May 5, 2010

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Washington D.C. 20555-0001

> Zion Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2 Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-39 and DPR-48 <u>NRC Docket Nos. 50-295 and 50-304</u>

Subject:

Submittal of Zion Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1 and 2 2009 Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report.

In accordance with Technical Specification 5.7.2, "Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report" Zion Station is submitting the 2009 Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report for Unit 1 and 2. Technical Specification 5.7.2 requires submittal of an Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report before May 15 of each year. The attachment to this letter is the Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report.

If you have any questions about this report, please contact Ken Greenlee at 847-379-2700.

Respectfully,

Ronald J/Schuster Decommissioning Plant Manager Zion Nuclear Station

Attachment:

2009 Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report

cc:

Regional Administrator – NRC Region III

29: NRC Docket No: 50-295 50-304 ZION NUCLEAR POWER STATION UNITS 1 and 2 Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report 1 January Through 31 December 2009 **Prepared By** Teledyne Brown Engineering **Environmental Services** n 0 Nuclear Zion Nuclear Power Station Zion, IL 60099 May 2010

### Table Of Contents

Ι.	Summary and Conclusions	1
11.	ntroduction A. Objectives of the REMP B. Implementation of the Objectives	3
111.	Program Description A. Sample Collection B. Sample Analysis C. Data Interpretation D. Program Exceptions E. Program Changes	3 5 5 6
IV	Results and Discussion A. Aquatic Environment 1. Public Water 2. Fish 3. Sediment	7 7 8
	B. Atmospheric Environment 1. Airborne a. Air Particulates	8 8 8
	C. Ambient Gamma Radiation D. Land Use Survey	
	E. Summary of Results – Inter-laboratory Comparison Program	

i

### Appendices

Appendix A	Radiological Environmental Monitoring Report Summary
<u>Tables</u>	
Table A-1	Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program Quarterly and Annual Summaries for the Zion Nuclear Power Station, 2009
Appendix B	Location Designation, Distance & Direction, and Sample Collection & Analytical Methods
Tables	
Table B-1:	Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program - Sampling Locations, Distance and Direction, Zion Nuclear Power Station, 2009
Table B-2:	Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program - Summary of Sample Collection and Analytical Methods, Zion Nuclear Power Station, 2009
<u>Figures</u>	
Figure B-1:	Inner Ring TLD Locations and Fixed Air Samplers of the Zion Nuclear Power Station, 2009
Figure B-2:	Fish, Water and Sediment Locations of the Zion Nuclear Power Station, 2009
Appendix C	Data Tables and Figures - Primary Laboratory
Tables	
Table C-I.1	Concentrations of Gross Beta in Public Water Samples Collected in the Vicinity of Zion Nuclear Power Station, 2009
Table C-I.2	Concentrations of Tritium in Public Water Samples Collected in the Vicinity of Zion Nuclear Power Station, 2009.
Table C-I.3	Concentrations of Gamma Emitters in Public Water Samples Collected in the Vicinity of Zion Nuclear Power Station, 2009.
Table C-II.1	Concentrations of Gamma Emitters in Fish Samples Collected in the Vicinity of Zion Nuclear Power Station, 2009.
Table C-III.1	Concentrations of Gamma Emitters in Sediment Samples Collected in the Vicinity of Zion Nuclear Power Station, 2009.

Table C-IV.1	Concentrations of Gross Beta in Air Particulate Samples Collected in the Vicinity of Zion Nuclear Power Station, 2009.
Table C-IV.2	Monthly and Yearly Mean Values of Gross Beta Concentrations (E-3 pCi/cu meter) in Air Particulate Samples Collected in the Vicinity of Zion Nuclear Power Station, 2009.
Table C-IV.3	Concentrations of Gamma Emitters in Air Particulate Samples Collected in the Vicinity of Zion Nuclear Power Station, 2009.
Table C-V.1	Quarterly TLD Results for Zion Nuclear Power Station, 2009.
Table C-V.2	Mean Quarterly TLD Results for the Inner and Other Locations for Zion Nuclear Power Station, 2009.
Table C-V.3	Summary of the Ambient Dosimetry Program for Zion Nuclear Power Station, 2009.
Figures	<b>1</b>
Figure C-1	Public Water - Gross Beta – Stations Z-14 and Z-15 Collected in the Vicinity of ZNPS, 2000 - 2009.
Figure C-2	Public Water – Gross Beta – Stations Z-16 and Z-18 Collected in the Vicinity of ZNPS, 2000 - 2009.
Figure C-3	Public Water - Tritium – Stations Z-14 and Z-15 Collected in the Vicinity of ZNPS, 2000 - 2009.
Figure C-4	Public Water - Tritium – Stations Z-16 and Z-18 Collected in the Vicinity of ZNPS, 2000 - 2009.
Figure C-5	Air Particulates - Gross Beta – Stations Z-01 and Z-02 Collected in the Vicinity of ZNPS, 2000 - 2009.
Figure C-6	Air Particulate – Gross Beta – Station Z-03 Collected in the Vicinity of ZNPS, 2000 - 2009.
Appendix D	Inter-Laboratory Comparison Program
<u>Tables</u>	
Table D-1	Analytics Environmental Radioactivity Cross Check Program Teledyne Brown Engineering, 2009
Table D-2	ERA Environmental Radioactivity Cross Check Program Teledyne Brown Engineering, 2009
Table D-3	DOE's Mixed Analyte Performance Evaluation Program (MAPEP) Teledyne Brown Engineering, 2009
•	

iii

Table D-4ERA Statistical Summary Proficiency Testing Program<br/>Environmental, Inc., 2009

Table D-5DOE's Mixed Analyte Performance Evaluation Program (MAPEP)<br/>Environmental, Inc., 2009

Appendix E Effluent Data

. . .

 $(\cdot, \cdot)(\cdot)$ 

Appendix F Meteorological Data

Appendix G Annual Radiological Groundwater Protection Program Report (ARGPPR)

#### I. Summary and Conclusions

This report on the Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program conducted for the Zion Nuclear Power Station (ZNPS) by Exelon covers the period 1 January 2009 through 31 December 2009. During that time period, 432 analyses were performed on 356 samples. In assessing all the data gathered for this report and comparing these results with preoperational data, it was concluded that the operation of ZNPS had no adverse radiological impact on the environment.

Public water samples were analyzed for concentrations of gross beta, tritium and gamma emitting nuclides. No fission or activation products were detected. Gross beta activities detected were consistent with those detected in previous years.

Fish (commercially and recreationally important species) and sediment samples were analyzed for concentrations of gamma emitting nuclides. Cs-137 activity was detected in fish. No Cs-137 was detected in sediment samples. No plant produced fission or activation products were found in sediment.

Air particulate samples were analyzed for concentrations of gross beta and gamma emitting nuclides. No fission or activation products were detected.

Environmental gamma radiation measurements were performed quarterly using thermoluminescent dosimeters. Levels detected were consistent with those observed in previous years.

· \_\_\_\_\_\_

### Intentionally left blank

### II. Introduction

The Zion Nuclear Power Station (ZNPS), consisting of two 1100 MWt pressurized water reactor owned and operated by Exelon Corporation, is located in Zion, Illinois adjacent to Lake Michigan. Unit No. 1 went critical in December 1973. Unit No. 2 went critical in September 1974. The plant permanently ceased operation in January of 1998 and has been permanently defueled. The site is located in northeast Illinois on the western shore of Lake Michigan, approximately 50 miles north of Chicago, Illinois.

This report covers those analyses performed by Teledyne Brown Engineering (TBE), Global Dosimetry, and Environmental Inc. (Midwest Labs) on samples collected during the period 1 January 2009 through 31 December 2009.

A. Objective of the REMP

The objectives of the REMP are to:

- 1. Provide data on measurable levels of radiation and radioactive materials in the site environs.
- 2. Evaluate the relationship between quantities of radioactive material released from the plant and resultant radiation doses to individuals from principal pathways of exposure.
- B. Implementation of the Objectives

The implementation of the objectives is accomplished by:

- 1. Identifying significant exposure pathways.
- 2. Establishing baseline radiological data of media within those pathways.
- 3. Continuously monitoring those media before and during Station operation to assess Station radiological effects (if any) on man and the environment.
- III. Program Description
  - A. Sample Collection
  - Samples for the ZNPS REMP were collected for Exelon Nuclear by Environmental Inc. (Midwest Labs). This section describes the general

collection methods used by Environmental Inc. (Midwest Labs) to obtain environmental samples for the ZNPS REMP in 2009. Sample locations and descriptions can be found in Table B–1 and Figures B–1 and B–2, Appendix B. The sampling methods used by Environmental Inc. (Midwest Labs) are listed in Table B-2.

### Aquatic Environment

The aquatic environment was evaluated by performing radiological analyses on samples of public water, fish, and sediment. Two gallon water samples were collected monthly from four public water locations (Z-14, Z-15, Z-16 and Z-18). Control locations were Z-14 and Z-18. All samples were collected in new unused plastic bottles, which were rinsed at least twice with source water prior to collection. Fish samples comprising the flesh of lake trout, and burbot were collected semiannually at two locations, Z-26 and Z-27, both Control locations. Sediment samples composed of recently deposited substrate were collected at one location semiannually, Z-25.

### Atmospheric Environment

The atmospheric environment was evaluated by performing radiological analyses on samples of air particulates. Airborne particulate samples were collected and analyzed weekly at three locations (Z-01, Z-02 and Z-03). No control location was required. Airborne particulate samples were obtained at each location, using a vacuum pump with glass fiber filters attached. The pumps were run continuously and sampled air at the rate of approximately one cubic foot per minute. The filters were replaced weekly and sent to the laboratory for analysis.

#### Ambient Gamma Radiation

Direct radiation measurements were made using 2 CaF 200 and 2 LiF 100 LiF 4-chip Harshaw thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLD). Each location consisted of 2 TLD sets. The TLD locations were placed on and around the ZNPS site at the following loations:

Z-101, Z-102, Z-103, Z-104, Z-105, Z-106, Z-107, Z-108, Z-110, Z-111, Z-112, Z-113, Z-114, Z-115, Z-301, Z-01, Z-02 and Z-03.

No control location was required.

The specific TLD locations were determined by the following criteria:

1. The presence of relatively dense population;

- 4 -

Site meteorological data taking into account distance and elevation for each of the sixteen–22 1/2 degree sectors around the site, where estimated annual dose from ZNPS, if any, would be most significant;

- 3. On hills free from local obstructions and within sight of the vents (where practical);
- 4. And near the closest dwelling to the vents in the prevailing downwind direction.

(Two TLDs – each comprised of two  $CaF_2 200$  and 2 LiF 100 LiF 4-chip thermoluminescent phosphors enclosed in plastic – were placed at each location in a PVC conduit located approximately four to eight feet above ground level. The TLDs were exchanged quarterly and sent to Global Dosimetry for analysis.

B. Sample Analysis

This section describes the general analytical methodologies used by TBE and Environmental Inc. (Midwest Labs) to analyze the environmental samples for radioactivity for the ZNPS REMP in 2009. The analytical procedures used by the laboratories are listed in Table B-2.

In order to achieve the stated objectives, the current program includes the following analyses:

- 1. Concentrations of beta emitters in public water and air particulates.
- 2. Concentrations of gamma emitters in public water, air particulates, fish and sediment.
- 3. Concentrations of tritium in public water.
- 4. Ambient gamma radiation levels at various site environs.
- C. Data Interpretation

/ () '

The radiological and direct radiation data collected prior to Zion Nuclear Power Station becoming operational were used as a baseline with which these operational data were compared. For the purpose of this report, Zion Nuclear Power Station was considered operational at initial criticality. In addition, data were compared to previous years' operational data for consistency and trending. Several factors were important in the interpretation of the data:

- 5 -

### 1. Lower Limit of Detection and Minimum Detectable Concentration

The lower limit of detection (LLD) was defined as the smallest concentration of radioactive material in a sample that would yield a net count (above background) that would be detected with only a 5% probability of falsely concluding that a blank observation represents a "real" signal. The LLD was intended as a before the fact estimate of a system (including instrumentation, procedure and sample type) and not as an after the fact criteria for the presence of activity. All analyses were designed to achieve the required ZNPS detection capabilities for environmental sample analysis.

The minimum detectable concentration (MDC) is defined above with the exception that the measurement is an after the fact estimate of the presence of activity.

### 2. Net Activity Calculation and Reporting of Results

Net activity for a sample was calculated by subtracting background activity from the sample activity. Since the REMP measures extremely small changes in radioactivity in the environment, background variations may result in sample activity being lower than the background activity effecting a negative number. An MDC was reported in all cases where positive activity was not detected.

Gamma spectroscopy results for each type of sample were grouped as follows:

For public water, sediment and air particulates 11 nuclides, Mn-54, Co-58, Fe-59, Co-60, Zn-65, Nb-95, Zr-95, Cs-134, Cs-137, Ba-140 and La-140 were reported.

Means and standard deviations of the results were calculated. The standard deviations represent the variability of measured results for different samples rather than single analysis uncertainty.

#### D. Program Exceptions

For 2009 the ZNPS REMP had a sample recovery rate in excess of 99%. Sample anomalies and missed samples are listed in the tables below:

### Table D-1 LISTING OF SAMPLE ANOMALIES

Sample	Location	Collection	Reason	<u></u>
Туре	Code	Date	Бр	

There were no sample anomalies in 2009.

Table D-2	LISTING OF MISSED SAMPLES

Sample	Location	Collection	Reason	_
Туре	Code	Date	·	

There were no missed samples for 2009.

Each program exception was reviewed to understand the causes of the program exception. Sampling and maintenance errors were reviewed with the personnel involved to prevent recurrence. Occasional equipment breakdowns and power outages were unavoidable.

The overall sample recovery rate indicates that the appropriate procedures and equipment are in place to assure reliable program implementation.

E. Program Changes

There were no changes to the REMP program in 2009.

#### IV. Results and Discussion

A. Aquatic Environment

1. Public Water

Samples were taken weekly and composited monthly at four locations (Z-14, Z-15, Z-16 and Z-18). The following analyses were performed.

#### Gross Beta

Samples from all locations were analyzed for concentrations of gross beta (Table C–I.1, Appendix C). The values ranged from 2.47 pCi/l to 6.0 pCi/l. Concentrations detected were consistent with those detected in previous years (Figures C–1 and C–2, Appendix C).

### <u>Tritium</u>

Quarterly composites of weekly collections were analyzed for tritium activity (Table C–I.2, Appendix C). No tritium was detected and the LLD was met (Figures C–3 and C–4, Appendix C).

### Gamma Spectrometry

Samples from both locations were analyzed for gamma emitting nuclides (Table C–I.3, Appendix C). No nuclides were detected and all required LLDs were met.

2. Fish

Fish samples comprised of lake trout, and burbot were collected at two locations (Z-26 and Z-27) semiannually. The following analysis was performed:

#### Gamma Spectrometry

The edible portion of fish samples from both locations was analyzed for gamma emitting nuclides (Table C–II.1, Appendix C). Cs-137 was detected in three of six samples. The concentrations ranged from 48 to 110 pCi/L. No other nuclides were detected and all required LLDs were met.

### 3. Sediment

Aquatic sediment samples were collected at one location (Z-25) semiannually. The following analysis was performed:

#### Gamma Spectrometry

Sediment samples from Z-25 were analyzed for gamma emitting nuclides (Table C–III.1, Appendix C). No nuclides were detected and all required LLDs were met.

### B. Atmospheric Environment

- 1. Airborne
  - a. Air Particulates

**Continuous air particulate samples were collected from three Continuous on a weekly basis.** The three locations were within and 2 () the ZNPS site boundary (Z-01, Z-02 and Z-03). The following analyses were performed:

#### Gross Beta

Weekly samples were analyzed for concentrations of beta emitters (Table C–IV.1 and C–IV.2, Appendix C).

Detectable gross beta activity was observed at all locations. Comparison of results among the three groups aid in determining the effects, if any, resulting from the operation of ZNPS. The results from the On-Site locations ranged from 7 E-3 pCi/m<sup>3</sup> to 34 E-3 pCi/m<sup>3</sup> with a mean of 18 E-3 pCi/m<sup>3</sup>. Comparison of the 2009 air particulate data with previous years data indicate no effects from the operation of ZNPS. Concentrations detected were consistent with those detected in previous years.

#### Gamma Spectrometry

Weekly samples were composited quarterly and analyzed for gamma emitting nuclides (Table C–IV.3, Appendix C). No nuclides were detected and all required LLDs were met.

#### C. Ambient Gamma Radiation

Ambient gamma radiation levels were measured utilizing Harshaw (CaF and LiF) thermoluminescent dosimeters. Thirty-six TLD locations were established around the site. Results of TLD measurements are listed in Tables C–V.1 to C–V.3, Appendix C.

Most TLD measurements were below 20 mR/quarter, with a range of 15 mR/quarter to 27 mR/quarter.

D. Land Use Survey

dir 1

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

A Land Use Survey conducted during August 2009 around the Zion Nuclear Power Station (ZNPS) was performed by Environmental Inc. (Midwest Labs) for Exelon Nuclear to comply with Chapter 3 of the Zion' Offsite Dose Calculation Manual. The purpose of the survey was to document the nearest resident, milk producing animal and garden of greater than 500 ft<sup>2</sup> in each of the sixteen 22 ½ degree sectors around the site. There were no changes required to the ZNPS REMP, as a result of this survey. The results of this survey are summarized below.

Dista	nce in Miles from th	ne ZNPS Reactor B	Buildings
Sector	Residence	Livestock	Milk Farm
	Miles	Miles	Miles
AN	2.5		-
B NNE	-	-	-
C NE	-	-	-
D ENE	-	<b>-</b> ,	-
EE	-	-	-
F ESE	-	-	-
G SE	-	-	-
H SSE	-	-	-
JS	-	-	-
K SSW	1.9	-	-
LSW	1.1	-	-
MWSW	1.0	-	-
NW	1.1	-	-
P WNW	1.0	-	-
QNW	1.0	-	
R NNW	1.3	-	-

E. Summary of Results – Inter-Laboratory Comparison Program

The primary and secondary laboratories analyzed Performance Evaluation (PE) samples of air particulate, air iodine, milk, soil, vegetation and water matrices for (Appendix D). The PE samples, supplied by Analytics Inc., Environmental Resource Associates (ERA) and DOE's Mixed Analyte Performance Evaluation Program (MAPEP), were evaluated against the following pre-set acceptance criteria:

1. Analytics Evaluation Criteria

Analytics' evaluation report provides a ratio of laboratory results and Analytics' known value. Since flag values are not assigned by Analytics, TBE-ES evaluates the reported ratios based on internal QC requirements, which are based on the DOE MAPEP criteria.

2. ERA Evaluation Criteria

· . \_ .

ERA's evaluation report provides an acceptance range for control and warning limits with associated flag values. ERA's acceptance limits are established per the USEPA, NELAC, state specific PT program requirements or ERA's SOP for the Generation of Performance Acceptance Limits, as applicable. The acceptance limits are either determined by a regression equation specific to each analyte or a fixed percentage limit promulgated under the appropriate regulatory document.

### 3. DOE Evaluation Criteria

MAPEP's evaluation report provides an acceptance range with associated flag values.

The MAPEP defines three levels of performance: Acceptable (flag = "A"), Acceptable with Warning (flag = "W"), and Not Acceptable (flag = "N"). Performance is considered acceptable when a mean result for the specified analyte is  $\pm 20\%$  of the reference value. Performance is acceptable with warning when a mean result falls in the range from  $\pm 20\%$  to  $\pm 30\%$  of the reference value (i.e., 20% < bias < 30%). If the bias is greater than 30%, the results are deemed not acceptable.

For the primary laboratory, 17 out of 18 analytes met the specified acceptance criteria. One sample did not meet the specified acceptance criteria for the following reason:

 Teledyne Brown Engineering's Analytics June 2009 Zn-65 in AP result of 137 pCi/L was higher than the known value of 101 pCi/L, resulting in a found to known ratio of 1.36. NCR 09-23 was initiated to investigate this failure. The failure appears to be a result of a slightly high bias on Detector 7. A recount on Detector 17 resulted in a Zn-65 result of 101 pCi/L. The detector has been tagged out-of-service until a recalibration can be performed. Detector 7 is not used for client samples.

For the secondary laboratory, Environmental, Inc., 11 out of 14 analytes met the specified acceptance criteria. Four samples did not meet the specified acceptance criteria for following reasons:

- Environmental Inc.'s ERA April 2009 Cs-137 in water result of 147.7 pCi/L exceeded the lower control limit of 151.0 pCi/L. All gamma emitters showed a low bias. A large plastic burr found on the base of the Marinelli kept the beaker from sitting directly on the detector. Recounting in a different beaker gave an acceptable result of 155.33 ± 14.55 pCi/L.
- Environmental Inc.'s ERA April 2009 H-3 in water result of 22819 pCi/L exceeded the upper control limit of 22300 pCi/L. A recount of the original vials averaged 23,009 pCi/L. Reanalysis results were acceptable at 19,170 pCi/L. No cause could be found for the failure.

- 3. Environmental Inc.'s MAPEP January 2009 Sr-90 in AP result of 0.93 exceeded the upper control limit of 0.83. Reanalysis results were acceptable at  $0.54 \pm 0.12$  Bq/filter. No cause could be found for the failure.
- 4. Environmental Inc.'s MAPEP July 2009 Sr-90 in soil result of 310.5 Bq/kg exceeded the lower control limit of 319 Bq/kg. Reanalysis results were acceptable at 363.3 Bq/kg. Incomplete separation of strontium from calcium could result in a higher recovery percentage and consequently lower reported activity.

The Inter-Laboratory Comparison Program provides evidence of "in control" counting systems and methods, and that the laboratories are producing accurate and reliable data.

