SRNL-STI-2008-00415, Rev. 0

Key Words: Performance Assessment Atmospheric Dose

Retention: Permanent

### AIR PATHWAY DOSE MODELING FOR THE SALTSTONE DISPOSAL FACILITY

Patricia L. Lee Trevor Q. Foley

#### **DECEMBER 15, 2008**

ET.

Technical Reviewer

Savannah River National Laboratory Savannah River Nuclear Solutions Savannah River Site <u>Aiken, SC 29808</u>

This document was prepared in conjunction with work accomplished underContract No. DE-AC09-08SR22470 with the U.S. Department of Energy.



#### DISCLAIMER

This work was prepared under an agreement with and funded by the U.S. Government. Neither the U. S. Government or its employees, nor any of its contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any express or implied: 1. warranty or assumes any legal liability for the accuracy, completeness, or for the use or results of such use of any information, product, or process disclosed; or 2. representation that such use or results of such use would not infringe privately owned rights; or 3. endorsement or recommendation of any specifically identified commercial product, process, or service. Any views and opinions of authors expressed in this work do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government, or its contractors, or subcontractors.

**Printed in the United States of America** 

Prepared For U.S. Department of Energy

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLESi	ĪV
1.0 ABSTRACT	1
2.0 INTRODUCTION	1
3.0 DOSE METHODS	3
3.1 CAP88 Modeling	3
3.2 Radionuclides Not Contained in CAP88	4
3.3 Area Source Evaluation	5
4.0 RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS	7
5.0 REFERENCES	9

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	SDF Area/Point Source Determination	3
Table 2.	CAP88 Model Results (Point Source) - EDE (mrem/yr)	4
Table 3.	Surrogates for Radionuclides not in CAP88 Database	4
Table 4.	Point Source EDE (mrem/yr) for radionuclides not in CAP88	5
Table 5.	Area Source Atmospheric EDE (mrem/yr)	5
Table 6.	SDF Atmospheric DRFs (mrem/Ci)	7

## **1.0 ABSTRACT**

Dose-release factors (DRFs) were calculated for potential atmospheric releases of C-14, Cl-36, H-3, I-129, Sb-125, Se-79, Sn-126, and Tc-99 from the Saltstone Disposal Facility (SDF). DRFs represent the dose to the receptor exposed to 1 Ci of the specified radionuclide being released to the atmosphere. Receptors at the SRS site boundary were evaluated assuming a point source. Receptors at 100 meters were evaluated assuming an area source due to the receptor proximity to the SDF relative to the size of the source. These DRFs can be applied to estimate flux rates for this facility to estimate the potential dose to an individual.

## **2.0 INTRODUCTION**

The SDF is 650,000 m<sup>2</sup> (Jones and Phifer 2008) yielding an effective length of 806 m. For the purposes of this evaluation, the SDF is assumed to be of a uniform shape. C-14, Cl-36, H-3, I-129, Sb-125, Se-79, Sb-126, Sn-126, and Tc-99 were identified by the Section 3116 support group as potential radionuclides for atmospheric release from the SDF. Sb-126 is not evaluated separately because it is included as progeny in the Sn-126 evaluation.

DRFs in mrem/Ci are estimated by modeling the effective dose equivalent (EDE) (mrem/yr) assuming an annual atmospheric release source term (Ci/yr) of the associated radionuclides. EDEs are modeled using the EPA computer code, CAP88 (Beres 1990) for demonstration of compliance with the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) (EPA 2002). The DRFs are simply the ratio of the EDE to the release activity. The potential dose to an individual located at the SRS boundary and 100 meters due to exposure to these radionuclides if released from the SDF can be estimated by application of radionuclide-specific DRFs (mrem/Ci) to estimated flux rates (Ci/yr) at a particular time period.

