





ASSIGNMENT TICKLER

Chief:	Steve Garchow	Facility:	River Bend	First Date of Exam:	4/19/2010
Written Exam Developed By:			NRC / Facility	Operating Test Developed By: NRC / Facility	
Due Date	Description	Date Complete	Initials	Notes	
10/21/2009	Exam Administration Dates Confirmed (C.1.a; C.2.a & b)	10/12/09	JMB		
12/20/2009	NRC Examiners & Facility Contact Assigned (C.1.d; C.2.e)	10/12/09	JMB		
12/20/2009	Facility Contact Briefed on Security & Other Req's (C.2.c)	10/12/09	JMB		
12/20/2009	Corporate Notification Letter (ES-201 Att-3) Sent (C.2.d)	12/22/09	JMB	produced by Chief Examiner	
1/19/2010	Reference Material Due (if NRC authored) (C.1.e; C.3.c)	NA	JMB		
2/3/2010	Integrated Exam Outlines Due (C.1.e & f; C.3.d)	2/1/10	JMB		
2/8/2010	Outlines Reviewed & Feedback Provided (c.2.h; C.3.e)	2/7/10	JMB		
3/5/2010	Draft Exams w/References Due (C.1.e,f,g,h; C.3.d)	3/2/10	JMB		
3/20/2010	*Peer Reviewer Completes Review of Exam on ES-401-9	3/30/10	JMB		
3/20/2010	*NRC BC Approves Feedback to Facility (C.2.h; C.3.f)	3/30/10	JMB		
3/20/2010	*Exams Reviewed w/ Fac. (C.1.h;C.2.f & h; C.3.g)	3/10/10	JMB		
3/20/2010	Preliminary Applications Due (C.1.i;C.2.g; ES-202)	3/3/10	JMB		
3/25/2010	Preliminary Applications Reviewed (C.1.i;C.2.g)	3/3/10	JMB		
4/5/2010	Final Applications Due (C.1.i;C.2.i;ES-202)	4/1/10	JMB		
4/5/2010	On-Site Preparatory Week to Validate Operating Test	3/18/10	JMB		
4/5/2010	On-Site Audit (10%) of License App's (ES-202 C.2.e)	5/19/10	JMB		
4/12/2010	Final App's Approved & Waiver Letters Sent (ES-204; C.2.e)	4/14/10	JMB		
4/12/2010	NRC Supervisor Approved Final Exam (C.2.i;C.3.h)	4/14/10	JMB		
4/12/2010	Exam Approval Letter (ES-201 Att-4) and List of Applicants (ES-201-4) Prepared (C.2.i)	4/14/10	JMB	produced by OLA	
4/12/2010	Proctor Rules Review w/Fac. & Written Authorized (C.3.k)	4/14/10	JMB		
4/12/2010	Exam Material to Exam Team (C.3.i)	4/14/10	JMB		
4/19/2010	Administer Operating Test On-Site	4/23/10	JMB		
5/1/2010	Facility Graded Written Exam & Comments Received	4/19/10	JMB		
5/4/2010	NRC Written Exam Grading Completed	4/20/10	JMB		
5/4/2010	Examiners Document Exam Grades on ES Forms	5/4/10	JMB		
5/14/2010	NRC Chief Examiner Grading Review Completed	5/5/10	JMB		
5/15/2010	NRC BC Review Completed	5/13/10	JMB		
5/19/2010	License/Denials Mailed; Facility Notified of Results	5/13/10	JMB		
5/19/2010	RPS/IP Number of Examinees Updated	4/6/10	JMB	print Report-21	
6/3/2010	Examination Report Issued	6/8/10	JMB	produced by Chief Examiner	
6/10/2010	Chief Examiner QA of ADAMS and SUNSI checklist complete	5/20/10	JMB	SUNSI checklist to OLA	
6/18/2010	Ref Mat'l Returned after Final Resolution of Appeals	NA	JMB		

* Indicates the due dates are more conservative than the ES-201-1 requires.
 (Replaces NUREG-1021, Revision 9, ES Forms 201-1 and 501-1)

Facility:		Date of Examination:		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c#
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	Ano	S	JMB
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	Ano	S	JMB
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	Ano	S	SMB
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	Ano	S	SMB
2. S I M U L A T O R	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	Ano	S	JMB
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	Ano	S	SMB
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	Ano	S	SMB
3. W / T	a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.	Ano	S	SMB
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	Ano	S	SMB
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	Ano	S	SMB
4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	Ano	S	SMB
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	Ano	S	SMB
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	Ano	S	SMB
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	Ano	S	SMB
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	Ano	S	SMB
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	Ano	S	SMB
a. Author	Angele M. Orgeron / 		Date	1-6-10
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	John Fratlick / 			1-21-10
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	Steve Gorchow / 			4-7-10
d. NRC Supervisor	MARK HARRIS / 			4/12/2010
Note:	# Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required. * Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines			

