

WA, SC and Clark County (NV) respond to DOE petition for review of Board order - Message (HTML)

Message ADAMS

Reply Reply Forward Delete Move to Create Other Block Safe Lists Categorize Follow Mark as
 to All Respond: Folder Rule Actions Sender Junk E-mail Up Unread Options Find Related Select Find

From: Powell, Amy Sent: Thu 4/22/2010 5:53 PM
 To: Powell, Amy
 Cc:
 Bcc: 'pete.modaff@mail.house.gov'; 'james.windle@mail.house.gov'; 'Jones, Kevin (Approps)'; 'Blair, Rob'; 'Doug_Claop@apro.senate.gov'; 'Carrie Apostolou'; 'Spencer, Peter'; 'Caputo, Annie (EPW)'; 'Dedrick, Kathy (EPW)'; 'Bisconà, Giulia (Carper)'; 'Haynes, Laura (Carper)'; 'Freedhoff, Michal'; 'Matthews, Kate'; 'Spring, Andrea'; 'Neumayr, Mary'; 'Baran, Jeff'; 'Cherry, David'; 'McDonough, Alexander (Reid)'; 'Cristinzio, Dayle (Reid)'; 'Story, Tod'; 'greg.facchiano@mail.house.gov'; 'john_min@ensign.senate.gov'; 'matt.morris@mail.house.gov'; 'Shaw, Andrew (Ensign)'; 'Consolvo, Brandon (Ensign)'; 'Shannon_Reborn@reid.senate.gov'; 'Agostisi, Karen'; 'Fowler, Sam (Energy)'; 'tm_valentine@energy.senate.gov';
 Subject: WA, SC and Clark County (NV) respond to DOE petition for review of Board order

- Message:
- 4-21-10 WA InterlocReviewResponse[1].pdf (167 KB)
 - 4-22-10 SC Response to DOE petition for interlocutory review[1].pdf (177 KB)
 - 4-22-10 Clark County Answer in Support of DOE Petition for Interlocutory Review[1].pdf (143 KB)

Hello again –

Attached are the latest filings in response to DOE's petition for the Commission to review the Board's Order to suspend briefing and consideration of DOE's withdrawal motion. Here is a quick look at the positions:

WA: Agrees with the Board Order and states that the Commission should decline to review it
 SC: Joins/incorporates by reference WA's reply
 Clark County (NV): Supports DOE's petition for the Commission to review the Board's Order

In other news, the Board issued questions to parties and interested governmental participants regarding the preservation of documents in the licensing support network. I will send that in a separate e-mail.

Amy

Amy Powell
 Associate Director
 U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
 Office of Congressional Affairs
 Phone: 301-415-1673

A/84
~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

Powell, Amy

From: Powell, Amy
Sent: Thursday, April 22, 2010 6:58 PM
To: Powell, Amy
Subject: WA, SC and Clark County (NV) respond to DOE petition for review of Board order
Attachments: 4-21-10 WA InterlocReviewResponse[1].pdf; 4-22-10 SC Response to DOE petition for interlocutory review[1].pdf; 4-22-10 Clark County Answer in Support of DOE Petition for Interlocutory Review[1].pdf

Hello again –

Attached are the latest filings in response to DOE's petition for the Commission to review the Board's Order to suspend briefing and consideration of DOE's withdrawal motion. Here is a quick look at the positions:

WA: Agrees with the Board Order and states that the Commission should decline to review it

SC: Joins/incorporates by reference WA's reply

Clark County (NV): Supports DOE's petition for the Commission to review the Board's Order

In other news, the Board issued questions to parties and interested governmental participants regarding the preservation of documents in the licensing support network. I will send that in a separate e-mail.

Amy

Amy Powell
Associate Director
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of Congressional Affairs
Phone: 301-415-1673