ArevaEPRDCPEm Resource

Getachew,

Attached is a draft response for RAI 278 Supplement 5. Earlier today, AREVA submitted Supplement 4 that provided a date for the final response as July 27, 2010. Let us know if you have any further questions or if we can submit as final

Sincerely,

Martin (Marty) C. Bryan U.S. EPR Design Certification Licensing Manager AREVA NP Inc. Tel: (434) 832-3016 702 561-3528 cell Martin.Bryan.ext@areva.com

Hearing Identifier: AREVA_EPR_DC_RAIs **Email Number:** 1593

Mail Envelope Properties (BC417D9255991046A37DD56CF597DB7106A2506D)

Subject: DRAFT Response to U.S. EPR Design Certification Application RAI No. 278, FSAR Ch. 5, Supplement 5 **Sent Date:** 6/23/2010 6:27:51 PM **Received Date:** 6/23/2010 6:28:15 PM **From:** BRYAN Martin (EXT)

Created By: Martin.Bryan.ext@areva.com

Recipients:

"ROMINE Judy (AREVA NP INC)" <Judy.Romine@areva.com> Tracking Status: None "KOWALSKI David J (AREVA NP INC)" <David.Kowalski@areva.com> Tracking Status: None "COLEMAN Sue H (AREVA NP INC)" <Sue.Coleman@areva.com> Tracking Status: None "PATTON Jeff (AREVA NP INC)" <Jeff.Patton@areva.com> Tracking Status: None "SANDERS Harris I (AREVA NP INC)" <Harris.Sanders@areva.com> Tracking Status: None "TUTTLE Eileen B (AREVA NP INC)" <Eileen.Tuttle@areva.com> Tracking Status: None "STOUDT Roger H (AREVA NP INC)" <Roger.Stoudt@areva.com> Tracking Status: None "DAVIDSAVER Sarah B (AREVA NP INC)" <Sarah.Davidsaver@areva.com> Tracking Status: None "SCOTT Craig (AREVA NP INC)" <craig.scott@areva.com> Tracking Status: None "HOTTLE Nathan E (AREVA NP INC)" <Nathan.Hottle@areva.com> Tracking Status: None "MAHMOUD Samer H (AREVA NP INC)" <Samer.Mahmoud@areva.com> Tracking Status: None "Tesfaye, Getachew" <Getachew.Tesfaye@nrc.gov> Tracking Status: None

Options

Post Office: AUSLYNCMX02.adom.ad.corp

Files **Size** Size **Date & Time** MESSAGE 486 6/23/2010 6:28:15 PM RAI 278 Supplement 5 Response - DRAFT.pdf 1463182

Response to

Request for Additional Information No. 278, Supplement 5 9/14/2009

U.S. EPR Standard Design Certification AREVA NP Inc. Docket No. 52-020 SRP Section: 05.02.03 - Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary Materials SRP Section: 05.03.02 - Pressure-Temperature Limits, Upper-Shelf Energy, and Pressurized Thermal Shock

Application Section: FSAR Ch. 5

QUESTIONS for Component Integrity, Performance, and Testing Branch 1 Application Section: FSAR Ch. 5

S for Component Integrity, Performance, and Test

(AP1000/EPR Projects) (CIB1)
 DRAFT PROJECTS (AP1000/EPR Projects) (CIB1)

Question 05.02.03-20:

POTENTIAL OPEN ITEM

In RAI 05.02.03-18, the staff requested, in part, that the applicant modify Table 5.2-2 to list weld filler metal specifications and classifications used to weld various material types and combinations in the RCPB. The applicant responded, by letter dated April 23, 2009, and stated that weld filler material specifications are listed in U.S. FSAR Section 5.2.3.1 and that no revision to Table 5.2-2 is required. The staff notes that Table 5.2-2 lists weld filler material specifications and classifications for fabrication of the reactor coolant pump, and CRDM but does not provide weld filler material specifications and classifications for fabrication of the RCPB piping, steam generators or pressurizer. The staff requests that the applicant modify Table 5.2- 2 to lists the weld filler material specifications and classifications for components listed in Table 5.2-2.

Response to Question 05.02.03-20:

U.S. EPR FSAR Tier 2, Table 5.2-2—Material Specifications for RCPB Components will be updated to include weld filler metal specifications. These include material specifications for ferritic steel, austenitic stainless steel, and nonferrous weld materials.

Weld filler metal classifications are provided for some of the specifications in U.S. EPR FSAR Tier 2, Table 5.2-2. Detailed weld classifications for the remaining weld specifications will be identified later in the design process, at which time these weld classifications will be made available for NRC inspection. 2 to lists the weld filler material specifications and classifications for compone
5.2-2.
Response to Question 05.02.03-20:
U.S. EPR FSAR Tier 2, Table 5.2-2—Material Specifications for RCPB Com
updated to include weld fil

FSAR Impact:

U.S. EPR FSAR Tier 2, Table 5.2-2 will be revised as described in the response and indicated on the enclosed markup.

Question 05.02.03-21:

POTENTIAL OPEN ITEM

FSAR Section 5.2.3.4.6 states:

The RCP casing is made from ASME SA-351 Grade CF3 material with additional restrictions on silicon (1.5% maximum) and niobium (restricted to trace elements). In addition, the ferrite content of cast austenitic stainless components in the RCPB will be limited to a ferrite content of less than 20 percent. These restrictions reduce susceptibility to thermal aging (Section 3.6.3.3.6). For cast austenitic stainless steel material used in the RCPB, the percent ferrite is calculated using Hull's equivalent factors as indicated in NUREG/CR-4513 Rev. 1 (May 1994).

