CCNPP3COLA PEmails

From: Arora, Surinder
Sent: Wednesday, June 16, 2010 7:31 AM
To: 'Poche, Robert'; 'cc3project@constellation.com'
Cc: CCNPP3COL Resource; Erlanger, Craig; Rycyna, John; Colaccino, Joseph; Biggins, James; Vrahoretis, Susan; Steckel, James; Chazell, Russell
Subject: DRAFT RAI 251 NSIR ISCPB 4791
Attachments: DRAFT RAI 251 NSIR ISCPB 4791.doc

Rob,

Attached is DRAFT RAI No. 251 (eRAI No. 4791). You have until June 30, 2010, to review this RAI and decide whether you need a conference call to discuss the question in this RAI before the final issuance. After the phone call or on June 30, 2010, the RAI will be finalized and sent to you for response. You will then have 30 days to provide a technically complete response or an expected response date for the RAI.

Thanks.

SURINDER ARORA, PE
PROJECT MANAGER,
Office of New Reactors
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Phone: 301 415-1421
FAX: 301 415-6406
Email: Surinder.Arora@nrc.gov

Hearing Identifier: CalvertCliffs_Unit3Cola_Public_EX
Email Number: 1382

Mail Envelope Properties (B46615B367D1144982B324704E3BCEED27701D77A8)

Subject: DRAFT RAI 251 NSIR ISCPB 4791
Sent Date: 6/16/2010 7:30:37 AM
Received Date: 6/16/2010 7:30:40 AM
From: Arora, Surinder

Created By: Surinder.Arora@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"CCNPP3COL Resource" <CCNPP3COL.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Erlanger, Craig" <Craig.Erlanger@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Rycyna, John" <John.Rycyna@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Colaccino, Joseph" <Joseph.Colaccino@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Biggins, James" <James.Biggins@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Vrahoretis, Susan" <Susan.Vrahoretis@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Steckel, James" <James.Steckel@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Chazell, Russell" <Russell.Chazell@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"'Poche, Robert'" <Robert.Poche@constellation.com>
Tracking Status: None
"'cc3project@constellation.com'" <cc3project@constellation.com>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	**Size**	**Date & Time**
MESSAGE	648	6/16/2010 7:30:40 AM
DRAFT RAI 251 NSIR ISCPB 4791.doc	30714	

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: Yes
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

Request for Additional Information No. 251 (eRAI 4791)
DRAFT
6/16/2010

Calvert Cliffs Unit 3
UniStar
Docket No. 52-016
SRP Section: 13.06.06 - Cyber Security (Future SRP Section)
Application Section: Cyber Security Plan

QUESTIONS for Integrated Security Coordination and Policy Branch (NSIR/DSP/ISCPB)

13.06.06-1

Critical Digital Assets must be protected by security controls as required by 10CFR 73.54(c)(1). The Calvert Cliffs Unit 3 Cyber Security plan, footnote 1 on page 10 states:

> "[Sufficient is defined as in all controls implemented where applicable by Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Project, LL via Appendix A and Appendix B of 51-7002224 (Latest Revision)]"

Please explain the meaning of this footnote.

13.06.06-2

Critical digital assets must be protected by security controls as required by 10 CFR 73.54 (c)(1). The phrase "as applicable" is used throughout the Calvert Cliffs 3 cyber security plan in the context of security control implementation. Please describe who determines applicability and what criteria are used to determine applicability.

13.06.06-3

Critical digital assets must be protected by security controls as required by 10 CFR 73.54 (c)(1). On page 19, in Section 2.2.1.2 of the Calvert Cliffs 3 cyber security plan it states: "Audit generation is only being applied to certain CDAs and CS; not the entire group comprising the architecture." How is the subset being determined and what is the justification for limiting audit generation to only this subset?