### APPENDIX A

### RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REPORT SUMMARY

	Name of Facility: ZION Location of Facility: ZION, IL					50-295 & 50-304 ANNUAL 2009 LOCATION WITH HIGHEST ANNUAL MEAN (M)			
MEDIUM OR PATHWAY SAMPLED (UNIT OF MEASUREMENT)	TYPES OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED	NUMBER OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED	REQUIRED LOWER LIMIT OF DETECTION (LLD)	LOCATIONS MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	LOCATION MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	STATION # NAME DISTANCE AND DIRECTION	NUMBER OF NONROUTINE REPORTED MEASUREMENTS	
PUBLIC WATER (PCI/LITER)	GR-B	48	4	3.4 (13/24) (2.4/6.0)	3.3 (15/24) (2.5/4.5)	3.6 (8/12) (3.0/6.0)	Z-15 INDICATOR LAKE COUNTY WATER WORKS 1.4 MILES NNW OF SITE	0	
	H-3	16	200	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0	
	GAMMA MN-54	48	15	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0	
	CO-58		15	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0	
	FE-59		30	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0	
	CO-60		15	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td>f</td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td>f</td><td>0</td></lld<>	-	f	0	
	ZN-65		30	<lld `<="" td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0	
	NB-95		15	<lld< td=""><td><lld ,<="" td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld></td></lld<>	<lld ,<="" td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld>	-		0	

### TABLE A-1RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM ANNUAL SUMMARY FOR<br/>THE ZION NUCLER POWER STATION, 2009

\* THE MEAN AND 2 STANDARD DEVIATION VALUES ARE CALCULATED USING THE POSITIVE VALUES FRACTION OF DETECTABLE MEASUREMENTS AT SPECIFIED LOCATIONS IS INDICATED IN PARENTHESES (F)

Name of Faci Location of Faci		DOCKET NUMBER: REPORTING PERIOD: INDICATOR CONTROL LOCATIONS LOCATION		50-295 & 50-304 ANNUAL 2009 LOCATION WITH HIGHEST ANNUAL MEAN (M)				
MEDIUM OR PATHWAY SAMPLED (UNIT OF MEASUREMENT)	TYPES OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED	NUMBER OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED	LOWER LIMIT	LOCATIONS MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	LOCATION MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	STATION # NAME DISTANCE AND DIRECTION	NUMBER OF NONROUTINE REPORTED MEASUREMENTS
PUBLIC WATER (PCI/LITER)	ZR-95		30	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0
	CS-134		15	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0
	CS-137		18	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0
	BA-140		60	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0
	LA-140		15	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0
FISH PCVKG WET)	GAMMA MN-54	6	130	<lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td>-</td><td>ţ</td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA	-	ţ	0
	CO-58		130	<lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA	-		0
	FE-59		260	<lld< td=""><td>NA ,</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA ,	-		0

## TABLE A-1 RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM ANNUAL SUMMARY FORTHE ZION NUCLER POWER STATION, 2009

A-2

4.1

MEDIUM OR PATHWAY SAMPLED (UNIT OF MEASUREMENT)	TYPES OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED	NUMBER OF		I OCATIONS	LOCATIONSLOCATIONMEAN (M)MEAN (M)(F)(F)		WITH HIGHEST ANNUAL ME.	
		ANALYSIS PERFORMED	REQUIRED LOWER LIMIT OF DETECTION (LLD)	MEAN (M) (F)		MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	STATION # NAME DISTANCE AND DIRECTION	NUMBER OF NONROUTINE REPORTED MEASUREMENTS
FISH (PCI/KG WET)	CO-60		130	<lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA	-		0
	ZN-65		260	<lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA	-		0
	NB-95		NA	<lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>0.</td></lld<>	NA	-		0.
	ZR-95		NA	<lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA	-		0
	CS-134		100	<lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA	-		0
	CS-137		100	86 (3/6) (48/110)	NA	86 (3/4) (48/110)	Z-27 INDICATOR LAKE MICHIGAN FARSITE 10.1 MILES N OF SITE	0
	BA-140		NA	<lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>. 0</td></lld<>	NA	-		. 0
	LA-140		NA	<lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA	-		0

## TABLE A-1 RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM ANNUAL SUMMARY FORTHE ZION NUCLER POWER STATION, 2009

\* THE MEAN AND 2 STANDARD DEVIATION VALUES ARE CALCULATED USING THE POSITIVE VALUES FRACTION OF DETECTABLE MEASUREMENTS AT SPECIFIED LOCATIONS IS INDICATED IN PARENTHESES (F)

Location of Facility: ZION, IL							50-295 & 50-304 ANNUAL 2009 LOCATION WITH HIGHEST ANNUAL MEAN (M)			
MEDIUM OR PATHWAY SAMPLED (UNIT OF MEASUREMENT)	TYPES OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED	NUMBER OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED	REQUIRED LOWER LIMIT OF DETECTION (LLD)	LOCATIONS MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	MEAN (M) (F)	MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	STATION # NAME DISTANCE AND DIRECTION	NUMBER OF NONROUTINE REPORTED MEASUREMENTS		
SEDIMENT (PCI/KG DRY)	GAMMA MN-54	2	NA	<lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td>-</td><td>· .</td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA	-	· .	0		
	CO-58		NA	<lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA	-		0		
	FE-59		NA	<lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA	-		0		
	CO-60		NA	<lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA	-		0		
	ZN-65		NA	<lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA	-		0		
	NB-95		NA	<lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td>-</td><td>f</td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA	-	f	0		
na Ngana ata	ZR-95		NA	<lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA	-		0		
	CS-134		150	<lld< td=""><td>NA ·</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA ·	-		0		

A-4

### TABLE A-1 RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM ANNUAL SUMMARY FORTHE ZION NUCLER POWER STATION, 2009

\* THE MEAN AND 2 STANDARD DEVIATION VALUES ARE CALCULATED USING THE POSITIVE VALUES FRACTION OF DETECTABLE MEASUREMENTS AT SPECIFIED LOCATIONS IS INDICATED IN PARENTHESES (F)

Name of Faci Location of Faci	•		DOCKET NUMBER: REPORTING PERIOD: INDICATOR CONTROL		50-295 & 50-304 ANNUAL 2009 LOCATION WITH HIGHEST ANNUAL MEAN (M)			
MEDIUM OR PATHWAY SAMPLED (UNIT OF MEASUREMENT)	TYPES OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED	NUMBER OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED	REQUIRED LOWER LIMIT OF DETECTION (LLD)	LOCATIONS MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	LOCATION MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	STATION # NAME DISTANCE AND DIRECTION	NUMBER OF NONROUTINE REPORTED MEASUREMENTS
SEDIMENT (PCI/KG DRY)	CS-137		180	<lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td><u> </u></td><td><u></u></td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA	<u> </u>	<u></u>	0
	BA-140		NA	<lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA	-		0
	LA-140		NA	<lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA	-		0
AIR PARTICULATE (E-3 PCI/CU.METER)	GR-B	156	10	18 (156/156) (7/34)	NA	18 (52/52) (8/34)	Z-01 INDICATOR ONSITE 1 0.3 MILES S OF SITE	0
	GAMMA MN-54	12	NA	<lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA			0
	CO-58		NA	<lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA	-		0
	FE-59		NA	<lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA	-		0
	CO-60		NA	<lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA	-		0

## TABLE A-1 RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM ANNUAL SUMMARY FORTHE ZION NUCLER POWER STATION, 2009

- **4** 

\* THE MEAN AND 2 STANDARD DEVIATION VALUES ARE CALCULATED USING THE POSITIVE VALUES FRACTION OF DETECTABLE MEASUREMENTS AT SPECIFIED LOCATIONS IS INDICATED IN PARENTHESES (F)

.

Name of Facil Location of Facil	-			DOCKET NU REPORTIN INDICATOR	G PERIOD: CONTROL	50-295 & 50-304 ANNUAL 2009 LOCATION WITH HIGHEST ANNUAL MEAN (M)				
MEDIUM OR PATHWAY SAMPLED (UNIT OF MEASUREMENT)	TYPES OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED	NUMBER OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED	REQUIRED LOWER LIMIT OF DETECTION (LLD)	LOCATIONS MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	LOCATION MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	STATION # NAME DISTANCE AND DIRECTION	NUMBER OF NONROUTINE REPORTED MEASUREMENTS		
AIR PARTICULATE (E-3 PCI/CU.METER)	ZN-65		NA	· <lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA	-		0		
	NB-95		NA	<lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA	-		0		
· .	ZR-95		NA	<lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td><b>.</b> .</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA	<b>.</b> .		0		
	CS-134		10	<lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td>2</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA	2		0		
	CS-137		10	<lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA	-		0		
	BA-140		NA I	<lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA	-		0		
	LA-140		NA	<lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td>· _</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA	· _		0		
DIRECT RADIATION (MILLI-ROENTGEN/QTR.)	TLD-QUARTERLY	144	NA	19.2 (144/144) (15/27)	NA	22.3 (4/4) (20/25)	Z-301-1 INDICATOR 0.5 MILES NW	0		

## TABLE A-1 RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM ANNUAL SUMMARY FORTHE ZION NUCLER POWER STATION, 2009

. 4

\* THE MEAN AND 2 STANDARD DEVIATION VALUES ARE CALCULATED USING THE POSITIVE VALUES FRACTION OF DETECTABLE MEASUREMENTS AT SPECIFIED LOCATIONS IS INDICATED IN PARENTHESES (F)

### **APPENDIX B**

### LOCATION DESIGNATION, DISTANCE & DIRECTION, AND SAMPLE COLLECTION & ANALYTICAL METHODS

Location	E U Loget	Location Description	Distance & Direction From Site
<u>A. Pu</u>	ublic Wat	er	<b>1</b> -
Z-14 Z-15 Z-16 Z-18		Kenosha Water Works (control) Lake County Water Works (indicator) Waukegan Water Works (indicator) Lake Forest Water Works (control)	10.0 miles N 1.4 miles NNW 6.1 miles S 12.9 miles S
<u>B. Air</u>	r Parlicul	ates	
Z-01 Z-02 Z-03		Onsite 1 (indicator) Onsite 2 (indicator) Onsite 3 (indicator)	0.3 miles S 0.2 miles W 0.2 miles NNW
<u>C.                                    </u>	<u>sh</u>		
Z-26 Z-27		Lake Michigan Nearsite (indicator) Lake Michigan Farsite (indicator)	At station 10.1 miles N
D. Se	ediment	-	
Z-25		Lake Michigan, Illinois Beach State Park (indicator)	0.2 miles S
<u>E. En</u>	vironme	ntal Dosimetry - TLD	
Inner Ring			
Z-101-1 and Z-102-1 and Z-103-1 and Z-104-1 and Z-105-1 and Z-106-1 and Z-107-1 and Z-108-1 and Z-110-1 and Z-111-1 and Z-1112-1 and Z-113-1 and Z-114-1 and Z-115-1 and Z-301-1 and	d -2 d -2 d -2 d -2 d -2 d -2 d -2 d -2		0.2 miles N 0.2 miles NNE 0.2 miles NE 0.1 miles ENE 0.1 miles ESE 0.1 miles SE 0.1 miles SSE 0.2 miles SSW 0.3 miles SW 0.7 miles WSW 0.6 miles W 0.6 miles WNW 0.4 miles NW 0.5 miles NW
<u>Other</u>			
Z-01-1 and Z-02-1 and Z-03-1 and	-2	Onsite 1 (indicator) Onsite 2 (indicator) Onsite 3 (indicator)	0.3 miles S 0.2 miles W 0.2 miles NNW


## TABLEB-4: CONTRACTOR Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program - Sampling Locations, Distance and Direction, Zion Nuclear Power Station, 2009

: 1

## TABLE B-2: Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program – Summary of Sample Collection and Analytical Methods, Zion Nuclear Power Station, 2009


211-1

Sample Medium	Analysis	Sampling Method	Analytical Procedure Number
Public Water	Gamina Spectroscopy	Monthly composite from weekly grab samples.	TBE, TBE-2007 Gamnta emitting radioisotope analysis
			Env. Inc., GS-01 Determination of gamma emitters by gamma spectroscopy
Public Water	Gross Beta	Monthly composite from weekly grab samples.	TBE, TBE-2008 Gross Alpha and/or gross beta activity in various matrices
			Env. Inc., W(DS)-01 Determination of gross alpha and/or gross beta in water (dissolved solids or total residue)
Public Water	Tritium	Quarterly composite from weekly grab samples.	TBE, TBE-2011 Tritium analysis in drinking water by liquid scintillation
			Env. Inc., T-02 Determination of tritium in water (direct method)
Fish	Gamma Spectroscopy	Semi-annual samples collected via electroshocking or other techniques	TBE-2007 Gamma emitting radioisotope analysis Env. Inc., GS-01 Determination of gamma emitters
Sediment	Gamma Spectroscopy	Semi-annual grab samples	by gamma spectroscopy TBE, TBE-2007 Gamma emitting radioisotope analysis
			Env. Inc., GS-01 Determination of gamma emitters by gamma spectroscopy
Air Particulates	Gross Beta	One-week composite of continuous air sampling through glass fiber filter	TBE, TBE-2008 Gross Alpha and/or gross beta activity in various matrices
		paper	Env. Inc., AP-02 Determination of gross alpha and/or gross beta in air particulate filters
Air Particulates	Gamma Spectroscopy	Quarterly composite of each station	TBE, TBE-2007 Gamma emitting radioisotope analysis
	i.		Env. Inc., GS-01 Determination of gamma emitters by gamma spectroscopy
TLD	Thermoluminescence Dosimetry	Quarterly TLDs comprised of two CaF 200 and two LiF 100 LiF 4-chip Harshaw elements.	Global Dosimetry



.

3814 S. C. C.



3 24

. . 1.

 Figure B-2 Fish, Water and Sediment Locations of the Zion Nuclear Power Station, 2009

# APPENDIX C

**u**...

.

DATA TABLES PRIMARY LABORATORY

.

.

. •

## TABLE C-I.1 CONCENTRATIONS OF GROSS BETA IN PUBLIC WATER SAMPLES COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF ZION NUCLEAR POWER STATION, 2009

### RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/LITER ± 2 SIGMA

COLLECTION	Z-14	Z-15	Z-16	Z-18 *
PERIOD				
01/07/09 - 01/28/09	3.9 ± 1.6	3.5 ± 1.6	3.5 ± 1.6	3.9 ± 1.6
02/04/09 - 02/25/09	$3.5 \pm 1.8$	< 2.5	< 2.4	< 2.4
03/04/09 - 03/25/09	< 2.1	$3.3 \pm 1.6$	$2.6 \pm 1.5$	$2.5 \pm 1.5$
04/01/09 - 04/29/09	$3.4 \pm 1.8$	$3.9 \pm 1.8$	3.7 ± 1.8	$4.5 \pm 1.9$
05/06/09 - 05/27/09	< 2.4	< 2.3	2.4 ± 1.6	$3.4 \pm 1.7$
06/03/09 - 06/24/09	$2.9 \pm 1.5$	3.0 ± 1.5	< 3.6	4.0 ± 1.7
07/01/09 - 07/29/09	< 2.8	< 2.7	< 2.7	< 2.9
08/05/09 - 08/26/09	< 2.5	` 6.0 ± 1.9	< 2.9	3.4 ± 1.9
09/02/09 - 09/30/09	< 2.6	< 2.6	< 2.6	< 2.6
10/07/09 - 10/28/09	< 2.4	3.2 ± 1.7	< 2.5	3.2 ± 1.8
11/04/09 - 11/25/09	2.8 ± 1.7	3.2 ± 1.7	< 2.4	3.4 ± 1.7
12/02/09 - 12/30/09	$2.6 \pm 1.5$	3.1 ± 1.6	3.1 ± 1.6	$2.9 \pm 1.6$
MEAN	3.2 ± 1.0	$3.6 \pm 2.0$	3.0 ± 1.1	3.5 ± 1.2

## TABLE C-I.2CONCENTRATIONS OF TRITIUM IN PUBLIC WATER SAMPLES<br/>COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF ZION NUCLEAR POWER STATION, 2009

### RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/LITER $\pm 2$ SIGMA

COLLECTION	Z-14	Z-15	Z-16	Z-18		
PERIOD					_	
01/07/09 - 03/25/09	< 178	< 169	< 174	< 179		
04/01/09 - 06/24/09	< 187	< 186	< 174	< 187		
07/01/09 - 09/30/09	< 169	< 170	< 175	< 170		
10/07/09 - 12/30/09	< 160	< 161	< 161	< 162		
MEAN	-	-	-	-		

\* THE MEAN AND 2 STANDARD DEVIATION ARE CALCULATED USING THE POSITIVES VALUES

TABLE C-I.3

### CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMMA EMITTERS IN PUBLIC WATER SAMPLES COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF ZION NUCLEAR POWER STATION, 2009

STC	COLLECTION PERIOD	Mn-54	Co-58	Fe-59	Co-60	Zn-65	Nb-95	Zr-95	Cs-134	Cs-137	Ba-140	La-140
Z-14	01/07/09 - 01/28/09	< 2	< 2	< 5	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 2	< 22	< 6
	02/04/09 - 02/25/09	< 1	< 1	< 3	< 1	< 2	< 1	< 3	< 1	< 1	< 13	< 4
	03/04/09 - 03/25/09	< 1	< 2	< 4	< 1	< 2	< 2	< 3	< 1	< 1	< 27	< 9
	04/01/09 - 04/29/09	< 2	< 2	< 5	< 2	< 4	< 2	k 4	< 2	< 2	< 43	< 13
	05/06/09 - 05/27/09	< 2	< 2	< 6	< 2	< 4	< 3	< 4	< 2	< 2	< 27	< 9
	06/03/09 - 06/24/09	< 2	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 3	< 2	< 3	< 1	< 2	< 17	< 6
	07/01/09 - 07/29/09	< 3	< 3	< 8	< 2	< 6	< 4	< 5	< 3	< 2	< 54	< 18
	08/05/09 - 08/26/09	< 6	< 6	< 19	< 6	< 14	< 7	< 11	< 6	< 6	< 101	< 27
	09/02/09 - 09/30/09	< 5	< 6	< 12	< 5	< 11	< 6	< 10	< 5	< 5	< 71	< 22
	10/07/09 - 10/28/09	< 2	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 3	< 2	< 2	< 13	< 4
	11/04/09 - 11/25/09	< 2	< 2	< 5	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 2	< 22	< 7
	12/02/09 - 12/30/09	< 5	< 5	< 15	< 7	< 11	< 7	< 12	< 5	< 5	< 50	< 22
	MEAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Z-15	01/07/09 - 01/28/09	< 2	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 2	< 20	< 6
	02/04/09 - 02/25/09	< 2	< 2	< 4	< 1	< 3	< 2	< 3	< 1	< 2	< 15	< 5
	03/04/09 - 03/25/09	< 2	< 2	< 5	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 2	< 39	< 14
	04/01/09 - 04/29/09	< 2 ·	< 2	< 5	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 4	< 1	< 2	< 34	< 13
	05/06/09 - 05/27/09	< 1	< 2	< 4	< 1	< 3	< 2	< 3	· < 1	< 2	< 22	< 6
	06/03/09 - 06/24/09	< 2	< 2	< 5	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 2	< 22	< 7
	07/01/09 - 07/29/09	< 3	< 4	< 9	< 3	< 6	< 5	< 7	< 3	< 3	< 48	< 16
	08/05/09 - 08/26/09	< 5	< 7	< 15	< 7	< 14	< 8	< 14	< 5	< 6	< 86	< 37
	09/02/09 - 09/30/09	< 4	< 6	< 12	< 5	< 10	< 6	< 8	< 4	< 4	< 63	< 23
	10/07/09 - 10/28/09	< 2	< 2	< 5	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 2	< 16	< 5
	11/04/09 - 11/25/09	< 1	< 2	< 4	< 1	< 3	< 2	< 3	< 1	< 2	< 19	< 6
	12/02/09 - 12/30/09	< 5	< 8	< 18	< 9	< 16	< 9	< 15	< 6	< 6	< 84	< 23
	MEAN	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-

.

6.5

### RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/LITER ± 2 SIGMA

TABLE C-I.3

### CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMMA EMITTERS IN PUBLIC WATER SAMPLES COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF ZION NUCLEAR POWER STATION, 2009

### RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/LITER ± 2 SIGMA

. .

STC	COLLECTION PERIOD	Mn-54	Co-58	Fe-59	Co-60	Zn-65	Nb-95	Zr-95	Cs-134	Cs-137	Ba-140	La-140
Z-16	01/07/09 - 01/28/09	< 2	< 2	< 5	< 2	< 3	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 2	< 18	< 6
	02/04/09 - 02/25/09	< 2	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 2	< 18	< 5
	03/04/09 - 03/25/09	< 2	< 2	< 5	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 2	< 39	< 1,4
	04/01/09 ;- 04/29/09	< 2	< 2	< 6	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 2	< 44	. < 13
	05/06/09 - 05/27/09	< 1	< 1	< 3	< 1	< 3	< 1	< 2	< 1	· < 1 .	< 15	< 6
	06/03/09 - 06/24/09	< 2	< 2	< 5	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 2	< 20	< 7
	07/01/09 - 07/29/09	< 2	< 2	< 5	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 3	< 2	< 2	< 21	< 9
	08/05/09 - 08/26/09	< 6	< 7	< 18	< 8	< 14	< 10	< 14	< 5	< 6	< 88	< 31
	09/02/09 - 09/30/09	< 4	< 5	< 10	< 4	< 7	< 5	< 9	< 4	< 4	< 60	, < 20
	10/07/09 - 10/28/09	< 2	< 2	< 5	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 2	< 16	< 4
	11/04/09 - 11/25/09	< 2	< 2	< 5	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 2	< 24	< 8
	12/02/09 - 12/30/09	< 5	< 7	< 12	< 8	< 13	< 8	< 15	< 4	< 6	< 65	< 20
	MEAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<b>.</b> .	-
Z-18	01/07/09 - 01/28/09	< 1	< 2	< 4	< 1	< 3	< 2	< 3	< 1	< 1	< 16	< 4
	02/04/09 - 02/25/09	< 2	< 2	< 5	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 2	< 20	< 6
	03/04/09 - 03/25/09	< 1	< 2	< 4	< 1	< 3	< 2	< 3	< 1	< 1	< 34	< 10
	04/01/09 - 04/29/09	< 1	< 2	< 4	< 1	< 3	< 2	< 3	< 1	< 1	< 33	< 9
	05/06/09 - 05/27/09	< 2	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 3	< 2	< 3	< 2	< 2	< 22	< 7
	06/03/09 - 06/24/09	< 2	< 2	< 5	< 2	< 3	< 2	< 4	< 2 .	< 2	< 20.	< .7
	07/01/09 - 07/29/09	< 1	< 1	< 6	< 1	< 3	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 2	< 21	< 8
	08/05/09 - 08/26/09	< 6	< 6	< 16	< 4	< 14	< 7	< 13	< 5	< 6	< 80	< 24
	09/02/09 - 09/30/09	< 4	< 6	< 13	< 5	< 9	< 5	< 11	< 5	<u> &lt; 5</u>	< 64	< 17
	10/07/09 - 10/28/09	<sup>-</sup> < 2	< 2	< 4	1 < 2	< 3	< 2	< 3	< 2	< 2	< 13	< 4
	11/04/09 - 11/25/09	< 1	< 2	< 4	·<1	< 3	< 2	< 3	< 1	< 1	< 17	< 5
	12/02/09 - 12/30/09	< 6	< 6	< 14	< 7	< 11	< 7	< 14	< 5	< 6	< 68	< 18

MEAN

C-3

## TABLE C-II.1CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMMA EMITTERS IN FISH SAMPLES<br/>COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF ZION NUCLEAR POWER STATION, 2009

STC	COLLECTION PERIOD	Mn-54	Co-58	Fe-59	Co-60	Zn-65	Nb-95	Zr-95	Cs-134	Cs-137	Ba-140	La-140
Z-26	ana ang kang kang kang kang kang kang ka		nine in the Alifer Marca Barrisburgan († 1997)	and all produces of the standard sector	र प्रतितित्व विकित्य के स्वितिति के सिंहत	in all a second stay and a second second	a na ser anna anna anna			the second s	lenn der verstanden – Mit die Neisen Aufe	n - en renned og skalender frageliger
Lake Trout	05/20/09	< 71	< 67	< 235	< 59	< 140	< 84	< 125	< 54	< 53	< 2850	< 784
Lake Trout	10/21/09	< 54	< 80	< 173	< 81	< 107	< 83	< 145	< 74	< 74	< 915	< 278
	MEAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Z-27												
Burbot	05/05/09	< 52	< 58	< 184	< 49	< 119	< 63	< 130	< 51	< 51	< 1420	< 522
Lake Trout	05/05/09	< 39	< 49	< 157	< 47	< 87	< 60	< 100	< 43	48 ± 25	< 1220	< 412
Burbot	10/21/09	< 38 .	< 53	< 125	< 50	< 104	< 61	< 94	< 43	110 ± 47	< 579	< 140
Lake Trout	10/21/09	< 59	< 64	< 139	< 51	< 134	< 65	< 132	< 49	99 ± 50	< 752	< 330
	MEAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	86 ± 66	-	-

### RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/KG WET ± 2 SIGMA

ł

\* THE MEAN AND 2 STANDARD DEVIATION ARE CALCULATED USING THE POSITIVES VALUES

## TABLE C-III.1CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMMA EMITTERS IN SEDIMENT SAMPLES<br/>COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF ZION NUCLEAR POWER STATION, 2009

STC	COLLECTION PERIOD	Mn-54	Co-58	Fe-59	Co-60	Zn-65	Nb-95	Zr-95	Cs-134	Cs-137	Ba-140	La-140
Z-25	05/20/09 10/21/09	< 27 < 26	< 29 < 36	< 81 < 84	< 33 < 27	< 59 < 67	< 34 < 38	< 53 < 55	< 26 < 24	< 28 < 31	< 267 < 359	< 85 < 122
	) MEAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

### RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/KG DRY ± 2 SIGMA

C-5

5..

### TABLE C-IV.1CONCENTRATIONS OF GROSS BETA IN AIR PARTICULATE SAMPLES<br/>COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF ZION NUCLEAR POWER STATION, 2009

.

