CCNPP3COLA PEmails

From: Quinn, Laura
Sent: Thursday, May 06, 2010 5:18 PM
To: Kropp, Roy K; Nash, Harriet
Cc: CalvertCliffsCOLAEIS Resource; CCNPP3COL Resource
Subject: RE: mitigation plan

Roy/Harriet,
If you could make a list of places/locations you all would like to see during the site visit I can forward them to UniStar. I think Woody is interested in seeing a lot of the same sites. He has never been to the site and wants to see areas of the Mitigation plan. After going through some emails I have the following places you would like to see:
1. The stream and two small ponds downstream from Conoy Pond. They are shown in Figure SE-5 and represent areas WE_3 and WE-4.
2. Woodland Branch area.
Let me know if there are anymore.
Laura

-----Original Message-----
From: Kropp, Roy K [mailto:Roy.Kropp@pnl.gov]
Sent: Monday, May 03, 2010 3:41 PM
To: Nash, Harriet
Cc: CalvertCliffsCOLAEIS Resource; Quinn, Laura; CCNPP3COL Resource
Subject: RE: mitigation plan

I put an email string in a Word document...it is attached. You have to go to the bottom and work up. I can put them together better for Woody. I had also sent some to Peyton about plant species being used. I'll leave the tour to Woody since you have seen both places. It would be nice to walk that stream to the beach though because they plan a bunch of work there.

r

-----Original Message-----
From: Nash, Harriet [mailto:Harriet.Nash@nrc.gov]
Sent: Monday, May 03, 2010 12:34 PM
To: Kropp, Roy K
Cc: CalvertCliffsCOLAEIS Resource; Quinn, Laura; CCNPP3COL Resource
Subject: RE: mitigation plan

Okay, sounds good. I think I saw Woodland Branch and those two small ponds on the same visit, which was also the one when we realized the stream mitigation people had no clue about the tiger beetles. Those streams are accessible as we walked all the way to the beach.

What questions? Yes, bring them to ask Woody. I think it's better to repeat than to assume that all the info got transferred from Kathy to Woody. I wouldn't be surprised if they're still "negotiating." I wonder if this topic arose at the JD meeting Laura went to (or is going to)....Laura?

H

From: Kropp, Roy K [Roy.Kropp@pnl.gov]
Sent: Monday, May 03, 2010 3:22 PM
To: Nash, Harriet
Subject: mitigation plan

Hey there!

The paper copy we received doesn't really vary from the information we got in December, except that it has a map of the activities that will affect the oyster bar (Enclosure 6).

I would be interested in seeing the stream and two small ponds downstream from Conoy Pond. They are shown in Figure SE-5 and represent areas WE_3 and WE-4. Also, Woodland Branch would be of interest. I am not sure that any particular section matters. I am pretty okay with going wherever Woody wants to go, however. I don't know how accessible the streams down from Conoy Pond are.

I am curious about the status of this. I sent some questions to Laura and Kathy and wonder what is happening with them, if anything. I think Kathy said she would include them in the Corps review of the plan. Is that still to come? Maybe I should bring some to ask Woody. Also, UniStar still lists stream preservation in its "credit" calculation even though the state says it rarely grants credit for stream preservation. Maybe things are yet to be done before this gets approved.

Talk to you in the morning.

rkk

P Please consider the environment before printing this email.

Hearing Identifier: CalvertCliffs_Unit3Cola_Public_EX
Email Number: 1301

Mail Envelope Properties (19D990B45D535548840D1118C451C74D48F067AF93)

Subject: RE: mitigation plan
Sent Date: 5/6/2010 5:17:39 PM
Received Date: 5/6/2010 5:17:34 PM
From: Quinn, Laura

Created By: Laura.Quinn@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"CalvertCliffsCOLAEIS Resource" <CalvertCliffs.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"CCNPP3COL Resource" <CCNPP3COL.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Kropp, Roy K" <Roy.Kropp@pnl.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Nash, Harriet" <Harriet.Nash@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov

Files	**Size**	**Date & Time**
MESSAGE	3312	5/6/2010 5:17:34 PM

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: