

63

# DATA CAPTURE DOCUMENT DISCOVERY AND REVIEW

ORAU TEAM Dose Reconstruction Project for NIOSH

SRDB 62268

The attached document may contain Privacy Act data. This information is protected by the Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. §552a; disclosure to any third party without written consent of the individual to whom the information pertains is strictly prohibited.

Data Capture Team or Other ORAU Team Member Capturing Data: Complete all information that applies to the data/document being submitted for uploading to the Site Research Database (SRDB), attach this form to the front of the document, and send to: ORAU Team, Attention: SRDB Uploading, 4850 Smith Rd., Suite 200, Cincinnati, Ohio 45212.

2. 3. 4.	Reviewer Name (if different from Requestor): AILX ( Target Data: All relevant data Date Collected: March/ 13 (2009)	Boer	nec
5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Site of Capture: Weathphouse Site (MO) Site Box Number: Firepsoid Columb (U) Drease I Accession Number: MA Location (if not located in box): - Folder Title:	10. 11.	Database Name: <sub>N/A</sub> Software/Hardware Requirements: <sub>N/A</sub>
12.	Document Date: 1985-1996	16.	Document Type (check all that apply):
13. 14.	Document Number:		Facilities/Process (i.e., source terms, contamination surveys, general area/breathing-zone air sampling, area radiation surveys, radon/thoron monitoring, fixed location dosimeters, missed dose information, radiological control limits, radiation work permits, incidents/accidents)
	16 files/	ļ	Medical Monitoring (i.e., X-rays, occupational medical exams, exam frequencies, equipment performance characteristics)
15.	Sites to Which Document Applies (check all that apply):	ı	Environmental Monitoring (i.e., ambient radiation, onsite releases, onsite radionuclide concentrations)
•	DOE Sites   Names:   AWE Sites   Names: United Nuclear Co (Hematite)		Internal Dosimetry (i.e., urinalysis, fecal, in vivo, breath sampling, radon/thoron, nasal smears, analytical methods, sample frequency, detection limits, recordkeeping practices, codes, performance characteristics)
	General Information		External Dosimetry (i.e., thermoluminescent dosimeters, film badges, pocket ion chambers, analytical methods, exchange frequency, detection limits, recordkeeping practices, codes, performance characteristics)
	Ele Name (researche)		Individual/Group Data (i.e., individual or group data)



Associated Post Office Box 117 Universities Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-0117 Enercy Environment Systems Division

June 25, 1990

Mr. George France Region III Nuclear Regulatory Commission 799 Roosevelt Road Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

SURVEY OF CONSTRUCTION AREA - COMBUSTION ENGINEERING, Subject: HEMATITE, MO

Dear Mr. France:

Attached are the results of measurements and sampling performed May 31, 1990 in the construction area at the Combustion Engineering site in Hematite, Missouri. As can be noted from the findings, there appears to be a reduction in the level of residual uranium contamination; however, most of the sampled locations continue to exceed the 30 pCi/g guideline level for total enriched uranium.

ion may be referred to Tim Vitkus Requests for additional at FTS 626-5073.

Sincerely,

for James D Berger, Progra Environmental Survey ; Assessment Program

quests for adaltion FTS 626-5073. Survey of Incerely, *Laurence T. Friedwa* Construction Arca-Barger, Progri Combust Eng Hematt

JDB:jls

C. Haughney, (NI cc: T. Mo, (NRC/NMS! D. Tiktinsky, () Mar Beau 

A-11

### FOLLOWUP RADIOLOGICAL SURVEY OF CONSTRUCTION AREA COMBUSTION ENGINEERING, INC. HEMATITE, MISSOURI

### INTRODUCTION

At the request of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC's) Region III Office, Oak Ridge Associated Universities (ORAU) conducted a confirmatory radiological survey of the Phase 2 construction area at Combustion Engineering's Hematite, Missouri facility, in September 1989. This survey identified residual areas of low level uranium contamination in portions of the site where a new building foundation and slab was to be constructed. Further remediation of these areas has been performed and the NRC requested that ORAU conduct a followup survey of the radiological status of the soils beneath the new building. This survey was performed on May 31, 1990. Procedures and findings are presented in this report.

### SURVEY PROCEDURES

- 1. The 10 m x 10 m reference grid established previously (Figure 1) was used to reference survey activities.
- 2. Gamma scans were conducted over soil surfaces within the gridded portion of the construction site. NaI(Tl) gamma scintillation detectors with audible indicating

**Prepared by the Energy/Environment Systems Division of Oak Ridge** Associated Universities, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, under interagency agreement, (NRC Fin. No. A-9076) between the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission and the U.S. Department of Energy.

scaler/ratemeters were used to perform scanning surveys. Locations of elevated radiation levels were noted for further investigation.