Facility: <u>River Bend Station</u>		Date of Exam: <u>4-16-2010</u>		Exam Level: RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Item Description	Initial			a	b*	c*
	a	b*	c*			
1. Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.	Amo	J	SmB			
2. a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available.	Amo	J	SmB			
3. SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401	Amo	J	SmB			
4. The sampling process was random and systematic (If more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR OL program office).	Amo	J	SmB			
5. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or <input type="checkbox"/> the examinations were developed independently; or <input type="checkbox"/> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or <input type="checkbox"/> other (explain)	Amo	J	SmB			
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.	Bank	Modified	New	Amo	J	SmB
	7/1	4/1	64/23			
7. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.	Memory	C/A		Amo	J	SmB
	30/4	45/21				
8. References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.	Amo	J	SmB			
9. Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.	Amo	J	SmB			
10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.	Amo	J	SmB			
11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.	Amo	J	SmB			
a. Author	Printed Name / Signature			Date		
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	<u>Angel M. Orgeron</u>			<u>2-24-10</u>		
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	<u>John Fralick</u>			<u>3-3-10</u>		
d. NRC Regional Supervisor	<u>Steve Garchow</u>			<u>4-2-10</u>		
	<u>MARK HARRIS</u>			<u>4/12/2010</u>		
Note:	* The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.					

Facility: <u>River Bend Station</u>		Date of Examination: <u>4/19/2010</u>		Operating Test Number:	
1. General Criteria		Initials			
		a	b*	c#	
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	AWO	8	SMB	
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	AWO	8	SMB	
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s). (see Section D.1.a.)	AWO	8	SMB	
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	AWO	8	SMB	
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	AWO	8	SMB	
2. Walk-Through Criteria		--	--	--	
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • initial conditions • initiating cues • references and tools, including associated procedures • reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time-critical by the facility licensee • operationally important specific performance criteria that include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature - system response and other examiner cues - statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant - criteria for successful completion of the task - identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards - restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable 	AWO	8	SMB	
b.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	AWO	8	SMB	
3. Simulator Criteria		--	--	--	
The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.		AWO	8	SMB	
Printed Name / Signature		Date			
a.	Author <u>Angela M. Orgeron / Angela M. Orgeron</u>	<u>2-24-2010</u>			
b.	Facility Reviewer(*) <u>John Fratlick / John Fratlick</u>	<u>3-3-10</u>			
c.	NRC Chief Examiner (#) <u>Steve Garchow / Steve Garchow</u>	<u>4-2-10</u>			
d.	NRC Supervisor <u>MARK HARRÉ / Mark Harré</u>	<u>4/12/2010</u>			
NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests.					
# Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.					

Facility: <i>River Bend Station</i>		Date of Exam: <i>4/19/10</i>		Scenario Numbers: <i>1 / 2 / 4</i>		Operating Test No.:	
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES			Initials				
			a	b*	c#		
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	<i>AWO</i>	<i>J</i>	<i>SMB</i>			
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	<i>AWO</i>	<i>J</i>	<i>SMB</i>			
3.	Each event description consists of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated • the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event • the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew • the expected operator actions (by shift position) • the event termination point (if applicable) 	<i>AWO</i>	<i>J</i>	<i>SMB</i>			
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	<i>AWO</i>	<i>J</i>	<i>SMB</i>			
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	<i>AWO</i>	<i>J</i>	<i>SMB</i>			
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	<i>AWO</i>	<i>J</i>	<i>SMB</i>			
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	<i>AWO</i>	<i>J</i>	<i>SMB</i>			
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	<i>AWO</i>	<i>J</i>	<i>SMB</i>			
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	<i>AWO</i>	<i>J</i>	<i>SMB</i>			
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.	<i>AWO</i>	<i>J</i>	<i>SMB</i>			
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	<i>AWO</i>	<i>J</i>	<i>SMB</i>			
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	<i>AWO</i>	<i>J</i>	<i>SMB</i>			
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	<i>AWO</i>	<i>J</i>	<i>SMB</i>			
Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)		Actual Attributes	--	--	--	--	
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)	<i>7 16 1 6</i>	<i>AWO</i>	<i>J</i>	<i>SMB</i>		
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	<i>2 12 1 2</i>	<i>AWO</i>	<i>J</i>	<i>SMB</i>		
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)	<i>3 12 1 2</i>	<i>AWO</i>	<i>J</i>	<i>SMB</i>		
4.	Major transients (1-2)	<i>1 11 1 1</i>	<i>AWO</i>	<i>J</i>	<i>SMB</i>		
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	<i>2 12 1 2</i>	<i>AWO</i>	<i>J</i>	<i>SMB</i>		
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	<i>1 11 1 1</i>	<i>AWO</i>	<i>J</i>	<i>SMB</i>		
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)	<i>2 12 1 2</i>	<i>AWO</i>	<i>J</i>	<i>SMB</i>		

Facility: <u>River Bend State</u> Date of Exam: <u>4/16/2010</u> Exam Level: RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Item Description	Initials		
	a	b	c
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	<u>AWO</u>	<u>S</u>	<u>SMB</u>
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	<u>NA</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>SMB</u>
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	<u>AWO</u>	<u>S</u>	<u>SMB</u>
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80 ±2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	<u>AWO</u>	<u>S</u>	<u>SMB</u>
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	<u>AWO</u>	<u>S</u>	<u>SMB</u>
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	<u>AWO</u>	<u>S</u>	<u>SMB</u>
Printed Name/Signature		Date	
a. Grader	<u>Angela M. Orgeron / Angela M. Orgeron</u>	<u>4-20-2010</u>	
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	<u>John Frater / John Frater</u>	<u>4/22/10</u>	
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	<u>SM Hare</u>	<u>4/23/10</u>	
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	<u>MARK HARE / Mark Hare</u>	<u>5/13/10</u>	
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.			