RESULTS IN UNITS OF E-3 PCI/CU METER ± 2 SIGMA

COLLECTION		GROUP I	
PERIOD	Z-01	Z-02	Z-03
12/30/08 - 01/07/09	31 ± 5	28 ± 5	29 ± 5
01/07/09 - 01/13/09	27 ± 5	29 ± 5	28 ± 5
01/13/09 - 01/21/09	22 ± 4	24 ± 4	25 ± 4
01/21/09 - 01/28/09	30 ± 5	31 ± 5	28 ± 5
01/28/09 - 02/04/09	20 ± 4	22 ± 4	$23 \pm 4$
02/04/09 - 02/11/09	28 ± 5	29 ± 5	27 ± 5
02/11/09 - 02/18/09	19 ± 4	20 ± 4	18 ± 4
02/18/09 - 02/25/09	22 ± 5	22 ± 5	24 ± 5
02/25/09 - 03/04/09	27 ± 5	24 ± 5	24 ± 5
03/04/09 - 03/11/09	22 ± 4	22 ± 4	22 ± 4
03/11/09 - 03/18/09	26 ± 5	25 ± 5	27 ± 5
03/18/09 - 03/25/09	18 ± 4	17 ± 4	19 ± 4
03/25/09 - 04/01/09	11 ± 4	13 ± 4	$12 \pm 4$
04/01/09 - 04/08/09	12 ± 4	15 ± 4	12 ± 4
04/08/09 - 04/15/09	15 ± 4	19 ± 4	17 ± 4
04/15/09 - 04/22/09	11 ± 4	10 ± 4	13 ± 4
04/22/09 - 04/29/09	13 ± 3	13 ± 3	11 ± 3
04/29/09 - 05/06/09	17 ± 4	19 ± 4	16 ± 4
05/06/09 - 05/13/09	15 ± 4	13 ± 4	$14 \pm 4$
05/13/09 - 05/20/09	11 ± 4	14 ± 4	12 ± 4
05/20/09 - 05/27/09	19 ± 4	12 ± 4	20 ± 4
05/27/09 - 06/03/09	9 ± 4	9 ± 3	11 ± 4
06/03/09 - 06/10/09	8 ± 3	7 ± 3	9 ± 4
06/10/09 - 06/17/09	12 ± 4	12 ± 4	12 ± 4
06/17/09 - 06/24/09	22 ± 7	17 ± 6	30 ± 7
06/24/09 - 07/01/09	17 ± 4	$15 \pm 4$	13 ± 4
07/01/09 - 07/08/09	11 ± 4	$10 \pm 4$	9 ± 4
07/08/09 - 07/15/09	13 ± 4	$10 \pm 4$	11 ± 4
07/15/09 - 07/22/09	$13 \pm 4$	$10 \pm 4$	8 ± 4
07/22/09 - 07/29/09	$21 \pm 4$	18 ± 4	14 ± 4
07/29/09 - 08/05/09	$20 \pm 4$	17 ± 4	$20 \pm 4$
08/05/09 - 08/12/09	17 ± 4	$17 \pm 4$	$16 \pm 4$
08/12/09 - 08/19/09	$22 \pm 5$	$24 \pm 5$	19 ± 4
08/19/09 - 08/26/09	11 ± 4	11 ± 4	8 ± 4
08/26/09 - 09/02/09	9 ± 4	9 ± 4	$14 \pm 4$
09/02/09 - 09/09/09	$26 \pm 5$	28 ± 5	$27 \pm 5$
09/09/09 - 09/17/09	$26 \pm 5$	$26 \pm 5$	$26 \pm 5$
09/17/09 - 09/23/09	19 ± 5	15 ± 5	12 ± 5
09/23/09 - 09/30/09	16 ± 4	$14 \pm 4$	$14 \pm 4$
09/30/09 - 10/07/09	8 ± 4	8 ± 4	8 ± 4
10/07/09 - 10/14/09	9 ± 4	12 ± 4	$16 \pm 4$
10/14/09 - 10/21/09	$15 \pm 4$	$14 \pm 4$	18 ± 4
10/21/09 - 10/28/09	13 ± 4	$12 \pm 4$	$14 \pm 4$
10/28/09 - 11/04/09	19 ± 4	$20 \pm 4$	$21 \pm 4$
11/04/09 - 11/11/09	$27 \pm 5$	$30 \pm 5$	$23 \pm 5$
11/11/09 - 11/18/09 11/18/09 - 11/25/09	18 ± 5 29 ± 4	18 ± 5 26 ± 4	$17 \pm 4$
11/18/09 - 11/25/09 11/25/09 - 12/02/09	$29 \pm 4$ 18 ± 5	$26 \pm 4$	29 ± 4 14 ± 4
12/02/09 - 12/02/09	$16 \pm 5$	19 ± 5 15 ± 4	$14 \pm 4$ 15 ± 4
12/10/09 - 12/16/09	$10 \pm 4$ 34 ± 5	$15 \pm 4$ 31 ± 5	$15 \pm 4$ 30 $\pm 5$
12/16/09 - 12/23/09	$34 \pm 5$ 23 ± 5	$31 \pm 5$ 27 ± 6	$30 \pm 5$ 24 ± 5
12/23/09 - 12/23/09	$23 \pm 5$ 20 ± 5	$27 \pm 6$ 24 ± 5	$24 \pm 5$ 19 ± 5
12120100 - 12130100	20 I U	24 ± 0	15 2 0
MEAN	18 ± 13	18 ± 14	18 ± 13

\* THE MEAN AND 2 STANDARD DEVIATION ARE CALCULATED USING THE POSITIVES VALUES

## TABLE C-IV.2MONTHLY AND YEARLY MEAN VALUES OF GROSS BETA CONCENTRATIONS IN AIR<br/>PARTICULATE SAMPLES COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF ZION NUCLEAR POWER STATION, 2009

. .

t

:

#### RESULTS IN UNITS OF E-3 PCI/CÚ METER ± 2 SIGMA

#### GROUP I - ONSITE LOCATIONS

COLLECTION PERIOD	MIN	MAX	MEAN ± 2SD
12/30/08 - 01/28/09	22	31	28 ± 5
01/28/09 - 02/25/09	18	29	23 ± 7
02/25/09 - 04/01/09	11	27	20 ± 11
04/01/09 - 04/29/09	10	19	13 ± 5
04/29/09 - 06/03/09	9	20	14 ± 7
06/03/09 - 07/01/09	7	30	14 ± 13
07/01/09 - 07/29/09	8	21	12 ± 8
07/29/09 - 09/02/09	8	24	16 ± 10
09/02/09 - 09/30/09	12	28	21 ± 13
09/30/09 - 10/28/09	8	18	12 ± 6
10/28/09 - 12/02/09	14	30	22 ± 10
12/02/09 - 12/30/09	15	34	23 ± 13
12/30/08 - 12/30/09	7	34	18 ± 13

C-7

.

### TABLE C-IV.3CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN AIR PARTICULATE SAMPLES<br/>COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF ZION NUCLEAR POWER STATION, 2009

. 1

. 4

STC	COLLECTION PERIOD	Mn-54	Co-58	Fe-59	Co-60	Zn-65	Nb-95	Zr-95	Cs-134	Cs-137	Ba-140	La-140
Z-01	12/30/08 - 04/01/09	< 3	< 5	< 13	< 2	< 8	< 6	< 9	< 3	< 3	< 214	< 113
	04/01/09 - 07/01/09	< 3	< 6	< 23	< 3	< 11	< 7	< 12	< 4	< 3	< 593	< 238
	07/01/09 - 09/30/09	< 3	< 4	< 14	< 3	< 7	<.3	< 11	< 2	< 2	< 569	< 164
	09/30/09 - 12/30/09	< 3	< 4	< 7	< 3	< 10	< 4	< 6	< 4	< 3	< 63	< 23
	MEAN	~	-	-	-`	-	-	-	·	-	-	-
Z-02	12/30/08 - 04/01/09	< 2	< 4	< 8	< 3	< 6	< 5	< 6	< 3	< 2	< 136	< 36
	04/01/09 - 07/01/09	< 5	< 5	< 17	< 4	< 11	< 8	< 14	< 5	< 4	< 686	< 268
	07/01/09 - 09/30/09	< 3	< 5	< 22	< 3	< 10	< 7	< 12	< 4	< 3	< 862	< 179
	09/30/09 - 12/30/09	< 2	< 3	< 9	< 3	< 6	< 4	< 6	<.3	< 3	< 65	< 25
	MEAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Z-03	12/30/08 - 04/01/09	< 4	< 4	< 15	< 3	< 7	< 5	< 10	< 4	< 3	< 241	< 74
	04/01/09 - 07/01/09	< 5	< 7	< 16	< 6	< 13	< 8	< 15	< 5	< 3	< 780	< 277
-	07/01/09 - 09/30/09	< 3	< 4	< 15	< 3	< 8	< 6	< 9	< 3	< 3	< 625	< 169
	09/30/09 - 12/30/09	< 4	< 4	< 8	< 5	< 8	< 3	< 7	< 5	< 5	< 71	< 15
	MEAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

#### RESULTS IN UNITS OF E-3 PCI/CU METER ± 2 SIGMA

TABLE C-V.1

14

QUARTERLY TLD RESULTS FOR ZION NUCLEAR POWER STATION, 2009

STATION	MEAN	i) JAN - MAR	APR - JUN	JUL - SEP	OCT - DEC
CODE	± 2 S.D.				
Z-01-1	18.5 ± 6.2	23	16	"	17
Z-01-2	19.0 ± 6.9	24	16	18	18
Z-02-1	$18.0 \pm 9.4$	25	16	15	16
Z-02-2	$17.3 \pm 6.4$	22	15	16	. 16
Z-03-1	17.8 ± 5.7	22	16	16	17
Z-03-2	18.8 ± 6.2	23	. 16	17	19
Z-101-1	18.5 ± 5.0	22	16	18	18
Z-101-2	$19.0 \pm 3.3$	21	19	17	19
Z-102-1	20.8 ± 4.7	24	19	21	19
Z-102-2	$20.3 \pm 6.0$	24	17	21	19
Z-103-1	19.3 ± 4.4	22	18	17	20
Z-103-2	$20.0 \pm 5.4$	24	18	19	19
Z-104-1	18.3 ± 6.4	23	16	17	17
Z-104-2	18.5 ± 6.0	23	17	17	17
Z-105-1	18.8 ± 5.5	22	16	17	20
Z-105-2	17.8 ± 6.0	22	15	17	17
Z-106-1	<b>1</b> 9.5 ± 5.3	23	17	18	<sup>-</sup> 20
Z-106-2	19.3 ± 5.0	23	18	18	18
Z-107-1	$18.0 \pm 5.4$	22	16	17	17
Z-107-2	18.5 ± 5.8	22	15	19	18
Z-108-1	19.0 ± 4.0	22	18	18	18
Z-108-2	18.5 ± 6.2	23	16	17	18
Z-110-1	18.5 ± 6.2	23	16	17	18
Z-110-2	$18.5 \pm 6.0$	23	17	17	17
Z-111-1	18.8 ± 4.7	22	19	17	17.
Z-111-2	18.8 ± 4.4	22	18	17	18
Z-112-1	21.3 ± 6.6	25	17	22 .	21
Z-112-2	20.5 ± 3.5	23	20	19	20
Z-113-1	18.8 ± 4.4	22	. 17	18	18
Z-113-2	18.3 ± 6.4	23	16	17	17
Z-114-1	20.3 ± 3.8	23	19	20	19
Z-114-2	20.0 ± 4.9	23	18	21	18
Z-115-1	20.8 ± 5.0	24	18	20	21
Z-115-2	18.8 ± 4.4	22	18	17	18
Z-301-1	22.3 ± 4.4	25	20	23	21
Z-301-2	$22.0 \pm 6.7$	27	20	20	21

RESULTS IN UNITS OF MILLI-ROETGEN/QUARTER ± 2 STANDARD DEVIATIONS

### TABLE C-V.2MEAN QUARTERLY TLD RESULTS FOR INNER RING AND OTHER<br/>LOCATIONS FOR ZION NUCLEAR POWER STATION, 2009

RESULTS IN UNITS OF MILLI-ROENTGEN/QUARTER STANDARD DEVIATIONS OF THE STATION DATA

COLLECTION	INNER RING	OTHER	
PERIOD	± 2 S.D.		
JAN-MAR	23.0 ± 2.4	23.2 ± 2.3	
APR-JUN	$17.5 \pm 2.9$	$15.8 \pm 0.8$	
JUL-SEP	$18.4 \pm 3.5$	16.7 ± 2.4	
OCT-DEC	$18.6 \pm 2.7$	17.2 ± 2.3	

### TABLE C-V.3SUMMARY OF THE AMBIENT DOSIMETRY PROGRAM FOR ZION NUCLEAR<br/>POWER STATION, 2009


RESULTS IN UNITS OF MILLI-ROENTGEN/QUARTER


LOCATION	SAMPLES	PERIOD	PERIOD	PERIOD MEAN
	ANALYZED	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	± 2 S.D.
INNER RING	120	15	27	19.4 ± 5.1
OTHER	24	15	25	18.2 ± 6.2

INNER RING STATIONS - Z-101-1, Z-101-2, Z-102-1, Z-102-2, Z-103-1, Z-103-2, Z-104-1, Z-104-2, Z-105-1, Z-105-2, Z-106-1, Z-106-2, Z-107-1, Z-107-2, Z-108-1, Z-108-2, Z-110-1, Z-110-2, Z-111-1, Z-111-2, Z-112-1, Z-112-2, Z-113-1, Z-113-2, Z-114-1, Z-114-2, Z-115-1, Z-115-2, Z-301-1, Z-301-2


OTHER STATIONS - Z-01-1, Z-01-2, Z-02-1, Z-02-2, Z-03-1, Z-03-2

### FIGURE C-1 PUBLIC WATER - GROSS BETA - STATIONS Z-14 AND Z-15 COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF ZNPS, 2000-2004












### FIGURE C-1 (cont.) PUBLIC WATER - GROSS BETA - STATIONS Z-14 AND Z-15 COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF ZNPS, 2005 - 2009


Z-14 (C) Kenosha Water Works









DUE TO VENDOR CHANGE IN 2005, < VALUES ARE LLD VALUES JANUARY THROUGH JUNE 2005 AND MDC VALUES AFTER JUNE 2005











### FIGURE C-2 (cont.) PUBLIC WATER - GROSS BETA - STATIONS Z-16 AND Z-18 COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF ZNPS, 2005 - 2009

Z-16 Waukegan Water Works










DUE TO VENDOR CHANGE IN 2005, < VALUES ARE LLD VALUES JANUARY THROUGH JUNE 2005 AND MDC VALUES AFTER JUNE 2005

### FIGURE C-3 PUBLIC WATER - TRITIUM - STATION Z-14 AND Z-15 COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF ZNPS, 2000 - 2004












### FIGURE C-3 (cont.) PUBLIC WATER - TRITIUM - STATION Z-14 AND Z-15 COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF ZNPS, 2005 - 2009











DUE TO VENDOR CHANGE IN 2005, < VALUES ARE LLD VALUES JANUARY THROUGH JUNE 2005 AND MDC VALUES AFTER JUNE 2005

FIGURE C-4 PUBLIC WATER - TRITIUM - STATION Z-16 AND Z-18 COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF ZNPS, 2000 - 2004




Z-16 Waukegan Water Works






### FIGURE C-4 (cont.) PUBLIC WATER - TRITIUM - STATION Z-16 AND Z-18 COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF ZNPS, 2005 - 2009

Z-16 Waukegan Water Works







DUE TO VENDOR CHANGE IN 2005, < VALUES ARE LLD VALUES JANUARY THROUGH JUNE 2005 AND MDC VALUES AFTER JUNE 2005

### APPENDIX D

9..

INTER-LABORATORY COMPARISON PROGRAM

,

### ANALYTICS ENVIRONMENTAL RADIOACTIVITY CROSS CHECK PROGRAM **TELEDYNE BROWN ENGINEERING, 2009**

(PAGE 1 OF 3)

	Identification	N.A. / 1		* * */	Reported	Known	Ratio (c)	
Month/Year	Number	Matrix	Nuclide	Units	Value (a)	Value (b)	TBE/Analytics	Evaluation (d)
March 2009	E6533-396	Milk	Sr-89	pCi/L	102	97.7	1.04	А
111011 2000	20000 000	ivinix.	Sr-90	pCi/L	14.9	15.6	0.96	A
				P 0 =				
	E6534-396	Milk	I-131	pCi/L	66.7	79.3	0.84	A
			Ce-141	pCi/L	87.5	94.9	0.92,	А
			Cr-51	pCi/L	275	305	0.90	А
			Cs-134	pCi/L	82.0	93.7	0.88	А
			Cs-137	pCi/L	111	111	1.00	А
			Co-58	pCi/L	114	119	0.96	А
			Mn-54	pCi/L	136	128	1.06	А
			Fe-59	pCi/L	112	99.9	1.12	А
			Zn-65	pCi/L	160	156	1.03	А
			Co-60	pCi/L	142	142 <sup>·</sup>	1.00	А
		, ۸ D	0 - 111	- Ci		115	1.04	٨
	E6536-396	AP	Ce-141	pCi	120	115	1.04	A
	-04		Cr-51	pCi	385	371	1.04	· A
			Cs-134	pCi	113	114	0.99	. A
			Cs-137	pCi	149	135	1.10	A
			Co-58	pCi	153	145	1.06	A
			Mn-54	pCi	155	155	1.00	A
			Fe-59	pCi	118	121	0.98	A
			Zn-65	pCi	195	189	1.03	A
			Co-60	pCi	190	173	1.10	A
	E6535-396	Charcoal	I-131	pCi	82.8	79.4	1.04	А
June 2009	E6742-396	Milk	Sr-89	pCi/L	107	112	0.96	А
			Sr-90	pCi/L	19.0	16.7	1.14	А
	E6743-396	Milk	I-131	pCi/L	98.1	102.0	0.96	А
	20110 000	ivility.	Ce-141	pCi/L	260	284	0.92	A
			Cr-51	pCi/L	389	400	0.97	A
			Cs-134	pCi/L	144.0	166	0.87	A
			Cs-137	pCi/L	185	192	0.96	A
			Co-58	pCi/L	86.9	91.9	0.95	A
			Mn-54	pCi/L	133	137	0.97	A
•			Fe-59	pCi/L	126	122	1.03	A .
			Zn-65	pCi/Ĺ	173	175	0.99	A
			Co-60	pCi/L	298	312	0.96	A
	E6745-396	AP	Ce-141	pCi	186	163	1.14	A
			Cr-51	pCi	262	231	1.13	A
			Cs-134	pCi	101	95	1.06	A
			Cs-137	pCi	135	111	1.22	W
			Co-58	pCi	61	53	1.16	A
		•	Mn-54	pCi	83.1	79 70	1.05	A
			Fe-59	pCi	84	70	1.19	A
			Zn-65	pCi	137	101	1.36	N (1)
			Co-60	pCi	202	180	1.12	A

#### ANALYTICS ENVIRONMENTAL RADIOACTIVITY CROSS CHECK PROGRAM TELEDYNE BROWN ENGINEERING, 2009

(PAGE 2 OF 3)

Month/Year	Identification Number	Matrix.	Nuclide	Units	Reported Value (a)	Known Value (ь)	Ratio (c) TBE/Analytics	Evaluation (d)
September 2009	F6897-396	Milk	Sr-89	pCi/L	113	107	1.06	A
Deptember 2000	2000/ 000	IVAIIX	Sr-90	pCi/L	17.4	18.8	0.93	A
				pone	11.4	10.0	0.00	
	E6898-396	Milk	I-131	pCi/L	89.2	98.6	0.90	А
			Ce-141	pCi/L	249	275	0.91	A
			Cr-51	, pCi/L	213	221	0.96	А
		•	Cs-134	, pCi/L	104.0	123	0.85	A
			Cs-137	pCi/L	172	185	0.93	А
			Co-58	pCi/L	96.3	99.4	0.97	А
			Mn-54	pCi/L	201	206	0.98	A
			Fe-59	pCi/L	154	147	1.05	А
			Zn-65	pCi/L	213	204	1.04	А
			Co-60	pCi/L	154	160	0.96	A
	E6900-396	AP	Ce-141	pCi	181	161	1.12	А
			Cr-51	pCi	145	130	1.12	• A
			Cs-134	pCi	71.8	72	0.99	А
			Cs-137	pCi	115	109	1.06	А
			Co-58	pCi	62	58	1.06	А
			Mn-54	pCi	129	121	1.07	А
			Fe-59	pCi	97	98	0.98	A
			Zn-65	pCi	110	120	0.92	A
			Co-60	pCi	98.7	94.1	1.05	А
	E6899-396	Charcoal	I-131	pCi	89.5	92.3	0.97	A
December 2009	E6946-396	Milk	Sr-89	pCi/L	131	131	1.00	А
			Sr-90	pCi/L	19.3	17.9	1.08	А
	E6947-396	Milk	I-131	pCi/L	79.2	87.3	0.91	А
			Ce-141	pCi/L	193	202	0.96	А
			Cr-51	pCi/L	512	548	0.93	A
			Cs-134	pCi/L	222	253	0.88	А
			Cs-137	pCi/L	163	179	0.91	А
			Co-58	pCi/L	200	211	0.95	А
			Mn-54	pCi/L	178	178	1.00	A
			Fe-59	pCi/L	176	178	0.99	A
			Zn-65	pCi/L	326	345	0.94	A
			Co-60	pCi/L	240	256	0.94	A
	E6949-396	AP	Ce-141	pCi	103	103	1.00	А
			Cr-51	pCi	290	280	1.04	А
			Cs-134	pCi	116	129	0.90	A
			Cs-137	pCi	93.4	91.5	1.02	Α
			Co-58	рСі	111	108	1.03	А
			Mn-54	рСі	81.0	90.8	0.89	Α
			Fe-59	pCi	106	90.8	1.17	A
			Zn-65	pCi	155	176	0.88	A
			Co-60	pCi	135	131	1.03	А

#### ANALYTICS ENVIRONMENTAL RADIOACTIVITY CROSS CHECK PROGRAM TELEDYNE BROWN ENGINEERING, 2009

(PAGE 3 OF 3)

Month/Year	Identification Number	Matrix <sup>+</sup>	Nuclide	Units	Reported Value (a)	Known Value (b)	Ratio (c) TBE/Analytics	
December 2009	E6948-396	Charcoal	I-131	pCi	93.3	93.9	0.99	A

(1) Detector 7 appears to have a slightly high bias. Detector 7 was removed from service until it can be recalibrated. NCR 09-23

(a) Teledyne Brown Engineering reported result.

(b) The Analytics known value is equal to 100% of the parameter present in the standard as determined by gravimetric and/or volumetric measurements made during standard preparation.

(c) Ratio of Teledyne Brown Engineering to Analytics results.

(d) Analytics evaluation based on TBE internal QC limits: A= Acceptable. Reported result falls within ratio limits of 0.80-1.20. W-Acceptable with warning. Reported result falls within 0.70-0.80 or 1.20-1.30. N = Not Acceptable. Reported result falls outside the ratio limits of < 0.70 and > 1.30.

#### ERA ENVIRONMENTAL RADIOACTIVITY CROSS CHECK PROGRAM **TELEDYNE BROWN ENGINEERING, 2009** (PAGE 1 OF 1)

	Identification	5			an a	Reported	Known		
Month/Year	Number	Media	Nuclide		Units	Value (a)	Value (b)	<b>Control Limits</b>	Evaluation (c)
			The Contraction of the Contraction				u.		
April 2009	RAD 77	Water	Sr-89		pCi/L	57.4	48.3	37.8 - 55.7	N (1)
			Sr-90	•	pCi/L	30.6	31.4	22.9 - 36.4	A
			Ba-133		pCi/L	55.2	52.7	43.4 - 58.3	А
			Cs-134		pCi/L	65.8	72.9	59.5 - 80.2	А
			Cs-137		pCi/L	157	168	151 - 187	А
			Co-60		pCi/L	86.4	88.9	80.0 - 100	A
			Zn-65		pCi/L	85.5	84.4	76.0 - 101	А
			Gr-A		pCi/L	47.7	54.2	28.3 - 67.7	А
			Gr-B		pCi/L	45.2	43.5	29.1 - 50.8	А
			I-131		pCi/L	25.2	26.1	21.7 - 30.8	А
			H-3		pCi/L	19733	20300	17800 - 22300	А
October 2009	RAD 79	Water	Sr-89		pCi/L	64.75	62.2	50.2 - 70.1	А
			Sr-90	•	pCi/L	30.30	30.7	22.4 - 35.6	А
			Ba-133		pCi/L	97.9	92.9	78.3 - 102	А
			Cs-134		pCi/L	76.8	79.4	65.0 <b>-</b> 87.3	. А
			Cs-137		pCi/L	59.9	54.6	49.1 - 62.9	А
			Co-60		pCi/L	121	117	105 - 131	А
			Zn-65		pCi/L	115	99.5	89.6 - 119	А
			Gr-A	1	pCi/L	19.6	23.2	11.6 - 31.1	А
			Gr-B		pCi/L	28.5	26.0	16.2 - 33.9	A
		• .	I-131		pCi/L	22.1	22.2	18.4 - 26.5	А
			H-3		pCi/L	16133	16400	14300 - 18000	А

(1) Calculation did not allow for Y-90 ingrowth on the Sr-89 mount. NCR 09-14

(a) Teledyne Brown Engineering reported result.

(b) The ERA known value is equal to 100% of the parameter present in the standard as determined by gravimetric and/or volumetric measurements made during standard preparation.

(c) ERA evaluation: A=acceptable. Reported result falls within the Warning Limits. NA=not acceptable. Reported result falls outside of the Control Limits. CE=check for Error. Reported result falls within the Control Limits and outside of the Warning Limit.

