

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION Ref: SA/JOL WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555 0-687

## FEB 8 1982

MEMORANDUM FOR: Arthur T. Clark Advanced Fuel & Spent Fuel Licensing Branch NMSS

FROM: Joel O. Lubenau State Agreements Program Office of State Programs

SUBJECT: NEW YORK COMMENTS ON LEGAL ANALYSIS OF UNION CARBIDE PLANT

Enclosed are New York State's comments on Bob Fonner's draft legal analysis.

With respect to the comments offered by Tom Cashman of NYDEC, we understand that DEC's responsibilities are being transferred to New York State Health Department and I would <u>not</u> construe Mr. Cashman's views to be representative of State Health's.

/ doel\_O\_Lubenau /State Agreements Program Office of State Programs

Enclosure: As stated

cc: R. Fonner, w/encl.



STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF LABOR DIVISION OF SAFETY AND HEALTH TWO WORLD TRADE CENTER NEW YORK, N.Y. 10047

January 22, 1982

Address Reply to: Radiological Health Unit

Mr. J. Lubenau Agreement Program U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of State Programs Washington, DC 20555

Dear Mr. Lubenau:

We have reviewed your submital regarding the facility at the Union Carbide Plant located in Tuxedo, New York. We concur with your Counsel's analysis of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's jurisdiction under its Reactor Operating License and SNM License, and New York State DOL's jurisdiction under its radioactive Material License. We might have liked a more symmetrical analysis of each agency's responsibilities under their respective regulation, but this was not Mr. Cunningham's objective. NYS/DOL under Section 38.19 of Code Rule 38 has at least the authority for sampling other licensed activities within the State.

The crux of the matter still remains at the Union Carbide Tuxedo facility on where an unambiguous line can be drawn between responsibilities of NRC and NYS/DOL under their respective licenses. As agreed at the meeting between NRC and NYS personnel in Albany, New York, we will abide by the line as drawn by the NRC if we have a chance to comment on it in advance.

The responsibility for the emission points which may contain commingled radionuclides (from NRC and DOL licensed activities) was discussed with Mr. Thomas Cashman of the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. He recommended that such emission points will be the sole responsibility of the NRC with limits as established by NRC. Such limits before imposition would be reviewed by New York State.

If I can be of further assistance to you in this matter, please let me know.

Very truly yours,

F.J/ Bradley, Ph/D Princip<u>al Badtophys</u>icist

FJB:bd

cc: T. Cashman J. Spath