



Entergy Operations, Inc.
1448 S.R. 333
Russellville, AR 72802
Tel 479-858-4710

David B. Bice
Acting Manager, Licensing
Arkansas Nuclear One

OCAN051001

May 11, 2010

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Attn: Document Control Desk
Washington, DC 20555

SUBJECT: Annual Radiological Environment Operating Report for 2009
Arkansas Nuclear One – Units 1 and 2
Docket Nos. 50-313 and 50-368
License Nos. DPR-51 and NPF-6

REFERENCE: 1. Arkansas Nuclear One, Unit 1, Technical Specification 5.6.2
2. Arkansas Nuclear One, Unit 2, Technical Specification 6.6.2

Dear Sir or Madam:

The referenced Arkansas Nuclear One (ANO) Technical Specifications (TSs) require the submittal of an annual radiological environmental operating report for the previous year by May 15 of each year. Attached is the subject ANO report for the calendar year 2009.

This report fulfills the reporting requirements of the TSs referenced above.

The radionuclides detected by the radiological environmental monitoring program during 2009 were significantly below the regulatory limits. The operation of the ANO station during 2009 had no harmful effects nor resulted in any irreversible damage to the local environment.

Based on Entergy Operations, Inc. (Entergy) review, no environmental samples from the monitoring program equaled or exceeded the reporting levels for radioactivity concentration due to ANO effluents when averaged over any calendar quarter. Therefore, the 2009 results did not require any Radiological Monitoring Program Special reports.

This letter contains no new commitments.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact me.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY DAVID B. BICE

DBB/rwc

Attachment: Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report for 2009

cc: Mr. Elmo E. Collins
Regional Administrator
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region IV
612 E. Lamar Blvd., Suite 400
Arlington, TX 76011-4125

NRC Senior Resident Inspector
Arkansas Nuclear One
P. O. Box 310
London, AR 72847

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Attn: Mr. Kaly Kalyanam
MS O-8 B1
One White Flint North
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, MD 20852

Mr. Bernard R. Bevill
Arkansas Department of Health
Radiation Control Section
4815 West Markham Street
Slot #30
Little Rock, AR 72205

ATTACHMENT

TO

0CAN051001

**ANNUAL RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL
OPERATING REPORT FOR 2009**

ARKANSAS NUCLEAR ONE - UNITS 1 AND 2
ANNUAL RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL OPERATING REPORT FOR 2009

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY	4
1.0 INTRODUCTION	7
1.1 Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program	7
1.2 Pathways Monitored	7
1.3 Land Use Census	7
2.0 INTERPRETATION AND TRENDS OF RESULTS	18
2.1 Air Particulate and Radioiodine Sample Results	18
2.2 Thermoluminescent Dosimetry Sample Results	18
2.3 Water Sample Results	18
2.4 Sediment Sample Results	20
2.5 Milk Sample Results	21
2.6 Fish Sample Results	21
2.7 Food Product Sample Results	21
2.8 Interlaboratory Comparison Results	21
2.9 Land Use Census Results	21
3.0 RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM SUMMARY	22
3.1 2009 Program Results Summary	22

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1.1	RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLING PROGRAM	9
TABLE 2.1	2009 LAND USE CENSUS	22
TABLE 3.1	RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM SUMMARY	23

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1-1	SAMPLE COLLECTION SITES – NEAR FIELD	15
FIGURE 1-2	SAMPLE COLLECTION SITES – FAR FIELD	16
FIGURE 1-3	SAMPLE COLLECTION SITES – SITE MAP	17

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

ATTACHMENT 1	2009 RADIOLOGICAL MONITORING REPORT SUMMARY OF MONITORING RESULTS	27
ATTACHMENT 2	INTERLABORATORY COMPARISON PROGRAM	44
ATTACHMENT 3	SEDIMENT DOSE CALCULATIONS	48

Summary

The Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report (AREOR) presents data obtained through analyses of environmental samples collected for Arkansas Nuclear One's (ANO's) Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP) for the period January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2009. This report fulfills the requirements of ANO Unit 1 Technical Specification (TS) 5.6.2 and Unit 2 TS 6.6.2.

During 2009, as in previous years, ANO detected radionuclides attributable to plant operations at the discharge location (Station 8) where previously monitored liquid radioactive effluent from the plant is periodically discharged in accordance with the regulatory criteria established in the Offsite Dose Calculation Manual (ODCM). ANO personnel routinely monitor results from this area in order to note any trends. The review of results from this area indicates the following:

- Tritium levels in the surface water media continue to be below regulatory reporting limits and are consistent with concentrations that would typically be seen at this location as discussed in Section 2.3 of this AREOR

Gross beta concentrations at the Station 14 (City of Russellville) indicator drinking water location continue to remain consistent with previous operational measurements and similar to the levels detected at the Station 57 (City of Danville) control drinking water location.

Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program

ANO established the REMP prior to the station becoming operational (1974) to provide data on background radiation and radioactivity normally present in the area. ANO has continued to monitor the environment by sampling air, water, sediment, fish and food products, as well as measuring radiation directly. ANO also samples milk if milk-producing animals are present commercially within five miles of the plant.

The REMP includes sampling indicator and control locations within an approximate 20-mile radius of the plant. The REMP utilizes indicator locations near the site to show any increases or buildup of radioactivity that might occur due to station operation, and control locations farther away from the site to indicate the presence of only naturally occurring radioactivity. ANO personnel compare indicator results with control and preoperational results to assess any impact ANO operation might have had on the surrounding environment.

In 2009, ANO personnel collected environmental samples for radiological analysis. They compared results of indicator locations with control locations and previous studies and concluded that overall no significant relationship exists between ANO operation and effect on the plant environs. The review of 2009 data, in many cases, showed undetectable radiation levels in the environment and in all instances, no definable trends related to significant pathways associated with ANO.

Harmful Effects or Irreversible Damage

The REMP monitoring did not detect any harmful effects or evidence of irreversible damage in 2009. Therefore, no analysis or planned course of action to alleviate problems was necessary.

Reporting Levels

ANO's review indicates that no samples equaled or exceeded reporting levels for radioactivity concentration in environmental samples due to ANO effluents, as outlined in ODCM Table 2.6-3, when averaged over any calendar quarter. Therefore, 2009 results did not trigger any Radiological Monitoring Program Special Reports.

Radioactivity Not Attributable to ANO

The ANO REMP has detected radioactivity attributable to other sources. These include the 25th Chinese nuclear test explosion in 1980 and the radioactivity plume release due to reactor core degradation at the Chernobyl Nuclear Power Plant in 1986. Prior to 1981, the ANO REMP detected radioactivity resulting from nuclear weapons testing, with Cesium-137 continuing to be periodically detected.

Comparison to Federal and State Programs

ANO personnel compared REMP data to state monitoring programs as results became available. Historically, the programs used for comparison have included the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Thermoluminescent Dosimeter (TLD) Direct Radiation Monitoring Network and the Arkansas Department of Health (ADH).

The NRC TLD Network Program was discontinued in 1998. Historically these results have compared to those from the ANO REMP. ANO TLD results continue to remain similar to the historical average and continue to verify that plant operation is not affecting the ambient radiation levels in the environment.

The ADH and the ANO REMP entail similar radiological environmental monitoring program requirements. These programs include collecting air samples and splitting or sharing sample media such as water, sediment and fish. Both programs have obtained similar results over previous years.

Sample Deviations

◆ Milk

The REMP did not include milk sampling within five miles (8 kilometers (km)) of ANO in 2009 due to unavailability. The ODCM requires collection of milk samples if available commercially within 8 km (5 miles) of the plant. ANO personnel collected vegetation samples to monitor the ingestion pathway, as specified in the ODCM, because of milk unavailability.

◆ Required Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) Values

LLDs during this reporting period were within the acceptable limits required by Table 2.6-2 of the ODCM.

◆ **Air Samples**

Listed below are air sampler deviations that occurred during 2009 due to hazardous weather conditions, electrical power outages and equipment failure. These deviations did not result in the exceedance of the LLD values specified in the ODCM. As described in footnote (a) to ODCM Table 2.6-1, deviations are permitted from the required sampling schedule due to malfunction of sampling equipment and other legitimate reasons.

Station	Sampling Period	Comment
56	12/29/2008 – 01/06/2009	Run time hour meter not running. Sample pump running. Replaced run time hour meter. (CR-ANO-C-2009-00016)
6	03/31/2009 – 04/14/2009	Air sample pump discovered not operating. Replaced sample pump. (CR-ANO-C-2009-0605)
2	04/14/2009 – 04/28/2009	Run time hour meter not running. Sample pump running. Replaced run time hour meter. (CR-ANO-C-2009-00714)
2	05/12/2009 – 05/26/2009	Power loss at sample station. Entergy Arkansas notified. (CR-ANO-1-2009-01046)

◆ **Missed Samples**

There were no missed REMP samples during 2009.

◆ **Unavailable Results**

ANO received analytical results in adequate time for inclusion in this report. In addition, ANO's review identified no missing results.

Program Modifications

ANO made no modifications to the REMP during 2009.

Attachments

Attachment 1 contains results of air, TLD, water, sediment, fish, and food product samples collected in 2009. TLDs were analyzed by a vendor (AREVA). All remaining samples were analyzed by River Bend Station's (RBS) Environmental Laboratory.

Attachment 2 also contains RBS' participation in the interlaboratory comparison program during 2009.

Attachment 3 contains dose calculations performed for sediment using a generalized equation from Regulatory Guide 1.109, "Calculation of Annual Doses to Man from Routine Releases of Reactor Effluents for the Purpose of Evaluating Compliance with 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix I."

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program

ANO established the REMP to ensure that plant operating controls properly function to minimize any associated radiation endangerment to human health or the environment. The REMP is designed for:

- Analyzing applicable pathways for anticipated types and quantities of radionuclides released into the environment.
- Considering the possibility of a buildup of long-lived radionuclides in the environment and identifying physical and biological accumulations that may contribute to human exposures.
- Considering the potential radiation exposure to plant and animal life in the environment surrounding ANO.
- Correlating levels of radiation and radioactivity in the environment with radioactive releases from station operation.

1.2 Pathways Monitored

The airborne, direct radiation, waterborne and ingestion pathways are monitored as required by the ODCM. A description of the ANO REMP utilized to monitor the exposure pathways is described in Table 1.1 and shown in Figures 1-1, 1-2 and 1-3.

Section 2.0 of this report provides a discussion of 2009 sampling results and Section 3.0 provides a summary of results for the monitored exposure pathways.

1.3 Land Use Census

ANO personnel conducts land use census' biannually (once every two years) as required by ANO Units 1 and Unit 2 ODCM Section 2.6.2. The latest land use census was conducted in 2009. The purpose of this census is to identify changes in uses of land within five miles of ANO that would require modifications to the REMP or ODCM. The most important criteria during this census are to determine location in each sector of the nearest:

- 1) Residence
- 2) Animal milked for human consumption
- 3) Garden of greater than 500 square feet producing fresh leafy (broadleaf) vegetables *

* ANO personnel do not perform a garden census since ODCM Section 2.6.2 allows the routine sampling of broadleaf vegetation in the highest D/Q sector near the site boundary in lieu of the garden census.

The method used by ANO personnel for conducting the land use census is as follows:

- ANO personnel conducted door-to-door (drive by) field surveys in order to locate the nearest resident in each meteorological sector.
- Consultation with local agricultural authorities is used for the identification of commercial milk providers within five-miles of the Unit-1 reactor building.
- As a result of these surveys, the following information is obtained in each meteorological sector:
 - 1) Nearest permanent residence
 - 2) Nearest milking animal
- ANO personnel identify locations on the map, measure distances to ANO (or use a global positioning system) and record results.
- Locations, if any, are identified which yield a calculated dose or dose commitments greater than those currently calculated in the ODCM.
- ANO personnel compare results to previous census.

Table 1.1
Radiological Environmental Sampling Program

Exposure Pathway	Requirement	Sample Point Description, Distance and Direction	Sampling and Collection Frequency	Type and Frequency Of Analyses
Airborne	<u>Radioiodine and Particulates</u> 2 samples close to the Site Boundary, in (or near) different sectors with the highest calculated annual average ground level D/Q.	Station 2 (243° - 0.5 miles) - South of the sewage treatment plant. Station 56 (264° - 0.4 miles) – West end of the sewage treatment plant.	Continuous operation of sampler with sample collection as required by dust loading but at least once per 14 days.	Radioiodine Canister – Analyze at least once per 14 days for I-131. Particulate Sampler – Analyze for gross beta radioactivity following filter change.
	<u>Radioiodine and Particulates</u> 1 sample from the vicinity of a community having the highest calculated annual average groundlevel D/Q.	Station 1 (88° - 0.5 miles) - Near the meteorology tower.		
	<u>Radioiodine and Particulates</u> 1 sample from a control location 15-30 km (10 – 20 miles) distance.	Station 7 (210° - 19.0 miles) – Entergy Supply Yard on Highway 10 in Danville.		
	<u>Radioiodine and Particulates</u> One location sampled voluntarily by ANO.	Station 6 (111° - 6.8 miles) - Entergy local office in Russellville (305 South Knoxville Avenue).		
Direct Radiation	<u>TLDs</u> 16 inner ring stations with two or more dosimeters in each meteorological sector in the general area of the Site Boundary	Station 1 (88° - 0.5 miles) - On a pole near the meteorology tower. Station 2 (243° - 0.5 miles) - South of the sewage treatment plant. Station 3 (5° - 0.7 miles) – West of ANO Gate #2 on Highway 333 (approximately 0.35 miles)	Once per 92 days.	Gamma Dose – Once per 92 days.

Table 1.1
Radiological Environmental Sampling Program

Exposure Pathway	Requirement	Sample Point Description, Distance and Direction	Sampling and Collection Frequency	Type and Frequency Of Analyses
Direct Radiation	<p>TLDs 16 inner ring stations with two or more dosimeters in each meteorological sector in the general area of the Site Boundary</p>	<p>Station 4 (181° - 0.5 miles) – West of May Cemetery entrance on south side of the road.</p> <p>Station 56 (264° - 0.4 miles) - West end of the sewage treatment plant.</p> <p>Station 108 (306° - 0.9 miles) - South on Flatwood Road on a utility pole.</p> <p>Station 109 (291° - 0.6 miles) - Utility pole across from the junction of Flatwood Road and Round Mountain Road.</p> <p>Station 110 (138° - 0.8 miles) - Bunker Hill Lane on the first utility pole on the left.</p> <p>Station 145 (28° - 0.6 miles) - Near west entrance to the RERTC on a utility pole.</p> <p>Station 146 (45° - 0.6 miles) - South end of east parking lot at RERTC on a utility pole.</p> <p>Station 147 (61° - 0.6 miles) - West side of Bunker Hill Road, approximately 100 yards from intersection with State Highway 333.</p> <p>Station 148 (122° - 0.6 miles) - Intersection of Bunker Hill Road with Scott Lane on county road sign post.</p>	Once per 92 days.	Gamma Dose – Once per 92 days.

Table 1.1
Radiological Environmental Sampling Program

Exposure Pathway	Requirement	Sample Point Description, Distance and Direction	Sampling and Collection Frequency	Type and Frequency Of Analyses
Direct Radiation	<p><u>TLDs</u> 16 inner ring stations with two or more dosimeters in each meteorological sector in the general area of the Site Boundary</p>	<p>Station 149 (156° - 0.5 miles) – On a utility pole on the south side of May Road.</p> <p>Station 150 (205° - 0.6 miles) – North side of May Road on a utility pole past the McCurley Place turn.</p> <p>Station 151 (225° - 0.4 miles) – West side of sewage treatment plant near the lake on a metal post.</p> <p>Station 152 (338° - 0.8 miles) – South side of State Highway 333 on a road sign post.</p>	Once per 92 days.	Gamma Dose – Once per 92 days.
	<p><u>TLDs</u> 8 stations with two or more dosimeters in special interest areas such as population centers, nearby residences, schools, and in 1 – 2 areas to serve as control locations.</p>	<p>Station 6 (111° - 6.8 miles) - Entergy local office in Russellville (305 South Knoxville Avenue).</p> <p>Station 7 (210° - 19.0 miles) – Entergy Supply Yard on Highway 10 in Danville.</p> <p>Station 111 (120° - 2.0 miles) – Marina Road on a utility pole on the left just prior to curve.</p> <p>Station 116 (318° - 1.8 miles) - Highway 333 and Highway 64 in London on a utility pole north of the railroad tracks.</p>		

