

6/15/2010  
75FR 33853

(3)

**PUBLIC SUBMISSION**

As of: August 17, 2010  
 Received: August 12, 2010  
 Status: Pending\_Post  
 Tracking No. 80b2f439  
 Comments Due: August 13, 2010  
 Submission Type: Web

**Docket:** NRC-2010-0202

Draft Regulatory Guide: Issuance, Availability

**Comment On:** NRC-2010-0202-0001

Draft Regulatory Guide: Issuance, Availability

**Document:** NRC-2010-0202-DRAFT-0004

Comment on FR Doc # 2010-14339

RECEIVED

2010 AUG 17 AM 11:37

RULES AND DIRECTIVES  
BRANCH  
USNRC**Submitter Information****Name:** Gregory Wilkosz**Address:**

15 Thames St.

Groton, CT, 06340

**Organization:** Zachry Nuclear Engineering, Inc.**General Comment**

I would like to submit the following comment on Draft Regulatory Guide DG-1240, "Condition Monitoring Program for Electric Cables Used In Nuclear Plants":

Page 5 of DG-1240 -- Another disadvantage for the use of an illuminated borescope is that it may be considered a "pull-by" and not be allowed at many plants. For plants that allow "pull-bys", it is typically required that the cables in the conduit in which the "pull-by" occurred are functionally tested after the "pull-by". If the cable is baked into the conduit, which is typical for heater bay and steam tunnel cables, for example, the inspection could damage the cable.

SOUSI Review Complete  
 Template = ADM-013

E-REDS = ADM-013  
 Add = R.A. Jervy (raj)  
 M. Case (mjc)