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 10/01/2009 To 07/30/2010

Region: 4 Phase Code: 5

Exam Week	Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	# Candidates	Type	Exam Author	Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
03/15/2010	River Bend / 05000458 / 2010301 TAC #: X02443		Prep	FFF	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. STEELY, CHRISTOPHER D.
04/19/2010	River Bend / 05000458 / 2010301 TAC #: X02443	RO - 5 SROU - 3	Admin	FFF	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.	APGER, GABRIEL W. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. STEELY, CHRISTOPHER D.
04/25/2010	River Bend / 05000458 / 2010301 TAC #: X02443		Doc		GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.	APGER, GABRIEL W. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. STEELY, CHRISTOPHER D.

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 4-16-10 → 4-23-10 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 4-16-10 → 4-23-10. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. <u>Angela M. Orgeron</u>	<u>Ops Sr. Staff Inst. / Exam Developer</u>	<u>Angela M. Orgeron</u>	<u>8-31-09</u>	<u>Angela M. Orgeron</u>	<u>4-22-10</u>	
2. <u>John Hagedorn</u>	<u>Ops Sr. Staff Inst. / Exam Developer</u>	<u>John Hagedorn</u>	<u>10-22-09</u>	<u>John Hagedorn</u>	<u>4-22-10</u>	
3. <u>JOEY CURRIK</u>	<u>ADMN-S / EXAM REVIEW</u>	<u>JOEY CURRIK</u>	<u>10/27/09</u>	<u>per Tele-Com</u>	<u>4-22-10</u>	
4. <u>John D. Lee</u>	<u>Sim Sup / Sim Engineer</u>	<u>John D. Lee</u>	<u>12-7-09</u>	<u>John D. Lee</u>	<u>4-22-10</u>	
5. <u>Halle TESFAY</u>	<u>Sim Sr. Engineer</u>	<u>Halle TESFAY</u>	<u>12-17-09</u>	<u>Via email</u>	<u>4-22-10</u>	
6. <u>Gary Degraw</u>	<u>Supd Simulator Support</u>	<u>Gary Degraw</u>	<u>12-7-09</u>	<u>Gary Degraw</u>	<u>4-22-10</u>	
7. <u>John Fralick</u>	<u>Supd. Initial Ops Trng</u>	<u>John Fralick</u>	<u>1-11-10</u>	<u>Via email</u>	<u>4-22-10</u>	
8. <u>Scott D. Shultz</u>	<u>NCO / Validation Team</u>	<u>Scott D. Shultz</u>	<u>1-11-10</u>	<u>Via email</u>	<u>4-22-10</u>	
9. <u>ALFRED GROBE</u>	<u>NCO / Validation Team</u>	<u>ALFRED GROBE</u>	<u>1-11-10</u>	<u>Via email</u>	<u>4-22-10</u>	
10. <u>SCOTT DOLAN</u>	<u>NCO / Validation Team</u>	<u>SCOTT DOLAN</u>	<u>1-11-10</u>	<u>Via email</u>	<u>4-22-10</u>	
11. <u>TERRY WYMER</u>	<u>CBS / Validation Team</u>	<u>TERRY WYMER</u>	<u>1-28-10</u>	<u>per Tele-Com</u>	<u>4-22-10</u>	
12. <u>John W. Clark</u>	<u>Exam Process Supt. / Fleet</u>	<u>John W. Clark</u>	<u>2-7-10</u>	<u>per Tele-Com</u>	<u>4-22-10</u>	
13. <u>PATRICK ELLIS</u>	<u>SR RP TECH / LEAD RP TECH</u>	<u>PATRICK ELLIS</u>	<u>4-22-10</u>	<u>per Tele-Com</u>	<u>4-22-10</u>	
14. <u>ARDES JOHNSON</u>	<u>Exam Week Proctor</u>	<u>ARDES JOHNSON</u>	<u>4-22-10</u>	<u>per Tele-Com</u>	<u>4-22-10</u>	
15. <u>Sid Durbin II</u>	<u>Exam Week Proctor</u>	<u>Sid Durbin II</u>	<u>4-22-10</u>	<u>per Tele-Com</u>	<u>4-22-10</u>	

NOTES:

1. Pre-Examination

4-16-10

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 4-23-10 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 4-23-10. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
Wayne Parker	Exam Week Proctor	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/22/10	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/22/10
Jess Higgins	Exam Week Proctor	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/22/10	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/22/10
Erin Weinfurter	Exam Week Proctor	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/22/10	<i>[Signature]</i>	22 APRIL 2010
John East	Exam Week Proctor	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/22/10	<i>[Signature]</i>	4-22-10

NOTES: