



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 181 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-26
CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK, INC.
INDIAN POINT NUCLEAR GENERATING UNIT NO. 2
DOCKET NO. 50-247

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated September 19, 1994, the Consolidated Edison Company of New York (the licensee) submitted a request for changes to the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 2 Technical Specifications (TSs). The requested changes would revise Section 4.4.A.3 of the TS, Frequency of Containment Integrated Leakage Rate Test, to reference 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, as modified by approved exemptions, directly.

2.0 EVALUATION

The proposed change is administrative. TS Section 4.4.A.3 currently paraphrases 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, for the required frequency of the containment Integrated Leakage Rate Test (ILRT). The change would revise Section 4.4.A.3 to reference 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, as modified by approved exemptions, directly. The revised wording is consistent with that used in the revised Standard Technical Specifications for the required frequency of the ILRT. Since the change is administrative in nature, in that it references the controlling regulations directly and recognizes approved exemptions, rather than paraphrasing the regulation, the NRC staff finds the proposed change to be acceptable.

3.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the New York State official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendment. The State official had no comments.

4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendment changes a requirement with respect to installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. The NRC staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation

9503270204 950317
PDR ADOCK 05000247
P PDR

exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such finding (60 FR 8744). Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendment.

5.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: F. Williams

Date: March 17, 1995