

# Simulated ITAAC Closure and Verification Demonstration

1

OFFICE OF NEW REACTORS  
PUBLIC WORKSHOP

AUGUST 19, 2010

MAHMOUD JARDANEH  
NRO/DCIP/CTSB/CIT

SCOTT FREEMAN  
RII/CCI/DCP/CPB2

TRAVIS CHAPMAN  
NRO/DCIP/CTSB

# Project Plan

The demonstration is divided into 4 stages:

- (1) ITAAC Performance and NRC Assessment
- (2) ITAAC Closure
- (3) Exercise Workshop
- (4) Lessons Learned

# Communication

- Applicant submit all ITAAC related information under Project Number 0783
- Proprietary information is made available for NRC staff review in a “virtual reading room”
- Requests for technical support from NRO according to TAR process
- NRO/DE & NRO/DSRA provide inspection report feeder IAW IMC 0613
- NRO/CQVP document vendor inspection IAW IMC 0617
- Schedule biweekly telecon to discuss schedule, expectations, and progress starting 08/25/2010

# Demonstration Milestones

- 8/19/2010 Public Meeting – Stage 1 Progress
- 9/22/2010 Applicant Provides Support Information
- 10/07/2010 Public Meeting – Progress Update
- 10/29/2010 Stage 1 Complete
- 12/16/2010 Stage 2 Complete
- 1/13/2011 Stage 3 Complete
- 3/31/2011 Stage 4 Complete
- Biweekly Call starting on 08/25/2010

## Stage 1: ITAAC Performance & NRC Assessment



5

### NRC Objectives:

- Demonstrate the infrastructure that will be used for construction inspection
- Demonstrate the communication paths for inspection, technical report reviews, and closure letters
- Develop lessons learned

## Stage 1: ITAAC Performance & NRC Assessment



6

### Overview of Stage 1:

- 7/29/2010      Formal Kickoff Meeting
- 8/19/2010      Public Meeting
- 9/22/2010      Westinghouse Provides Support Information
- 10/29/2010     Stage 1 Complete
  - Inspection report issued
  - Closure letters submitted

## Stage 1: ITAAC Performance & NRC Assessment



7

### Participants & Expectations:

- **Westinghouse/SNC:**
  - Develop documentation to support simulated inspection and ITAAC closure
  - Prepare ITAAC closure letters (ICLs) & submit to NRC
- **NRC Region II:**
  - Develop inspection plans
  - Simulate conducting the inspections
  - Document inspection results using IMC 0613, including violations
  - Issue inspection report
- **NRC Office of New Reactors**
  - Review technical reports, analyses, and procedures as specified by inspection plans
  - Document inspection results using IMC 0613 or IMC 0617

# ITAAC Selected

## Six ITAAC from AP 1000, DCD Revision 17:

- (1) ITAAC 2.1.02.07a.i – Reactor Coolant System Harsh Environment Type Test
- (2) ITAAC 2.2.01.04a.ii – Containment System Impact Testing
- (3) ITAAC 2.2.02.01 – Passive Containment Cooling Functional Arrangement
- (4) ITAAC 2.2.03.08c.i – Injection Line Flow Resistance Testing and Analysis
- (5) ITAAC 2.6.03.08 – DC System Fault Current Analysis
- (6) ITAAC 3.7.01 – Design Reliability Assurance Program (D-RAP)

## ITAAC 2.1.02.07a.i – The Reactor Coolant System Harsh Environment Type Test



9

- Simulate an inspection of one RCS component (ADS Squib Valve) with finding
- Westinghouse provide environmental qualification report
- NRO/DE/EEB review report and document inspection results
- NRO/DCIP/CQVP simulate pre-COL type testing observation at vendor facility and document inspection results
- RII/DCI/CIB1 assist in documenting the inspection results and draft a NOV for an ITAAC Related Construction Finding based on incorrect radiation and temperature parameters
- RII/DCP/CPB4 develop an integrated inspection report
- Westinghouse prepare closure package and submit closure letter, including corrective actions for the finding

## ITAAC 2.2.01.04a.ii – Containment System Impact Testing

- Simulate inspection of the material hardness testing results for the containment
- Westinghouse provide test report
- RII/DCI/CIB3 conduct inspection and document results
- RII/DCP/CPB4 include results in integrated inspection report
- Westinghouse prepare closure package and submit closure letter

## ITAAC 2.2.02.01 – Passive Containment Cooling Functional Arrangement

- This ITAAC has not been targeted for inspection. It will become part of Stage 2
- Simulate ITAAC finding during closure review
- Westinghouse prepare closure package and submit closure letter
- NRO/DCIP/CTSB transmit TAR to RII describing an ITAAC Finding based on identifying a missing valve during closure review
- RII/DCP/CPB4 simulate inspection, document results, draft a NOV, and include in integrated inspection report

## ITAAC 2.2.03.08c.i – Injection Line Flow Resistance Testing and Analysis

- Simulate the overlap between ITAAC inspections and program inspections for pre-operational testing
- Westinghouse provide test procedure
- NRO/DSRA/SRSB review test procedure and provide evaluation to RII
- RII/DCP/CPB4 simulate witnessing test and reviewing results
- RII/DCP/CPB4 document inspection results and include in integrated inspection report
- Westinghouse prepare closure package and submit closure letter

## ITAAC 2.6.03.08 – DC System Fault Current Analysis



13

- Simulate the review of fault current analysis for fuses and breakers on one train of the DC System
- Westinghouse provide fault current analysis for one train
- NRO/DE/EEB review report and document inspection results
- RII/DCI/CIB1 assist in documenting the inspection results and draft a NOV for a Construction Finding based on inadequate accounting of the fault current
- RII/DCP/CPB4 include in integrated inspection report
- Westinghouse prepare closure package and submit closure letter

## ITAAC 3.7.01 – Design Reliability Assurance Program

- Westinghouse develops analysis report for a system designed under D-RAP
- NRO/DSRA/SPRA review design analysis and document inspection results
- RII/DCP/CPB4 include results in integrated inspection report
- Westinghouse prepare closure package and submit closure letter

# ITAAC 3.7.01 – Design Reliability Assurance Program



<b>Design Commitment</b>	<b>Inspections, Tests, and Analyses</b>	<b>Acceptance Criteria</b>
<p>The D-RAP ensures that the design of SSCs within the scope of the reliability assurance program (Table 3.7-1) is consistent with the risk insights and key assumptions (e.g. SSC design, reliability, and availability).</p>	<p>An analysis will confirm that the design of RAP SSCs identified in Table 3.7-1 has been completed in accordance with applicable D-RAP activities.</p>	<p>An analysis report documents that safety-related SSCs identified in Table 3.7-1 have been design in accordance with a 10 CFR 50 Appendix B quality program.</p> <p>An analysis report documents that non-safety related SSCs identified in Table 3.7-1 have been designed in accordance with a program which satisfies quality assurance requirements for SSCs important to investment protection.</p>

# QUESTIONS AND DISCUSSION