The FSAR statement above is acceptable for CASS materials currently listed in Table 5.2-2 which contain a maximum of 0.5 % molybdenum. However, in response to RAI 05.02.03-18, the applicant provided a proposed modification to Table 5.2-2. The applicant's proposed modified Table 5.2-2 includes RCPB valve specifications and grades as requested by the staff in RAI 05.02.03-18. The staff notes that the applicant's proposed revised Table now includes CASS grades CF3M and CF8M for the fabrication of RCPB valves. These two materials Thes contain molybdenum ranging between 2.0-3.0% which increases their susceptibility to thermal aging embrittlement. To be consistent with staff guidance, these materials should have a ferrite content of <14% to be considered not susceptible to thermal aging embrittlement. The staff requests that the applicant modify the FSAR to limit the ferrite content of high Molybdenum RCPB CASS components, such as CF3M and CF8M, to \leq 14%. ent above is acceptable for CASS materials currently listed
eximum of 0.5 % molybdenum. However, in response to F
ded a proposed modification to Table 5.2-2. The applican
-2 includes RCPB valve specifications and grades a

Response to Question 05.02.03-21: 03-21:

For cast austenitic stainless steel components that will experience service temperatures greater than 482°F, the delta ferrite content is limited as described in the following changes to the U.S. EPR FSAR.

U.S. EPR FSAR Tier 2, Section 5.2.3.4.6 will be revised to include the following:

"For cast austenitic stainless steel components that experience service temperatures greater than 482°F, the delta ferrite content is limited to less than or equal to 20 percent for low molybdenum content statically cast materials, less than or equal to 14 percent for high molybdenum content statically cast materials, and less than or equal to 20 percent for high molybdenum content centrifugally cast materials. Low molybdenum content is defined as 0.5 wt% maximum and high molybdenum content is defined as 2.0-3.0 wt%."

U.S. EPR FSAR Tier 2, Table 5.2-2—Material Specifications for RCPB Components will be revised to include a new Note 10 that explains the classification of cast austenitic stainless steel materials.

U.S. EPR FSAR Tier 2, Section 3.6.3.3.6 will be revised to include the following:

"Ferrite limitations for CASS RCPB materials are described in Section 5.2.3.4.6."

Response to Request for Additional Information No. 278, Supplement 5 U.S. EPR Design Certification Application **Page 4 of 14** Page 4 of 14

FSAR Impact:

U.S. EPR FSAR Tier 2, Section 3.6.3.3.6, Section 5.2.3.4.6, and Table 5.2-2 will be revised as described in the response and indicated on the enclosed markup.

Question 05.02.03-22:

POTENTIAL OPEN ITEM

In FSAR Section 5.2.3.4.1, the applicant states that stabilized grades of austenitic stainless steels have a stabilizing heat treatment above 800°F. The only stabilized stainless steel material (Grade 347), identified by the staff, used to fabricate components in the RCS pressure boundary, is used to fabricate the CRDM pressure housing. In response to RAI 05.02.03-17, dated June 5, 2009, the applicant stated that no stabilizing heat treatment will be performed for Grade 347 used for the CRDM pressure housing. There appears to be an inconsistency between the FSAR, which references stabilizing heat treatments and the applicant's response to RAI 05.02.03-17 which indicates that Grade 347 used to fabricate the CRDM will not receive a stabilizing heat treatment. The staff requests that the applicant address this inconsistency and modify the FSAR accordingly.

Response to Question 05.02.03-22:

The control rod drive mechanism (CRDM) pressure housings are the only reactor coolant system pressure boundary components that will be fabricated of stabilized austenitic stainless steel. Stabilizing heat treatment will not be performed on the stabilized austenitic stainless steel used for the CRDM pressure housing. atment. The staff requests that the applicant address this
ccordingly.
stion 05.02.03-22:
we mechanism (CRDM) pressure housings are the only re-
bundary components that will be fabricated of stabilized auste
at treatment w

U.S. EPR FSAR Tier 2, Section 5.2.3.2.2 and Section 5.2.3.4.1 will be revised to be consistent with the Response to RAI 199, Supplement 1, Question 05.02.03-17.

U.S. EPR FSAR Tier 2, Section 5.2.3.4.1 will be modified to include the following:

"Stabilizing heat treatment is not performed for the stabilized austenitic stainless steels. The only heat treatment performed in the course of steel manufacturing is solution annealing with a maximum temperature not to exceed 2012°F, followed by quenching in water or equivalent rapid cooling in air to prevent grain boundary carbide precipitation.

Postweld heat treatment (PWHT) is performed on CRDM pressure housing components joined by welding. The sensitization concern in the stabilized austenitic stainless steel is eliminated by the compositional requirement and additional testing during welding procedure qualification. Welding procedure qualification is based on ASME Section III and Section IX with additional test requirements. During procedure qualification, tests and examinations are performed in the as-welded and PWHT condition. Corrosion testing per ASTM A 262 Practice E is performed to the qualification weld, which is identical to the actual welded joint including the stabilized austenitic stainless steel base metal.

The carbon content of the sensitized material is limited to a maximum of 0.04 percent. The minimum stabilization ratio of niobium to carbon (Nb/C) is specified as 13 for the stabilized austenitic stainless steel component that receives PWHT. Additionally, the minimum allowable chromium content is increased to 18 percent. As required by the material specification, stabilized austenitic stainless steel is corrosion tested according to ASTM A 262 Practice E after being subjected to sensitizing heat treatment. In any ASTM A 262 test, intergranular attack is not permitted regardless of depth."