The methodology for estimating these DRFs for the SDF are described in this report.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

## **3.0 DOSE METHODS**

#### 3.1 CAP88 MODELING

CAP88 (Beres 1990) models the EDE to a receptor at a specified location by first estimating the relative average air concentration ( $\chi/Q$ ) of the released radionuclides and then applying the appropriate exposure parameters and dosimetric values to estimate pathway-specific doses. To estimate the  $\chi/Qs$ , CAP88 accesses a site-specific five-year meteorological database that includes wind speed, wind direction, temperature, dew point, and horizontal and vertical turbulence intensities. The resultant relative air concentrations are used to estimate EDE for ingestion, inhalation, plume shine (air immersion), and ground shine exposure pathways for the MEI. For the SDF, the EDEs, and therefore the DRFs, were estimated for the maximally exposed individual (MEI) located at the site boundary (Farfan 2008) and at distances of 100 meters from the release point. In accordance with 40CFR61 (EPA 2002), the MEI is assumed to be located at the nearest home, farm, business, or school and is assumed to eat vegetables, meat, and milk produced at that location. For radionuclides not contained within the CAP88 library, surrogates were assigned based on similar radiological properties and the dose was estimated by applying the appropriate dosimetric properties to the surrogate's relative air concentrations estimated by the model.

Because of the large SDF size an evaluation was performed to determine if the release required modeling as an area or point source for all receptors. CAP88 models area sources for releases where the receptor distance/source effective length ratio is less that 2.5 (EPA 2006). Employing this evaluation, the 100 m receptor was modeled as an area source due to its close proximity to the source (see Table 1). The receptor at the site boundary is modeled as a point source.

<b>Receptor Locations</b>	Distance/Length	Area Source (yes or no)
100 m	0.1	yes
SRS Boundary (11,800 m)	14.6	no

 Table 1. SDF Area/Point Source Determination

For the SDF, 1 Ci of the radionuclides listed in Section 2.0 were assumed to be released from ground level and over a one year period. The 2002-2006 meteorological database for H Area (Kabela and Weber 2007) was used to disperse the releases to the MEI at the site boundary and 100 meters from the potential release location. The MEI at the site boundary is located at a distance of approximately 11,800 meters from the SDF in the north cardinal direction (Farfan 2008). Site- and pathway- specific parameters used in the CAP88 model to estimate the resultant EDEs are taken from Lee (2001).

CAP88 model results assuming a 1 Ci release of the radionuclides listed in Section 2.0 from the SDF are listed in Table 2. Radionuclides not contained within the CAP88 database (Cl-36 and Se-79) are not listed in Table 2 but are discussed in subsequent sections (Section 3.2).

	Receptor Location		
Radionuclide	100 m	11,800 m(SRS Boundary)	
C-14	3.7E-01	1.1E-04	
H-3	7.7E-03	2.3E-06	
I-129	5.5E+02	4.8E-02	
Sb-125	1.1E+01	6.6E-03	
Sn-126*	4.9E+02	3.0E-01	
Tc-99	2.9E+00	1.8E-03	

Table 2. CAP88 Model Results (Point Source) - EDE (mrem/yr)

\*Includes progeny

#### **3.2 RADIONUCLIDES NOT CONTAINED IN CAP88**

Two of the radionuclides listed in Section 2.0 are not contained within the CAP88 library (Cl-36 and Se-79). Therefore atmospheric transport was assumed to be equivalent to that of surrogate radionuclides with similar radiological properties (Table 3).

