

Rafael Flores Senior Vice President & Chief Nuclear Officer rafael.flores@Luminant.com Luminant Power P O Box 1002 6322 North FM 56 Glen Rose, TX 76043

T 254 897 5550 C 817 559 0403 F 254 897 6652

Ref. # 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B)

CP- 201001137 TXX -10103

August 17, 2010

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

SUBJECT:COMANCHE PEAK NUCLEAR POWER PLANT (CPNPP)
DOCKET NO. 50-445 and 50-446INADEQUATE SURVEILLANCE TEST PROCEDURE
RESULTING IN FAILURE TO MEET TS REQUIREMENTS
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 445 / 10-003-00

Dear Sir or Madam:

Enclosed is Licensee Event Report (LER) 445/10-003-00, "Inadequate Surveillance Test Procedure Resulting In Failure To Meet TS Requirements," for Comanche Peak Nuclear Power Plant (CPNPP) Units 1 and 2.

This communication contains no licensing basis commitments regarding CPNPP Units 1 and 2.

Should you have any questions, please contact Gary Merka at (254) 897-6613.

Sincerely,

Luminant Generation Company LLC

Rafael Flores

Bv:

Fred W. Madden Director, Oversight & Regulatory Affairs

Enclosure

C -

E. E. Collins, Region IV B. K. Singal, NRR Resident Inspectors, Comanche Peak

A member of the STARS (Strategic Teaming and Resource Sharing) Alliance

Callaway · Comanche Peak · Diablo Canyon · Palo Verde · San Onofre · South Texas Project · Wolf Creek

(0.2007) AFFROVED BT OMD NO. 3130-0104 EXFIRES. 0/31/20														
Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory or request: 80 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding estimate to the Records and EOU/Neuroparise Records and EOU/Ne									y collection ed into the ding burden					
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) (See reverse for required number of									by internet Information gement and					
(See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block) Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.														
1. FACILITY NAME 2. DOCKET NUMBER 3. PAGE										E				
Coman	Comanche Peak Nuclear Power Plant Unit 1								00 445		1 OF 4			
4. TITLE	4. TITLE													
	Inadequate Surveillance Test Procedure Resulting in Failure to Meet TS Requirements													
5. E	EVENT DA		6. LER NUMBER			7. REPORT DATE		ATE						
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR YEAR SEQUENTIAL REV MONTH DAY YEAR FACILITY NAME NUMBER NO. MONTH DAY YEAR CPNPP Unit 2				05000 4	T NUMBER 46						
06	18	2010	2010	003	00	08	17	2010	FACILITY NA	ME		DOCUMEN 05000	T NUMBER	
9. OPER	RATING M	ODE			IS SU				HE REQUIREN	MENTS OF 10 C	FR §: (Chec	k all that a	pply)	
	4			2201(b)	Ц	20.2203()(2)(i)(C)		.73(a)(2)(vii)		
	1			2201(d) 2203(a)(1)	H	20.2203(a 20.2203(a			50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A)		50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)			
				2203(a)(1) 2203(a)(2)(i)	H	50.36(c)			50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B) 50.73(a)(2)(iii)			50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B) 50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A)		
10. PO	WER LEV	/EL		2203(a)(2)(ii)		50.36(c)(1)(ii)(A))(2)(iv)(A)	50.73(a)(2)(x)			
			$ 20.2203(a)(2)(ii) \qquad \qquad 00.00(0)(1)(0)(1) \\ 20.2203(a)(2)(iii) \qquad \qquad 00.00(0)(1)(0)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)$				50.73(a)(2)(v)(A)			73.71(a)(4)				
	100			2203(a)(2)(iv)		50.46(a)(and the second s)(2)(v)(B)		1(a)(5)		
				2203(a)(2)(v)		50.73(a)()(2)(v)(C)				
			20.2	2203(a)(2)(vi)	X	50.73(a)(2)(I)(B)		50.73(a)(2)(v)(D)	VOLU	JNTARY L	ER	
	·····				12. LI	CENSEE C	ONTAC	T FOR T	IS LER		•			
FACILITY	NAME											•	Area Code)	
				Hope, Nuc							<u>)897-637</u>	0		
CAUSE	SYSTE	1	IS. COMP	MANU-	REPOR	900059	CAUS	1	SYSTEM	ED IN THIS REP COMPONENT	MANU-	REP	ORTABLE	
0/1002				FACTURER	TOE				FACTURER				EPIX	
													F	
		14. SUPF	LEMENT	AL REPORT EX	PECTI				15. EXPE	MONTH	DAY	YEAR		
LX YE	ES (If yes, o	complete 15	. EXPECTE	ED SUBMISSION	DATE)	L_NO			SUBMISSION DATE			16	2010	
ABSTRA	CT (Limi	t to 1400 s	paces, i.e	., approximately	15 sin	gle-spaced	typewrit	ten lines)						
On	June ²	18, 201), during	g performar	nce of	f the Cor	npone	ent Des	ign Bases	Inspection, t	he NRC i	nspecto	ors	
de	termine	d that s	urveilla	ince test pro	bcedu	re (STP)	ÓPT	-216, "I	Remote Sh	utdown Ope	erability T	esť" ma	у	
										equirement (3	
										npleted whic				
			-							uately imple		testing		
rec	quireme	ents to r	neet the	e SR or the	affec	ted comp	onen	ts coule	d be adequ	ately tested	•			
The cause of this event is still being determined and a supplemental report providing this information will be submitted by November 16, 2010.														
There have been no previous similar occurrences of failure to meet TS SRs due to inadequate STPs in														
the past three years.														
All times in this report are approximate and Central Standard Time unless noted otherwise.														
:														

•

•

· .

