ 220
Per diem		1	2.5	\$31	2	\$ 155
Total Travel		·			\$	375

Description	Qty	Unit Cost (\$)	Total Cost per	Item (\$)
TRAINING				
N/A			\$	-
Total Training Cost			\$	-
EQUIPMENT				
N/A			\$	-
Total Equipment Cost			\$	-
SUPPLIES / SERVICES				
N/A			\$	-
Total Supplies/Services Cost			\$	-
SUBCONTRACTS				
N/A			\$	-
Total Subcontract Cost			\$	-
OTHER				
GSA Vehicle	1	\$100	\$	100
Total Other Cost			\$	100
TOTAL ODC (UNBURDENED)			\$	475

WBS Unassigned-4, Title II: Gas Hills East, Maint-Non-annual Costs

Description	Resource Code	Number of Staff	Labor Hours per Worker	Total Labor Hours for Task	Labor Cost (\$)
DPLH LABOR AND LABOR COST					
Replace Monitor Wells (Every 30 yrs beginning in FY39)					
Decommission and replace an assumed 10 monitor wells (1000	ASE2-S	1	16	16	\$508
total feet). Includes Statement of Work, procurement, bid tour,	ESE3-B	1	16	16	\$1,149
decommissioning and disposing of old wells, installing new wells, site reclamation, and project wrapup and records. Site work is estimated at 24 days.	SEE1-B	1	8	8	\$451
	PSE3-S	1	300	300	\$13,725
voin le commateu at 21 days.	DSE1-S	1	8	8	\$226
	TWE2-S	1	2	2	\$59
Well Replacement Labor				350	\$16,118
Replace Perimeter Fence (Every 50 yrs beginning in FY59)					
Remove and replace barbed-wire perimeter fence (35,000 ft)	ASE2-S	1	8	8	\$254
and gates. Includes Statement of Work, procurement, bid tour,	ESE3-B	1	2	2	\$144
removing and disposing of old fence, installing new fence and gates, and project wrapup and records. Site work is estimated	PSE3-S	1	360	360	\$16,470
at 30 days.	DSE1-S	1	4	4	\$113
a. 00 da, 0.	TWE2-S	1	1	1	\$29
Fence Replacement Labor	375	\$17,010			
Total Labor Hours	725	_			
Total Labor Cost (\$)					\$33,128

Dasis of Estillate			•		
Description	Qty	Unit Cost (\$)	Number of Staff		l Cost per em (\$)
TRAVEL					
Replace Monitor Wells (Every 30 yrs beginning in FY39)					
Bid tour:					
Lodging	2	\$55	1	\$	110
Per diem	2.5		1	\$	78
Site Work:					
Lodging	31		1	\$	1,705
Per diem	31.5	\$31	1	\$	977
Well Travel		1		\$	2,869
Replace Perimeter Fence (Every 50 yrs beginning in FY59)					
Bid tour:					
Lodging	2	\$55	1	\$	110
Per diem	2.5	\$31	1	\$	78
Site work:					
Lodging	39		1	\$	2,145
Per diem	39.5	\$31	1	\$	1,225
Fence Travel				\$	3,557
Total Travel Cost		1		\$	6,426
Description		Qty	Unit Cost (\$)		l Cost per em (\$)
TRAINING					
N/A				\$	-
Total Training Cost				\$	-
EQUIPMENT					
N/A				\$	-
Total Equipment Cost				\$	-
SUPPLIES / SERVICES					
Replace Monitor Wells (Every 30 yrs beginning in FY39)					
Decommissioning subcontractor		1	\$20,000	\$	20,000
Installation subcontractor		1	\$100,000	\$	100,000
Well Services			\$		120,000
Replace Perimeter Fence (Every 50 yrs beginning					
in FY59)			.		
Subcontractor		1	\$182,000		182,000
Fence Services			\$		182,000
Total Supplies/Services Cost			\$		302,000
SUBCONTRACT N/A				\$	_
Total Subcontract Cost				\$	
i otai oubcontract oost				Ф	

Revised: 04/18/08

OTHER				
Replace Monitor Wells (Every 30 yrs beginning in FY39)				
GSA vehicle (bid tour and site work)	1	\$1,700	\$	1,700
Wells Other			\$	1,700
Replace Perimeter Fence (Every 50 yrs beginning in FY59)				
GSA vehicle (bid tour and site work)	1	\$2,100	\$	2,100
Fence Other			\$	2,100
Total Other Cost			\$	3,800
TOTAL ODC (UNBURDENED)		\$;	312,226

WBS Unassigned-5, Title II: Gas Hills East, Monitor-annual Costs

Description	Travel Split Factor	Resource Code	Number of Staff	Labor Hours per Worker	Total Labor Hours for Task	Labor Cost (\$)	
DPLH LABOR AND LABOR COST							
Ground water monitoring (annually beginning in FY09):							
Trip preparation		STE2-S	1	8	8	\$407	
		GHE1-S	1	8	8	\$334	
Travel	1	STE2-S	2	16	32	\$1,628	
Sampling - field activity		STE2-S	2	20	40	\$2,035	
Post-trip wrap-up		STE2-S	1	6	6	\$305	
Data Validation		STE2-S	1	24	24	\$1,221	
Data Management		DSE2-S	1	12	12	\$444	
Total Labor Hours 130							
Total Labor Cost (\$)						\$6,376	

Description	Travel Split Factor	Qty	Unit Cost (\$)	Number of Staff		Cost per m (\$)
TRAVEL						
Ground water monitoring (annually beginning in FY09):						
Travel						
Lodging	1	3	\$55	2	\$	330
Per diem	1	3.5	\$31	2	\$	217
Total Travel Cost						\$547
Description				Unit Cost (\$)	Total Cost pe Item (\$)	
TRAINING						
N/A					\$	-
Total Training Cost					\$	-
EQUIPMENT						
N/A					\$	-
Total Equipment Cost					\$	-
SUPPLIES / SERVICES						
Ground water sampling (all analytes beginning in FY09):						
Laboratory Analysis			1	\$1,120	\$	1,120
Sampling supplies			1	\$50		50
Sample shipping (coolers)			4	\$80	\$	320
All Analyte Samples				_	\$	1,490
Total Supplies/Services Cost						

Revised: 04/18/08

SUBCONTRACTS			
N/A			\$ -
Total Subcontract Cost			\$ -
OTHER			
Ground water monitoring (annually beginning in FY09):			
GSA vehicle	1	\$200	\$ 200
Total Other Cost			\$ 200
TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (UNBURDENED)			\$ 2,237