Response to Request for Additional Information No. 278, Supplement 5 U.S. EPR Design Certification Application **Page 6 of 14** Page 6 of 14

FSAR Impact:

U.S. EPR FSAR Tier 2, Section 5.2.3.2.2 and Section 5.2.3.4.1 will be revised as described in the response and indicated on the enclosed markup.

Question 05.02.03-23:

POTENTIAL OPEN ITEM

FSAR Section 5.2.3.2.2 states that unstabilized austenitic stainless steels are not heated above 800°F, other than locally by welding operations, after the final heat treatment. FSAR Section 5.2.3.4.1 states that utilization of materials in the solution annealed plus rapidly cooled condition and the prohibition of subsequent heat treatments in the 800°F to 1500°F temperature range is one of five methods used to avoid intergranular attack in austenitic stainless steel. These statements appear to be inconsistent with the applicant's process for joining low alloy steel nozzles to austenitic stainless steel safe-ends which requires that safe-ends be subject to post weld heat treatment.

The staff requests that the applicant modify the FSAR to address these inconsistencies by discussing those components used in the solution annealed and rapidly cooled condition and those that will be used in the solution annealed and rapidly cooled condition followed by post weld heat treatment after welding.

In addition, in order to make the FSAR clear as to the requirements for testing of post weld heat treated stainless steel safe-ends, the staff requests that the applicant modify FSAR Section 5.2.3 to state that for post weld heat treated austenitic stainless steel safe-ends, nonsensitization of the safe-ends will be verified in accordance with RG 1.44.

Response to Question 05.02.03-23:

To the extent possible, fabrication sequences are selected to avoid subjecting austenitic stainless steel materials to post weld heat treatments. However, where this is not possible, as is the case of welding the austenitic stainless steel safe ends directly to the component low alloy steel nozzles, the materials are subjected to additional requirements to verify that they will not be sensitized during the heat treatments in accordance with RG 1.44. Specifically, the unstabilized austenitic stainless steels heated in the sensitization range of 800°F - 1500°F for \geq 60 minutes will be tested in accordance with ASTM A-262 as required by RG 1.44. Additionally, low carbon (not exceeding 0.03wt% carbon) unstabilized austenitic stainless steel materials are used, as required by RG 1.44. that the applicant modify the FSAR to address these incomponents used in the solution annealed and rapidly coolsed in the solution annealed and rapidly cooled condition
at after welding.
The solution annealed and rapidly c

The welds between the low alloy steel nozzles and stainless steel safe ends of the reactor pressure vessel, steam generator and pressurizer nozzles are performed with NiCrFe alloy weld filler material and subjected to a post weld heat treatment. However, these may not be the only stainless steel materials in the reactor coolant system pressure boundary equipment (for which procurement has not been performed) that may be subjected to postweld heat treatment. The austenitic stainless steel safe ends are an example of when additional testing is required, but may not be the only components subjected to further testing.

U.S. EPR FSAR Tier 2, Section 5.2.3.4.1 will be revised to reflect this information.

FSAR Impact:

U.S. EPR FSAR Tier 2, Section 5.2.3.4.1 will be revised as described in the response and indicated on the enclosed markup.

Question 05.03.02-7:

POTENTIAL OPEN ITEM

Provide a table of the data points (reactor coolant temperature vs. pressure) for each P-T curve displayed in Technical Report ANP-10283, Revision 1.

Response to Question 05.03.02-7:

Table 05.03.02-7-1—RCS Heatup Limits at 60 EFPY and Table 05.03.02-7-2—RCS Cooldown Limits at 60 EFPY contain the data points for Figure 6-1—U.S. EPR RCS P-T Limits – Normal Heatup with ISLH and Criticality Limit Curves Applicable to 60 EFPY and Figure 6-2—U.S. EPR RCS P-T Limits – Normal Cooldown Applicable to 60 EFPY in Technical Report ANP-10283P, "U.S. EPR Pressure-Temperature Limits Methodology for RCS Heatup and Cooldown," respectively.

Technical Report ANP-10283P has been revised to include Table 05.03.02-7-1 and Table 05.03.02-7-2.

While preparing the response to this question, an error was identified in the criticality limit on Figure 6-1 in Technical Report ANP-10283P. This figure has been revised to eliminate the error. Table 05.03.02-7-1 contains the correct data points for the criticality limit. "U.S. EPR Pressure-Temperature Limits Methodology for RCS Heatup and Coorespectively.
Technical Report ANP-10283P has been revised to include Table 05.03.02-7-1
05.03.02-7-2.
While preparing the response to this question,

U.S. EPR FSAR Tier 2, Figure 5.3-1—Reactor Coolant System Heatup Pressure-Temperature Curve is also affected by the error identified in Figure 6-1. U.S. EPR FSAR Tier 2, Figure 5.3-1 will be revised to eliminate the error.

FSAR Impact:

will be revised to eliminate the error.

FSAR Impact:

U.S. EPR FSAR Tier 2, Figure 5.3-1 will be revised as described in the response and indicated on the enclosed markup.

Technical Report Impact: mpact:

ANP-10283P, "U.S. EPR Pressure-Temperature Limits Methodology for RCS Heatup and

Table 05.03.02-7-1—RCS Heatup Limits at 60 EFPY

Table 05.03.02-7-2—RCS Cooldown Limits at 60 EFPY

(Does not include margin for instrument uncertainty)

Question 05.03.02-8:

POTENTIAL OPEN ITEM

Clarify the thickness value (including vessel thickness and cladding thickness) used to calculate the fluence at the 1/4t and 3/4t locations for all materials provided in Technical Report ANP-10283, Rev.1.