### TABLE D-3 DOE'S MIXED ANALYTE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION PROGRAM (MAPEP) TELEDYNE BROWN ENGINEERING, 2009 (PAGE 1 OF 2)

		Identification				Reported	Known	Acceptance	<b>–</b>
N	lonth/Year	Number	Media	Nuclide	Units	Value (a)	Value (b)	Range	Evaluation
Marc	ch 2009	09 <b>-</b> MaW20	Water	Cs-134	Bq/L	18.8	22.5	18.5 - 29.3	А
				Cs-137	Bq/L	0.0601		(1)	А
				Co-57	Bq/L	17.0	18.9	13.2 - 24.6	А
				Co-60	Bq/L	16.1	17.21	12.05 - 22.37	A
				H-3	Bq/L	332	330.9	231.6 - 430.2	A
				Mn-54	Bq/L	13.8	14.7	10.26 - 19.06	A
				Sr-90	Bq/L	6.88	7.21	5.05- 9.37	A
				Zn-65	Bq/L	13.2	13.6	9.5 - 17.7	A
		09-GrW20	Water	Gr-A		0.529	0.635	NO 1070	٨
		09-GIW20	vvaler	Gr-B	Bq/L Bq/L	1.87	1.27	>0.0 - 1.270 0.64 - 1.91	A A
		00 M 000	0.1	0 404	D (1	400	407		
		09-MaS20	Soil	Cs-134	Bq/kg	433	467	327 - 607	A
				Cs-137	Bq/kg	649	605	424 - 787	A
				Co-57	Bq/kg	-0.120		(1)	А
				Co-60	Bq/kg	3.91	4.113	(2)	· A
				Mn-54	Bq/kg	339	307	215 - 399	A
				K-40	Bq/kg	644	570	399 - 741	A
				Sr-90	Bq/kg	245	257	180 - 334	А
				Zn-65	Bq/kg	272	242	169 - 315	А
		09-RdF20	AP	Cs-134	Bq/sample	2.77	2.93	2.05 - 3.81	А
				Cs-137	Bq/sample	1.41	1.52	1.06 - 1.98	А
				Co-57	Bq/sample	1.24	1.30	0.91 - 1.69	А
				Co-60	Bq/sample	1.33	1.22	0.85 - 1.59	А
				Mn-54	Bq/sample	2.42	2.2709	1.5898 - 2.9522	
				Sr-90	Bq/sample	0.713	0.64	0.448 - 0.832	A
				Zn-65	Bq/sample	1.30	1.36	0.95 - 1.77	A
		09-GrF20	AP	Gr-A	Bq/sample	0.188	0.348	>0.0 - 0.696	А
		00 011 20	7.0	Gr-B	Bq/sample	0.313	0.279	0.140 - 0.419	A
Marc	ch 2009	09-RdV20	Vegetation	Cs-134	Bq/sample	3.48	3.40	2.38 - 4.42	А
marc	2005	004100/20	vegetation	Cs-137	Bq/sample	1.15	0.93	0.65 - 1.21	Ŵ°
				Co-57	Bq/sample	3.12	2.36	1.65 - 3.07	N (3)
				Co-60	Bq/sample	-0.0105	2.00	(1)	A (3)
				Mn-54	Bq/sample	2.98	2.3	1.61 - 2.99	Ŵ
				K-40	Bq/sample	2.30 64.1	2.5		vv
				Sr-90	Bq/sample	1.09	1.260	(4) 0.882 - 1.638	٨
				Zn-65	Bq/sample	1.73	1.3540	0.948 - 1.760	A W
<u> </u>	1 0000	00 14-14/04		0- 404	D = //	00 5	20.0	00 5 44 0	
Sept	ember 2009	09-Maw21	Water	Cs-134	Bq/L	26.5	32.2	22.5 - 41.9	A
				Cs-137	Bq/L	37.2	41.2	28.8 - 53.6	A
				Co-57	Bq/L	32.2	36.6	25.6 - 47.6	А
				Co-60	Bq/L	14.0	15.40	10.8 - 20.0	A
			•	H-3	Bq/L	705	634.1	443.9 - 824.3	А
				Mn-54	Bq/L	-0.1015		(1)	А
				Sr-90	Bq/L	13.9	12.99	9.09- 16.89	А
	i -			Zn-65	Bq/L	26.2	26.9	18.8 - 35.0	А
		09-GrW21	Water	Gr-A	Bq/L	1.27	1.047	>0.0 - 2.094	А
			-						

D-5

#### DOE'S MIXED ANALYTE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION PROGRAM (MAPEP) TELEDYNE BROWN ENGINEERING, 2009

(PAGE 2 OF 2)

<u></u>	Identification				Reported	Known	Acceptance	
Month/Year	Number	Media	Nuclide	Units	Value (a)	Value (b)	Range	Evaluation (c)
						<b>u</b>		
September 2009	09-MaS21	Soil	Am-241	Bq/kg	74.7	89.8	62.9 - 116.7	А
			Cs-134	Bq/kg	0.554		(1)	А
			Cs-137	Bq/kg	706	669	468 - 870	А
			Co-57	Bq/kg	606	586	410 - 762	A
			Co-60	Bq/kg	350	327.000	229 - 425	A
			Mn-54	Bq/kg	876	796	557 - 1035	А
			K-40	Bq/kg	425	375	263 - 488	А
			Sr-90	Bq/kg	505	455	319 - 592	A
			Zn-65	Bq/kg	1370	1178	825 - 1531	A
	09-RdF21	AP	Cs-134	Bq/sample	-0.02		(1)	Α
			Cs-137	Bq/sample	1.4	1.4	0.98 - 1.82	А
			Co-57	Bq/sample	5.98	6.48	4.54 - 8.42	·A
			Co-60	Bq/sample	1.01	1.03	0.72 - 1.34	А
			Mn-54	Bq/sample	5.16	5.49	3.84 - 7.14	А
			Sr-90	Bq/sample	0.925	0.0835	0.585 - 1.086	- A
			Zn-65	Bq/sample	4.39	3.93	2.75 - 5.11	А
·	09-GrF21	AP	Gr-A	Bg/sample	0.357	0.659	>0.0 - 1.318	A
			Gr-B	Bq/sample	1.403	1.320	0.66 - 1.98	А
	09-RdV21	Vegetation	Cs-134	Bq/sample	-0.0027		(1)	А
	,		Cs-137	Bq/sample	2.36	2.43	1.70 - 3.16	A
			Co-60	Bq/sample	2.58	2.57	1.80 - 3.34	A
			Mn-54	Bq/sample	8.36	7.9	5.5 - 10.3	A
			K-40	Bq/sample	57.8		(4)	
			Sr-90	Bq/sample	1.73	1.78	1.25 - 2.31	A
			Zn-65	.Bq/sample	-0.59		(1)	A

(1) False positive test.

(2) Sensativity evaluation.

(3) Homogeniety problem. MAPEP requires using entire sample but due to geometry limitations we can only use part of the sample. NCR 09-13

(4) Not evaluated by MAPEP.

(a) Teledyne Brown Engineering reported result.

(b) The MAPEP known value is equal to 100% of the parameter present in the standard as determined by gravimetric and/or volumetric measurements made during standard preparation.

(c) DOE/MAPEP evaluation: A=acceptable, W=acceptable with warning, N=not acceptable.

,

## ERA (a) STATISTICAL SUMMARY PROFICIENCY TESTING PROGRAM

(Page 1 of 1)

				•••• ••		
		Concentration (pCi/L)				
Lab Code	Date	Analysis	Laboratory	ERA	Control	
		-	Result <sup>b</sup>	Result <sup>c</sup>	Limits	Acceptance
STW-1181	04/06/09	Sr-89	41.0 ± 5.8	48.3	37.8 - 55.7	Pass
STW-1181	04/06/09	Sr-90	32.4 ± 2.4	31.4	22.9 - 36.4	Pass
STW-1182	04/06/09	Ba-133	44.6 ± 3.1	52.7	43.4 - 58.3	Pass
STW-1182	04/06/09	Co-60	81.0 ± 3.1	88.9	80.0 - 100.0	Pass
STW-1182	04/06/09	Cs-134	65.6 ± 5.2	72.9	59.5 - 80.2	Pass
STW-1182 °	04/06/09	Cs-137	147.7 ± 5.3	168.0	151.0 - 187.0	Fail
STW-1182	04/06/09	Zn-65	79.8 ± 7.5	84.4	76.0 - 101.0	Pass
STW-1183	04/06/09	Gr. Alpha	47.6 ± 2.1	54.2	28.3 - 67.7	Pass
STW-1183	04/06/09	Gr. Beta	38.5 ± 1.3	43.5	29.1 - 50.8	Pass
STW-1184	04/06/09	I-131	24.4 ± 2.5	26.1	21.7 - 30.8	Pass
STW-1186 <sup>°</sup>	04/06/09	H-3	22819.0 ± 453.0	20300.0	17800.0 - 22300.0	Fail
	·					
STW-1193	10/05/09	Sr-89	$53.0 \pm 6.0$	62.2	50.2 - 70.1	Pass
STW-1193	10/05/09	Sr-90	31.1 ± 2.2	30.7	22.4 - 35.6	Pass
STW-1194	10/05/09	Ba-133	82.5 ± 3.5	92.9	78.3 - 102.0	Pass
STW-1194	10/05/09	Co-60	116.8 ± 3.3	117.0	105.0 - 131.0	Pass
STW-1194	10/05/09	Cs-134	78.8 ± 5.7	78.8	65.0 - 87.3	Pass
STW-1194	10/05/09	Cs-137	, 54.2 ± 3.7	54.6	49.1 - 62.9	Pass
STW-1194	10/05/09	Zn-65	102.5 ± 6.2	99.5	89.6 - 119.0	Pass
STW-1195	10/05/09	Gr. Alpha	$20.3 \pm 2.0$	23.2	11.6 - 31.1	Pass
STW-1195	10/05/09	Gr. Beta	23.7 ± 1.4	26.0	16.2 - 33.9	Pass
STW-1196	10/05/09	I-131	22.4 ± 1.4	22.2	18.4 - 26.5	Pass
STW-1198	10/05/09	H-3	17228.0 ± 694.0	16400.0	14300.0 - 18000.0	Pass

- <sup>a</sup> Results obtained by Environmental, Inc., Midwest Laboratory as a participant in the crosscheck program for proficiency testing in drinking water conducted by Environmental Resources Associates (ERA).
- <sup>b</sup> Unless otherwise indicated, the laboratory result is given as the mean ± standard deviation for three determinations.
- <sup>c</sup> Results are presented as the known values, expected laboratory precision (1 sigma, 1 determination) and control limits as provided by ERA.
- <sup>d</sup> All gamma -emitters showed a low bias. A large plastic burr found on the base of the Marinelli kept the beaker from sitting directly on the detector. Result of recount in a different beaker, Cs-137, 155.33 ± 14.55 pCi/L.
- <sup>e</sup> Samples were recounted and also reanalyzed. A recount of the original vials averaged 23,009 pCi/L. Reanalysis results were acceptable, 19,170 pCi/L.

#### DOE'S MIXED ANALYTE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION PROGRAM (MAPEP)<sup>a</sup> ENVIRONMENTAL, INC., 2009

. . . . . .

.

(Page 1 of 2)

						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		<u> </u>		Concentratio	on "	
				Known	Control	
Lab Code <sup>c</sup>	Date	Analysis	Laboratory result	Activity	Limits <sup>d</sup>	Acceptance
STW-1170	01/01/09	Co-57	$19.60 \pm 0.40$	18.90	13.20 - 24.60	Pass
STW-1170	01/01/09	Co-60	$16.60 \pm 0.30$	17.21	12.05 - 22.37	Pass
STW-1170	01/01/09	Cs-134	$20.40 \pm 0.50$	22.50	15.80 - 29.30	Pass
STW-1170 e	01/01/09	Cs-137	0.10 ± 0.20	0.00	0.00 - 1.00	Pass
STW-1170	01/01/09	H-3	359.90 ± 33.90	330.90	231.60 - 430.20	Pass
STW-1170	01/01/09	Mn-54	15.00 ± 0.40	14.66	10.26 - 19.06	Pass
STW-1170	01/01/09	Sr-90	7.87 ± 1.39	7.21	5.05 - 9.37	Pass
STW-1170	01/01/09	Zn-65	$14.00 \pm 0.70$	13.60	9.50 - 17.70	Pass
STW-1171	01/01/09	Gr. Alpha	$0.56 \pm 0.06$	0.64	0.00 - 1.27	Pass
STW-1171	01/01/09	Gr. Beta	$1.29 \pm 0.05$	1.27	0.64 - 1.91	Pass
STSO-1172	<sup>e</sup> 01/01/09	<del>-</del> Co-57	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	0.00	0.00 - 1.00	· Pass
STSO-1172	01/01/09	Cs-134	458.60 ± 7.40	467.00	327.00 - 607.00	Pass
STSO-1172	01/01/09	Cs-137	652.30 ± 3.50	605.00	424.00 - 787.00	Pass
STSO-1172	01/01/09	K-40	636.40 ± 9.50	570.00	360.40 - 669.40	Pass
STSO-1172	01/01/09	Mn-54	346.40 ± 3.10	307.00	215.00 - 399.00	Pass
STSO-1172	01/01/09	Sr-90	180.60 ± 12.10	257.00	180.00 - 334.00	Pass
STSO-1172	01/01/09	Zn-65	$268.30 \pm 4.00$	242.00	169.00 - 315.00	Pass
STVE-1173	01/01/09	Co-57	2.75 ± 0.11	2.36	1.65 - 3.07	Pass
STVE-1173	<sup>e</sup> 01/01/09	Co-60	$0.06 \pm 0.09$	0.00	0.00 - 1.00	Pass
STVE-1173	01/01/09	Cs-134	3.49 ± 0.22	3.40	2.38 - 4.42	Pass
STVE-1173	01/01/09	Cs-137	$1.01 \pm 0.11$	0.93	0.65 - 1.21	Pass
STVE-1173	01/01/09	Mn-54	$2.52 \pm 0.14$	2.30	1.61 - 2.99	Pass
STVE-1173	01/01/09	Zn-65	1.52 ± 0.18	1.35	0.95 - 1.76	Pass
STAP-1174	01/01/09	Co-57	$1.25 \pm 0.05$	1.30	0.91 - 1.69	Pass
STAP-1174	01/01/09	Ċo-60	1.17 ± 0.06	1.22	0.85 - 1.59	Pass
STAP-1174	01/01/09	Cs-134	2.67 ± 0.14	2.93	2.05 - 3.81	Pass
STAP-1174	01/01/09	Cs-137	$1.53 \pm 0.08$	1.52	1.06 - 1.98	Pass
STAP-1174	01/01/09	Mn-54	$2.34 \pm 0.09$	2.27	1.59 - 2.95	Pass
STAP-1174	.01/01/09	Sr-90	0.93 ± 0.14	0.64	0.45 - 0.83	Fail
STAP-1174	01/01/09	Zn-65	1.44 ± 0.14	1.36	0.95 - 1.77	Pass
STAP-1175	01/01/09	Gr. Alpha	$0.22 \pm 0.03$	0.35	0.00 - 0.70	Pass
STAP-1175	01/01/09	, Gr. Beta	$0.36 \pm 0.04$	0.28	0.14 - 0.42	Pass
STW-1192	07/01/09	Co-57	37.20 ± 1.50	36.60	25.60 - 47.60	Pass
STW-1192	07/01/09	Co-60,	15.10 ± 0.90	15.40	10.80 - 20.00	Pass
STW-1192	07/01/09	Cs-134	30.30 ± 2.10	32.20	22.50 - 41.90	Pass
STW-1192	07/01/09	Cs-137	41.90 ± 1.80	41.20	28.80 - 53.60	Pass
STW-1192	07/01/09	H-3	680.30 ± 33.60	634.10	443.90 - 824.30	Pass
STW-1192 <sup>e</sup>	07/01/09	Mn-54	0.01 ± 0.26	0.00	0.00 - 1.00	Pass
STW-1192	07/01/09	Sr-90	12.90 ± 1.70	12.99	9.09 - 16.89	Pass
STW-1192	07/01/09	Zn-65	$28.50 \pm 2.40$	26.90	18.80 - 35.00	Pass

D-8

#### DOE'S MIXED ANALYTE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION PROGRAM (MAPEP)<sup>a</sup> ENVIRONMENTAL, INC., 2009

(Page 2 of 2)

				Concentratic	on <sup>b</sup>	
				Known	Control	·
_ab Code <sup>c</sup>	Date	Analysis	Laboratory result	Activity	Limits <sup>d</sup>	Acceptance
STW-1191	07/01/09	Gr. Alpha	0.88 ± 0.07	1.05	0.00 - 2.09	Pass
STW-1191	07/01/09	Gr. Beta	$7.29 \pm 0.10$	7.53	3.77 - 11.30	Pass
STSO-1188	07/01/09	Co-57	674.60 ± 9.00	586.00	410.00 - 762.00	Pass
STSO-1188	07/01/09	Co-60	356.40 ± 6.30	327.00	229.00 - 425.00	Pass
STSO-1188	07/01/09	Cs-134	0.20 ± 1.90	0.00	0.00 - 1.00	Pass
STSO-1188	07/01/09	Cs-137	767.50 ± 12.00	669.00	468.00 - 870.00	Pass
STSO-1188	07/01/09	K-40	433.00 ± 37.20	375.00	263.00 - 488.00	Pass
STSO-1188	07/01/09	Mn-54	931.60 ± 14.10	796.00	557.00 - 1035.00	Pass
STSO-1188 <sup>9</sup>	<sup>9</sup> 07/01/09	Sr-90	310.50 ± 12.20	455.00	319.00 - 592.00	Fail
STSO-1188	07/01/09	Zn-65	1433.90 ± 25.20	1178.00	825.00 - 1531.00	Pass
STVE-1190	07/01/09	<b>-</b> Co-57	$8.90 \pm 0.60$	8.00	5.60 - 10.40	· Pass
STVE-1190	07/01/09	Co-60	$2.50 \pm 0.36$	2.57	1.80 - 3.34	Pass
STVE-1190	07/01/09	Cs-134	0.01 ± 0.11	0.00	0.00 - 0.10	Pass
STVE-1190	07/01/09	Cs-137	2.42 ± 0.16	2.43	1.70 - 3.16	Pass
STVE-1190	07/01/09	Mn-54	8.35 ± 0.70	7.90	5.50 - 10.30	Pass
STVE-1190	07/01/09	Zn-65	0.01 ± 0.26	0.00	0.00 - 0.10	Pass
STAP-1189	07/01/09	Gr. Alpha	$0.33 \pm 0.04$	0.66	0.00 - 1.32	Pass
STAP-1189	07/01/09	Gr. Beta	1.57 ± 0.07	1.32	0.66 - 1.98	Pass
STAP-1190	07/01/09	Co-57	6.78 ± 0.27	6.48	4.54 - 8.42	Pass
STAP-1190	07/01/09	Co-60	1.06 ± 0.18	1.03	0.72 - 1.34	Pass
STAP-1190	07/01/09	Cs-134	$0.01 \pm 0.06$	0.00	0.01 - 0.05	Pass
STAP-1190	07/01/09	Cs-137	1.49 ± 0.27	1.40	0.98 - 1.82	Pass
STAP-1190	07/01/09	Mn-54	$6.00 \pm 0.45$	5.49	3.84 - 7.14	Pass
STAP-1190	07/01/09	Sr-90	0.79 ± 0.13	0.84	0.59 - 1.09	Pass
STAP-1190	07/01/09	Zn-65	$4.55 \pm 0.66$	3.93	2.75 - 5.11	Pass

<sup>a</sup> Results obtained by Environmental, Inc., Midwest Laboratory as a participant in the Department of Energy's Mixed Analyte Performance Evaluation Program, Idaho Operations office, Idaho Falls, Idaho

<sup>b</sup> Results are reported in units of Bq/kg (soil), Bq/L (water) or Bq/total sample (filters, vegetation).

<sup>c</sup> Laboratory codes as follows: STW (water), STAP (air filter), STSO (soil), STVE (vegetation).

<sup>d</sup> MAPEP results are presented as the known values and expected laboratory precision (1 sigma, 1 determination) and control limits as defined by the MAPEP.

<sup>e</sup> Included in the testing series as a "false positive".

<sup>1</sup> No reason was determined for the initial high results. The analysis was repeated; result of reanalysis; 0.54 ± 0.12 Bg/filter.

<sup>g</sup> Incomplete separation of strontium from calcium could result in a higher recovery percentage and consequently lower reported activity. The analysis was repeated; result of reanalysis 363.3 ± 28.6 Bq/kg.

D-9

• •

.

-----

·

.

Intentionally left blank

### APPENDIX E

**a** -

pro la se

### **EFFLUENT DATA**

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

DDUCTION

SUMMARY	2
1.0. EFFLUENTS	3
1.1. Gaseous Effluents to the Atmosphere	3
1.2. Liquids Released to Lake Michigan	3
2.0. SOLID RADIOACTIVE WASTE	3
3.0. DOSE TO MAN	3
3.1. Gaseous Effluent Pathways	3
3.1.1. Gaseous Releases	4
- 3.1.1.1. Gamma Dose Rates	4
3.1.1.2. Beta Air and Skin Dose Rate	4
3.1.2. Radioactive lodine	5
3.1.3. Dose to Thyroid	5
3.2. Liquid Effluent Pathways	5
3.3. Assessment of Dose to Member of Public	5
4.0. SITE METEOROLOGY	6

i

	Table	of	Contents	(cont.)	)
--	-------	----	----------	---------	---

APPENDIX E-1 DATA TABLES AND FIGURES E-1.1
--

### Station Releases

•;

.

Table 2.0-1 Solid Radioactive Waste	. E-1.2
Table 3.1-1 Maximum Doses Resulting from Airborne Releases	. E-1.3
Table 3.2-1 Maximum Doses Resulting from Liquid Effluents	. E-1.5
Table 3.3-1 10CFR20 Compliance Assessment	. E-1.6
Table 3.4-1 Maximum doses Resulting from Airborne Releases Based	
On Concurrent Meteorological Data	. E-1.7

#### INTRODUCTION

Units 1 and 2 of the Zion Station, located in Zion, Illinois adjacent to Lake Michigan, are 1100 MWe (3520 MWt) Westinghouse pressurized water reactors. The plant permanently ceased operation in February of 1998 and has been permanently defueled.

The station was designed to keep releases to the environment at levels below those specified in the regulations. Historical data has been established that Zion, as a fully operational facility, did not contribute appreciable doses to the surrounding public. Sampling results for 2009 showed minimal releases above background for a variety of monitored pathways, e.g. water, vegetation, air samples and TLIV.

Liquid effluents from Zion Station are released to Lake Michigan in controlled batches after radioassay of each batch and continuously through a monitored pathway. There are no routine noble gas releases. Due to decay, iodine is no longer present. The only noble gas that remains is Kr85 captured in the spent fuel assemblies stored in the fuel pool in the fuel building. A new ventilation system for the FB has been installed to monitor possible releases. The results of effluent analyses are summarized on a monthly basis and reported to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission as required per Technical Specifications. Airborne concentrations of noble gases and particulate radioactivity in offsite areas are calculated using effluent and meteorological data.

Environmental monitoring was conducted by sampling at indicator and control (background) locations in the vicinity of the Zion Station to measure changes in radiation or radioactivity levels that may be attributable to the station. If significant changes attributable to Zion Station are measured, these changes are correlated with effluent releases.

1

#### <u>SUMMARY</u>

Gaseous and liquid effluents for the period contributed to only a small fraction of the Station Technical Specification limits. Calculations of environmental concentrations based on effluent and meteorological data for the period indicate that consumption by the public of radionuclides attributable to the Zion Station does not exceed regulatory limits. Radiation exposure from radionuclides released to the atmosphere represented the critical pathway for the period with a maximum individual total body dose estimated to be 2.62E-05 mrem for the year, where a shielding and occupancy factor of 0.7 is assumed. The assessment of radiation doses is performed in accordance with the Exelon Offsite Dose Calculation Manual (ODCM). The results of analysis confirm that the station is operating in compliance with 10CFR50 Appendix 1, 10CFR20 and 40CFR190.

1.1

#### 1.0 EFFLUENTS

#### 1.1 Gaseous Effluents to the Atmosphere

Measured concentrations and isotopic composition of noble gases and particulate radioactivity released to the atmosphere were monitored during the year. A total of 0.00E+00 microcuries of fission and activation gases was released with a maximum average release rate of  $0.00E+00 \ \mu$ Ci/sec during any one guarter period.

A total of 5.57E-01 microcuries of beta-gamma emitters was released as airborne particulate matter with a maximum average quarterly release rate of  $3.5E-08 \ \mu Ci/sec$ . quarterly only. Alpha-emitting radionuclides were not measurable. Also, 0.00E+00curies of tritium were released with a maximum average quarterly release rate of  $0.00E+00 \ \mu Ci/sec$ .

#### 1.2 Liquids Released to Lake Michigan

A total of 1.33E+07 liters of liquid waste containing 0.00E+00microcuries was discharged from the station via an approved pathway after dilution with a total of 4.26E+10 liters of water. These wastes were released at a maximum quarterly average concentration of  $0.00E+00 \ \mu$ Ci/ml. A total of 0.00E-00 curies of tritium was released. Alpha activity released totaled  $0.00 \ \mu$ Ci for the year. Monthly release estimates and principal radionuclides in liquid effluents are reported in the Zion Nuclear Power Station Radioactive Effluent Report for 2009.

#### 2.0 SOLID RADIOACTIVE WASTE

There was one solid radioactive waste shipment. For more detail, refer to Zion Station 2009 Effluent Report.

#### 3.0 DOSE TO MAN

#### 3.1 Gaseous Effluent Pathways

Table 3.1-1 summarizes the doses resulting from releases of airborne radioactivity via the different exposure pathways.

#### 3.1.1 Gaseous Releases

#### 3.1.1.1 Gamma Dose Rates

Offsite Gamma air and whole (total) body dose rates are shown in Table 3.1-1 and were calculated based on measured release rates. isotopic composition of the gases, and meteorological data for the period. Based on measured effluents and average meteorological data, the maximum total body dose to an individual would be 2.62E-05 mrem (child) for the year (Table 3.1-1), with an occupancy or shielding factor of 0.7 included, and based on measured effluents and concurrent meteorological data would be 0.00E+00 mrem (Table 3.4-1). The maximum gamma air dose was 0.00E+00 mrad based on measured effluents and average meteorological data (Table 3.1-1), and 0.00E+00 mrad based on measured effluents and concurrent meteorological data (Table 3.4-1).