Table 1.1
Radiological Environmental Sampling Program

Exposure Pathway	Requirement	Sample Point Description, Distance and Direction	Sampling and Collection Frequency	Type and Frequency Of Analyses
Direct Radiation	<p><u>TLDs</u> 8 stations with two or more dosimeters in special interest areas such as population centers, nearby residences, schools, and in 1 – 2 areas to serve as control locations.</p>	<p>Station 125 (46° - 8.7 miles) - College Street on a utility pole at the southeast corner of the red brick school building.</p> <p>Station 127 (100° - 5.2 miles) - Arkansas Tech Campus on a utility pole across from Paine Hall.</p> <p>Station 137 (151° - 8.2 miles) – On a speed limit sign on the right in front of the Morris R. Moore Arkansas National Guard Armory.</p> <p>Station 153 (304° - 9.2 miles) - Knoxville Elementary School near the school entrance gate on a utility pole.</p>	Once per 92 days.	Gamma Dose – Once per 92 days.
Waterborne	<p><u>Surface Water</u> 1 indicator location (influenced by plant discharge) 1 control location (uninfluenced by plant discharge)</p>	<p>Station 8 (166° - 0.2 miles) - Plant discharge canal.</p> <p>Station 10 (95° - 0.5 miles) – Plant intake canal.</p>	Once per 92 days.	Gamma isotopic and tritium analyses once per 92 days.
	<p><u>Drinking Water</u> 1 indicator location (influenced by plant discharge) 1 control location (uninfluenced by plant discharge)</p>	<p>Station 14 (70° - 5.1 miles) - Russellville city water system from the Illinois Bayou.</p> <p>Station 57 (208° - 19.5 miles) - Danville public water supply treatment on Fifth Street.</p>	Once per 92 days.	I-131, gross beta, gamma isotopic and tritium analyses once per 92 days.

Table 1.1
Radiological Environmental Sampling Program

Exposure Pathway	Requirement	Sample Point Description, Distance and Direction	Sampling and Collection Frequency	Type and Frequency Of Analyses
Waterborne	<p><u>Sediment</u> 1 indicator location (influenced by plant discharge)</p> <p>1 control location (uninfluenced by plant discharge)</p>	<p>Station 8 (243° - 0.9 miles) - Plant discharge canal.</p> <p>Station 16 (287° - 5.5 miles) - Panther Bay on south side of Arkansas River across from mouth of Piney Creek.</p>	Once per 365 days.	Gamma isotopic analysis once per 365 days.
Ingestion	<p><u>Milk</u> 1 indicator sample location within 8 km (five-miles) distance if commercially available.</p> <p>1 control sample location at a distance of >8 km, (five-miles) when an indicator exists.</p>	Currently, no available milking animals within 8 km of ANO.	Once per 92 days.	Gamma isotopic and I-131 analyses once per 92 days.
	<p><u>Fish</u> 1 sample of commercially and/or recreationally important species in vicinity of plant discharge.</p> <p>1 sample of same species in area not influenced by plant discharge.</p>	<p>Station 8 (212° - 0.5 miles) – Plant discharge canal.</p> <p>Station 16 (287° - 5.5 miles) - Panther Bay on south side of Arkansas River across from mouth of Piney Creek.</p>	Once per 365 days.	Gamma isotopic on edible portions once per 365 days.

Table 1.1
Radiological Environmental Sampling Program

Exposure Pathway	Requirement	Sample Point Description, Distance and Direction	Sampling and Collection Frequency	Type and Frequency Of Analyses
Ingestion	<p>Food Products 1 sample of broadleaf (edible or non-edible) near the Site Boundary from one of the highest anticipated annual average groundlevel D/Q sectors, if milk sampling is not performed.</p> <p>1 sample location of broadleaf vegetation (edible or non-edible) from a control location 15 – 30 km (10 – 20 miles) distant, if milk sampling is not performed.</p>	<p>Station 13 (273° - 0.5 miles) - West from ANO toward Gate 4 onto Flatwood Road.</p> <p>Station 55 (208° - 16.5 miles) – Intersection of Highway 27 and 154.</p>	Three per 365 days.	Gamma isotopic and I-131 analyses three times per 365 days.
Groundwater	<p>1 sample location of Groundwater from a control location up gradient from the protected area</p> <p>3 sample locations of Groundwater from indicator locations down gradient from the protected area</p>	<p>Station 58 (GWM-1, 22° - 0.3 miles) – North of Protected Area on Owner Control Area (OCA). South of Outside Fab-Shop, west side of access road</p> <p>Station 59 (GWM-2, 185° - 0.1 miles) – South of Protected Area on OCA. Near Security barriers and discharge canal.</p> <p>Station 60 (GWM-3, 206° - 0.1 miles) – South of Protected area on OCA. West of Station number 59 near wood line.</p> <p>Station 61 (GWM-4, 245° - 0.1 miles) – West of Protected Area on OCA. Edge of parking lot, east of equipment laydown area</p>	<p>Once per 92 days</p> <p>Once per 92 days</p> <p>Once per 92 days</p> <p>Once per 92 days</p>	<p>Control, tritium and gamma isotopic, once per 92 days.</p> <p>Indicator, tritium and gamma isotopic, once per 92 days.</p> <p>Indicator, tritium and gamma isotopic, once per 92 days.</p> <p>Indicator, tritium and gamma isotopic, once per 92 days.</p>

