From:

Norman Lanier [Norman.Lanier@tracerco.com]

Sent:

Wednesday, August 25, 2010 9:25 AM

To:

Modes, Kathy

Subject:

RE: NRC License No. 07-28386-01 (Docket No. 030-31174, Mail Control

No. 573087) amendment request extension

Thanks for your cooperation Kathy. I will try my best to get something to you by 9/27.

Thanks again,

Norman

>>> "Modes, Kathy" <<u>Kathy.Modes@nrc.qov</u>> 8/24/2010 4:55 PM >>>

Let's go ahead and give you until 9/27 to respond. If there are problems/delays/whatever, we can void without prejudice which means the clock stops and you have all the time you need to resubmit as you see fit.

From: Norman Lanier [mailto:Norman.Lanier@tracerco.com]

Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2010 5:06 PM

To: Modes, Kathy

Subject: RE: NRC License No. 07-28386-01 (Docket No. 030-31174, Mail Control No. 573087)

amendment request extension

If I request you to void now, will that in effect rescind my original request, which will require resubmission? I am not sure what the difference is between you voiding it and 'stopping the clock". I should be able to get you a response by 09/27/10, but what if my response triggers additional questions that I can not answer before 10/05/10?

>>> "Modes, Kathy" <Kathy.Modes@nrc.gov> 8/24/2010 3:57 PM >>>

I need to have this action completed by 10/5/2010 or voided by that date.

Can you have something in by 9/27/2010? Or should I void now? This will stop the clock, but allow you ample time to get your response together to your liking.

From: Norman Lanier [mailto:Norman.Lanier@tracerco.com]

Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2010 4:31 PM

To: Modes, Kathy

Subject: NRC License No. 07-28386-01 (Docket No. 030-31174, Mail Control No. 573087)

amendment request extension

Dear Ms. Modes,

Referencing your letter dated July 23, 2010 requesting additional information relating to our amendment request dated June 30, 2010, we are requesting a 60 day extension to provide the additional information. Our request is the result of more pressing licensing actions that must be addressed. Because our June 30, 2010 request was the result of an urgent need this interim delay should not affect our current operations. We apologize for the delay, but believe that other licensing issues must take priority. While it is not our preference, we understand if we are required to rescind our June 30, 2010 amendment request and re-submit at a later date.

Best regards,

Norman P. Lanier

Corporate Radiation Safety Officer

Tracerco

4106 New West Drive

Pasadena, TX 77507

Office: 281-291-7769

Fax: 281-291-7709

e-mail: norman.lanier@tracerco.com

This message has been scanned for viruses by <u>MailControl</u>, a service from <u>BlackSpider</u> Technologies.

Click here to report this email as spam