#### 3.1.1.2 Beta Air and Skin Dose Rates

The range of beta particles in air is relatively small (on the order of a few meters or less); consequently, plumes of gaseous effluents may be considered "infinite" for purpose of calculating the dose from beta radiation incident on the skin. However, the actual dose to sensitive skin tissues is difficult to calculate due to the effect of the beta particle energies. thickness of inert skin and clothing covering sensitive tissues. For purposes of this report the skin is taken to have a thickness of 7.0  $mg/cm^2$  and an occupancy factor of 1.0 is used. The skin dose from beta and gamma radiation for the year was 0.00E+00 mrem based on measured effluents and average meteorological data (Table 3.1-1), and 0.00E+00 mrem based on measured effluents and concurrent meteorological data (Table 3.4-1).

The maximum offsite beta air dose for the year was 0.00E+00 mrad based on measured effluents and average meteorological data (Table 3.1-1), and 0.00E+00 mrad based on measured effluents and concurrent meteorological data (Table 3.4-1).

#### 3.1.2 Radioactive lodine

The human thyroid exhibits a significant capacity to concentrate ingested or inhaled iodine. The radioiodine, 1-131, released during routine operation of the station, may be made available to man resulting in a dose to the thyroid. The principal pathway of interest for this radionuclide is ingestion of radioiodine in milk. As Zion Station is not operational and I-131 has decayed away, the maximum offsite concentration is estimated to be zero, as expected.

#### 3.1.3 Dose to Thyroid

The hypothetical thyroid dose to a maximum exposed individual living near the station via ingestion of milk was calculated. As Zion Station is not operational and 1-131 has decayed away, the maximum offsite concentration is estimated to be zero, as expected.

#### 3.2 Liquid Effluent Pathways

The three principal pathways through the aquatic environment for potential doses to man from liquid waste are ingestion of potable water, eating aquatic foods, and exposure while on the shoreline. Not all of these pathways are significant or applicable at a given time but a reasonable approximation of the dose can be made by adjusting the dose formula for season of the year or type and degree of use of the aquatic environment. NRC developed equations\* were used to calculate the doses to the whole body, lower GI tracts, thyroid, bone, skin; specific parameters for use in the equations are given in the Exelon Offsite Dose Calculation Manual. The maximum whole body dose (total body) for the year was 0.00E+00 mrem (adult) and no organ dose exceeded 0.00E+00 mrem (teenage liver) (Table 3.2-1).

3.3 Assessment of Dose to Member of Public

During the period January to December, 2009, Zion Station did not

exceed the below limits as shown in Table 3.1-1 and Table 3.2-1 (based on yearly average meteorological data), and Figure 3.1-1 (based on concurrent meteorological data):

- The RETS limits on dose or dose commitment to an individual due to radioactive materials in liquid effluents from each reactor unit (3 mrem to the whole body or 10 mrem to any organ during any calendar year).
- The RETS limits on air dose in noble gases released in gaseous effluents to a member of the public from each reactor unit (10 mrads for gamma radiation or 20 mrad for beta radiation during any calendar year).
- The RETS limits on dose to a member of the public due to iodine-131, iodine-133, tritium, and radionuclides in particulate form 'with half-lives greater than eight days in gaseous effluents released from each reactor unit (15 mrem to any organ during any calendar year).
- The 10CFR20 limit on Total Effective Dose Equivalent to individual members of the public (100 mrem).

#### 4.0 SITE METEOROLOGY

A summary of the site meteorological measurements taken during each calendar quarter of the year is given in Appendix 11. The data are presented as cumulative joint frequency

\*Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Regulatory Guide 1.109 (Rev. 1) distributions of the wind direction for the 250' level and wind speed class by atmospheric stability class determined from the temperature difference between the 250' and 35' levels. Data recovery for these measurements was 99.4% during 2009 (Table 3.4-1).

### **APPENDIX E-1**

### DATA TABLES AND FIGURES

· . .

# Table 2.0-1Solid Radioactive Waste

Table 2.0-1 has been deliberately deleted. For details on solid waste disposal, see the Zion 2009 Annual Effluent Report

#### Table 3.1-1 Maximum Doses Resulting from Airborne Releases

40CFR190 URANIUM FUEL CYCLE DOSE REPORT GASEOUS DOSE SUMMARY

Report for: 2009 Unit Range - From: 1 To: 2

Quarter - Limit		Age Group	Organ	Dose (mrem)	Limit (mrem)	Max % of Limit
Qtr 1 - Admin. A Qtr 1 - Admin. T	1 2			0.00E+00 0.00E+00		0.00E+00 0.00E+00
Qtr 1 - T.Spc. A Receptor: 0	ny Organ			0.00E+00	1.00E+01	0.00E+00
Distance:	(meters)	Cc	ompass Poir	it:		
Critical Pathway:	χ		a the state			
Major Contributors	(0% or greater	to tota	1)		· · · ·	
Nuclide	Percentage					

 Qtr 1
 - T.Spc. Total Body
 0.00E+00
 1.00E+01
 0.00E+00

 Receptor: 0
 0
 0
 0
 0.00E+00
 0.00E+00
 0.00E+00

 Distance:
 (meters)
 Compass Point:
 0.00E+00
 0.00E+00
 0.00E+00
 0.00E+00

 Critical Pathway:
 Major Contributors (0% or greater to total)
 Nuclide
 Percentage

 ------ ------ ------ ------ 

# Table 3.1-1 (continued)Maximum Doses Resulting from Airborne Releases

. . . . .

40CFR190 URANIUM FUEL CYCLE DOSE REPORT

GASEOUS DOSE SUMMARY

Report for: 2009 Unit Range - From: 1 To: 2

=== NG DOSE LIMIT A	ANALYSIS ========		QU	ARTER 1 ==	
			Dose	Limit	Max % of
Quarter - Limit			(mrad)	(mrad)	Limit
·					
Qtr 1 - Admin. Ga	amma		0.00E+00	1.00E+01	0.00E+00
Qtr 1 - Admin. Be	eta		0.00E+00	1.00E+01	0.00E+00
Qtr 1 - T.Spc. Ga	amma		0.00E+00	1.00E+01	0.00E+00
Receptor: 0	K+s				
Distance:	(meters)	Compass Po	int:		
Nuclide	Percentage				
<b>-</b>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

 Qtr 1
 - T.Spc. Beta
 0.00E+00
 1.00E+01
 0.00E+00

 Receptor: 0
 0
 0
 0
 0

 Distance:
 (meters)
 Compass Point:
 0

 Nuclide
 Percentage
 0
 0

# Table 3.1-1 (continued)Maximum Doses Resulting from Airborne Releases

40CFR190 URANIUM FUEL CYCLE DOSE REPORT

GASEOUS DOSE SUMMARY

Report for: 2009 Unit Range - From: 1 To: 2

1

=== I&P DOSE LIMIT ANALYSIS ===================================								
		Age		Dose	Limit	Max % of		
Quarter - Limit		Group	Organ	(mrem)	(mrem)	Limit		
Qtr 2 - Admin. An	y Organ	ADULT	GILLI	2.97E-05	5.63E+00	5.28E-04		
Qtr 2 - Admin. To	tal Body	CHILD	TBODY	2.62E-05	5.25E+00	4.99E-04		
Qtr 2 - T.Spc. An	y Organ	ADULT	GILLI	2.97E-05	7.50E+00	3.96E-04		
Receptor: 5 Compo	site Crit. Re	ceptor -	IP			-		
Distance: 0.00	(meters)	Со	mpass Poin	t: NA				
Critical Pathway: G	round Plane D	eposition	(GPD)					
Major Contributors	(0% or greate	r to tota	1)					
Nuclide '	Percentage							
CO-60	1.00E+02							

Qtr 2T.Spc. Total BodyCHILDTBODY2.62E-057.50E+003.49E-04Receptor: 5Composite Crit. Receptor - IPDistance:0.00 (meters)Compass Point: NACritical Pathway: Ground Plane Deposition (GPD)Major Contributors (0% or greater to total)NuclidePercentage-------------CO-601.00E+02

## Table 3.1-1 (continued) Maximum Doses Resulting from Airborne Releases

40CFR190 URANIUM FUEL CYCLE DOSE REPORT

GASEOUS DOSE SUMMARY

Report for: 2009 Unit Range - From: 1 To: 2

Dose Limit Max % of Quarter - Limit (mrad) (mrad) Limit ----- -----Qtr 2 - Admin. Gamma 0.00E+00 7.50E+00 0.00E+00 Qtr 2 - Admin. Beta 0.00E+00 7.50E+00 0.00E+00 Qtr 2 - T.Spc. Gamma 0.00E+00 7.50E+00 0.00E+00 Receptor: 5 Composite Crit. Receptor - IP -Distance: 0.00 (meters) Compass Point: NA Nuclide Percentage \_\_\_\_\_ -----

 Qtr 2
 - T.Spc. Beta
 0.00E+00
 7.50E+00
 0.00E+00

 Receptor: 5
 Composite Crit. Receptor - IP

 Distance:
 0.00 (meters)
 Compass Point: NA

 Nuclide
 Percentage

 ------ ------ 

### Table 3.1-1 (continued) Maximum Doses Resulting from Airborne Releases

40CFR190 URANJUM FUEL CYCLE DOSE REPORT

GASEOUS DOSE SUMMARY

\_\_\_\_\_

Report for: 2009 Unit Range - From: 1 To: 2

Nuclide

\_\_\_\_\_

Percentage

\_\_\_\_\_

Age Dose Limit Max % of Group Organ (mrem) (mrem) Limit Quarter - Limit ----- ----- ------\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_ 0.00E+00 7.50E+00 0.00E+00 Qtr 3 - Admin. Any Organ Qtr 3 - Admin. Total Body 0.00E+00 7.50E+00 0.00E+00 0.00E+00 7.50E+00 0.00E+00 Qtr 3 - T.Spc. Any Organ . Receptor: 5 Composite Crit. Receptor - IP Distance: 0.00 (meters) Compass Point: NA Critical Pathway: Major Contributors (0% or greater to total) Nuclide Percentage \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ 0.00E+00 7<sup>'</sup>.50E+00 0.00E+00 Qtr 3 - T.Spc. Total Body Receptor: 5 Composite Crit. Receptor - IP Distance: 0.00 (meters) Compass Point: NA Critical Pathway: Major Contributors (0% or greater to total)

Table 3.1-1 (continued)Maximum Doscs Resulting from Airborne Releases

40CFR190 URANIUM FUEL CYCLE DOSE REPORT

GASEOUS DOSE SUMMARY

-----

Report for: 2009 Unit Range - From: 1 To: 2

1 + 1

. t. (\*

Dose Limit Max % of Quarter - Limit (mrad) Limit (mrad) ----- -----Qtr 3 - Admin. Gamma 0.00E+00 7.50E+00 0.00E+00 Qtr 3 - Admin. Beta 0.00E+00 7.50E+00 0.00E+00 0.00E+00 7.50E+00 0.00E+00 Qtr 3 - T.Spc. Gamma Receptor: 5 Composite Crit. Receptor - IP -Distance: 0.00 (meters) Compass Point: NA Nuclide Percentage \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_

 Qtr 3
 - T.Spc. Beta
 0.00E+00
 7.50E+00
 0.00E+00

 Receptor: 5
 Composite Crit. Receptor - IP

 Distance:
 0.00 (meters)
 Compass Point: NA

 Nuclide
 Percentage

 ------ ------ 

# Table 3.1-1 (continued) Maximum Doses Resulting from Airborne Releases

1.15

40CFR190 URANIUM FUEL CYCLE DOSE REPORT

GASEOUS DOSE SUMMARY

Report for: 2009 Unit Range - From: 1 To: 2

P

1. . ;

1.

Age Dose Limit Max % of Group Organ (mrem) (mrem) Limit Quarter - Limit meèococo meccoco \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Qtr 4 - Admin. Any Organ 0.00E+00 7.50E+00 0.00E+00 Qtr 4 - Admin. Total Body 0.00E+00 7.50E+00 0.00E+00 Qtr 4 - T.Spc. Any Organ 0.00E+00 7.50E+00 0.00E+00 Receptor: 5 Composite Crit. Receptor - IP . 0.00 (meters) Compass Point: NA Distance: Critical Pathway: Major Contributors (0% or greater to total) Nuclide Percentage \_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_

Qtr 4 - T.Spc. Total Body0.00E+007.50E+000.00E+00Receptor: 5 Composite Crit. Receptor - IPDistance:0.00 (meters)Compass Point: NACritical Pathway:Major Contributors (0% or greater to total)NuclidePercentage-------

## Table 3.1-1 (continued)Maximum Doses Resulting from Airborne Releases

a. . .

40CFR190 URANIUM FUEL CYCLE DOSE REPORT GASEOUS DOSE SUMMARY

Report for: 2009 Unit Range - From: 1 To: 2

Dose Limit Max % of (mrad) (mrad) Limit Quarter - Limit ----- ------Qtr 4 - Admin. Gamma 0.00E+00 7.50E+00 0.00E+00 , Qtr 4 - Admin. Beta 0.00E+00 7.50E+00 0.00E+00 Qtr 4 - T.Spc. Gamma 0.00E+00 7.50E+00 0.00E+00 Receptor: 5 Composite Crit. Receptor - IP . Distance: 0.00 (meters) Compass Point: NA Nuclide Percentage \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_

 Qtr 4
 - T.Spc. Beta
 0.00E+00
 7.50E+00
 0.00E+00

 Receptor: 5
 Composite Crit. Receptor - IP

 Distance:
 0.00 (meters)
 Compass Point: NA

 Nuclide
 Percentage

 ------ ------ 

:

Table 3.1-1 (continued)Maximum Doses Resulting from Airborne Releases

 $\sim 1$ 

40CFR190 URANIUM FUEL CYCLE DOSE REPORT

GASEOUS DOŚE SUMMARY

\_\_\_\_

Report for: 2009 Unit Range - From: 1 To: 2

Annual - Limit	Age Group	Organ	Dose (mrem)	Limit (mrem)	Max % of .Limit
2009 - Admin. Any Organ 2009 - Admin. Total Body	ADULT CHILD	GILLI TBODY	2.97E-05 2.62E-05	1.13E+01 1.05E+01	,
2009 - T.Spc. Any Organ Receptor: 5 Composite Crit. Re Distance: 0.00 (meters) Critical Pathway: Ground Plane D	Co	ompass Poin	2.97E-05 t: NA	1.50E+01	1.98E-04

Major Contributors (0% or greater to total)

1.00E+02

Nuclide Percentage

CO-60

Sec. We

1. - 1. 1. 1. 1. 1

2009- T.Spc. Total BodyCHILDTBODY2.62E-051.50E+011.74E-04Receptor: 5Composite Crit. Receptor - IPDistance:0.00 (meters)Compass Point: NACritical Pathway: Ground Plane Deposition (GPD)Major Contributors (0% or greater to total)NuclidePercentage------------CO-601.00E+02

## Table 3.1-1 (continued) Maximum Doses Resulting from Airborne Releases

40CFR190 URANIUM FUEL CYCLE DOSE REPORT

GASEOUS DOSE SUMMARY

\_\_\_\_\_

Report for: 2009 Unit Range - From: 1 To: 2

<u>j</u> . .

1

Limit Max % of Dose Annual - Limit (mrad) Limit (mrad) \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ 2009 - Admin. Gamma 0.00E+00 1.50E+01 0.00E+00 2009 - Admin. Beta 0.00E+00 1.50E+01 0.00E+00 2009 - T.Spc. Gamma 0.00E+00 1.50E+01 0.00E+00 Receptor: 5 Composite Crit. Receptor - IP . 0.00 (meters) Compass Point: NA Distance: Nuclide Percentage \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_

 2009
 - T.Spc. Beta
 0.00E+00
 1.50E+01
 0.00E+00

 Receptor: 5
 Composite Crit. Receptor - IP

 Distance:
 0.00 (meters)
 Compass Point: NA

 Nuclide
 Percentage

### Table 3.1-1 (continued) Maximum Doses Resulting from Airborne Releases

40CFR190 URANIUM FUEL CYCLE DOSE REPORT

Report for: 2009 Unit Range - From: 1 To: 2 === MAXIMUM DOSE ANALYSIS ========= ANNUAL 2009 ======= Dose Age Dose Type Group Organ (mrem) FREELEE ----\_\_\_\_\_ Any Organ ADULT GILLI 2.97E-05 Liquid Receptor: NA Gaseous Receptor: 5 Composite Crit. Receptor - IP Distance: 0.00 (meters) Compass Point: NA Liquid Dose: 0.00E+00 % of Total: 0.00E+00 Critical Pathway: Potable Water (PWtr) Major Contributors ( 0% or greater to total) Nuclide Percentage \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ Gaseous Dose: 2.97E-05 % of Total: 1.00E+02 Critical Pathway: Ground Plane Deposition (GPD) Major Contributors (0% or greater to total) Nuclide Percentage \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ CO-60 1.00E+02 === MAXIMUM DOSE ANALYSIS ========= ANNUAL 2009 ======== Age Dose Dose Type Group Organ (mrem) -----\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ Total Body TBODY CHILD 2.62E-05 Liquid Receptor: NA Gaseous Receptor: 5 Composite Crit. Receptor - IP Distance: 0.00 (meters) Compass Point: NA Liquid Dose: 0.00E+00 % of Total: 0.00E+00 Critical Pathway: Potable Water (PWtr) Major Contributors (0% or greater to total) Nuclide Percentage \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ Gaseous Dose: 2.62E-05 % of Total: 9.99E+01 Critical Pathway: Ground Plane Deposition (GPD) Major Contributors (0% or greater to total) Nuclide Percentage \_\_\_\_\_ ------

CO-60

1.00E+02

### Table 3.2-1 Maximum Doses Resulting from Liquid Effluents

40CFR190 URANIUM FUEL CYCLE DOSE REFORT

LIQUID DOSE SUMMARY

\_\_\_\_\_

Report for: 2009 Unit Range - From: 1 To: 2

Liquid Receptor === PERIOD DOSE BY ORGAN AND AGE GROUP (mrem) ======= QUARTER 1 ======== Agegrp Bone Liver Thyroid Kidney Lung GI-LLI Skin TB

Age Dose Max % of Limit Group Organ (mrem) Limit Quarter - Limit mrem) \_\_\_\_\_ Qtr 1 - Admin. Any Organ 0.00E+00 0.00E+00 0.00E+00 Qtr 1 - Admin. Total Body ADULT TBODY 0.00E+00 1.13E+00 0.00E+00 Qtr 1 - T.Spc. Any Organ 0.00E+00 3.75E+00 0.00E+00 Critical Pathway: Major Contributors (0% or greater to total) Nuclide Percentage -----\_\_\_\_\_

 Qtr 1
 - T.Spc. Total Body
 ADULT
 TBODY
 0.00E+00
 1.50E+00
 0.00E+00

 Critical Pathway: Potable Water (PWtr)

 Major Contributors (0% or greater to total)

 Nuclide
 Percentage

 ----- 

### Table 3.2-1 (continued) Maximum Doses Resulting from Liquid Effluents

40CFR190 URANIUM FUEL CYCLE DOSE REPORT

Report for: 2009 Unit Range - From: 1 To: 2

Liquid Receptor === PERIOD DOSE BY ORGAN AND AGE GROUP (mrem) ====== QUARTER 2 ======== Agegrp Bone Liver Thyroid Kidney Lung GI-LLI Skin TB

Limit Age Dose Max % of Group Quarter - Limit (mrem) (mrem) Limit Organ -----\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ Qtr 2 - Admin. An<del>y</del> Organ 0.00E+00 5.00E+00 0.00E+00 Qtr 2 – Admin. Total Body TBODY 0.00E+00 1.13E+00 0.00E+00 ADULT Qtr 2 - T.Spc. Any Organ 0.00E+00 3.75E+00 0.00E+00 Critical Pathway: Major Contributors (0% or greater to total) Nuclide Percentage \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_

Qtr 2 - T.Spc. Total BodyADULTTBODY0.00E+001.50E+000.00E+00Critical Pathway: Potable Water (PWtr)Major Contributors (0% or greater to total)NuclidePercentage-------

### Table 3.2-1 (continued) Maximum Doses Resulting from Liquid Effluents

40CFR190 URANIUM FUEL CYCLE DOSE REPORT

LIQUID DOSE SUMMARY

\_\_\_\_\_

Report for: 2009 Unit Range - From: 1 To: 2

Liquid Receptor === PERIOD DOSE BY ORGAN AND AGE GROUP (mrem) ======= QUARTER 3 ========= Agegrp Bone Liver Thyroid Kidney Lung GI-LLI Skin TB

	Age		Dose	Limit	Max % of		
Quarter - Limit	Group	Organ	(mrem)	(mrem)	Limit		
· 							
Qtr 3 - Admin. Any Orga	n		0.00E+00	5.00E+00	0.00E+00		
Qtr 3 - Admin. Total Bo	dy ADULT	TBODY .	0.00E+00	1.13E+00	0.00E+00		
Qtr 3 - T.Spc. Any Orga	n		0.00E+00	3.75E+00	0.00E+00		
Critical Pathway:							
Major Contributors (0% or greater to total)							
Nuclide Perce	ntage						

Qtr 3- T.Spc. Total BodyADULTTBODY0.00E+001.50E+000.00E+00Critical Pathway: Potable Water (PWtr)Major Contributors (0% or greater to total)NuclidePercentage

### Table 3.2-1 (continued)Maximum Doses Resulting from Liquid Effluents

40CFR190 URANIUM FUEL CYCLE DOSE REPORT

LIQUID DOSE SUMMARY

\_\_\_\_\_

Report for: 2009 Unit Range - From: 1 To: 2

: ' ,

Liquid Receptor === PERIOD DOSE BY ORGAN AND AGE GROUP (mrem) ======== QUARTER 4 ========= Agegrp Bone Liver Thyroid Kidney Lung GI-LLI Skin TB

Age Dose Limit Max % of (mrem) (mrem) Limit Quarter - Limit Group Organ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_ \_ ... .. ... ... ... ... Qtr 4 - Admin. An<del>y</del> Organ 0.00E+00 5.00E+00 0:00E+00 Qtr 4 - Admin. Total Body ADULT TBODY 0.00E+00 1.13E+00 0.00E+00 Qtr 4 - T.Spc. Any Organ 0.00E+00 3.75E+00 0.00E+00 Critical Pathway: Major Contributors (0% or greater to total) Nuclide Percentage \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_

Qtr 4- T.Spc. Total BodyADULTTBODY0.00E+001.50E+000.00E+00Critical Pathway: Potable Water (PWtr)Major Contributors (0% or greater to total)NuclidePercentage-------

### Table 3.2-1 (continued) WMaximum Doses Resulting from Liquid Effluents

40CFR190 URANIUM FUEL CYCLE DOSE REPORT

\_\_\_\_\_

LIQUID DOSE SUMMARY

Report for: 2009 Unit Range - From: 1 To: 2

Liquid Receptor Agegrp Bone Liver Thyroid Kidney Lung GI-LLI Skin TB Dose Limit Age Max % of (mrem) Annual - Limit Group Organ (mrem) Limit ------\_\_\_\_\_\_ ------\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ 2009 - Admin. Any Organ 0.00E+00 5.00E+00 0:00E+00 2009 - Admin. Total Body ADULT TBODY 0.00E+00 2.25E+00 0.00E+00 2009 - T.Spc. Any Organ 0.00E+00 7.50E+00 0.00E+00 Critical Pathway: Major Contributors (0% or greater to total) Nuclide Percentage \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_

2009 - T.Spc. Total Body ADULT TBODY 0.00E+00 3.00E+00 0.00E+00 Critical Pathway: Potable Water (PWtr) Major Contributors (0% or greater to total) Nuclide Percentage

# Table 3.3-110CFR20 Compliance Assessment

#### ZION STATION 2009 Unit 1 10CFR20 Compliance Assessment

1. 10CFR 20.1301 (a) (1) Compliance

2.

Total Effective Dose Equivalent		t <u>2.80E-0</u>	2.80E-05 mrem/year				
10 CFR 20.1301	(a) (1) limit	<u>100 mre</u>	100 mrem/year				
% of the limit		0.00000	0028				
Compliance Sur	nmary10CFR20	)					
1 <sup>st</sup> Qtr.	2 <sup>nd</sup> Qtr.	3 <sup>rd</sup> Qtr.	4 <sup>th</sup> Qtr	% of Limit			

TEDE	0	2.80E-05	0	0	0.00000028

#### ZION STATION 2009 Unit 2 10CFR20 Compliance Assessment

1. 10CFR 20.1301 (a) (1) Compliance

Total Effective Dose Equivalent	2.80E-05 mrem/year
10 CFR 20.1301 (a) (1) limit	100 mrem/year
% of the limit	0.0000028

2. Compliance Summary10CFR20

	1 <sup>st</sup> Qtr.	$2^{nd}$ Qtr.	3 <sup>rd</sup> Qtr.	4 <sup>th</sup> Qtr	% of Limit
TEDE	0	2.80E-05	0	. 0	0.00000028

### Table 3.4-1 Maximum Doses Resulting from Airborne Released Based on Concurrent Meteorological Data

#### Zion Station - Unit 1

a...