FIGURE 1-1
SAMPLE COLLECTION SITES – NEAR FIELD





FIGURE 1-3
SAMPLE COLLECTION SITES – SITE MAP



2.0 Interpretation and Trends of Results

2.1 Air Particulate and Radioiodine Sample Results

The REMP has detected radioactivity in the airborne pathway attributable to other sources. These include the 25th Chinese nuclear test explosion in 1980 and the radioactive plume release due to reactor core degradation at Chernobyl Nuclear Power Plant in 1986.

During 2009, Iodine-131 was not detected in the radioiodine cartridges, as has been the case in previous years. In addition, indicator gross beta air particulate results for 2009 were within the range of levels obtained in previous years of the operational REMP and well below preoperational levels as seen below. The results below are reported as annual average picocuries per picocuries per cubic meter (pCi/m³).

<u>Monitoring Period</u>	<u>Result</u>
2000 – 2008 (Minimum Value)	0.020
2009 Value	0.030
2000 – 2008 (Maximum Value)	0.032
Preoperational	0.050

In the absence of plant-related gamma radionuclides, gross beta activity is attributed to naturally occurring radionuclides. Table 3.1, which includes gross beta concentrations and provide a comparison of the indicator and control means and ranges, emphasizes the consistent trends seen in this pathway to support the presence of naturally occurring activity. Therefore, it can be concluded that the airborne pathway continues to be unaffected by ANO operations.

2.2 TLD Sample Results

ANO reports measured dose as net exposure (field reading less transit reading) normalized to 92 days and relies on comparison of the indicator locations to the control as a measure of plant impact. ANO's comparison of the inner ring and special interest area TLD results to the control, as seen in Table 3.1, identified no noticeable trend that would indicate that the ambient radiation levels are being affected by plant operations. In addition, the inner ring value of 8.3 millirem (mrem) shown in Table 3.1 is within the historical bounds of 2000 – 2008 annual average results, which have ranged from 6.7 to 8.8 mrem.

Overall, ANO concluded that the ambient radiation levels are not being affected by plant operations.

2.3 Water Sample Results

Analytical results for 2009 surface water and drinking water samples were similar to those reported in previous years.

Surface water samples were collected and analyzed for gamma radionuclides and tritium. Gamma radionuclides were below detectable limits which is consistent with results seen in previous operational years. Tritium continues to be detected at the indicator location (Station 8) where previously monitored liquid radioactive effluent from the plant is periodically discharged in accordance with the regulatory criteria established in the ODCM. However, the levels detected are consistent with concentrations that would typically be seen at this location as shown below. The results below are reported as annual average picocurie per liter (pCi/l).

<u>Monitoring Period</u>	<u>Concentration</u>
2000 – 2008 (Minimum Value)	272.0
2009 Value	668.3
2000 – 2008 (Maximum Value)	1023.4
Preoperational Value	200.0

ANO personnel have noted no definable increasing trends associated with the tritium levels at the discharge location. Levels detected during 2009 and previous operational years have been well below regulatory reporting limits. Therefore, the operation of ANO had no definable impact on this waterborne pathway during 2009 and levels of radionuclides remain similar to those obtained in previous operational years.

Drinking water samples were collected from two locations (indicator and control). Although ANO personnel utilize Station 14 (City of Russellville) as an indicator location due to the potential for the drinking water pathway to exist, the City of Russellville has not withdrawn water from Lake Dardanelle in the past several years.