Response to Question 05.03.02-8:

Note 3 of Table 6-1—Chemical Composition and Projected Fluence for the U.S. EPR Reactor Vessel Materials through 60 EFPY in Technical Report ANP-10283P, "U.S. EPR Pressure-Temperature Limits Methodology for RCS Heatup and Cooldown," states that the neutron fluence at the 1/4T and 3/4T locations are calculated using Equation 3 of RG 1.99, Revision 2 using a vessel thickness of 9.84 inches with a cladding thickness of 0.20 inches (the cladding thickness value used in the calculation is a minimum value). The vessel and cladding thickness values were used to calculate the neutron fluence. fluence at the 1/4T and 3/4T locations are calculated using Equation 3 of RG
using a vessel thickness of 9.84 inches with a cladding thickness of 0.20 incl
thickness value used in the calculation is a minimum value). The

In RG 1.99, Revision 2, Equation 3, variable x is the depth into the vessel wall measured from the vessel inner (wetted) surface. The 1/4t and 3/4t locations are 1/4 and 3/4 through the vessel thickness (9.84 inches). Therefore, variable x is calculated as follows:

FSAR Impact:

The U.S. EPR FSAR will not be changed as a result of this question.

Question 05.03.02-9:

POTENTIAL OPEN ITEM

Provide all values (i.e., chemistry factors, fluence factors, margins, ΔRT_{NDT} , etc.) used to calculate the ART at the 1/4t and 3/4t locations for all applicable materials provided in Technical Report ANP-10283, Rev. 1.

Response to Question 05.03.02-9:

The values used to calculate the 1/4T and 3/4T adjusted reference temperature (ART) values are provided in Table 05.03.02-9-1—Factors Used to Calculate the US EPR ART Values.

FSAR Impact:

FSAR Impact:
The U.S. EPR FSAR will not be changed as a result of this question.

AREVA NP Inc. AREVA NP Inc.

U.S. EPR Design Certification Application Page 13 of 14 Response to Request for Additional Information No. 278, Supplement 5
U.S. EPR Design Certification Application Response to Request for Additional Information No. 278, Supplement 5

Table 05.03.02-9-1-Factors Used to Calculate the US EPR ART Values **Table 05.03.02-9-1—Factors Used to Calculate the US EPR ART Values**

Note 1: See the response to Question 05.03.02-8 for the calculation of neutron fluence at depths of 1/4T and 3/4T. Note 1: See the response to Question 05.03.02-8 for the calculation of neutron fluence at depths of 1/4T and 3/4T.

Page 13 of 14

Question 05.03.02-10:

POTENTIAL OPEN ITEM

To address PTLR Criterion 4(GL 96-03), clearly identify both the limiting adjusted reference temperature (ART) values and limiting materials at the 1/4t and 3/4 t locations (t= vessel thickness) used in the development of the P-T limits.

Response to Question 05.03.02-10:

The limiting adjusted reference temperature (ART) values were 126.5°F at ¼ t and 93.4°F at ¼ t for the circumferential seam weld and 63.4° F at $\frac{1}{4}$ t and 40.2° F at $\frac{3}{4}$ t for the base metal forging (generic bounding value considered for both upper and lower shell forging). The limiting circumferential seam weld is the upper shell to lower shell weld in the reactor pressure vessel beltline region. The limiting forging material is the reactor pressure vessel beltline upper and lower shell forging. The pressure-temperature limits were developed based on the above limiting circumferential seam weld and forging materials. Conditionary and the upper shell to lower shell weld in the reactor probabilitien region. The limiting forging material is the reactor pressure vessel belt
illniting circumferential seam weld and forging materials.
Illniti

limiting circumferential seam weld and forging materials.
Technical Report ANP-10283P has been revised to reflect the limiting ART values and limiting materials.

FSAR Impact:

The U.S. EPR FSAR will not be changed as a result of this question.

Technical Report Impact:

ANP-10283P, "U.S. EPR Pressure-Temperature Limits Methodology for RCS Heatup and Cooldown," Revision 2 incorporates the changes as described in the response.

U.S. EPR Final Safety Analysis Report Markups

3.6.3.3.6 Thermal Aging

Forged austenitic stainless steel is used for the MCL and SL piping. Austenitic stainless steel forgings have a low susceptibility to thermal aging. The welds in the MCL stainless steel piping are fabricated using the gas tungsten arc welding (GTAW) process and meet the requirements of the ASME Code, Section III and the guidance of RG 1.31, which minimizes the effects of thermal aging. Lower bound toughness properties used in flaw stability analysis conservatively considers reduction because of thermal aging in the stainless steel weld metal and the component nozzles.

The component in the RCS loop that is predicted to experience the greatest reduction in toughness due to thermal aging is the RCP casing, which is made of cast austenitic stainless steel, type CF-3. The accepted screening limit for aging considerations states that static cast low-molybdenum steels with <20 percent ferrite are not susceptible to thermal aging embrittlement at the RCP operating te**mperatu**re to an extent that would be of concern. Delta ferrite (δ_c) is limited to <20 percent and silicon to <1.5 percent. Lower bound curves were developed using a predictive model. The material properties used in the LBB analysis are based on the results predicted for the saturated condition. Therefore, thermal aging is not a concern for the RCP case. The same developed using a p
B analysis are based on the rest
P analysis are based on the rest
P analysis are based on the rest
SS RCPB materials are descributed and contains no cast materials are described
as not a concer The accepted screening limit for aging
odenum steels with <20 percent ferrite a
lent at the RCP operating temperature to
lta ferrite (δ_c) is limited to <20 percent a
lives were developed using a predictive
B analysis a

Ferrite limitations for CASS RCPB materials are described in Section 5.2.3.4.6.