#### MAXIMUM DOSES RESULTING FROM AIRBORNE RELEASES

#### 2009

TYPE OF DOSE	FIRST QUARTER	SECOND QUARTER	THIRD QUARTER	FOURTH QUARTER	ANNUAL	
GAMMA AIR (mrad) BETA AIR (mrad) WHOLE BODY (mrem) SKIN (mrem) ORGAN (mrem)	0.000E+00(N) 0.000E+00(N) 0.000E+00(N)	0.000E+00(N) 0.000E+00(N) 8.020E-07(W) 9.430E-07(W) 1.130E-06(NE)	0.000E+00(N) 0.000E+00(N) 0.000E+00(N)	0.000E+00(N) 0.000E+00(N) 0.000E+00(N)	0.000E+00(N) 8.020E-07(W) 9.430E-07(W)	
CRITICAL PERSON CRITICAL ORGAN	Adult	Teenager Lung	Adult Bone	Adult Bone	Teenager Lung	

#### COMPLIANCE STATUS

, type of dose	10 CFR 50 APP. QUARTERLY OBJECTIVE %		10 CFR 50 A YEARLY OBJECTIVE	
GAMMA AIR (mrad) BETA AIR (mrad) WHOLE BODY (mrem) SKIN (mrem) ORGAN (mrem)	5.0 10.0 2.5 7.5 7.5	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	10.0 20.0 5.0 15.0 15.0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
CRITICAL PERSON CRITICAL ORGAN		Teenager Lung		Teenager Lung

Calculation used release data from the following: Unit 1 - Ground

٠

Date of calculation: 2/15/2010

# Table 3.4-1 (continued) Maximum Doses Resulting from Airborne Released Based on Concurrent Metcorological Data

#### Zion Station - Unit 2

w...

#### MAXIMUM DOSES RESULTING FROM AIRBORNE RELEASES

#### 2009

TYPE OF DOSE	FIRST QUARTER	SECOND QUARTER	THIRD QUARTER	FOURTH QUARTER	ANNUAL
GAMMA AIR (mrad) BETA AIR (mrad) WHOLE BODY (mrem)	0.000E+00(N)	0.000E+00( N )	0.000E+00(N)	0.000E+00(N) 0.000E+00(N) 0.000E+00(N)	0.000E+00( N )
SKIN (mrem)		• •		0.000E+00( N )	
ORGAN (mrem)	0.000E+00(N)	1.130E-06( NE)	0.000E+00(N)	0.000E+00( N )	1.130E-06( NE)
CRITICAL PERSON	Adult	Teenager	Adult	Adult	Teenager
CRITICAL ORGAN	Bone	Lung	Bone	Bone	Lung

#### COMPLIANCE STATUS

10 CFR 50 APP.	I	10 CFR 50 AB	PP.I
QUARTERLY OBJECTIVE %	OF APP. I	YEARLY OBJECTIVE	% OF APP. I
5.0	0 00	10 0	0.00
10.0	0.00	20.0	0.00
2.5	0.00	5.0	0.00
7.5	0.00	15.0	0.00
7.5	0.00	15.0	0.00
	Teenager Lung		Teenager Lung
	QUARTERLY OBJECTIVE % 5.0 10.0 2.5 7.5	10.0       0.00         2.5       0.00         7.5       0.00         7.5       0.00         7.5       0.00         Teenager	QUARTERLY OBJECTIVE % OF APP. I YEARLY OBJECTIVE 5.0 0.00 10.0 10.0 0.00 20.0 2.5 0.00 5.0 7.5 0.00 15.0 7.5 0.00 15.0 Teenager

Calculation used release data from the following: Unit 2 - Ground

.

Date of calculation: 2/15/2010

### Intentionally left blank

### APPENDIX F

### METEOROLOGICAL DATA

Period of Record: January - March 2009 Stability Class - Extremely Unstable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 35 Feet

		Wind Speed (in mph)							
Wind Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total		
N	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
NNE	0	0	2	0	0	0	2		
NE	0	0	2	0	0	0	2		
ENE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
E -	• 0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0		
ESE	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0		
SE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
SSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
S	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
SSW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
SW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
WSW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
W	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
WNW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
NW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
NNW	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0		
Variable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	0	0	4	0	0	0	4 .		

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes:

#### Period of Record: January - March 2009 Stability Class - Moderately Unstable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 35 Feet

		Wi	nd Speed	(in mph	1)		
Wind Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total
N	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NNE	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	. 0
NE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ENE	0.	0	2	0	0	0	2
E	- 0	0	0	. 0 .	0	0	0.
ESE	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0
SE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SSE	0	Ó	0	0	0	0	0
S	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SSW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WSW	0.	0	0	0	0	0	0
W	0.	0	0	0	0.	0	0
WNW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NW	0	0	0	0	. 0.	0	. 0
NNW	0	. 0	0.	0	0	0	. 0
Variable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	2	0	0	0	2

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes:

. . . . .

#### Period of Record: January - March 2009 Stability Class - Slightly Unstable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 35 Feet

r. 1		٤W	nd Speed	l (in mp)	n)		
Wind Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total
N	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NNE	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
NE	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
ENE	0	3	1	0	0	0	4
E	- 0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0 `
ESE	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
SE	0	2.	3	0	0	0	5
SSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SSW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WSW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
. W	0	0 .	1	6	0 ·	0	7
WNW	0	0	0	2	0	0	· 2
NW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NNW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Variable	0 ·	0	0	0	0	0	. 0
Total	. 0	. 6	9	9	0	0	24
Hours of calm in Hours of missing Hours of missing	wind meas	urements	in this				4

Wind Speed (in mph)

.

#### Period of Record: January - March 2009 Stability Class - Neutral - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 35 Feet

Wind		Wind Speed (in mph)									
Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total				
N	0	2	4	12	0	0	18				
NNE	2	5	25	4	0	0	36				
NE	1	6	11	16	0	0	34				
ENE	1	9	3	5	0	0	,18				
E	0	8	3	7	0	0	18 ·				
ESE	2	9	9	3	0	0	23				
SE	2	7	• 17	2	0	0	28				
SSE	0	2	22	7	0	0	31				
S ·	0	2	9	7	0	0	18				
SSW	0	5	13	6	2	0	26				
SW	0	7	20	16	9	0	52				
WSW	0	12	20	11	0	0	43				
W	0	13	. 57	16	. 2	0	88				
WNW	0	12	50	10	1	0	73				
NW	0,	15	48	13	0	0	. 76				
NNW	0	10	14	14	0	0	ָ, <u>38</u>				
Variable	0	0	0	0	0.	0	0				
Total	8	124,	325	149	. 14	0	620				

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 34 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes:

#### Period of Record: January - March 2009 Stability Class - Slightly Stable - 250Ft-33Ft Dëlta-T (F) Winds Measured at 35 Feet

	Wind Speed (in mph)							
Wind Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total	
N	0	12	9	9	7	0	37	
NNE	2	10	11	3	4	0	30	
NE	5	4	20	3	0	0	32	
ENE	2	6	2	2	0	0	12	
Е –	4	2	5	8	0	0	19.	
ESE	2	9	7	2	0	0	. 20	
SE	4	18	28	12	0.	0	62	
SSE	2	15	35	14	2	0	68	
S	5	48	25	Ż	0	0	80	
SSW	7	40	32	15	0	0	94	
SW	5	24	32	17	2	0	80	
WSW	5	30	31	2	1	0	69	
W	13	67	86	15	4	0	185	
WNW	12	58	62	5	0	0	137	
NW	10	82	67	17	0	0	176	
NNW	2	22	15	8	0	0	47	
Variable	0	0	0	0	0	0 .	0	
Total	80	447	467	134	20	0.	1148	

Hours of calm in this stability class: 3 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 23 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes:

4

Wind Speed (in mph)

#### Period of Record: January - March 2009 Stability Class - Moderately Stable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 35 Feet

1711 - J	wind speed (in mpn)								
Wind Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total		
Ν	1	5	5	0	0	0	11		
NNE	3.	5	1	0	0	0	9		
NE	0	2	1	0	0	0	3		
ENE	1	1	0	0	0	0	2		
E -	1	2	2	0	0	0	5`		
ESE	2	2	2	0	0	0	6		
SE	1	4	3	1	0	0	9		
SSE	1	8	13	1	1	0	24		
, S	3	19	5	2	0	0	29		
SSW	13	10	1	1	0	0	25		
SW	9	4	0	0	0	0	13		
WSW	7	6	0	0	0	0	13		
W	3	26	0	0	0	0	29		
WNW	6	16	. 0	0	0	0	22		
NW	4	7	0	0	0	0	. 11		
NNW	0	1	1.	0	0	0	2		
Variable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	55	118	34	5	1	0	213		

#### Wind Speed (in mph)

Hours of calm in this stability class: 1 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 8 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes:

#### Period of Record: January - March 2009 Stability Class - Extremely Stable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 35 Feet

Wind							
Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total
N	2	3	0	0	0	0	5
NNE	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
NE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ENE	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
Е –	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
ESE	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
SE	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
SSE	0	2	3	2	0	0	7
S	1	27	1	0	0	0	29
SSW	4	3	1	0	0	0	8
SW	6	1	0	0	0	0	7
WSW	2	2	0	0	0	0	4
W	4	Ŏ	0	0	0	0	4
WNW	Ö	1	0	0	0	0	; 1
NW	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
NNW	1	0	0	0	0	0	. 1
ariable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	27	40	5	2	0	0	74

Wind Speed (in mph)

Hours of calm in this stability class: 2 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes: 4

#### Period of Record: January - March 2009 Stability Class - Extremely Unstable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 250 Feet

#### Wind Direction 1-3 4-7 8-12 13-18 19-24 > 24 Total --------\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ Ν NNE NE ENE 0. 0. Ε -ESE SE SSE S SSW SW WSW W WNW NW NNW Variable Total

Wind Speed (in mph)

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes:

#### Period of Record: January - March 2009 Stability Class - Moderately Unstable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 250 Feet

		T VV	na speed	r (ru mbi	1)		
Wind Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total
N	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NNE	0	0	0	O	0	0	0
NE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ENE	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
Е —	0	0	0	0	0	Ò	0.
ESE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SSE	Ó	0	0	0	0	0	0
S	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SSW	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0
SW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WSW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W ;	0	Q,	0	0	0.	0	0
wnw	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NNW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Variable	0	0	0	0	0	0 <sup>°</sup>	0
Total	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
of calm in th				0 s stabil:	ity class	• 0	

#### Wind Speed (in mph)

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes:

4

·

#### Period of Record: January - March 2009 Stability Class - Slightly Unstable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 250 Feet

Wind		Wind Speed (in mph)							
Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total		
N	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0		
NNE	0	0	0	2	1	0	3		
NE	0	0	0	1	0	0	1		
ENE	0	1	3	0	0	0	4		
Е —	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.		
ESE	0	0	1	0	0	0	1		
SE	0	0	5	1	0	0	6		
SSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
S	0	0	0	0	· 0	0	0		
SSW	0	0	0.	0	0	0	0		
SW	0 ·	0.	0	0	0	0	0		
WSW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
W	0	0	0	0	7	0	7		
WNW	0	0	0	0	2	0	2		
NW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
NNW	0	0 .	0	0	0 -	0	0		
Variable	0	0	0 ·	0 -	0	0 .	0		
Total	0	1	9	4	10	0	24		

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes: 4 . --

#### Period of Record: January - March 2009 Stability Class - Neutral - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 250 Feet

	Wind Speed (in mph)									
Wind Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total			
N	0	1	3	2	13	5	24			
NNE	0	1	14	17	9	3	44			
NE	0	· 7	5	15	14	2	43			
ENE	. 0	3	5	3	3 '	0	14			
E	0	5	4	3	4	0	16.			
ESE	0	4	3	7	4	2	20			
SE	0	4	14	10	10	2	40			
SSE	1	0	12	6	5	0	24			
S	0	0	4	9	8	0	21			
SSW	0	1	. 8	9	4	3	25			
SW	0	4	6	11	13	14				
WSW	0	6	11	16	7	5	45			
W	0	5	24	36	21	7	93			
WNW	0	2	19	31	20	1	73			
NW	0	5	19	31	22	1	78			
NNW	0	6	10	10	10	4	40			
Variable	0	0	0	0	. 0	0 .	0			
Total	1	54	161 <sup>:</sup>	216	167	49	648			

Wind Speed (in mph)

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 6 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes:

#### Period of Record: January - March 2009 Stability Class - Slightly Stable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 250 Feet

Wind Speed (in mph)								
Wind Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total	
N	0	2	8	11	7	14	42	
NNE	0	. 2	6	10	1	4	23	
NE	0	0	10	14	6	0	30	
ENE	0 -	1	6	5	1	2	15	
Е . -	2	3	1	3	.2	5	16 .	
ESE	2	4	4	12	6.	7 ·	35	
SE	2	3	14	9	19	21	68	
SSE	0	16	17	19	16	5	73	
S	0	3	24	31	9	0	67	
SSW	0	3	21 ·	48	9	12	93	
SW	1	3	17	28	16	12	77	
WSW	0 .	7	18	26	14	2	67	
W	0	6	22	71	59	9	167	
WNW	2	6	28	56	44	4	140	
NW	0	10	31	90	38	9	178	
NNW	. 0	4	35	24,	. 12	1	76	
Variable	1	0	0	0.	0	0	1	
Total	10	73	262	457.	259	107	1168	

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 6 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes: 4

#### Period of Record: January - March 2009 Stability Class - Moderately Stable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 250 Feet

1114 m el		Wi	nd Speed	(in mph	1)		
Wind Direction	1-3	47	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total
N	0	5	4	3	0	0	12
NNE	0	1	3	4	1 ·	0	9
NE	1	3	2	3	3	0	12
ENE	0	0	2	0	1	0	3
Ē	- 0	2	2	0	0	1	. 5
ESE	0	2	1	0	0	4	7
SE	2	3	7	2	1	3	18
SSE	0	4	7	8	7	5	31
S	0	. 3	6	11	4	0	24
SSW	. 2	0	4	11	1	0	18
SW	5	. 0	3	. 4	0	0	12
WSW	0	0	4	10	0	0	14
Ŵ	0	1	1	19	.1	0	22
WNW	1	1	3	8	0	0	13
NW	0	1 '	3	11	0	0	15
NNW	0	0	2	4	1 .	0	7
Variable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	11`	26	54	98`	20	13	222

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes:

4

 $\mathbb{C}$ 

٢.

#### Period of Record: January - March 2009 Stability Class - Extremely Stable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 250 Feet

	Wind Speed (in mph)							
Wind Direction		1-3	4-7	8-1.2	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total
N		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NNE		0	0'	2	0	0	0	2
NE		0	2	0	0 .	0	0	2
ENE		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
E	£74	0	1	1	0	0.	0	2 -
ESE		0	0	1	0	0	0	1
SE		0	0	4	0	0	2	6
SSE		0	3	4	6	2	1	16
S .		0	1	6	8	1.	0	16
SSW		0	2	3.	13	2	0	20
SW		0	0	2	3	1	0	6
WSW		0	0	1	0	0	0	1
W		0	0	1	1	0.	0	2
WNW		0	0	1	0	0	0	1
NW		0	0	0	1	0	0	1
NNW		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Variable		0	0	0_	0	0	0	0
Total		0	9	26	32	6	3	76

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes: 4

G

-----

### Period of Record: April - June 2009 Stability Class - Extremely Unstable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 35 Feet

Wind Direction N NNE	1-3 	4-7 	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Tota
	0						
NNE		0	2	1	0	.0	3
	0	7	13	0	0	0	20
NE	0	4	0	· 0	0	0	4
ENE	0	1	0	0	0	. 0	1
Е —	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
ESE	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
SE	0	3	0	0	0	0	· 3
SSE	0	0	0	· 0	0	0	0
S ·	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SSW	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
SW	0	0	2	1	0	0	3
WSW	0	0	3	3	0	0	6
₩ .	0	0	9	9	0	0	18
WNW	0	0	12	5	. 0	0	17
NW	1	2	2	0	0	0	5
NNW	· 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
/ariable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1	23	44	19	0	0	87

#### Wind Speed (in mph)

Hours of Hours of nissing Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes: 7

#### Period of Record: April - June 2009 Stability Class - Moderately Unstable - 250Ft-33Ft.Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 35 Feet

<b>F2 1 1</b>									
Wind Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total		
N	0	1	0	2	0	0	3		
NNE	0	2	6	8	0	0	16		
NE	0	2	3	0	0	0	5		
ENE	0	1	0	0	0	0	1		
E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 -		
ESE	0	2	. 0	0	0	0	2		
SE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
SSE	0	0	3	0	· 0	0	3		
S	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
SSW .	0	0	1	4	0	0	5		
ร์พ	0.	0	2	2.	0	0	4		
WSW	0.	0	5	0	0	0	5		
W .	0	0	7	2	0	0	9		
WNW .	0	3	1	2	0	0	6		
NW	0	2	0	1	0	0	. 3		
NNW	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Variable	0	0	0	0	0.	0	0		
Total	0	13	28	21	0 ·	0	62		

Wind Speed (in mph)

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes:

# Period of Record: April - June 2009 Stability Class - Slightly Unstable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 35 Feet

	Wind Speed (in mph)									
Wind Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total			
N	0	1	7	8	3	0	19			
NNE	0	14	15	2	0	0	31			
NE	1	1	4	1	0	0	· 7			
ENE	1	1	0	0	. 0	0	2			
E	0	2	0	0	0	0	2 .			
ESE	0	5	0	0	0 .	0	5			
SE	0	2	1	0	0	0	3			
SSE	0	3	4	0	0	0	7			
S	0	0	0	0	0 *	0	0			
SSW	0`	0	2	1	0	0	3			
SW	0	0	6	2	0	0	8			
WSW	0	0	. 8	Ż	0	0	10			
W	0	0	12	0	0	0	12			
WNW	0	3	6	3	0	0	12			
NW	0	4	0	2	0	0	6			
NNW	0	0	0 <sup>´</sup>	0	0	0	· 0			
Variable	0 .	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Total	2	36	65	21	3	0	127			
of calm in th	is stab	ility cl	ass:	0						

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 1 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes:

#### Period of Record: April - June 2009 Stability Class - Neutral - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 35 Feet

#### Wind Speed (in mph) Wind Direction 1-3 4-7 8-12 13-18 19-24 > 24 Total \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_\_\_\_ \_ ... .. \_ \_ \_ -----\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ ... Ν 1.3 NNE NE ENE 10 . Ε ESE SE Ż . 7 SSE S SSW SW WSW Ŵ WNW NW NNW

Total 26 191 226 96 11 0 550

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes:

Variable

7 i.

0.

. . ...

### Period of Record: April - June 2009 Stability Class - Slightly Stable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 35 Feet

Wind		Wi	nd Speed	l (in mp)	1)		
Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total
						0	
N	13	35	80	34	6	2	170
NNE	14	50	41	4	3	0	112
NE	10	30	11	5	1	0	57
ENE	8	16	19	3	1	0	47
Е –	7	15	4	2	0	0	28
ESE	3	11	1	0	0	0	15
SE	7	24	3	1	0	0	35
SSE	7	22	22	6	1	0	58
S	1	14	17	1	0	0	33
SSW	5	19	16	10	5	0	55
SW	2	8	17	13	$1^{\circ}$	0	41
WSW	4	21	6	1	0	0	32
W	5	22	26	0	0	0	53
WNW	4	14	12	0	0	0	30
NW	5	21	10	0	0	0	36
NNW	8	16	3	4	0	0	31
Variable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	103	338	288	84	18	2	833

# Wind Speed (in mph)

Hours of calm in this stability class: 1 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 1 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes: 7

.

# Period of Record: April - June 2009 Stability Class - Moderately Stable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 35 Feet

لتا بن مرا	Wind Speed (in mph)								
Wind Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total		
N	1.3	7	1	0	0	0	21		
NNE	3	8	1	0	0	0	12		
NE	6	1.1.	0	0	0	0	17		
ENE	5	3	5	2	0	0	15		
E -	• 5	2	4	0	0	0	11 .		
ESE	5	4	3	0	0	0	12		
SE	10	6	1	1	0	0	18		
SSE	2	17	16	0	0	0	35		
S	8	14	6	1	0	0	29		
SSW	9	13	0	0	0	0	22		
SW	17	6	2	0	0	0	25		
WSW	14	13	1	0	0	0	28		
W	5	19	0	0	0	0	24		
· WNW	4	10	0	0	0 '	0	14		
NW	17	12	0	0	0	0	29		
NNW	7	4	0.	0	0	0	11		
Variable	0	0	0 -	0	0	0	0		
Total	1.30	149	40	4	0	0	323		

Hours of calm in this stability class: 2 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes:

### Period of Record: April - June 2009 Stability Class - Extremely Stable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 35 Feet

Wind	Wind Speed (in mph)							
Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total	
N	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	
NNE	3	8	0	0	0	0	11	
NE	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	
ENE	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	
E	- 2	2	1	0	0	0	5 -	
ESE	1	5	0	0	0	0	6	
SE	1	5	4	0	0	0	10	
SSE	3	5.	4	2	0	0	14	
S	9	9	9	1	0	0	28	
SSW	19	3	0	0	0	0	22	
SW	21	5	0	0	0	0	26	
WSW	11	15	0	0	0	0	26	
W	13	7	0	0 .	0	0	20	
WNW	9 .	0	0	0	0	0	. 9	
NW	4	1	0 ·	0	0	0	5	
NNW	3	0'	0	0	0	0	3	
Variable	0	0	0	0.	0	0.	0	
Total	104	65	18 <sup>.</sup>	3	0	0	190	

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes: 7 ----

#### Period of Record: April - June 2009 Stability Class - Extremely Unstable - 250Ft-33Ft Đelta-T (F) Winds Measured at 250 Feet

	Wind Speed (in mph)								
Wind Direction	1-3	47	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total		
N	0	0	1	1	1	0	3		
NNE	0	2	11	7	0	0	20		
NE	0	1.	3	0	0	0	4		
ENE	0	2	· 0	0	0	0	2		
E ·	- 0	1	2	0	0	0	3 -		
ESE	0	2	1	1	0	0	. 4		
SE	0	1	0	0	0	0	1		
SSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
S	0	0	0	0	0	0	, 0		
SSW	0	0	0	1	0	0	1		
SW	0	0	0	1	1	0	2		
WSW	0	0	0	3	2	0	5		
W	0	0	0	5	11	3	19		
WNW	0	0	1	6	11,	2	20		
NW	0	2	1	0	0.	0	3		
NNW	0	0.	0	0	0	0	0		
Variable	0	0	0	0	0 ·	0	. 0		
Total	0	11	20	25	26	5	87		

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes: 7 -----

• -

# Period of Record: April - June 2009 Stability Class - Moderately Unstable - 250Ft-33Ft<sup>\*</sup>Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 250 Feet

Wind	Wind Speed (in mph)								
Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total		
N	0	0	1	1	2	0	4		
NNE	0	. 0 <sup>.</sup>	2	6	6	1	15		
NE	0	0	4	1	0	0	5		
ENE	0	1	0	0	0	0	1		
E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
ESE	0	0	2	0	0	0	2		
SE	0	0	1	0	0	0	1		
SSE	0	0	1	1.	0	0	· 2		
S	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0		
SSW	0	0	0	1	3	0	· 4		
SW	0	0	0	3	1	0	4		
WSW	0	0	3	2	1	0	6		
W	0	0	0	7	1	1	9		
WNW	0	0 ·	3	1	3	0	7		
NW	0	0	0	1	1	0	2		
NNW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Variable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	0	1	17	24	18	2	62		

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes:

7

-

# Period of Record: April - June 2009 Stability Class - Slightly Unstable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 250 Feet

Wind		Wi	nd Speed	d (in mpł	1)		
Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total
N	1	0	5	9	7	4	26
NNE	0	4	11	8	2	0	25
NE	. 0	1	3	2	1	0	7
ENE	· 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
E	0	2	1	0	0	0	3.
ESE	0	1	3	1	0	0	5
SE	0	0	5	0	0	0	5
SSE	0	0	1	3	0	0	4
S	0	· 0	2	0	. 0	0	2
SSW	0	0	0	2	1	0	3
SW	0	0	0	6	1	0	7
WSW	0	0	3	6	2	0	11
W	0	0 ·	0	8	4	0	12
WNW	0	. 0	4 .	6	2	0	12
NW	0	0	2	1	3,	0.	6
NNW .	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Variable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1 .	8.	40	52	23	4	128

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes: 7

# Period of Record: April - June 2009 Stability Class - Neutral - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 250 Feet

r7 1 . 1	Wind Speed (in mph)								
Wind Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total		
Ň	1	4	22	31	43	15	116		
NNE	1	17	19	30	9	4	80		
NE	0	6	6	4	4	1	21		
ENE	0	9	2	3	3	1	18		
E -	· 1	4	4	1	1	0	11 `		
ESE	1.	3	· 2	1	0	0	7		
SE	1	. 8	10	3	0	0	22		
SSE	1	7	7	9	0	0	24		
S	0	1	2	2	· 2	2	9		
SSW	0	1	5	6	6 <sup>-</sup>	1	19		
SW	0	1	9	8	6	4	28		
WSW	0	1	6	10	5	9	31		
W	0	2	15	26	1	1	45		
WNW	0	2	19	24	20	0	65		
NW	0	0	9	16	1.4	1	40		
' NNW	0 .	1	6	4	3	0	14		
Variable	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0		
Total	6	67	143	178	117	39	550		

# Wind Speed (in mph)

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes:

# Period of Record: April - June 2009 Stability Class - Slightly Stable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 250 Feet

لتاخير ما	Wind Speed (in mph)							
Wind Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total	
N	0	6	29	53	44	29	161	
NNE	1	18.	29	46	10	2	106	
NE	3	11	11	16	7	4	52	
ENE	4	12	9	10	12	2	49	
E	- 2	12	8	5	9	<sup>,</sup> 6	42 -	
ESE	2	10	8	6	0	2	28	
SE	0	10	16	10	2	1	39	
SSE	1	13	17	12	12	3	58	
S ·	0	4	2	16	· 4	0	26	
SSW	0	4	7	27	6	13	57	
SW	0	0	7	18	20	4	49	
WSW .	0	2	5	15	4	0	. 26	
W	0	6	6	33	13	0	58	
WNW	1	0	3.	19	1	0.	24	
NW	0	1 .	12	23	1	0	37	
NNW	1	1	9	7	4	1	23	
Variable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	15	110,	178	316	149.	67	835	

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 7 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes:.

