

## PMFermiCOLPEm Resource

---

**From:** Olson, Bruce  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 18, 2010 2:21 PM  
**To:** 'Randall D Westmoreland'  
**Cc:** LaGory, Kirk E.; FermiCOL Resource  
**Subject:** Conference Call--2:00 PM, Monday, May 17, 2010  
**Attachments:** Conference call notes bao rev-05-17-10.doc

Notes attached.

Please let me know if we need to follow up directly on the figures to clarify what is needed.

Thanks.....

Bruce Olson  
Environmental Project Manager  
NRO/DSER/RAP3  
301-415-3731

**Hearing Identifier:** Fermi\_COL\_Public  
**Email Number:** 785

**Mail Envelope Properties** (AB7F52B9BFE4CE4EAFCD1B45F02BEA4F35B258D83D)

**Subject:** Conference Call--2:00 PM, Monday, May 17, 2010  
**Sent Date:** 5/18/2010 2:21:06 PM  
**Received Date:** 5/18/2010 2:21:10 PM  
**From:** Olson, Bruce

**Created By:** Bruce.Olson@nrc.gov

**Recipients:**

"LaGory, Kirk E." <lagory@anl.gov>  
Tracking Status: None  
"FermiCOL Resource" <FermiCOL.Resource@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None  
"Randall D Westmoreland" <westmorelandr@dteenergy.com>  
Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	235	5/18/2010 2:21:10 PM
Conference call notes bao rev-05-17-10.doc		43002

**Options**

**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**

## Conference Call for the Fermi 3 COL Environmental Review

Monday, May 17, 2010, 2:00 PM Eastern Time

### Purpose

To discuss the status of RAIs and other items related to the Fermi 3 COL Environmental Review

### Participants

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC): Bruce Olson  
Argonne National Laboratory (Argonne): Kirk LaGory  
Detroit Edison: Randy Westmoreland, Craig Tylanda

### Summary of Discussions and Associated Action Items

The summary of discussions during the conference call is presented below. Action items are identified below as **[ACTION ITEM]**.

#### U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Interactions

- On May 3, 2010, Olson and LaGory spoke to Colette Luff and Wally Gauthier to discuss the Fermi 3 EIS process and future interactions. After that call, several files were sent to USACE at their request including draft Section 106 forms for the Fermi 3 SHPO consultation and recent example COL DEISs.
- Detroit Edison has not yet received a jurisdictional determination on their wetland delineation report. Olson will follow up with USACE to determine status of the determination **[ACTION ITEM]**.

#### Section 106 Process

- Westmoreland confirmed that demolition of Fermi 1 was related to Fermi 3 construction and would not occur if not for Fermi 3.
- Olson indicated that once the Fermi 1 form was submitted to the SHPO, the Fermi 3 documentation would be sent soon after **[ACTION ITEM]**.

#### DCD Revision 7

- Detroit Edison is evaluating revising their application based on DCD rev 7. A discussion with GE Hitachi is being planned to go over changes in rev 7. A decision regarding the plans for updating the application based on rev 7 changes and a schedule for those revisions is to be made by Detroit Edison **[ACTION ITEM]**.

#### RAI Responses that Are not Complete

- A number of RAI responses are not considered complete. Several of these are related to changes in DCD rev 7 (see above). Others are discussed below.
- GE3.1-1: Figures provided in response are of poor quality and will have to be redrafted by Argonne. Several figures submitted in response to this RAI were wrong (showing old site layout) and will be resent by Detroit Edison **[ACTION ITEM]**.

- AQ2.7-1: The conformity analysis did not consider offsite emissions related to shipments and commuter vehicles. Westmoreland will check with technical staff and either provide information or a rationale for not including it **[ACTION ITEM]**.
- AQ2.7-3 and AQ6.4-1: Brad Harvey (NRC) is reviewing the responses to these RAIs. He has determined the need for new RAIs related to the analysis. These RAIs will be sent to Detroit Edison as new safety RAIs but will be considered as well in the environmental review **[ACTION ITEM]**.
- AE2.4.2-1: Westmoreland will check for official correspondence **[ACTION ITEM]**.
- HH5.4.2-1, HH5.4.3-3, and HH5.4.4-1: Input and output data are needed to perform confirmatory analysis. Westmoreland will determine if these data will provided now, or wait for rev 7 changes **[ACTION ITEM]**.
- HY2.3.1-14: Westmoreland will review documents listed and will determine which can be provided on docket **[ACTION ITEM]**.
- SE Document Requests: Westmoreland will review documents listed and will determine which can be provided on docket **[ACTION ITEM]**.
- TE2.4.1-3: Westmoreland will review documents listed and will determine which can be provided on docket **[ACTION ITEM]**.
- TE2.4.1-6: The wetland delineation/functional assessment report was not provided in the virtual reading room. Westmoreland will ensure it is placed in the reading room for review and will determine if it can be provided on docket **[ACTION ITEM]**.