

August 18, 2010

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Attn: Document Control Desk
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Braidwood Station, Units 1 and 2
Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-72 and NPF-77
NRC Docket Nos. STN 50-456 and STN 50-457

Byron Station, Units 1 and 2
Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-37 and NPF-66
NRC Docket Nos. STN 50-454 and STN 50-455

Clinton Power Station, Unit 1
Facility Operating License No. NPF-62
NRC Docket No. 50-461

Dresden Nuclear Power Station, Units 2 and 3
Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-19 and DPR-25
NRC Docket Nos. 50-237 and 50-249

LaSalle County Station, Units 1 and 2
Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-11 and NPF-18
NRC Docket Nos. 50-373 and 50-374

Limerick Generating Station, Units 1 and 2
Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-39 and NPF-85
NRC Docket Nos. 50-352 and 50-353

Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station
Renewed Facility Operating License No. DPR-16
NRC Docket No. 50-219

Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3
Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-44 and DPR-56
NRC Docket Nos. 50-277 and 50-278

Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2
Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-29 and DPR-30
NRC Docket Nos. 50-254 and 50-265

Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1
Renewed Facility Operating License No. DPR-50
NRC Docket No. 50-289

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Exelon Cyber Security LAR
August 18, 2010
Page 2

Subject: No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination for the Exelon Cyber Security License Amendment Request Supplement dated July 23, 2010

On November 23, 2009, in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 50.4 and 10 CFR 50.90, Exelon Generation Company, LLC (Exelon) submitted a request for an amendment to the Facility Operating Licenses (FOL) for Braidwood Station, Units 1 and 2; Byron Station, Units 1 and 2; Clinton Power Station, Unit 1; Dresden Nuclear Power Station, Units 2 and 3; LaSalle County Station, Units 1 and 2; Limerick Generating Station, Units 1 and 2; Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station; Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3; Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2; and Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1. This proposed amendment requested U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) approval of the Exelon Cyber Security Plan, provided an Implementation Schedule, and added a sentence to the existing FOL Physical Protection license condition to require Exelon to fully implement and maintain in effect all provisions of the Commission-approved Cyber Security Plan.

On January 14, 2010, Exelon supplemented the Cyber Security Plan License Amendment Request with a revised No Significant Hazards Consideration (NSHC) Determination to resolve NRC comments on the NEI 08-09, Revision 3 NSHC Determination template. Additionally, on July 23, 2010, Exelon supplemented the Cyber Security Plan License Amendment Request with a revised Cyber Security Plan based on the template contained in the recently revised NEI 08-09, Revision 6.

This letter confirms that the NSHC Determination provided on January 14, 2010, is still applicable and valid for the revised Cyber Security Plan submitted on July 23, 2010. A copy of this NSHC Determination is provided as Enclosure 1.

In accordance with 10 CFR 50.91, a copy of this letter is being provided to the designated State Officials.

If you should have any questions regarding this submittal, please contact Mr. Doug Walker at 610-765-5952.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on the 18th day of August 2010.

Respectfully,

867x Pamela B. Cowan

Pamela B. Cowan
Director - Licensing and Regulatory Affairs
Exelon Generation Company, LLC

Enclosure 1 – No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination for the Exelon Cyber Security Plan License Amendment Request

cc: USNRC Region I, Regional Administrator
USNRC Region III, Regional Administrator
NRC Project Manager, NRR - Braidwood Station
NRC Project Manager, NRR - Byron Station
NRC Project Manager, NRR - Clinton Power Station
NRC Project Manager, NRR - Dresden Nuclear Power Station
NRC Project Manager, NRR - LaSalle County Station
NRC Project Manager, NRR - Limerick Generating Station
NRC Project Manager, NRR - Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station
NRC Project Manager, NRR - Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station
NRC Project Manager, NRR - Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station
NRC Project Manager, NRR - Three Mile Island Nuclear Station
USNRC Senior Resident Inspector - Braidwood Station
USNRC Senior Resident Inspector - Byron Station
USNRC Senior Resident Inspector - Clinton Power Station
USNRC Senior Resident Inspector - Dresden Nuclear Power Station
USNRC Senior Resident Inspector - LaSalle County Station
USNRC Senior Resident Inspector - Limerick Generating Station
USNRC Senior Resident Inspector - Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station
USNRC Senior Resident Inspector - Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station
USNRC Senior Resident Inspector - Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station
USNRC Senior Resident Inspector - Three Mile Island Nuclear Station
S. T. Gray, State of Maryland
Illinois Emergency Management Agency - Division of Nuclear Safety
R. R. Janati - Bureau of Radiation Protection, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Director, Bureau of Nuclear Engineering, New Jersey Department of
Environmental Protection
Mayor of Lacey Township