The MSL piping is carbon steel and contains no cast materials. Therefore, thermal aging of the MSL piping is not a concern.

3.6.3.3.7 Thermal Stratification ratification ification

Thermal stratification is a potential issue in horizontal pipe segments when fluid at a significantly different temperature than the fluid in the piping is introduced at low flow velocities. The U.S. EPR is designed to preclude those conditions (refer to Section 3.7 of Reference 10 and FSAR Section 3.12). Each of the piping systems is addressed below. France Correction and Strate Correction and Strate Correction and Strate Correction and properties used in the LBB analysis are based on the restrict condition. Therefore, thermal aging is not a concern for Territe limitat

3.6.3.3.7.1 Main Coolant Loop Piping

The MCL piping is not susceptible to thermal stratification since it does not experience stagnant flow conditions.

3.6.3.3.7.2 Surge Line Piping

Section 3.7.2 of Reference 10 and FSAR Section 3.12 describe the design features that minimize the potential for thermal stratification in the SL. The SL geometry is also described in Section 5.4.10.

Enriched boric acid (EBA) is added to the RCS as a soluble neutron poison for core reactivity control. Lithium hydroxide enriched in lithium 7 is used as a pH control agent to maintain a slightly basic pH at operating conditions. This chemical is chosen for its compatibility with the materials and water chemistry of borated water/stainless steel/zirconium/nickel-base alloy systems. Lithium-7 is also produced in solution from the neutron irradiation of the dissolved boron in the coolant.

In addition to degasification during startup, two chemicals are added to the reactor coolant to control oxygen: (1) hydrazine during startup operations below 250°F; and (2) hydrogen immediately prior to and following criticality. Dissolved hydrogen is added to maintain a reducing environment by scavenging oxidizing molecular products formed by the radiolysis of water and with any oxygen introduced into the RCS with makeup water.

Suspended solids (corrosion product particulates) in the reactor coolant are minimized by the coordinated boron-lithium chemistry program and by filtration during shutdown operations. Other impurity concentrations are maintained below specified limits through the control of the chemical quality of makeup water and chemical additives and by purification of the reactor coolant through the mixed bed ion exchangers. Section 9.3.4 addresses RCS water chemistry control. with makeup water.

with makeup water.

bended solids (corrosion product particulates) in the reactor cc

he coordinated boron-lithium chemistry program and by filt

down operations. Other impurity concentrations are maint

5.2.3.2.2 Compatibility of Construction Materials with Reactor Coolant

Ferritic low alloy and carbon steels used in principal pressure retaining applications have either austenitic stainless steel or nickel-base alloy corrosion resistant cladding on all surfaces that are exposed to the reactor coolant. The cladding of ferritic type base material receives a post-weld heat treatment, as required by ASME Section III.

Unstabilized austenitic stainless steel base materials with primary pressure retaining applications are used in the solution annealed and water quenched (or rapidly cooled) condition in accordance with RG 1.44. Unstabilized austenitic stainless steels are not accorda heated above 800°F, other than locally by welding operations, after the final heat 800treatment.

05.02.03-22

Stabilized austenitic stainless steels have a stabilizing heat treatment above 800°F; the stabilizing element combines with the carbon to form carbide. Chromium carbid prevented from precipitating if a subsequent heat treatment in the 800°F to 1500°F temperature range occurs.

Due to the control of oxygen, chlorides, and fluorides in the reactor coolant, any unstabilized stainless steel locally sensitized at the high temperatures used during fabrication are not expected to experience stress corrosion cracking during normal plant operation. Precipitation hardenable stainless steel (SA-453 Grade 660) is used as a necked-down bolt for the control rod drive mechanism; because of its location it will not have contact with reactor coolant. The RCP bolting is external to the wetted

Π

paragraph NB-3211(d) of Section III are made to determine the mechanical properties of the quenched and tempered weld metal. To verify that the specified weld solidification pattern has been obtained and that the weld center is sound, either a macro-etch test or an impact test with the specimen notch located at the weld center is used. The tests specified are applied to each of the welds. In the event that properties obtained from tests identified are not acceptable, additional procedures qualification is performed.

Stainless steel corrosion resistant weld overlay cladding of low alloy steel components conforms to the requirements of RG 1.43, "Control of Stainless Steel Weld Cladding of Low-Alloy Steel Components." Controls to limit underclad cracking of susceptible materials conform to the requirements of RG 1.43.

Procedure Qualification Records and Welding Procedure Specifications performed to support welding of <u>carbon and l</u>ow alloy steel welds in the RCPB conform to the requirements of RG 1.50, "Control of Preheat Temperature for Welding of Low-Alloy Steel" and the guidelines of ASME Section III, Division 1, Nonmandatory Appendix D. redure Qualification Records and Welding Procedure Specific
oort welding of <u>carbon and l</u>ow alloy steel welds in the RCPB
irements of RG 1.50, "Control of Preheat Temperature for W
I" and the guidelines of ASME Section II

Interpass temperatures to support welding of low alloy steel welds in the RCPB are qualified per ASME Sections III and IX. The typical minimum preheat temperature is 200°F and the typical maximum interpass temperature is 600°F. Control of Preneat Temperat
of ASME Section III, Division
support welding of low alloy
ons III and IX. The typical mi
simum interpass temperature is
rators are qualified in accordan
cation for Areas of Limited Ac

Welders and welding operators are qualified in accordance with ASME Section IX and in RG 1.71, "Welder Qualification for Areas of Limited Accessibility."

