

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED: <i>Geotechnical Services, Inc. 5130 S. Keeler KA Olathe, KS</i>		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region III 2443 Warrenville Road Suite 210 Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351	
REPORT NUMBER(S) <i>2010/001</i>			
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) <i>030-34414</i>	4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S) <i>26-26790-01</i>	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION <i>7/12/10</i>	

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:

- 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.
- 2. Previous violation(s) closed.
- 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

_____ Non-Cited Violation(s) was/were discussed involving the following requirement(s) and Corrective Action(s):

- 4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.
(Violations and Corrective Actions)

Licensee's Statement of Corrective Actions for Item 4, above.

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE			
NRC INSPECTOR	Edward Kulzer	<i>E. L. Kulzer</i>	<i>7/12/10</i>

JKB

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE Geotechnical Services, Inc. REPORT NUMBER(S) 2010-001		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE Region III 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532	
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-34414	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 24-26790-01	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION July 12, 2010	
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED 87124	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 03.01-03.07		

SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION

1. PROGRAM CODE(S) 3121	2. PRIORITY 5	3. LICENSEE CONTACT David Edwards	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER 316-554-0725
----------------------------	------------------	--------------------------------------	-------------------------------------

Main Office Inspection Next Inspection Date: July 2015

Field Office Olathe, KS

Temporary Job Site Inspection

PROGRAM SCOPE

The licensee is a private engineering/consulting company that stored its gauges in Olathe, Kansas and occasionally does work in Missouri. They perform soil density in the Kansas City, Missouri area. The licensee has two CPN gauges. The licensee's main office is in Omaha, Nebraska. At the time of the inspection; the licensee has one authorized gauge user who had completed training in the Olathe, KS office. The licensee does not perform any service or maintenance activities on the gauges.

Although the corporate office is in Omaha, Nebraska, Condition 10 authorizes that the material may be used at temporary job sites anywhere in the United States where the US Nuclear Regulatory Commission maintains jurisdiction.

Performance Observations

There were no temporary job sites at the time of the inspection. Interviews of licensee personnel indicated an adequate level of knowledge of radiation safety.

Independent demonstrations of how the gauge was transported to temporary job sites in Missouri indicated that there were two locks securing the gauge and gauge case during transport. Training records for the authorized user was faxed to the region, reviewed and no problems were identified. Personnel were monitored and had minimal exposures. The licensee secures the gauges in covered and locked open bed pick up truck using two locks and two chains. The gauge cases are also locked. All leak tests were current. All records were in order.

JES