# Period of Record: April - June 2009 Stability Class - Moderately Stable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 250 Feet

	wind speed (in mpn)										
Wind Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total				
N	0	4	16	2	0	0	22				
NNE	0	6	9	2	0	0	17				
NE	1	10	3	0	0	1	15				
ENE	2	9	5	1	1	1	19.				
E	1	6	2	1 .	2	7	19				
ESE	2	9	3	1	3	2	20				
SE	0	7	5	5	0	1	18				
SSE	3	10	12.	23	7 ·	2	57				
S	0	4	10	9	3	0	. 26				
SSW	0	2	1	9	0	0	12				
SW	1	3	4	5 .	2	0	15				
WSW	1	1	3	4	0	0	· 9				
W	0	4	7	18	3	0	32				
WNW	0	4	3	9	0	0	. 16				
NW	2	0	5 *	13	1	0	21				
NNW	0 ·	2	2	2	0	0	6				
Variable	0`	0 ·	0 `	0	0	0	0				
Total	13	81	90	104	22	14	324				

# Wind Speed (in mph)

Hours of calm in this stability class: 1 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes:

# Period of Record: April - June 2009 Stability Class - Extremely Stable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 250 Feet

Wind	Wind Speed (in mph)								
Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total		
N	0	1	2	0	0	0	3		
NNE	2	3	2	0	0	0	7		
NE	2	2	3	1	0	0	8		
ENE	2	1	0	0	0	0	3		
Е	- 1	0	3	0	0	1	5 .		
ESE	0	2	0	1	1	1	5		
SE	3	4	0	2	. 0	4	13		
SSE	2	4	1	10	4	1	22		
S	1	5	11	14	4	2	37		
SSW	3	4	7	11	0	0	25		
SW	2	3	4	6	0	0	15		
WSW	0	1	1	8	6	0	16		
W	2	0	1	3	0	0	6		
WNW	0	2	4	5	0	0	11		
WИ	0	1	3	1	0	0	5		
NNW	1.	3	3	1	0	0	8		
Variable	0	0	0	0	0	Ô	0		
Total	21	36	45	63	15	9	189		

Hours of calm in this stability class: 1 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes:

· 7

- -

# Period of Record: July - September 2009 Stability Class - Extremely Unstable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 35 Feet

		W	ind Speed	l (in mph	)		
Wind Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total
N	0	4	4	0	0	0	8
NNE	0	26	36	0	0	0	62
NE	1	40	6	0	0	0	47
ENE	0	35	. 7	0	0	0	42
E	0	33	2	0	0	0	35
ESE	1	39	4	0	0	0	44
SE	0	14	6	0	0	0	20
SSE	0	5	4	0	0	0	9
S	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
SSW	0	0	3	0	0	· 0	. 3
SW	0	3	12	8	0	0	23
WSW	0	9	19	1	0	0.	29
W	0	11	17	3	0	0	31
WNW	. 0	20	15	0	0	0	35
NW	1	8	15	0	Ο.	0	24
NNW	0	3	2	0	0	0	5
Variable	0	0.	0	0.	0	0	0
Total	3	252	152	12	0	0	419

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes:

# Period of Record: July - September 2009 Stability Class - Moderately Unstable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 35 Feet

المراجع المراجع		Wind Speed (in mph)								
Wind Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total			
N	0	3	1	0	0	0	4			
NNE	0	11	3	0	0	0	14			
NE	3	3	2	0	0	0	8			
ENE	. 3	2	, 0	0	0	0	5			
E .	1	4	0	0	0	0	5			
ESE	0	3	0	0	0	0	3			
SE	0	6	1	0	0	0	7			
SSE	. 0	4.	3	0	0	0	7			
S	O,	0	· 0	0	0	0	0			
SSW	0	0	1	1	0	0	2			
SW	0	0	4	0	0	0	4			
WSW	0	4	3	0	0	0	7			
Ŵ	0	3	6	1	0	0	10			
WNW ·	0	1	1	0	0	0	2			
NW	0	2	6	0	0	0	8			
NNW	0	3	1	0	0	0	4			
Variable	0	0	0	0	0	0.	0			
Total	7	49	32	2	0	0	90			

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes: 0 .

•

# Period of Record: July - September 2009 Stability Class - Slightly Unstable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 35 Feet

Wind		W.	ind Speed	d (in mpl	n)		
Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total
N	0	8	4	0	0	0	12
NNE	0	9	1	0	0	0	10
NE	3	3	1	0	0	0	7
ENE	4	2	1	0	0	0	7
E	0	7	1	0	0	0	8.
ESE	3	5	1	0	0	0	9
SE	1	13	0	0	0	0	14
SSE	0	6	6	0	0	0	12
S	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
SSW	0	2	3	0	0	0	. 5
SW	0	4	11	3	0	0	18
WSW	0	5	3	0	0	0	8
·	0	7	1	3	0	0	11
WNW	1	9	8	0	0 <sup>*</sup>	0	18
NW	1	7	2	0	0	0	10
NNW	1	1	1	0	0	0	3
Variable	0 '	0	0.	0	0	0.	0
Total	14	89	44	6	0	0	153
ours of calm in th						• 0	

Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes: 0

, ---

# Period of Record: July - September 2009 Stability Class - Neutral - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 35 Feet

# Wind Speed (in mph)

- . .

Wind Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total
N	5	30	17	0	0	0	52
NNE	7	26	11	0	0	0	44
NE	10	11	4	0	0	0	25
ENE	4	9	4	2	0	0	19
Е	2	17	5	0.	0	0	24
ESE .	5	27	6	0	0	0	38
SE	1	16	4	0	0	0	21
SSE	2	26	21	3	0	0	52
S	3	20	5	0	0	0	28
SSW	3	12	1.7	1	0	0	33
SW	2.	14	43	7	1	0	67
WSW	5	14	6	0	0	0	25
₩.	8	31	8 ·	12	0	0	59
wnw	5	40	6	3	0	0	54
NW	7	18	13	6	0	0	44
NNW	4	15	7	0	0	0	. 26
Variable	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0
Total	73	326	177	34	1	0	611

Hours of missing wind measurements in all stability classes: 0

# Period of Record: July - September 2009 Stability Class - Slightly Stable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 35 Feet

rad - J	Wind Speed (in mph)								
Wind Direction	1-3	4 - 7	8-1.2	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total		
Ν	16	35	2	0	0	0	53		
NNE	6	14	3	0	0	0	23		
NÉ	6	1.0	1	0	0	0	17		
ENE	4	9	0	0	0	0	13		
E .	7	2	0	0	0	0	9		
ESE	- · 3	4	0	0	0	0	7		
SE	6	8	2	0	0	0	16		
SSE	3	17	14	2	0	0	36		
S	10	31	3	0	0	0	44		
SSW	17	17	7	0	0	0	41		
SW	11	17	5	0	0	0	33		
WSW	10	18	1	0	0.	0	29		
W	9	33	4	0	0	0	46		
WNW	19	13	3	0	0	0	35		
NW	12	19	1	0	0	0	32		
NNW	9	10	0	0	0	0	19		
Variable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	148	257	46	2	0	0	453		

Hours of calm in this stability class: 8 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes: 0 ----

Period of Record: July - September 2009 Stability Class - Moderately Stable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 35 Feet \*

Hours of calm in this stability class: 2 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes: 0

# Period of Record: July - September 2009 Stability Class - Extremely Stable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 35 Feet

		Wind Speed (in mph)							
Wind Direction	13	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total		
N	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
NNE	1	0	0	0 )	0	0	1		
NE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
ENE	1	0	0	0	0	0	1		
E .	1	0	0	0	0	0	1		
ESE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
SE	0	1	1	0	. 0	0	2		
SSE	1	3	0	0	0	0	4		
S	. 2	0	0	0	0	0	2		
SSW	7	0	0	0	0	0	7		
SW	17	0	0	0	0	0	17		
WSW	23	7	0	0	0	0	30		
W	37	17	0	0	0	0	54		
WNW	36	26	0	0	0	0	62		
NW	5	8	0	0	0	0	13		
NNW	1	0	0	0	0	.0	1		
'Variable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	132	62	1	0	0	0	195		

Hours of calm in this stability class: 1 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes:

### Period of Record: July - September 2009 Stability Class - Extremely Unstable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 250 Feet

### Wind Speed (in mph)

	Wind Speed (in Mph)								
Wind Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total		
N	0	2	3	6	0	0	11		
NNE	0	14	33	18	0	0	65		
NE	0	18	17	5	0	0	40		
ENE	0	23	12	7	0	0	42		
E .	0	18	8	2	0	. 0	28		
ESE	0	21	26	2	0	0	49		
SE	0.	3	13	8	1	0	25		
SSE	0	1	5	1	0	0	7		
S	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0		
SSW	0	0	0	1	0	0	1		
SW	0	1	1	11	. 7	0	. 20		
WSW	Ο.	1	12	10	7	0	. 30		
W	0	2.	15	12	6	2	37		
WNW	0	5	15	15	1	0	36		
NW	1	0.	13	8	0	0	22		
NNW	0	3	2	1	0	0	6		
Variable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	1	112	175	107	22	2	419		

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes: 0

### Period of Record: July - September 2009 Stability Class - Moderately Unstable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 250 Feet

		W	ind Speed	d (in mp)	h)		
Wind Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total
Ν	0	3	4	1	0	0	8
NNE	0	1	7	1	0	0	9
NE	2	1	3	2	0	0	8
ENE	0	3	1	1 .	0	0	5
E .	0	3	3	0	0	0	6.
ESE	0	2	2	2	0	0	6
SE	0	2	1	1	0	0	. 4
SSE	0	1	4	2	o	0	7
S	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SSW	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
SW	0	0	1	1	2	0	4
WSW	0	1	2	2	1	0	. 6
W	0	1	2	4	4	0	11
WNW	0	0	1	1	1`'	0	. 3
NW	0	2	1	5	0	0	8
NNW	0	1	2	0	0	0	3
Variable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	2	21	34	24	9	0	90
colm in the	ic ctab	ility ol	2001	0			

Wind Speed (in mph)

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes:

# Period of Record: July - September 2009 Stability Class - Slightly Unstable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 250 Feet

nt da al	Wind Speed (in mph)								
Wind Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total		
N	0	1	6	3	0	0	10		
NNE	0	5	4	1	0	0	10		
NE	0	1	3	0	1	0	5		
ENE	0	6	2	1	0	0	9		
E .	1	5	3	0	0	0	9		
. ESE	0	7	2	2	1	0	12		
SE	0	8	2	4	0	0	14		
, SSE	0	· 0	7	1	. 0	0	8		
S	0	0	0	1	0	0	1		
SSW	0	1	0	1	0	0	2		
SW	0.	2	5	8	5	0	20		
WSW	0	2	3	4	1	0	10		
W	1	Ο,	5	2	0	3	11		
WNW .	0	0	7	9	3	0	19		
NW	0	3	5	0	1	0	9		
NNW	1	1	1	1	0	0	, 4		
Variable	0	0	0	0	0	0	• 0		
Total	3	42	55	38	12	3	153		

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes: 0 • -

# Period of Record: July - September 2009 Stability Class - Neutral - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 250 Feet

#### Wind Speed (in mph)

	wind speed (in mpn)									
Wind Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total			
N	2	1.0	18	22	3	0	55			
NNE	0	8	19	16	0	0	43			
NE	1	2	14	5	0	0	22			
ENE	0	6	6	2	4	0	18			
E .	0	7	20	5	1	0	33.			
ESE	0	9	15	12	2	0	38			
SE	0	6	13	9	1	0	29			
SSE	0	14	17	13	4	0	48			
S	0	4	16	2	2	0	24			
SSW	0	2	5	12	1	1	21			
SW	1	3	3	41	22	. 4	74			
WSW	· 0	2	13	5	5 .	0	25			
W	1	4	26	10	8	9	58			
WNW	0	3	36	19	1	2	61			
NW	0	3	11	17	9	2	42			
NNW	0	· 1	13	6	0	0	20			
Variable	0	0	0	0	0	0	; 0			
Total	5	84:	245	196	63	18	611			

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes: 0

# Period of Record: July - September 2009 Stability Class - Slightly Stable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 250 Feet

#### Wind Speed (in mph)

	wind Speed (in mpn)									
Wind Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24 	> 24	Total			
N	0	3	14	15	. 0	0	32			
NNE	1	11	21	7	0	0	40			
NE	0	5	14	5	2	0	26			
ENE	0	3	6	4	0	0	13			
E .	1	10	11	2	0	0	24			
ESE	0	6	7	5	2	0	20			
SE	2	8	4	2	4	0	20			
SSE	0	7	15	9	6	0	37			
S	0	6	19	14	2	0	41			
SSW	0	4	6	17	3	0	30			
SW	0	1	9	21	1	0	32			
WSW	0	4	16	9	1	0	30			
W	0	1	9	23	3	0	36			
WNW	0	1	20	1.4	2	0	37			
NW	0	2	9	9	0	0	20			
NNW	0	0	10	13	0	0 .	., 23			
Variable	0	0	0	0	0,	0	0			
Total	4	72	190 .	169.	26	0	461			

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes: 0 ----

# Period of Record: July - September 2009 Stability Class - Moderately Stable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 250 Feet

5 <b>7</b> ( )		Wi	nd Speed	d (in mp)	ר)		
Wind Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total
N	0	3	10	8	0	0	21
NNE	0	12	12	3	0	0	27
NE	0	8	7	0	0	0	15
ENE	1	4	5	0	0	0	10
Ε.	_ 1	8	1	1	0 .	0	11 .
ESE	1	2	1	0	3	0	7
SE	0	8	2	0	1	1	12
SSE	0	3	3 '	0	0	0	6
S	1	5	13 <sup>.</sup>	11	0	0	30
SSW	1	9	13	13	0.	0	36
SW	· 1·	4	10	8	0	.0	23
WSW	0	1	5	7	1	0	14
W	0	2	6	16	4	0	28
WNW	0	0	7	11	2	0	20
NW	0	1	2	8	0	0	11
NNW	0	. 0	7	0	. 0	0	7
Variable	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0
Total	6	70	104	86	11	1.	278
Hours of calm in t Hours of missing w Hours of missing s	wind meas	urements	; in this				0

. . .

. . . . . . . .

Period of Record: July - September 2009 Stability Class - Extremely Stable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 250 Feet --

· ·	Wind Speed (in mph)								
Wind Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total		
N	4	8	14	0	0	0	26		
NNE	4	13	4	0	0	0	21		
NE	5	2	1	0	0	0	8		
ENE	0	2	0	0	0	0	2		
E .	2	0	0	0	0	0	2		
- ESE	1	2	0	0	0	0	3		
SE	0	2	0	0	0	2	4		
SSE	1	3	1	. 0	0	0	5		
S	0	2	1	2	0	0	5		
SSW	0	4	9	9	0	0	22		
SW	2	2	8	7	0	0	19		
WSW	2	3	6	· 2	0	0	13		
W	1	1	5	7	0	0	14		
WNW	1	2	5	7	1	0	16		
NW	0	1	4	12	0	0	17		
NNW	2	3	9	2	0	0	16		
Variable	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0		
Total	25	50	67	48	1	2 .	193		

Hours of calm in this stability class: 3 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes: 0

# Period of Record: October - December 2009 Stability Class - Extremely Unstable - 250Ft-33Ft.Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 35 Feet

Wind			-	a (an mbi							
Direction	1-3	4-7 	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Tota.				
N	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
NNE	0	0	2	0	0	0	2				
NE	0	1	0	0	0	0	1				
ENE	0	2	0	0	0	0	2				
E -	• · 0	1	0	0	0	0	1				
ESE	0	3	1	0	0	0	4				
SE	0	3	1	0	0	0	4				
SSE	0	0	0	0	0.	0	0				
S	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
SSW	0	0	1	1	0	0	2				
SW	0	0	6	1	0	0	7				
WSW	0	0	9	3	0	0	12				
W	0	0	10	1	0	0	11				
WNW	0	1	4	0	0	0	. 5				
NW	0	1	0	0	0	0	1				
NNW	0	1	0	0	0	0	1.				
/ariable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	0	13	34	6	0	0	53				

# Wind Speed (in mph)

Hours o Hours of Hours of missing wind measurements in all stability classes: 5

#### Period of Record: October - December 2009 Stability Class - Moderately Unstable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 35 Feet

10 J - J		wind speed (in mpn)							
Wind Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total		
N	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
NNE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
NE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
ENE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Е -	- 0	0	0	0	0	0	0 .		
ESE	0	2	1	0	0	0	3		
SE	0	1	1	0	0	0	2		
SSE	0	1	0	0	0	0	1		
S	0	0 ·	0	0	0	0	0		
SSW	0	0 ·	0	0	0	0	0		
SW	0	0	4	0	. 0	0	4		
WSW	0	1	5.	0	0	0	6		
W	0	0	8	1	0	0	9		
WNW	0	2	0.	0	0	0	2		
NW	0.	2	0	0	0	0	2		
NNW	0.	1	3	0	0:	0	. ~ 4		
Variable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	0	10.	22	1	0	0	33		

Wind Speed (in mph)

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 1 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes: 5

.

### Period of Record: October - December 2009 Stability Class - Slightly Unstable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 35 Feet

		Wi	nd Speed	l (in mp)	n )						
Wind Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total				
N	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
NNE	0	1	0	0	0	0	1				
NE	0	2	0	0	0	0	2				
ENE	0	3	0	0	0	0	3				
Е . <b></b>	0	1	0	3	0	0	4.				
ESE	0	4	0	0	0	0	4				
SE	0	5	4	1	0	0	10				
SSE	0	1	3	0	0	0	4				
S	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0				
SSW	0	1	3	0	0	0	- 4				
SW	0	2	6	1	0	0	9				
WSW	0	1	4	4	0	0	9				
W	0.	4	12	2	0	0	18				
wnw	0	5	5	1	0	0	11				
NW	0	2	2	0	0	0	4				
NNW	0	0.	3	0	0 ·	0	3				
Variable	0:	0	0	0	0	0	. 0				
Total	0	32	42	12	0	0	86				

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 3 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes: 5

. . . . . . . . .

#### Period of Record: October - December 2009 Stability Class - Neutral - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 35 Feet

<b>F1</b>			Wi.	Wind Speed (in mph)				
Wind Directior		1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total
N		3	6	14	4	0	0	27
NNE		1	14	9	2	0	0	26
NE		1	5	22	14	0	0	42
ENE		0	1	13	12	4	0	30
E .	vive	2	10	10	29	15	5	71 ·
ESE		3	27	24	5	6	0	. 65
SE		5	33	25	14	0	0	77
SSE		4	17	37	20	8	0	86
S		5	29	11	0	0	0	45
SSW		8	34	19	3	0	0	64
SW		4	27	37	20	0	0	88
WSW		6	43	49	17	1	0	116
W		2	56	57	19	2	0	136
WNW		11	32	30	7	0	0	80
NW		8	21	25	9	0	0	63
NNW		8	26	15	3	0	0	52
Variable		0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0
Total		71	381	397	178	36	5	1068

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 85 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes: 5 - •

and the second second

# Period of Record: October - December 2009 Stability Class - Slightly Stable - 250Ft-33Ft Dělta-T (F) Winds Measured at 35 Feet

#### Wind Speed (in mph)

	Wind Speed (in mpn)								
Wind Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total		
N	8	11	9	1	0	0	29		
NNE	3	8	7	0	0	0	18		
NE	1	1	3	1.	0	0	6		
ENE	0	2	1	1	0	0	4		
E -	• 0	0	5	9	18	2	34		
ESE	1	3	3	15	8	0	30		
SE	0	15	22	7	0	0	44		
SSE	2	4	10	7	. 4	1	28		
S	7	23	15	5	0	0	50		
SSW	16	55	25	2	. 0 ·	0	98		
SW	17	37	30	0	0	0	84		
WSW	4	36	13	. 2	0	0	55		
W	14	31	13	0	0.	0	58		
WNW	15	35	13	0	0	.0	63		
NW	4	32	6	0	0	0	42		
NNW	9	21	10	2	0	0	42		
Variable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	101	314	185	52	30	3	685		

Hours of calm in this stability class: 1 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 8 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes:

#### Period of Record: October - December 2009 Stability Class - Moderately Stable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 35 Feet

		Wind Speed (in mph)							
Wind Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total		
N	0	1.1	0	0	0	0	11		
NNE	1	1	0	0	0	0	2		
NE	0	0	0	0	0.	0	0		
ENE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
E -	- 0	1	0	0	0	0	1 .		
ESE	2	0	0	0	0	0	2		
SE	1	3	1	0	0	0	5		
SSE	0	0	3	0	0	0	3		
S	1	2	0	0	0	0	3		
SSW	4	15	1	0	0	0	20		
SW .	2	1.	0	0	0	0	3		
WSW	10	5	0	0	0	0	15		
W	11.	20	0	. 0	0	0	31		
WNW	2	4	0	0	0	0	· 6		
NW	3	4	0.	0	0	0	. 7		
NNW	4	2	0	0	0	0	6		
Variable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	41	69	5	0	0	0	115		

Wind Speed (in mph)

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes:

#### Period of Record: October - December 2009 Stability Class - Extremely Stable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 35 Feet

Wind					- /							
Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total					
			,									
Ν	0	2	0	0	0	0	2					
NNE	0	0 '	0	0	0	0	0					
NE	1	0	0	0	0	0	1					
ENE	0	0	0.	0	0	0	0					
E –	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.					
ESE	4	2	0	0	0	0	6					
SE	1	1	0	0	0	0	2					
SSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
S	0	2	2	0	0	0	4					
SSW	1	1	0	0	0	0	2					
SW	0	2	0	0	0	0	2					
WSW	7	4	0	0	0	0	11					
W	7	11	0'	0	0	0	18					
WNW	6	3	0	0 ·	0	0	9					
NW ·	4	1	0	Ó	0	0	5					
NNW	2	1	0	0	0	0	3					
Variable	0	0.	0	0	0	0	0					
Total	33	30	2	0	0	0	·· 65					

#### Wind Speed (in mph)

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes:

# Period of Record: October - December 2009 Stability Class - Extremely Unstable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 250 Feet

Wind									
Wind Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total		
N	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
NNE	0	0	0	2	0	0	2		
NE	0	2	0	0	0.	0	2		
ENE	0	0	1	0	0	0	1		
£ -	0	1	. 0	0	0	0	1.		
ESE	0	. 0	1.	0	0	0	1		
SE	0	0	6	1	0 -	0	7		
SSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
S	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
SSW	0:	0	0,	1	0	0	1		
SW	0	0	2	5	0	0	7		
WSW	0.	0	4.	4	3	0	. 11		
W	0	0	2	7	2	1	12		
WNW	0	0	1 ·	4	1	0	6		
NW	0	0	1	0	0.	0	. 1		
NNW	0 -	0	1	0	0.	0	1		
Variable	0	0	0	0	0.	0 ,	0		
Total	0	3	19	24	6	1	53		

Wind Speed (in mph)

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes:

# Period of Record: October - December 2009 Stability Class - Moderately Unstable - 250Ft-33Ft-Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 250 Feet

STA - J	`	Wi	nd Speed	(in mpł	1)					
Wind Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total			
N	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
NNE	0	0	1	0	0	0	1			
NE	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0			
ENE	0	0	0	0 ·	0	0	0			
Е —	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0 .			
ESE	0	0	1	1	0	0	2			
SE	0	0	2	1	0	0	3			
SSE	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0			
S	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0			
SSW	0	0	0	0 ·	0	0	· · 0			
SW	0	0	0 `	3	0	0	· 3.			
WSW	0	0	2	5	1	0	8			
W	0	0	0	7	2	0	9			
WNW	0	1	1	0 \	0	0	2			
NW	0.	1	3 '	0	0	0	4			
NNW	0	0	2	0	0	0	2			
Variable	0	0	0	0	0	0 ·	0			
Total	0	2	12	17	3	0	34			

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes:

#### Period of Record: October - December 2009 Stability Class - Slightly Unstable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 250 Feet

ta é se sa		Wi	nd Speed	(jn mpł	(ב					
Wind Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total			
N	0	0	0	1	0	0	1			
NNE	0	0	. 1	1	0	0	2			
NE	0	1	1	0	0	0	2			
ENE	0	3	0	0	0	1	4			
E	0	1	0	0	3	0	4 ·			
ESE	0	3	0	0	0	0	3			
SE	0	3	3	2	0	0	8			
SSE	0	0	3	3 .	0	0	6			
S	0	0.	0	1	0	0	1			
SSW	0	0	1	1	0	0	2			
SW	0	0	3	5	1	0	9			
WSW	0	0	. 3	4	2	1	10			
W	0:	1	5	9	3	1	19			
WNW	0	2	3	4	1	0	. 10			
NW	0	0	3,	1	1	0	5			
NNW	0	0	3	0	0	0	· 3			
Variable	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0			
Total	0	14	29.	32	11	3	89			

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes: 5

#### Period of Record: October - December 2009 Stability Class - Neutral - 250Ft-33Ft Delta\*T (F) Winds Measured at 250 Feet

<b>5</b> . 1		Wi	nd Speed	d (in mp)	1)		
Wind Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total
N	1	2	10	9	3	2	27
NNE	0	3	8	8	12	0	31
NE	0	2	7	17	14	16	56
ENE	0	3	2	11	19	22	57
E.	- 1	5	6	13	19	25	69
ESE	1.	8	15	16	11	16	67
SE	2	14	13	19	14	. 8	70
SSE	0	6	23	30	7	12	· 78
S	3	11	19	17	14	2	66
SSW	2	10	25	17	6	2	6Ż
SW	. 1	12	25	29	16	7	90
WSW	0	7	30	41	18	12	108
W	1	7	47	53	20	15	143
WNW	0	11	35	17.	18	0	81
NW	3	9	16	34	14	3	79
NNW	1	8	16	26	4.	0	55
Variable	0.	0	0	0	0.	0 (	0
Total	16	118	297	357	209	142	1139

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 14 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes:

5

•

.