Table 3. Surrogates for Radionuclides not in CAP88 Databa	se
---	----

Radionuclide	Half-Life (yr)	Surrogate	Surrogate Half-Life (yr)
Cl-36	3.01E+05	Sn-126	2.30E+05
Se-79	2.95E+05	Sn-126	2.30E+05

EDEs for these radionuclides were estimated by applying their pathway-specific dosimetric properties to the surrogate's  $\chi/Q$  estimated by the model. For ease, this was accomplished by applying a ratio of the dose coefficients to the surrogate EDEs estimated by the model. For example, the EDEs for Se-79 are estimated as follows:

$$EDE_{Se-79} = EDE_{Sn-126}^{lng} * \frac{DF_{Se-79}^{lng}}{DF_{Sn-126}^{lng}} + EDE_{Sn-126}^{lnh} * \frac{DF_{Se-79}^{lnh}}{DF_{Sn-126}^{lnh}} + EDE_{Sn-126}^{plume} * \frac{DF_{Se-79}^{plume}}{DF_{Sn-126}^{plume}} + EDE_{Sn-126}^{ground} * \frac{DF_{Se-79}^{ground}}{DF_{Sn-126}^{plume}} + EDE_{Sn-126}^{ground} + EDE_{Sn-126}^{gr$$

where,

 $DF^{ing} = ingestion dose conversion factors from EPA (1988)^{1} (mrem/pCi)$   $DF^{inh} = inhalation dose conversion factors from EPA (1988)^{1} (mrem/pCi)$   $DF^{plume} = air immersion dose conversion factors from EPA (1993)^{1} (rem/hr per <math>\mu$ Ci/cm<sup>3</sup>)  $DF^{ground} = ground surface dose conversion factors from EPA (1993)^{1} (rem/hr per <math>\mu$ Ci/cm<sup>2</sup>)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> EPA (1988 and 1993) used only for radionuclides not in the CAP88 database. DRFs for radionuclides contained in the CAP88 database are those supplied by CAP88.

EDEs for the radionuclides in Table 3 based on this methodology are listed in Table 4.

	<b>Receptor Location (m)</b>	
Radionuclide	100	11,800 (SRS Boundary)
Cl-36	7.9E-01	3.6E-04
Se-79	1.1E+00	6.0E-04

 Table 4. Point Source EDE (mrem/yr) for radionuclides not in CAP88

#### **3.3 AREA SOURCE EVALUATION**

Based on the source dimensions in Table 1, the SDF must be treated as an area source when considering receptor distances of 100 meters. However, CAP88 Version 1.0 is deemed inappropriate close to the source (distance/diameter ratio is less than 1.3) as stated in Moore et al. (1979) and it does not handle area sources (Beres 1990). Therefore, point source and area source sector-average relative air concentration were estimated as described in Simpkins and Lee (2006).

Simpkins and Lee (2006) estimated a point source sector-average concentration 8.1E-4 s/m<sup>3</sup> using average weather conditions. The estimated point source area concentration ( $\chi/Q$ ) of 6.2E-6 s/m<sup>3</sup> calculated based on the methodology in Simpkins and Lee (2006) using the 2002-2006 meteorological database was compared to the area source sector-average relative air concentrations for the SDF to estimate the point/area source ratio for a receptor location of 100 meters. The area source average air concentrations and point/area source ratio of 130 is conservatively rounded down to 100 to represent the overestimate of the average air concentration that would result from assuming a point source. This factor is applied to the CAP88 modeled 100 meter point source estimate to determine estimate area source EDEs for the SDF. Area source estimates for the SDF are listed in Table 5.

<b>Receptor Location (m)</b>		
Radionuclide	100	
C-14	3.7E-03	
Cl-36*	7.9E-03	
H-3	7.7E-05	
I-129	5.5E+00	
Sb-125	1.1E-01	
Se-79*	1.1E-02	
Sn-126**	4.9E+00	
Tc-99	2.9E-02	

 Table 5. Area Source Atmospheric EDE (mrem/yr)

\*Not in CAP88 database. Based on Sn-126 surrogate  $\chi/Q$ 

\*\*Includes progeny

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

## 4.0 RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

As described in Section 2.0 DRFs are merely the ratio of the EDE to the annual release activity. Because the model was executed assuming 1 Ci release, these DRFs are equal to the appropriate estimated EDEs. DRFs for the receptor located at the site boundary (11,800 m) and 100 meters is listed in Table 6. These factors can be applied to expected release values from each disposal unit to estimate the potential dose to an individual located at each location.

