

NRC FORM 699 (9-2003)		U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION		DATE
<b>CONVERSATION RECORD</b>				08/09/2010
				TIME
NAME OF PERSON(S) CONTACTED OR IN CONTACT WITH YOU		TELEPHONE NO.		TYPE OF CONVERSATION <input type="checkbox"/> VISIT <input type="checkbox"/> CONFERENCE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TELEPHONE <input type="checkbox"/> INCOMING <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OUTGOING
Tony Patko (Director, Licensing)		678-328-1274		
ORGANIZATION				
NAC International (NAC)				
SUBJECT				
Nameplates on loaded UMS and MPC systems				
SUMMARY (Continue on Page 2)				
<p><b>Participants:</b>  NRC - Pamela Longmire, Ph.D.; Raynard Wharton; Norma Garcia-Santos; and Sarah Rich  NAC - Tom Danner and Tony Patko</p> <p>As an introduction, NAC presented the background of how NAC identified that loaded NAC-UMS and NAC-MPC systems at various sites have the weight of the empty vertical concrete cask (VCC) on their nameplates instead of the empty weight of the system (VCC weight plus empty canister weight). This presents a compliance issue with 10 CFR 72.236(k). The issue has been entered into NAC's quality assurance program. NAC took corrective action to ensure that all new cask systems are labeled in compliance with 10 CFR 72.236(k).</p> <p>NAC has considered several options to resolve the issue:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Request an exemption to cover all the casks that are not in compliance.</li> <li>- Submit exemption requests on behalf of each licensee that is in possession of the affected casks. This includes Maine Yankee, Connecticut Yankee, Yankee Rowe, Maguire, Catawba and Palo Verde.</li> <li>- Request that the NRC accept the current configuration, (i.e., VCC labeled with its empty weight and the canister separately labeled with its empty weight).</li> </ul> <p>The NRC stated that the Office of General Counsel is not likely to grant an exemption covering several licensees, and therefore each exemption would have to be justified individually.</p> <p>NRC staff asked if NAC had considered replacing or modifying all the nameplates. NAC responded that this would require a lot of resources and may involve unnecessary dose. The NRC asked how the nameplates are mounted on the VCCs. NAC responded that they are mounted approximately six feet off the ground by four anchor bolts. A mechanical means, as opposed to an adhesive, would be used to attach an additional plate to the existing nameplates if that corrective action was chosen. The NRC stated that if a resolution path is identified (e.g., if all the nameplates are replaced), and the proposed corrective action is completed, the issue would remain outside the enforcement arena due to its minor safety significance.</p> <p><b>Continue on Page 2</b></p>				
ACTION REQUIRED				
NAC - Estimate the dose received by updating nameplates with the correct weight at each of the sites NAC - Estimate the cost for updating nameplates with the correct weight NAC - Notify PM of potential dates next week for another meeting NRC - Discuss with OGC the likelihood of obtaining an exemption for the nameplates				
NAME OF PERSON DOCUMENTING CONVERSATION		SIGNATURE		DATE
Sarah Rich				08/12/2010
ACTION TAKEN				
TITLE OF PERSON TAKING ACTION		SIGNATURE OF PERSON TAKING ACTION		DATE
Pamela Longmire, Ph.D.				

**CONVERSATION RECORD (Continued)**

SUMMARY (Continue on Page 3)

**NAC and NRC agreed to take the actions listed below. Call ended at 2:30 PM.**

**Continue on Page 3**