Enclosure 1

**No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination for the
Exelon Cyber Security Plan License Amendment Request**

No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination for the Exelon Cyber Security Plan Submittal for Braidwood Station, Units 1 and 2; Byron Station, Units 1 and 2; Clinton Power Station, Unit 1; Dresden Nuclear Power Station, Units 2 and 3; LaSalle County Station, Units 1 and 2; Limerick Generating Station, Units 1 and 2; Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station; Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3; Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2; and Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1.

Basis for proposed no significant hazards consideration determination: As required by Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR), Section 50.91(a), Exelon Generation Company, LLC (Exelon) hereby provides its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration (NSHC).

- (1) Does the proposed amendment involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated?

Response: No.

The proposed amendment incorporates a new requirement in the Facility Operating License (FOL) to implement and maintain a Cyber Security Plan as part of the facility's overall program for physical protection. Inclusion of the Cyber Security Plan in the FOL itself does not involve any modifications to the safety-related structures, systems or components (SSCs). Rather, the Cyber Security Plan describes how the requirements of 10 CFR 73.54 are to be implemented to identify, evaluate, and mitigate cyber attacks up to and including the design basis cyber attack threat, thereby achieving high assurance that the facility's digital computer and communications systems and networks are protected from cyber attacks. The Cyber Security Plan will not alter previously evaluated Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) design basis accident analysis assumptions, add any accident initiators, or affect the function of the plant safety-related SSCs. Any plant modifications or changes resulting from implementation of the Cyber Security Plan will be evaluated per 10 CFR 50.59 to determine if a License Amendment is required. Changes will be evaluated per 10 CFR 50.54(q) to determine if the effectiveness of the site Emergency Plan is reduced. Changes will be evaluated per 10 CFR 50.54(p) to determine if the effectiveness of the site Security Plan is reduced. Prior NRC approval will be obtained if required by these evaluations.

In addition, an editorial change to correct two typographical errors as part of the Braidwood FOL revisions for Unit 1 and Unit 2 is administrative in nature and has no impact on the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

Therefore, the proposed amendment does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

- (2) Does the proposed amendment create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated?

Response: No.

This proposed amendment provides assurance that safety-related SSCs are protected from cyber attacks. Implementation of 10 CFR 73.54 and the inclusion of a plan in the

FOL do not result in the need for any new or different FSAR design basis accident analysis. It does not introduce new equipment that could create a new or different kind of accident, and no new equipment failure modes are created. As a result, no new accident scenarios, failure mechanisms, or limiting single failures are introduced as a result of this proposed amendment. In addition, an editorial change to correct two typographical errors as part of the Braidwood FOL revisions for Unit 1 and Unit 2 is administrative in nature and does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previously evaluated. Therefore, the proposed amendment does not create a possibility for an accident of a new or different type than those previously evaluated.

- (3) Does the proposed amendment involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety?

Response: No.

The margin of safety is associated with the confidence in the ability of the fission product barriers (i.e., fuel cladding, reactor coolant pressure boundary, and containment structure) to limit the level of radiation to the public. The proposed amendment would not alter the way any safety-related SSC functions and would not alter the way the plant is operated. The amendment provides assurance that safety-related SSCs are protected from cyber attacks. The proposed amendment would not introduce any new uncertainties or change any existing uncertainties associated with any safety limit. The proposed amendment would have no impact on the structural integrity of the fuel cladding, reactor coolant pressure boundary, or containment structure. In addition, an editorial change to correct two typographical errors as part of the Braidwood FOL revisions for Unit 1 and Unit 2 is administrative in nature and has no impact on the margin of safety. Based on the above considerations, the proposed amendment would not degrade the confidence in the ability of the fission product barriers to limit the level of radiation to the public. Therefore, the proposed change does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.