The practices for storing and handing welding electrodes and fluxes comply with ASME Code, Section III, Paragraphs NB-2400 and NB-4400.

5.2.3.3.3 Nondestructive Examination for Ferritic Steel Tubular Products ndestructive Examina

Nondestructive examinations performed on ferritic steel tubular products to detect unacceptable defects will comply with ASME Section III, NB-2550 through NB-2570, and ASME Section XI examination requirements.

5.2.3.4 Fabrication and Processing of Austenitic Stainless Steels

5.2.3.4.1 Prevention of Sensitization and Intergranular Corrosion of Austenitic Stainless Steels

Austenitic stainless steels are susceptible to different forms of intergranular corrosion in aggressive environments when sensitized. Grain boundary carbide sensitization occurs when metal carbides precipitate on the grain boundaries when the material is heated in the temperature range of 800°F to 1,500°F.

Avoidance of intergranular attack in austenitic stainless steels is accomplished by five main methods:

በ2 በ3-

I

 \bullet

Use of low carbon (less thannot exceeding 0.03 wt% carbon) unstabilized austenitic stainless steels.

- \bullet Monitoring of the ferrite number of weld filler metals to ensure correct ferrite content.
- \bullet Utilization of materials in the solution annealed plus rapid cooled condition and, where possible, avoiding the prohibition of subsequent heat treatments in the 800°F and 1,500°F temperature range.
- \bullet Control of primary water chemistry to maintain an environment which does not promote intergranular attack.
- \bullet Control of welding processes and procedures to avoid heat affected zone sensitization as given in RG 1.44.

The water chemistry in the RCS is controlled to the ranges specified in Table 5.2-3 and by plant procedures to prevent the intrusion of aggressive species. Section 9.3.4 addresses RCS water chemistry control. Precautions are taken to prevent the intrusion of chlorides and other contaminants into the system during fabrication, shipping, and fa storage. The use of hydrogen in the reactor coolant inhibits the presence of oxygen during operation. The effectiveness of these controls has been demonstrated by tests and operating experience.

Measures are taken to prevent sensitization of unstabilized austenitic stainless steel materials during component fabrication; the wrought products listed in Table 5.2-2 are used in the solution annealed condition and rapidly cooled. Heat treatment parameters comply with ASME Section II. The material is either cooled by water quenching or cooled quickly enough through the sensitization temperature range to avoid carbide formation at the grain boundaries and sensitization. Non-sensitization of the base materials can be verified by a corrosion test – in accordance with ASTM A-262 (Reference 4), Practice A or E – as required by RG 1.44. When testing of the weld heat affected zone (HAZ) of materials is required, the tests are performed in ffected z accordance with ASTM A-262, Practice E. Low carbon austenitic stainless steel materials and their welds in product forms which do not have inaccessible cavities or chambers that would preclude rapid cooling when water quenching need not be corrosion tested, provided that the solution heat treatment is followed by water quenching or rapid cooling so as to avoid chromium carbide precipitation. sensitization as given in RG 1.44.
water chemistry in the RCS is controlled to the ranges specifielant procedures to prevent the intrusion of aggressive species
esses RCS water chemistry control. Precautions are taken to

All unstabilized austenitic stainless steel material, including weld material, has a maximum carbon content of less than 0.03 wt%. RG 1.44 requires that any material subjected to sensitizing temperatures subsequent to solution heat treatment should be material with a carbon content of less thannot exceeding 0.03 wt%.

Stabilized austenitic stainless steels have a stabilizing heat treatment above 800°F chromium carbides are prevented from precipitating after the stabilizing

05.02.03-22

element combines with the carbon. Due to the stabilizing heat treatment, stabilized austenitic stainless steels are not expected to experience sensitization. The lack of sensitization in these alloys, in addition to the five points listed above, negates the concern of intergranular corrosion in stabilized austenitic stainless steels. Stabilized austenitic stainless steel is solution annealed and rapidly cooled so that the material is cooled through the sensitization temperature range rapidly to prevent sensitization. If means other than rapid cooling are used, the material is tested in accordance with Practice E of ASTM A262 to demonstrate the material is in the unsensitized conditionStabilizing heat treatment is not performed for the stabilized austenitic stainless steels. The only heat treatment performed in the course of steel manufacturing is solution annealing with a maximum temperature not to exceed 2012°F, followed by quenching in water or equivalent rapid cooling in air to prevent grain boundary carbide precipitation.

Postweld heat treatment (PWHT) is performed on CRDM pressure housing components joined by welding. The sensitization concern in the stabilized austenitic stainless steel is eliminated by the compositional requirement and additional testing during welding procedure qualification. Welding procedure qualification is based on ASME Section III and Section IX with additional test requirements. During procedure qualification, tests and examinations are performed in the as-welded and PWHT condition. Corrosion testing per ASTM A 262 Practice E is performed to the qualification weld, which is identical to the actual welded joint including the <u>stabilized austenitic stainless steel base metal.</u> The boundary carbide precipitation.