- 3. Surface soil samples were obtained from fourteen locations (Figure 2). Samples were analyzed by gamma spectrometry at the ORAU laboratory facility in Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- 4. Exposure rate measurements were performed at the surface and 1 m above the surface at each of the sampling locations, using a gamma scintillation detector, cross calibrated for enriched uranium with a pressurized ionization chamber.

#### RESULTS

General gamma radiation levels at 1 m above the surface ranged from 7 to 12  $\mu$ R/h; for comparison the usual background level for the Hematite area is approximately 6 to 10  $\mu$ R/h. Scans identified several isolated locations of elevated contact radiation levels; exposure rates at these locations ranged up to 14  $\mu$ R/h at contact and to 12  $\mu$ R/h at 1 m above the surface. Results of gamma measurements are presented in Table 1.

Table 2 summarizes the concentrations of uranium in soil samples collected from the excavated areas. Concentrations of U-235 and U-238 ranged from 0.3 to 13.7 pCi/g and from 2.2 to 58 pCi/g, respectively. On the basis of the previously determined U-234/U-235 activity ratio of 26, the total uranium levels in the samples ranged from 10 to 430 pCi/g. The direct radiation levels and uranium concentrations are slightly lower than those measured during the September 1989 survey; however, most of the locations continue to exhibit uranium levels above the current Option I guideline of the NRC Branch Technical Position.

# TABLE 1

# EXPOSURE RATE MEASUREMENTS COMBUSTION ENGINEERING, INC. HEMATITE, MISSOURI

	Gr	id	Exposure Rate (#R/h)		
Location	<u>Coord</u> N	<u>inate</u> E	At 1 m Above Surface	At Surface Contact	
` <b>1</b>	17	12	9	9	
2	3.5	14.5	7	12	
3	37	7.5	9	11	
4	32	4	9	10	
5	29	0	9	12	
6	28	2.5	12	14	
7	23	0	12	14	
8	26	6	12	13	
9	34	15	7	9	
10	5	7.5	10	14	
11	15	7.5	10	12	
12	25	12.5	7	8 🧃	
13	35	12.5	. 7	6	
14	18	20	9	14	

<sup>a</sup>Refer to Figure 2.

## TABLE 2

## URANIUM CONCENTRATIONS IN SOIL COMBUSTION ENGINEERING, INC. HEMATITE, MISSOURI

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Gr Coord	id linate	Uranium	$\operatorname{Uranium}$ Concentration (pCi/g)				
Sample <sup>a</sup>	N	E	<del>U-</del> 235	U-238	Total U <sup>C</sup>			
1	17	12	$1.2 \pm 0.1^{b}$	6.1 ± 1.6				
2	3.5	14.5	$10.4 \pm 0.3$	$12.4 \pm 7.6$	290			
3	37	7.5	$3.4 \pm 0.2$	$3.4 \pm 2.2$	95			
4.	32	4	$0.3 \pm 0.1$	$2.2 \pm 0.9$	10			
5	29	Ō	$4.1 \pm 0.1$	8.3 ± 1.1	120			
5 duplicate	29	0	$4.5 \pm 0.2$	9.9 ± 1.5	130			
6	28	2.5	$2.9 \pm 0.2$	<3.5	82			
7	23	0	$7.4 \pm 0.3$	<4.5	230			
8	26	6	$2.1 \pm 0.1$	6.6 ± 1.7	63			
9	34	15	$2.8 \pm 0.2$	$2.8 \pm 1.4$	78			
10	5	7.5	$13.7 \pm 0.3$	58 ± 12	430			
11	15	7.5	$1.3 \pm 0.2$	5.8 ± 1.2	41			
11 duplicate	15	7.5	$1.6 \pm 0.2$	7.6 ± 1.6	51			
12	25	12.5	$1.5 \pm 0.1$	$3.8 \pm 0.9$	44			
13	35	12.5	$1.2 \pm 0.1$	4.6 ± 1.0	37			
14	18	20	$0.5 \pm 0.2$	4.3 ± 2.0	18			

<sup>a</sup>Refer to Figure 2.

<sup>b</sup>Uncertainties represent the 95% confidence level, based only on counting statistics; additional laboratory uncertainties of ±6 to 10% have not been propagated into these data.

Calculated, utilizing U-234/U-235 activity ratio of 26.