


NRC FORM 699 (9-2003)		U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION		DATE <b>07/28/2010</b>
<b>CONVERSATION RECORD</b>				TIME <b>2:00pm</b>
NAME OF PERSON(S) CONTACTED OR IN CONTACT WITH YOU <b>Troy Hedger</b>		TELEPHONE NO. <b>800-261-3225</b>	TYPE OF CONVERSATION <input type="checkbox"/> VISIT <input type="checkbox"/> CONFERENCE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TELEPHONE <input type="checkbox"/> INCOMING <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OUTGOING	
ORGANIZATION <b>AOS</b>		SUBJECT <b>RAI extension request for the AOS package application</b>		
SUMMARY (Continue on Page 2)				
<p><b>Participants:</b>  <b>International Isotopes: John Miller and Shawn Anderson</b>  <b>Idaho National Laboratory: Donald Darrington, Robert Roesener and Darrin Lords</b>  <b>Elekta AB: Jonas Karlstrom, Annelie Rosen and Johan Steen</b>  <b>General Electric: Chris Monetta, David Turner and Michael Schrag</b>  <b>Alpha-Omega Services: Troy Hedger, Bob Robnett and Raul Pomares</b></p> <p><b>NRC: Eric Benner and Pierre Saverot</b></p> <p>Staff requested this conference call with AOS and potential users of the AOS packages to inquire about the reasons for three extension requests by AOS (the latest of which requested an extension to October 2010) for submitting their responses to the December 2009 RAIs. Staff stated that (i) it had designated the review of the AOS application as "high priority" because it was a replacement package, (ii) RAIs were issued in a staggered manner to give as much time as possible for AOS to respond to the RAIs and (iii) AOS has requested an extensive amount of time to provide a revised SAR, resulting in a 9 month delay in the review schedule. Staff said part of the basis for allowing continued use of expired packages was good faith efforts to obtain the AOS package as a replacement. Several authorizations for use of such expired packages end on October 1, 2010, which is before AOS now intends to respond to the RAIs. Staff indicated that, prior to allowing additional use of the expired packages, it would have to make a determination that the users were still making good faith efforts to obtain a replacement package and that receipt of acceptable RAI responses could be a basis for making such a determination.</p> <p>AOS answered that the RAIs and responses were more extensive than originally anticipated, and that they are continuing to show a good faith effort on obtaining a replacement for the expired packages by (i) bringing additional resources to the project to review the revised SAR, and (ii) buying the materials needed for the future fabrication of the package.</p>				
<b>Continue on Page 2</b>				
ACTION REQUIRED Follow-up conference call set up for August 4, 2010.				
NAME OF PERSON DOCUMENTING CONVERSATION <b>Pierre Saverot</b>		SIGNATURE 	DATE <b>08/02/2010</b>	
ACTION TAKEN				
TITLE OF PERSON TAKING ACTION		SIGNATURE OF PERSON TAKING ACTION		DATE

**CONVERSATION RECORD (Continued)**

SUMMARY (Continue on Page 3)

AOS said that GE-Hitachi is currently updating each chapter of the SAR, is ready to meet with staff for a briefing on the status of the project, and could send in partial RAI responses.

Staff indicated that it does not review partial responses and that the AOS response shall be complete before the NRC will review them. Staff indicated that it will perform an acceptance review on the RAI responses to determine whether the responses are adequate due to the expected breadth of information. While an acceptance review can take up to 60 days, the staff indicated that the review for this case would likely be expedited because of the priority.

AOS said that it will inform staff within a week as to whether it can accelerate their RAI responses without sacrificing quality. AOS also said that International Isotopes, GE and AOS have submitted extension requests to DOT for the expired packages.

Continue on Page 3