Weld heat treatment (PWHT) is performed on CRDM pressure ponents joined by welding. The sensitization concern in the

lless steel is eliminated by the compositional requirement and

mg erformed on CRDM pressus
sensitization concern in the
mpositional requirement and
on. Welding procedure qual
h additional test requirement
are performed in the as-weld
IM A 262 Practice E is perfor explaing. The sensitization concerned by the compositional requirem

e qualification. Welding procedition IX with additional test requ

aminations are performed in the

ing per ASTM A 262 Practice E

is identical to the ac III and Section IX with additions
sts and examinations are perfor
rosion testing per ASTM A 262 I
eld, which is identical to the act
nitic stainless steel base metal.
tent of the sensitized material is
stabilization ratio

The carbon content of the sensitized material is limited to a maximum of 0.04 percent. The minimum stabilization ratio of niobium to carbon (Nb/C) is specified as 13 for the stabilized austenitic stainless steel component that receives PWHT. Additionally, the minimum allowable chromium content is increased t stabilized austenitic stainless steel component that receives PWHT. Additionally, the minimum allowable chromium content is increased to 18 percent. As required by the material specification, stabilized austenitic stainless steel is corrosion tested according to ASTM A 262 Practice E after being subjected to sensitizing heat treatment. In any Pract ASTM A 262 test, intergranular attack is not permitted regardless of depth. 2 ification weld, which is identical
ilized austenitic stainless steel bacarbon content of the sensitized
minimum stabilization ratio of r
ilized austenitic stainless steel co
imum allowable chromium contential specification

Due to necessary welding, the unstabilized austenitic stainless steel in the HAZ is heated in the sensitized temperatures range (800°F to 1500°F) during fabrication. Welding practices and material composition are controlled to manage the sensitization

05.02.03-23

 Δ while the material is in this temperature range and all weld metals have a carbon content of less thannot exceeding 0.03 wt% to prevent undue sensitization. In addition, where unstabilized austenitic stainless steel materials are subjected to sensitizing temperatures for greater than 60 minutes during a post weld heat treatment, as is the case for welding the austenitic stainless steel safe ends directly to the low alloy steel nozzles of the reactor pressure vessel, steam generator and pressurizer, non-sensitization of the materials is verified by testing in accordance with Practice A or E of ASTM A-262, as required by RG 1.44.

specimen notch located at the weld center is used. The tests specified are applied to each of the welds. The austenitic stainless steel production welding is monitored to verify compliance with limits for the process variables specified in the procedure qualification. In the event that properties obtained from tests identified are not acceptable, additional procedures qualification is performed.

5.2.3.4.5 Nondestructive Examination for Wrought Austenitic Stainless Steel Tubular Products

Nondestructive examinations performed on austenitic stainless steel tubular products to detect unacceptable defects will comply with ASME Section III, NB-2550 through NB-2570, and Section XI examination requirements.

5.2.3.4.6 Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel Materials used in the RCPB

The RCP casing is made from ASME SA-351 Grade CF3 material with additional restrictions on silicon (1.5% maximum) and niobium (restricted to trace elements). In addition, the ferrite content of cast austenitic stainless components in the RCPB will be limited to a ferrite content of less than 20 percent. For cast austenitic stainless steel components that experience service temperatures greater than 482°F, the delta ferrite content is limited to less than or equal to 20 percent for low molybdenum content statically cast materials, less than or equal to 14 percent for high molybdenum content statically cast materials, and less than or equal to 20 percent for high molybdenum content centrifugally cast materials. Low molybdenum content is defined as 0.5 wt% <u>maximum and high molybdenum content is defined as 2.0-3.0 wt%. These restrictions</u> reduce susceptibility to thermal aging (Section 3.6.3.3.6). For cast austenitic stainless steel material used in the RCPB, the percent ferrite is calculated using Hull's equivalent factors as indicated in NUREG/CR-4513 Rev. 1 (May 1994). **Example 12.3.4.6** Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel Materials used in the RCP (05.02.03-21)

The RCP casing is made from ASME SA-351 Grade CF3 material

restrictions on silicon (1.5% maximum) and niobium (restricted to

ded **Andright Accepture Component Co** ⁵⁹% maximum) and niobium (rest

ent of cast austenitic stainless co:

tent of less than 20 percent. For

nce service temperatures greater

than or equal to 20 percent for less than or equal to 14 percent for

and less t at experience service temperatured to less than or equal to 20 per
aterials, less than or equal to 14
aterials, and less than or equal to 14
aterials, and less than or equal t
ugally cast materials. Low moly
igh molybdenum ic Stainless Steel Materials used in the RCP

1 is made from ASME SA-351 Grade CF3 material

illicon (1.5% maximum) and niobium (restricted to

rrite content of east austenitic stainless component

Ferrite content of less

5.2.3.5 Prevention of Primary Water Stress-Corrosion Cracking for Nickel-Base ention Primary Prima Alloys

Nickel-base alloy components in the RCS are protected from primary water stresscorrosion cracking (PWSCC) by:

- \bullet Using only Alloy 690 and Alloys 52/52M/152 weld metals in NiCrFe applications (Alloy 600 base metal and Alloys 82/182 weld metal is not used).
- \bullet Controlled chemistry, mechanical properties, and thermo-mechanical processing requirements that produce an optimum microstructure for resistance to intergranular corrosion for NiCrFe Alloy 690 base metal.
- Limiting the sulfur content of NiCrFe base metal in contact with RCS primary fluid to maximum 0.02 wt%.