# Period of Record: October - December 2009 Stability Class - Slightly Stable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 250 Feet

Wind	Wind Speed (in mph)						
Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total
N	3	3	7	6	2	0	21
NNE	4	2	6	19	2	0	33
NE	1	1	0	7	1	1	.11
ENE	3	1.	2	2.	2	0	10
Е	1	1	1	0	6	14	23 -
ESE	0	2	2	1	2	21	28
SE	0	1.	5	0	4:	8	18
SSE	1	3	7	8	6	9	34
S	0,	1	19	36	17	2	75
SSW	2	7	29	35	14	0	87
SW	0	6	26	38	15	0	85
WSW	0	4	22	22	3	1	- 52
W	2	3	21	27	3	1	57
WNW	0	1	9	19	10	0	39
NW	1	2	8	35	1	0	47
NNW	3	6 '	17	25	3	0	54
Variable	0	0.	0	0	0.	.0	. • 0
Total	21	44	181	280	91	57	674

Wind Speed (in mph)

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 20 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes: 5

#### Period of Record: October - December 2009 Stability Class - Moderately Stable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 250 Feet

Wind Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Motol
DIfection		4-7		12-10	19-24	> 24	Total
N	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
NNE	0	4	1	5	0	0	10
NE	1	0	5	1	0	0	7
ENE	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Е —	0	0	0	1	0	0	1.
ESE	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
SE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SSE	0	1	3	3	0.	0	7
S	1	3	6	7	0	0	17
SSW	1	0	3	6	1	0	11
SW	0	0	3	3	0	0	· 6
WSW	0	0	4 .	4	0	0	8
W	0	0	3	14	. 0	0	17
WNW	0	0 .	2 <sup></sup>	17	0	0	19
NW	0	1	3	2	0	0	6
NNW	0	2	0 .	0	0	0	' 2
Variable	0	0	0	0	0 -	0	.0
Total	3	12	34	65	1	0	115

#### Wind Speed (in mph)

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes: 5

### Period of Record: October - December 2009 Stability Class - Extremely Stable - 250Ft-33Ft Delta-T (F) Winds Measured at 250 Feet

Nind			na spoor	. (211 1021	- /		
Wind Direction	1-3	4-7	8-12	13-18	19-24	> 24	Total
N	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
NNE	1	2	5	1	0	0	9
NE	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
ENE	1	2	2	0	0	0	5
E -	· 0	0	0	0	0	0	0 -
ESE	0	2	· 0	0	0	0	2
SE	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
SSE	0	2	2	0	0	0	4
S	0	1	0	1	3	0	5
SSW	0 .	0	1	1	1	0	3
ŚŴ	· 0	· 0	2 ·	2	0	0	· 4
WSW	0	2	2	0	0	0	4
W	0 ·	2	3	3	0	0	8
WNW	0	1	4	4	0	0	9
NW	0	0	5	1	0	0	6
NNW	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Variable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	3	17	28	13	4	0	65

#### Wind Speed (in mph)

Hours of calm in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing wind measurements in this stability class: 0 Hours of missing stability measurements in all stability classes: 5

# APPENDIX G

# ANNUAL RADIOLOGICAL GROUNDWATER PROTECTION PROGRAM REPORT (ARGPPR)

.

.

.

Docket No: 50-295 50-304
ZION NUCLEAR POWER STATION UNITS 1 and 2
Annual Radiological Groundwater Protection Program Report
1 January Through 31 December 2009
Prepared By
Teledyne Brown Engineering Environmental Services
Nuclear         Zion Nuclear Power Station         Zion, IL 60099
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
May 2010

.

•

3

### Table Of Contents

.

I. Summary and Conclusions	
	s
II. Introduction	
A. Objectives of the RGPP	
B. Implementation of the Objectives	
C. Program Description	
D. Characteristics of Tritium (H-3)	
III. Program Description	5
A. Sample Analysis	
B. Data Interpretation	6
C. Background Analysis	7
1. Background Concentrations of Tritium	
A. Groundwater and Surface Water Results	
B. Drinking Water Well Survey	
C. Summary of Results Inter-laboratory Comparisor	Program
D. Leaks, Spills, and Releases	0
E. Trends	
F. Investigations	
G. Actions Taken	

i

### Appendices

Appendix A	Location Designation
Tables	
Table A-1:	Radiological Groundwater Protection Program - Sampling Locations, Distance and Direction, Zion Nuclear Power Station, 2009
<u>Figures</u>	
Figure A-1:	Radiological Groundwater Protection Program Groundwater and Surface Water Locations of the Zion Nuclear Power Station, 2009
Appendix B	Data Tables
Tables	
Table B-I.1	Concentrations of Tritium in Groundwater Samples Collected in the Vicinity of Zion Nuclear Power Station, 2009.
Table B-II.1	Concentrations of Tritium in Surface Water Samples Collected in the Vicinity of Zion Nuclear Power Station, 2009.

.

١,

#### I. Summary and Conclusions

In 2006, Exelon instituted a comprehensive program to evaluate the impact of station operations on groundwater and surface water in the vicinity of Zion Nuclear Power Station. This is the second in a series of annual reports on the status of the Radiological Groundwater Protection Program (RGPP) conducted at Zion Nuclear Power Station. This report covers both groundwater and surface water samples, collected from the environment, on station property in 2009. During that time period, 18 analyses were performed on 18 samples from eight locations. Phase 1 of the monitoring was part of a comprehensive study initiated by Exelon to determine whether groundwater or surface water at and in the vicinity of Zion Nuclear Power Station had been adversely impacted by any releases of radionuclides. Phase 1 was conducted by Conestoga Rovers and Associates (CRA) and the conclusions were made available to state and federal regulators as well as the public on an Exelon web site <a href="http://www.exelencorp.com/ourcompanies/powergen/nuclear/Tritium.htm">http://www.exelencorp.com/ourcompanies/powergen/nuclear/Tritium.htm</a>].

Phase 2 of the RGPP was conducted by Exelon corporate and station personnel to initiate follow up of Phase 1 and begin long-term monitoring at groundwater and surface water locations selected during Phase 1. All analytical results from Phase 2 monitoring are reported herein.

In assessing all the data gathered for this report, it was concluded that the operation of Zion Nuclear Power Station had no adverse radiological impact on the environment, and there are no known active releases into the groundwater at Zion Nuclear Power Station.

Gamma-emitting radionuclides were not analyzed in 2009.

Strontium-89/90 was not analyzed in 2009.

ί.

Tritium was not detected in any of the groundwater or surface water samples analyzed in 2009. In the case of tritium, Exelon specified that it's laboratories achieve a lower limit of detection 10 times lower than that required by federal regulation.

- 1 -

••

•••• • •

#### Intentionally left blank

#### II. Introduction

The Zion Nuclear Power Station (ZNPS), consisting of two 1100 MWt pressurized water reactor owned and operated by Exelon Corporation, is located in Zion, Illinois adjacent to Lake Michigan. Unit No. 1 went critical in December 1973. Unit No. 2 went critical in September 1974. The plant permanently ceased operation in January of 1998 and has been permanently defueled. The site is located in northeast Illinois on the western shore of Lake Michigan, approximately 50 miles north of Chicago, Illinois.

This report covers those analyses performed by Teledyne Brown Engineering (TBE) and Environmental Inc. (Midwest Labs) on samples collected in 2006.

#### A. Objective of the RGPP

The long-term objectives of the RGPP are as follows:

- 1. Identify suitable locations to monitor and evaluate potential impacts from station operations before significant radiological impact to the environment and potential drinking water sources.
- 2. Understand the local hydrogeologic regime in the vicinity of the station and maintain up-to-date knowledge of flow patterns on the surface and shallow subsurface.
- 3. Perform routine water sampling and radiological analysis of water from selected locations.
- 4. Report new leaks, spills, or other detections with potential radiological significance to stakeholders in a timely manner.
- 5. Regularly assess analytical results to identify adverse trends.
- 6. Take necessary corrective actions to protect groundwater resources.
- B. Implementation of the Objectives

The objectives identified have been implemented at Zion Nuclear Power Station as discussed below:

 Exelon and its consultant identified locations as described in the Phase 1 study. Phase 1 studies were conducted by Conestoga Rovers and Associates (CRA) and the results and conclusions were made available to state and federal regulators as well as the public on an Exelon web site in station specific reports. http://www.exeloncorp.com/ourcompanies/powergen/nuclear/Tritium.htm

- 2. The Zion Nuclear Power Station reports describe the local hydrogeologic regime. Periodically, the flow patterns on the surface and shallow subsurface are updated based on ongoing measurements.
- 3. Zion Nuclear Power Station will continue to perform routine sampling and radiological analysis of water from selected locations.
- 4. Zion Nuclear Power Station has implemented new procedures to identify and report new leaks, spills, or other detections with potential radiological significance in a timely manner.
- 5. Zion Nuclear Power Station staff and consulting hydrogeologist assess analytical results on an ongoing basis to identify adverse trends.
- C. Program Description
  - 1. Sample Collection

Sample locations can be found in Table A-1 and Figures A-1 and A-2, Appendix A.

#### Groundwater and Surface Water

Samples of water are collected, managed, transported and analyzed in accordance with approved procedures following EPA methods. Groundwater samples were collected. Sample locations, sample collection frequencies and analytical frequencies are controlled in accordance with approved station procedures. Contractor and/or station personnel are trained in the collection, preservation management, and shipment of samples, as well as in documentation of sampling events. Analytical laboratories are subject to internal quality assurance programs, industry crosscheck programs, as well as nuclear industry audits. Station personnel review and evaluate all analytical data deliverables as data are received.

Analytical data results are reviewed by both station personnel and an independent hydrogeologist for adverse trends or changes to hydrogeologic conditions.

#### D. Characteristics of Tritium (H-3)

Tritium (chemical symbol H-3) is a radioactive isotope of hydrogen. The most common form of tritium is tritium oxide, which is also called "tritiated water." The chemical properties of tritium are essentially those of ordinary hydrogen.

Tritiated water behaves the same as ordinary water in both the environment and the body. Tritium can be taken into the body by drinking water, breathing air, eating food, or absorption through skin. Once tritium enters the body, it disperses quickly and is uniformly distributed throughout the body. Tritium is excreted primarily through urine with a clearance rate characterized by an effective biological half-life of about 14 days. Within one month or so after ingestion, essentially all tritium is cleared. Organically bound tritium (tritium that is incorporated in organic compounds) can remain in the body for a longer period.

Tritium is produced naturally in the upper atmosphere when cosmic rays strike air molecules. Tritium is also produced during nuclear weapons explosions, as a by-product in reactors producing electricity, and in special production reactors, where the isotopes lithium-7 and/or boron-10 are activated to produce tritium. Like normal water, tritiated water is colorless and odorless. Tritiated water behaves chemically and physically like nontritiated water in the subsurface, and therefore tritiated water will travel at the same velocity as the average groundwater velocity.

Tritium has a half-life of approximately 12.3 years. It decays spontaneously to helium-3 (3He). This radioactive decay releases a beta particle (low-energy electron). The radioactive decay of tritium is the source of the health risk from exposure to tritium. Tritium is one of the least dangerous radionuclides because it emits very weak radiation and leaves the body relatively quickly. Since tritium is almost always found as water, it goes directly into soft tissues and organs. The associated dose to these tissues is generally uniform and is dependent on the water content of the specific tissue.

#### III. Program Description

A. Sample Analysis

This section describes the general analytical methodologies used by TBE to analyze the environmental samples for radioactivity for the Zion Nuclear Power Station RGPP in 2009.

In order to achieve the stated objectives, the current program includes the following analyses:

- 1. Concentrations of gamma emitters in groundwater and surface water.
- 2. Concentrations of strontium in groundwater and surface water.
- 3. Concentrations of tritium in groundwater and surface water.
- B. Data Interpretation

The radiological data collected prior to Zion Nuclear Power Station becoming operational were used as a baseline with which these operational data were compared. For the purpose of this report, Zion Nuclear Power Station was considered operational at initial criticality. Several factors were important in the interpretation of the data:

1. Lower Limit of Detection and Minimum Detectable Concentration

The lower limit of detection (LLD) is specified by federal regulation as a minimum sensitivity value that must be achieved routinely by the analytical parameter.

2. Laboratory Measurements Uncertainty

The estimated uncertainty in measurement of tritium in environmental samples is frequently on the order of 50% of the measurement value.

Statistically, the exact value of a measurement is expressed as a range with a stated level of confidence. The convention is to report results with a 95% level of confidence. The uncertainty comes from calibration standards, sample volume or weight measurements, sampling uncertainty and other factors. Exelon reports the uncertainty of a measurement created by statistical process (counting error) as well as all sources of error (Total Propagated Uncertainty or TPU). Each result has two values calculated. Exelon reports the TPU by following the result with plus or minus ± the estimated sample standard deviation, as TPU, that is obtained by propagating all sources of analytical uncertainty in measurements.

Analytical uncertainties are reported at the 95% confidence level in this report for reporting consistency with the AREOR.

#### C. Background Analysis

i

1

A pre-operational radiological environmental monitoring program (preoperational REMP) was conducted to establish background radioactivity levels prior to operation of the Station. The environmental media sampled and analyzed during the pre-operational REMP were atmospheric radiation, fall-out, domestic water, surface water, marine life, and foodstuffs. The results of the monitoring were detailed in the report entitled, Environmental Radiological Monitoring for Zion Nuclear Power Nuclear Power Station, Commonwealth Edison Company, Annual Report 1973, May 1974.

The pre-operational REMP contained analytical results from samples collected from the surface water and groundwater.

Tritium levels in Lake Michigan water were studied in the vicinity of Zion Station throughout 1970. The concentration of tritium in the surface water samples from the Lake at Zion ranged from approximately  $311 \pm 20$  pCi/L to  $374 \pm 34$  pCi/L and averaged 340 pCi/L. There was no statistical difference in average tritium concentrations among the stations (eight stations from Kenosha to Waukegan).

Prior to 1998, surface water samples were collected at the following six locations along Lake Michigan:

- Kenosha, Wisconsin (intake located 10 miles north of the station)
- Lake County Public Water District (intake located 1.1 miles north of the Station)
- Waukegan, Illinois (intake located 6 miles south of the Station)
- North Chicago, Illinois (intake located 10 miles south of the Station)
- Great Lakes NTS (intake located 13 miles south of the Station)
- Lake Forest, Illinois (intake located 16.5 miles south of the Station)

After 1998, surface water samples were collected at the following four locations along Lake Michigan:

- Kenosha, Wisconsin (intake located 10 miles north of the station)
- Lake County Public Water District (intake located 1.1 miles north of the Station)
- Waukegan, Illinois (intake located 6 miles south of the Station)
- Lake Forest, Illinois (intake located 16.5 miles south of the Station)

1911

Lake Michigan surface water data are collected as part of the REMP. Tritium concentrations in surface water samples from Lake Michigan ranged from non-detect to 660 pCi/L. Groundwater was collected from one off-site well on a quarterly basis: Gamma isotopic, radiostrontium and tritium analyses were performed on all samples. Strontium-89, strontium-90, tritium and gamma emitters were below their respective LLDs.

1. Background Concentrations of Tritium

The purpose of the following discussion is to summarize background measurements of tritium in various media performed by others. Additional detail may be found by consulting references (CRA 2006).

a. Tritium Production

Tritium is created in the environment from naturally occurring processes both cosmic and subterranean, as well as from anthropogenic (i.e., man-made) sources. In the upper atmosphere, "Cosmogenic" tritium is produced from the bombardment of stable nuclides and combines with oxygen to form tritiated water, which will then enter the hydrologic cycle. Below ground, "lithogenic" tritium is produced by the bombardment of natural lithium present in crystalline rocks by neutrons produced by the radioactive decay of naturally abundant uranium and thorium. Lithogenic production of tritium is usually negligible compared to other sources due to the limited abundance of lithium in rock. The lithogenic tritium is introduced directly to groundwater.

A major anthropogenic source of tritium and strontium-90 comes from the former atmospheric testing of thermonuclear weapons. Levels of tritium in precipitation increased significantly during the 1950s and early 1960s, and later with additional testing, resulting in the release of significant amounts of tritium to the atmosphere. The Canadian heavy water nuclear power reactors, other commercial power reactors, nuclear research and weapons production continue to influence tritium concentrations in the environment.

#### b. Precipitation Data

Precipitation samples are routinely collected at stations around the world for the analysis of tritium and other radionuclides. Two publicly available databases that provide tritium concentrations in precipitation are Global Network of Isotopes in Precipitation (GNIP) and USEPA's RadNet

- 8 -

database. GNIP provides tritium precipitation concentration data for samples collected world wide from 1960 to 2006. RadNet provides tritium precipitation concentration data for samples collected at stations through out the U.S. from 1960 up to and including 2006. Based on GNIP data for sample stations located in the U.S. Midwest, tritium concentrations peaked around 1963. This peak, which approached 10,000 pCi/L for some stations, coincided with the atmospheric testing of thermonuclear weapons. Tritium concentrations in surface water showed a sharp decline up until 1975 followed by a gradual decline since that time. Tritium concentrations in Midwest precipitation have typically been below 100 pCi/L since around 1980. Tritium concentrations in wells may still be above the 200 pCi/L detection limit from the external causes described above. Water from previous years and decades is naturally captured in groundwater, so some well water sources today are affected by the surface water from the 1960s that were elevated in tritium.

#### c. Surface Water Data

Tritium concentrations are routinely measured in large surface water bodies, including Lake Michigan and the Mississippi River. Illinois surface water data were typically less than 100 pCi/L.

The USEPA RadNet surface water data typically has a reported 'Combined Standard Uncertainty' of 35 to 50 pCi/L. According to USEPA, this corresponds to a  $\pm$  70 to 100 pCi/L 95% confidence bound on each given measurement. Therefore, the typical background data provided may be subject to measurement uncertainty of approximately  $\pm$  70 to 100 pCi/L.

The radio-analytical laboratory is counting tritium results to an Exelon specified LLD of 200 pCi/L. Typically, the lowest positive measurement will be reported within a range of 40 - 240 pCi/L or  $140 \pm 100$  pCi/L. Clearly, these sample results cannot be distinguished as different from background at this concentration.

#### IV. Results and Discussion

A. Groundwater and Surface Water Results

Groundwater and Surface Water

Samples were collected from on -site wells throughout the year in accordance with the station radiological groundwater protection program. Analytical results and anomalies are discussed below.

#### <u>Tritium</u>

Samples from all locations were analyzed for tritium activity (Table B–I.1, Appendix B) (Table B–II.1, Appendix B). Tritium was not detected in any of the groundwater or surface water samples analyzed. Zion Nuclear Power Station does not have any off-site wells and therefore there is no risk to off-site users.

#### <u>Strontium</u>

Strontium-90 was not analyzed in 2009. (Table B–I.1, Appendix B) (Table B–II.1, Appendix B)

#### Gamma Emitters

Gamma-emitting radionuclides were not analyzed in 2009. (Table B–I.2, Appendix B) (Table B–II.1, Appendix B)

B. Drinking Water Well Survey

A drinking water well survey was conducted during the summer 2006 by CRA (CRA 2006) around the Zion Nuclear Power Station.

C. Summary of Results – Inter-Laboratory Comparison Program

Inter-Laboratory Comparison Program results for TBE and Environmental Inc. (Midwest Labs) are presented in the AREOR.

D. Leaks, Spills, and Releases

There were no leaks, spills or releases.

E. Trends

1

There are no previously identified plumes therefore there are no trends.

- 10 -

#### F. Investigations

Conclusions from the Phase 1 report have been made available to state and federal regulators as well as the public on an Exelon web site: <u>http://www.exeloncorp.com/ourcompanies/powergen/nuclear/Tritium.htm</u>.

- G. Actions Taken
  - 1. Compensatory Actions

There have been no station events requiring compensatory actions at the Zion Nuclear Power Station.

2. Installation of Monitoring Wells

No new wells were required to be installed.

3. Actions to Recover/Reverse Plumes

There have been no station events requiring actions to recover/reverse any plumes.

#### Intentionally left blank


# APPENDIX A

# **LOCATION & DIRECTION**

Site	Site Type	Temporary/Permanent	Distance
MW-ZN-01S	Monitoring Well	Permanent	-On-Site
MW-ZN-02S	Monitoring Well	Permanent	On-Site
MW-ZN-03S	Monitoring Well	Permanent	On-Site
MW-ZN-04S	Monitoring Well	Permanent	On-Site
MW-ZN-05S	Monitoring Well	Permanent	On-Site
MW-ZN-06S	Monitoring Well	Permanent	On-Site
MW-ZN-07S	Monitoring Well	Permanent	On-Site
MW-ZN-08S	Monitoring Well	Permanent	On-Site
MW-ZN-09S	Monitoring Well	Permanent	On-Site
MW-ZN-10S	Monitoring Well	Permanent	On-Site
MW-ZN-11S	Monitoring Well	Permanent	On-Site
SW-ZN-1	Surface Water	Lake Michigan	On-Site
SW-ZN-2	Surface Water	Lake Michigan	On-Site

ATTACHMENT 1: Sampling Locations for the Radiological Groundwater Protection Program, Zion Station, 2009.

1. 1



#### Figure A-1

Radiological Ground Water Protection Program Groundwater and Surface Water Locations of the Zion Station, 2009

## APPENDIX B

-

.

DATA TABLES

•

.

,

# TABLE B-I.2CONCENTRATIONS OF TRITIUM IN GROUNDWATER SAMPLESCOLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF ZION NUCLEAR STATION, 2009

RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/LITER ± 2 SIGMA

	COLLECTIC	N	
SITE	DATE		H-3
MW-ZN-01S	05/04/09	TBE	< 161
MW-ZN-01S	05/04/09	EIML	< 163
MW-ZN-01S	09/21/09		< 178
MW-ZN-02S	05/04/09		< 160
MW-ZN-02S	09/21/09		< 173
MW-ZN-03S	05/04/09		< 160
MW-ZN-03S	09/21/09		< 178
MW-ZN-04S	05/04/09		< 158
MW-ZN-04S	09/21/09	TBE	< 178
MW-ZN-04S	09/22/09	EIML	< 144
MW-ZN-09S	05/04/09		< 155
MW-ZN-09S	09/21/09		< 178
MW-ZN-10S	05/04/09		< 157
MW-ZN-10S	09/21/09		< 180
MW-ZN-11S	05/04/09		< 154
MW-ZN-11S	09/21/09		< 180

# TABLE B-I.2CONCENTRATIONS OF TRITIUM IN SURFACE WATER SAMPLES<br/>COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF ZION NUCLEAR STATION, 2009

۹.,

RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/LITER ± 2 SIGMA

	COLLECTION	
SITE	DATE	H-3
SW-ZN-1	05/04/09	< 156
SW-ZN-2	09/21/09	< 178