Radionuclide	Rec	Receptor Location (m)	
	100	11,800 (SRS Boundary)	
C-14	3.7E-03	1.1E-04	
Cl-36*	7.9E-03	3.6E-04	
H-3	7.7E-05	2.3E-06	
I-129	5.5E+00	4.8E-02	
Sb-125	1.1E-01	6.6E-03	
Se-79*	1.1E-02	6.0E-04	
Sn-126**	4.9E+00	3.0E-01	
Tc-99	2.9E-02	1.8E-03	

#### Table 6. SDF Atmospheric DRFs (mrem/Ci)

\*Not in CAP88 database. Based on Sn-126 surrogate  $\chi/Q$  \*\*Includes Progeny

7

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

#### **5.0 REFERENCES**

- Beres, D.A. The Clean Air Act Assessment Package-1988 (CAP-88) A Dose and Risk Assessment Methodology for Radionuclide Emissions to Air, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Contract No. 68-D9-0170, Washington, DC. 1990.
- Farfan, E.B. Maximally Exposed Offsite Individual Worst Sector Determination for NESHAP Compliance Using 2002-2006 Meteorological Data, WSRC-TR-2008-00055. Washington Savannah River Company, Aiken, SC. August 2008.
- Jones, W.E. and Phifer, M.A. Saltstone Disposal Facility Closure Cap Concept and Infiltration Estimates, WSRC-STI-2008-00244. Washington Savannah River Company, Aiken, SC. May 2008.
- Kabela, E.D. and Weber, A.H. Summary of Data and Steps for Processing the 2002-2006 SRS Meteorological Database (U), WSRC-STI-2007-00613. Washington Savannah River Company, Aiken, SC. 2007.
- Lee, P.L. Environmental Dose Assessment Manual, WSRC-IM-91-1, Rev 3. Westinghouse Savannah River Company, Aiken, SC. July 2001.
- Moore, R.E.; Baes III, C.F.; McDowell-Boyer, L.M.; Watson, A.P; Hoffman, F.O.; Pleasant, J.C; Miller, C.W. AIRDOS-EPA: A Computerized Methodology for Estimating Environmental Concentrations and Dose to Man from Airborne Releases of Radionuclides, ORNL-5532. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN. 1979.
- Simpkins, A.A. and Lee, P.L. Modeling a Potential Atmospheric Release from a Waste Disposal Facility at the Savannah River Site as and Area Source Operational Radiation Safety Supplement to Health Physics. Vol. 91, No. 2. August 2006.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Limiting Values of Radionuclides Intake and Air Concentration and Dose Conversion Factors for Inhalation, Submersion, and Ingestion. Federal Guidance Report No. 11. EPA 520/1-88-020. Washington, DC. 1988.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, External Exposure to Radionuclides in Air, Water, and Soil, Federal Guidance Report No. 12. EPA 402-R-93-081. Washington, DC. 1993.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants; Radionuclides, Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 61, Washington, DC. 2002.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. CAP88-PC Version 3.0 User Guide. Office of Radiation and Indoor Air. Washington DC 20460. March 2006.

#### AIR PATHWAY DOSE MODELING FOR THE SALTSTONE DISPOSAL FACILITY

#### **DISTRIBUTION**

Marcia B. Birk, 766-H Steven A. Thomas, 766-H Thomas C. Robinson, Jr., 766-H Kent H. Rosenberger, 766-H John B. Gladden, 773–42A G. Timothy Jannik, 773–42A Eduardo B. Farfan, 999–W Kenneth Dixon, 773–42A Mark Phifer, 773–42A Heather H. Burns, 999-W Byron T. Butcher, 773-43A Elmer L. Wilhite, 773-43A Roger R. Seitz, 773-43A

SRNL Records (4), 773–52A EDG Records (5), 773–42A