 \mathbb{I}

Table 5.2-2—Material Specifications for RCPB Components Sheet 2 of 6

Table 5.2-2—Material Specifications for RCPB Components Sheet 3 of 6

 \mathbf{I}

I

Table 5.2-2—Material Specifications for RCPB Components Sheet 4 of 6

Table 5.2-2—Material Specifications for RCPB Components Sheet 6 of 6

Notes on Table 5.2-2

- 1. Quenched and tempered
- 2. Solution annealed and thermally treated
- 3. Solution annealed and rapidly cooled
- 4. Carbon content not exceeding 0.03 wt%
- 5. Silicon not greater than 1.5% and niobium restricted to trace elements tent not exceeding 0.03 wt%
greater than 1.5% and niobium
normalized, normalized and ten
reatment and hHardening.
atment and precipitation harde
- 6. Annealed, normalized, normalized and tempered, or quenched and tempered. normalized, normalized
reatment and hHarde
eatment and precipita
- 7. Solution <u>t</u>Treatment and hHardening.
- 8. Solution treatment and precipitation hardening.

9. <u>Hot rolled or strain hardened.</u>

10. For cast austenitic stainless steel components that experience service temperatures greater than 482°F, the delta ferrite content is limited to less than or equal to 20 48 percent for low molybdenum content statically cast materials, less than or equal to 14 percent for high molybdenum content statically cast materials, and less than or equal to 20 percent for high molybdenum content centrifugally cast materials. Low molybdenum content is defined as 0.5 wt% maximum and high molybdenum content is defined as 2.0-3.0 wt%. Notes on Table 5.2-2

1. Quenched and tempered

2. Solution annealed and thermally treated

3. Solution annealed and rapidly cooled

4. Carbon content not exceeding 0.03 wt%

5. Silicon not greater than 1.5% and niobium re Annealed, normalized, normalized
Solution <u>t</u>Treatment and hHarde
Solution treatment and precipita
Hot rolled or strain hardened.
For cast austenitic stainless steel
greater than 482°F, the delta ferm Solution treatment a
Hot rolled or strain l
For cast austenitic st:
greater than 482°F, t

U.S. EPR Pressure–Temperature Limits Methodology for RCS Heatup and Cooldown Report **Markups**

U.S. EPR Pressure-Temperature Limits Methodology for RCS Heatup and Cooldown Page 6-3 The lowest allowable pressure at each time point yields a single lower bound P-T limit curve for normal heatup or normal cooldown. The P-T curves for normal plant heatup and cooldown are presented in Figure 6-1 and Figure 6-2, respectively, and are \sim 05.03.02-7 tabulated in Table 6-6 and Table 6-7, respectively.

The P-T limit curve for the closure head region is calculated separately. The allowable pressure from plant startup is maintained as a constant value of 635 psig (20 percent of preservice hydrostatic test pressure) from the bolt preload temperature condition until the coolant temperature reaches the temperature where a calculated crack-tip metal temperature exceeds the minimum temperature requirement of Reference 1 and Table 2-1. The minimum required temperatures are subsequently determined at 1285 psig and at 2325 psig (full power, steady-state condition). The resulting closure head limit curves are included in Figure 6-1 and Figure 6-2 for heatup and cooldown, respectively.

For plant heatup, the closure head limit curve lower bounds both the beltline region and the nozzle corner limit curves. As noted, the closure head limit does not change throughout the lifetime of the plant. In the case of normal cooldown from steady-state conditions, as shown in Figure 6-2, the beltline P-T limit is controlling until it intersects with the closure head limit curve. At 635 psig, which corresponds to 20 percent of the preservice hydrostatic test pressure, the allowable temperature corresponds to the minimum temperature requirement of RT_{NDT} +120°F per item 2.b of Table 2-1. The P-T limit curve for the (inlet and outlet) nozzle corner region is not a controlling P-T limit region at any time during normal plant heatup or cooldown. erature reaches the temperature where a calculated completed the minimum temperature requirement of Referem required temperatures are subsequently determine (full power, steady-state condition). The resulting closed in Fi

The P-T limits thus calculated are "uncorrected P-T limits," meaning that measurement uncertainty due to instrument error or sensor location adjustment is not included. The sensor location adjustment is necessary due to the difference in sensor readings (pressure and temperature) at the measurement location compared to the corresponding pressures and temperatures at the controlling P-T limit region. Sensor location adjustment includes the effect of pump operation. These corrections are made to the uncorrected P-T limits and the resultant corrected P-T limits are presented in

Note:

1. P-T limit curves do not include margin for instrument uncertainty.

 $05.03.02 - 7$

Table 6–2 Adjusted Reference Temperature for the U.S. EPR Reactor Vessel Materials through 60 EFPY

Notes:

- 1. The margin term in the RG 1.99 Revision 2 expression for adjusted reference temperature is calculated according to RG 1.99 Revision 2, Equation 4. The standard deviation for initial RTNDT is 0°F because the initial RTNDT is specified as a maximum limit for vessel manufacture. The standard deviation for $\Delta{\rm RTNDT}$ is the lesser of 28° F for welds and 17 $^{\circ}$ F for base metals and 0.50 times the mean value of Δ RTNDT calculated from the chemistry factors and fluences in Table 6-1.
- 2. The projected fluence to the RPV head is insufficient to cause any measurable shift in RTNDT.
- 3. Limiting beltline ART values and materials used in the generation of the P-T Limits.

 $-05.03.02 - 10$

Note:

The tabulated heatup limits do not include margin for instrument uncertainty.

 $05.03.02 - 7$

Note:

The tabulated cooldown limits do not include margin for instrument uncertainty.

05.03.02-7 DRAFT