

MFFFNPEm Resource

From: Tiktinsky, David
Sent: Monday, May 17, 2010 8:41 PM
To: 'DWGwyn@moxproject.com'
Cc: MFFFHearingFile Resource
Subject: FW: Draft Responses to MPQAP Telecon Questions
Attachments: image001.gif

Please note Sabrina's comment number 1. Kevin is ok with your answer to the second question.

From: Cleavenger, Sabrina
Sent: Friday, May 14, 2010 11:15 PM
To: Tiktinsky, David; Morrissey, Kevin; Arroyo, Damaris
Cc: MFFFHearingFile Resource; Oesterle, Eric
Subject: RE: Draft Responses to MPQAP Telecon Questions

A couple of comments:

- (1) The response to question 2 talks about renumbering, but it is difficult for me to gauge whether or not this fixes the problem...I think I will need to see the words to see if the renumbering actually clarifies that the supplier evaluations are not a subset of receipt inspections by separating the supplier evaluation section from the receipt inspection discussion (I expect that they have different numbers now).
- (2) I would like to know what Kevin thinks about the response to question 6...I expected MOX to put the words from 70.72 in the MPQAP, so I am not sure if this is enough to give us a warm fuzzy.

Otherwise, everything looks fine to me. Damaris – are there any aspects of the responses that you feel need further attention?

Thanks,
Sabrina

From: Tiktinsky, David
Sent: Wednesday, May 12, 2010 2:03 PM
To: Morrissey, Kevin; Cleavenger, Sabrina; Arroyo, Damaris
Cc: MFFFHearingFile Resource; Oesterle, Eric
Subject: FW: Draft Responses to MPQAP Telecon Questions

Please take a look at this and let me know if it ok. Thanks.

From: Gwyn, Dealis W. [mailto:DWGwyn@moxproject.com]
Sent: Wednesday, May 12, 2010 1:47 PM
To: Tiktinsky, David
Cc: Kehoe, David; Shell, George T.; Justice, Benjamin R.; Yates, Douglas A.
Subject: Draft Responses to MPQAP Telecon Questions

Dave

Attached are draft responses to the questions raised during the telecon on MPQAP Rev 9. As MPQAP Rev 9 has been approved within MOX Services and submitted for NRC approval, MOX Services plans to issue MPQAP Rev 9, Change 1 consistent with the responses. Once we receive confirmation that our responses are

acceptable, we will get project approval for MPQAP Rev 9, Change 1 and subsequently submit that version to the NRC. We also submit revised LA to include the update in Chapter 15.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Dealis

******Internet Email Confidentiality Footer**** Privileged/Company Confidential Information may be contained in this message. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to such person), you may not copy or deliver this message to anyone. In such case, you should destroy this message and notify the sender by reply email. Please advise immediately if you or your employer do not consent to Internet email for messages of this kind. Opinions, conclusions, and other information in this message that do not relate to the official business of Shaw Areva MOX Services LLC or its subsidiaries shall be understood as neither given nor endorsed by it.**

Hearing Identifier: MixedOxideFuelFabricationFacility_NonPublic
Email Number: 1721

Mail Envelope Properties (0A64B42AAA8FD4418CE1EB5240A6FED11293DAD8B8)

Subject: FW: Draft Responses to MPQAP Telecon Questions
Sent Date: 5/17/2010 8:40:37 PM
Received Date: 5/17/2010 8:40:37 PM
From: Tiktinsky, David

Created By: David.Tiktinsky@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"MFFFHearingFile Resource" <MFFFHearingFile.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"DWGwyn@moxproject.com" <DWGwyn@moxproject.com>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	3001	5/17/2010 8:40:37 PM
image001.gif	928	

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

