


# Buried Piping – Inspecting Something You Can't See

By Jeremy Bowen, NRR/DIRS/IRIB

Buried piping is subject to significant degradation from various corrosion mechanisms. Several critical piping systems contain sections of buried piping. As piping ages, coatings deteriorate, and exposed pipe corrodes, piping failure, such as leaks, and possibly rupture, may occur and adversely impact plant operations.



Example of a leaking pipe (non-nucl)

NRR  
Raw

## Recent Events.

- ✦ **June 2009.** Elevated levels of tritium were found at Dresden during routine sampling of onsite monitoring locations. The suspected source is an active leak in underground piping associated with the condensate storage tank (CST). In 2004 and 2006, other underground piping associated with the CST leaked and was replaced (PNO-III-09-004).
- ✦ **May 2009.** While performing the procedure for groundwater monitoring at a well near the diesel generator building at Hatch, the sampling technician noted a strong diesel/fuel oil odor in the groundwater. Also, the groundwater had a reddish tint, indicative of the red dye used to identify off-road diesel (EN45055).
- ✦ **Apr. 2009.** Oyster Creek reported a leaking condensate pump resulting in a potential tritium release (EN44993).
- ✦ **Feb. 2009.** Indian Point declared its CST inoperable due to a leak in the buried return line piping (NRC's response to a U.S. Congressman's inquiry and *New York Times* Article)
- ✦ **Jul. 2008.** At Tricastin Industrial Complex in France, rupture of a buried pipe containing uranium liquid discharges occurred at a fuel manufacturing plant (OpE COMM).
- ✦ **Feb. 2008.** NRC conducted a special inspection of the degraded essential service water piping at Byron (IR 2007009).
- ✦ **Mar. 2006.** Byron reported elevated tritium levels near buried piping (EN42457).
- ✦ **Dec. 2005.** Braidwood reported elevated tritium levels near buried piping (EN42184).
- ✦ **Oct. 2005.** Catawba reported an oil spill due to a break in a buried pipe (EN42042).
- ✦ **May 2004.** Surry declared the auxiliary feedwater system inoperable due to an underground leak (EN 40771).

## What are Some Current Practices?

For buried service water pipe, the licensee typically relies on a quarterly pressure-drop test or system flow test (see *italics in box at right*) to meet the code requirement. With flows ranging from 5000 to 10000 gpm in header piping, a very large leak is required to

### ASME code requirements for buried piping:

IWA-5240 VISUAL EXAMINATION  
IWA-5244 BURIED COMPONENTS

- (a) For buried components surrounded by an annulus, the VT-2 visual examination shall consist of an examination for evidence of leakage at each end of the annulus and at low point drains.
- (b) For buried components where a VT-2 visual examination cannot be performed, the examination requirement is satisfied by the following:
  - (1) The system pressure test for buried components that are isolable by means of valves shall consist of a test that determines the rate of pressure loss.  
*Alternatively, the test may determine the change in flow between the ends of the buried components. The acceptable rate of pressure loss or flow shall be established by the Owner.*
  - (2) The system pressure test for nonisolable buried components shall consist of a test to confirm that flow during operation is not impaired.

K-6

~~FOR INTERNAL USE ONLY~~

see a significant change. A gross pipe leakage failure would more likely be discovered by water welling up from leaking buried pipe, vice the Code test.

Most licensees are voluntarily implementing non-destructive examination (NDE) as part of their raw water program. Specifically, they use torsional guided wave (ultrasonic testing) techniques. Many sites also have a cathodic protection system or another protection and detection system for buried pipe. Most carbon steel piping and tanks have a protective coating applied during installation and are further protected by a cathodic system. Degradation of the coatings would result in additional current from the cathodic protection system. Assessment of the coating and cathodic systems is conducted on an annual basis. Non-carbon steel pipes may also be coated, but they usually do not have a cathodic protection system. Periodic inspections are performed when components are excavated for maintenance or any other reason. For plants receiving a renewal license, it is expected that an opportunistic inspection of buried pipe will occur within 10 years prior to the period of extended operation and again in the 10 years after starting extended operation; otherwise, a deliberate buried pipe inspection is usually required to be performed.

**How Can an NRC Inspector Review a Buried Piping Issue?**

Most buried piping inspection samples are typically selected as a followup to an actual piping failure, a known degradation, or a problem identified during a review of a condition report. Only after a licensee has a failure in buried safety related piping can they be cited against 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, criteria V or IX, for having an inadequate inspection program. If the failure is large enough to create a functional failure, they could also be cited against the maintenance rule (10 CFR 50.65). This current stance of the inspection program is due to the relatively low safety significance associated with most buried piping. However, if an inspector decides to pursue the issue, here are some options:

- Observe a licensee's periodic flow or pressure test of underground pipes, the video or results of a video or camera inspection of underground piping, results of a sound detection system used to detect leaks in underground piping, or the periodic maintenance conducted on a cathodic protection system (or similar system) used to protect underground piping and detect any potential leaks. These reviews can be captured under IP 71111.19 or IP 71111.22.
- If a licensee excavates underground piping for the purpose of repair and replacement, use this opportunity for direct visual inspection of the piping. It can be reviewed under IP 71111.17 or IP 71111.19 (to cover the post maintenance system pressure test).
- When a licensee implements corrective actions due to suspected underground piping leaks (as potentially identified by tritium issues, tank inventory loss, chemical loss, excessive running of pumps on fire protection piping or other "keep pressure" systems, a sink hole, etc.), review the licensee's actions under IP 71152 or IP 71111.15.
- Review a licensee's activities associated with buried piping during an inservice inspection as one of the sample requirements in IP 71111.08, which requires a review of two or three types of NDE activities.

FOR INTERNAL USE ONLY

- Use IP 71002 during a license renewal inspection, which reviews passive, long lived structures, systems and components, including piping.

References

- Generic Letter 89-08, "Erosion/Corrosion-Induced Pipe Wall Thinning"
- Generic Letter 89-13, "Service Water System Problems Affecting Safety-Related Equipment"
- Generic Letter 9005, "Guidance for Performing Temporary Non-Code Repair of ASME Code Class 1, 2, and 3 Piping"
- IP 49001, "Inspection of Erosion-Corrosion/Flow-Accelerated-Corrosion Monitoring Programs"
- IMC 9900, STSOPD, "Operability Determinations and Functionality Assessments for Resolution of Degraded or Non-Conforming Conditions Adverse to Quality or Safety" (Section C.10)
- NUREG -1801, "Generic Aging Lessons Learned (GALL) Report"
- NUREG/CR-6876, "Risk-Informed Assessment of Degraded Buried Piping Systems in Nuclear Power"
- Information Notice 2007-06, "Potential Common Cause Failures in Essential Service Water Systems"
- Information Notice 2006-13, "Groundwater Contamination due to Undetected Leakage of Radioactive Water"
- SER 7-06, "Degradation of Essential Service Water Piping"
- Buried Pipe Design, Moser, A.P., McGraw Hill, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, 2001.
- Previous ROP inspection findings related to underground piping issues



Never forget why we do what we do and to the best of our ability!

(Portions outside scope)