NRC FORM 3	66A			U.S.	NUCLEAR REC	GULATORY	COMMISSION					
(9-2007)		LICENSEE EVENT R CONTINUATIO)								
С	oman	1. FACILITY NAME che Peak Nuclear Power Plant Unit 1	2. DOCKET 05000 – 445	YEAR 2010	6. LER NUMBEI SEQUENTIAL NUMBER 003	REV NO.	3. PAGE					
NARRATIVE	(If more	space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A		2010	003	00	2 054					
I.	DE	SCRIPTION OF THE REPORTABLE EVEN	ІТ				•					
	Α.	REPORTABLE EVENT CLASSIFICATION										
		10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) "Any operation or condition which was prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications"										
	В.	3. PLANT CONDITION PRIOR TO EVENT										
		On June 18, 2010, CPNPP Unit 1 and Unit 2 were both in Mode 1 operating at 100% power.										
	C.	STATUS OF STRUCTURES, SYSTEMS, INOPERABLE AT THE START OF THE E EVENT					ΙE					
		There were no inoperable structures, systems, or components that contributed to the event.										
	D.	NARRATIVE SUMMARY OF THE EVENT, INCLUDING DATES AND APPROXIMATE TIMES										
		CPNPP TS SR 3.3.4.2 requires verification every 18 months that each required Hot Shutdown Panel power and control circuit and transfer switch is capable of performing the intended function. Contrary to this requirement, the existing surveillance test procedure (STP) may not adequately verify that capability.										
		On June 18, 2010, during performance of NRC inspectors determined that surveill Shutdown Operability Test" may not be ac Surveillance Requirement (SR). The S ^T functional control from the Control Room of not have provided assurance that a circu- identified. Engineering personnel (Utility personnel (Utility, Licensed) and both components and risk assessments w operability.	ance test pro dequate to me IP provided a (CR) to the Ho it fault affectir , Non-License units entered	cedure (et the Te a metho ot Shutdo ng contro ed) repo d TS Si	(STP) OPT- echnical Spe od to test to own Panel (I ol from the l rted the co R 3.0.3 for	216, "Re ecification he trans HSP), bu HSP wou ndition t the aft	emote n (TS) fer of it may uld be to CR fected					
	E.	THE METHOD OF DISCOVERY OF EAC PROCEDURAL PERSONNEL ERROR	H COMPONE	NT OR S	SYSTEM FA	ULURE,	OR					
		On June 18, 2010, during performance of NRC inspectors determined that surveillar Shutdown Operability Test" may not be ac Surveillance Requirement (SR).	nce test proce	dure (SŤ	P) OPT-216	5, "Remo	te					

• .

NRC FORM 3	66A			U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY	COMMISSION						
(9-2007)	٠	LICENSEE EVENT F CONTINUATIO		1							
		1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LER NUMBER	3. PAGE						
	oman	che Peak Nuclear Power Plant Unit 1	05000 – 445	NUMBER NO. 2010 003 00	3 OF 4						
NARRATIVE (If more	space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366/		<u></u>							
11.	CO	COMPONENT OR SYSTEM FAILURES									
	Α.	CAUSE OF EACH COMPONENT OR SY	STEM FAILUF	RE							
		Not applicable - No component failures were identified during this event.									
,	В.	FAILURE MODE, MECHANISM, AND EF	FECTS OF EA	ACH FAILED COMPONENT	COMPONENT						
		Not applicable - No component failures were identified during this event.									
	C.	SYSTEMS OR SECONDARY FUNCTION COMPONENTS WITH MULTIPLE FUNC		E AFFECTED BY FAILURE	OF						
		Not applicable - No component failures w	ere identified c	luring this event.							
	D.	FAILED COMPONENT INFORMATION									
		Not applicable - No component failures w	ere identified c	luring this event.							
III.	AN/	ANALYSIS OF THE EVENT									
	Α.	SAFETY SYSTEM RESPONSES THAT (DCCURRED								
		Not applicable - No safety system respon	ses occurred a	as a result of this event.							
	В.	DURATION OF SAFETY SYSTEM TRAIN	N INOPERABII	LITY							
		The current method provided in the STP Control Room (CR) to the Hot Shutdowr licensing of Unit 1 in 1990 and Unit 2 in 1	n Panel (HSP)								
	C.	SAFETY CONSEQUENCES AND IMPLIC	CATIONS OF 1	THE EVENT							
		As of the date of this report, engineering failures would have existed and the STPs currently ongoing.									
IV.	CA	USE OF THE EVENT			ļ						
		e cause of this event is still being detern rmation will be submitted by November 16,		upplemental report providin	g this						
V.	со	RRECTIVE ACTIONS									
	Engineering personnel reported the condition to CR personnel and both units entered TS 3.0.3 for the affected components. Risk assessments were completed within 24 hours v supported continued operability. Further corrective actions, if any, will be determined completion of the ongoing engineering reviews.										

.

NRC FORM 366	A					U.S.	NUCLEAR REG	ULATORY	COMMISSION	
(9-2007) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) CONTINUATION SHEET										
					DOCKET	YEAR	6. LER NUMBER	R REV	3. PAGE	
Cor	manche Peak Nuc	hear Power Plan	t Unit 1	050	00 – 445	2010	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER 003	NO. 00	4 OF 4	
NARRATIVE (If	more space is required	d, use additional cop	ies of NRC For							
VI.	PREVIOUS SIM	ILAR EVENTS	6							
, ,	There have bee	n no previous s	similar repo	ortable eve	ents at CP	NPP in f	he last three	vears		
								, youro.		
									-	
			۰							
							'n			
				·						
							·			
			. •							
NRC FORM 366A (9-2	2007)						PF	RINTED ON RE	CYCLED PAPER	