Drinking water samples were analyzed for gross beta radionuclides, Iodine-131, gamma radionuclides and tritium. Gamma radionuclides, Iodine-131 and tritium concentrations were below the LLD limits at the indicator and control locations, which is consistent with preoperational and operational years. Gross beta concentrations at the indicator and control locations are similar as shown in Table 3.1. Listed below is a comparison of 2009 indicator results to preoperational and operational years. The results below are reported as annual average pCi/l.

<u>Radionuclide</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2000 – 2008</u>	<u>Preoperational</u>
Gross Beta	2.18	3.09	2.0
Iodine-131	<LLD	<LLD	<LLD
Gamma	<LLD	<LLD	<LLD
Tritium	<LLD	<LLD	200.0

ANO personnel have noted no definable trends associated with drinking water results at the indicator location. Therefore, the operation of ANO had no definable impact on this waterborne pathway during 2009 and levels of radionuclides remain similar to those obtained in previous operational years.

Groundwater samples were collected from four locations (1 control and 3 indicator locations). Sample data was compiled, organized and is reviewed annually as a minimum to:

- Analyze for increasing or decreasing trends at individual sample points, wells or groups of wells.
- Review the radionuclides detected to determine whether changes should be made to the analysis suites or sampling frequencies for each sampling location.
- Evaluate the locations of radionuclides in ground water to determine if changes should be made to the sampling locations.
- Review current investigation levels and determine if changes should be made.
- Determine if any change to the ODCM is required.
- Determine if a corrective actions/remediation is required.

Groundwater samples were analyzed for tritium and gamma radionuclides. Tritium and gamma concentrations were below the LLD limits at the indicator and control locations. Listed below is a comparison of 2009 indicator results to past operational years. The results below are reported as annual average pCi/l. Groundwater data is captured in Tables 8.1 and 8.2. Therefore, ANO operations had no significant impact on the environment or public by this waterborne pathway.

Table 8.3 has been included in the 2009 AREOR to identify tritium results from groundwater samples collected in 2006 – 2007. Groundwater tritium sampling was initiated on December 7, 2006. ANO initiated sending groundwater gamma analysis samples to River Bend Nuclear Station in 2008.

<u>Radionuclide</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2006 – 2008</u>
Tritium	<LLD	<LLD
Gammas	<LLD	<LLD

2.4 Sediment Sample Results

Sediment samples were collected from two locations in 2009 and analyzed for gamma radionuclides. Although Cesium-137 has been detected in previous years, all gamma radionuclides were below detectable limits in 2009. Listed below is a comparison of 2009 indicator results to past operational years. Therefore, ANO operations had no significant impact on the environment or public by this waterborne pathway. The results below are reported in picocuries per kilogram (pCi/kg)

<u>Monitoring Period</u>	<u>Concentration</u>
2000 – 2008 (Minimum Value)	41.79
2009 Value	< LLD
2000 – 2008 (Maximum Value)	1170.0

Since reporting levels for radionuclides in sediment have not been established, an evaluation of potential dose to the public from this media was performed as shown in Attachment 2.

2.5 Milk Sample Results

Milk samples were not collected during 2009 due to the unavailability of indicator locations within five-miles of ANO.

2.6 Fish Sample Results

Fish samples were collected from two locations and analyzed for gamma radionuclides. In 2009, gamma radionuclides were below detectable limits which are consistent with the preoperational monitoring period and operational results since 1997. Therefore, based on these measurements, ANO operations had no significant radiological impact upon the environment or public by this ingestion pathway.

2.7 Food Product Sample Results

The REMP has detected radionuclides prior to 1990 that are attributable to other sources. These include the radioactive plume release due to reactor core degradation at Chernobyl Nuclear Power Plant in 1986 and atmospheric weapons testing.

In 2009, food product samples were collected when available from two locations and analyzed for Iodine-131 and gamma radionuclides. The 2009 levels remained undetectable, as has been the case in previous years. Therefore, based on these measurements, ANO operations had no significant radiological impact upon the environment or public by this ingestion pathway.

2.8 Interlaboratory Comparison Results

RBS' Environmental Laboratory analyzed interlaboratory comparison samples for ANO to fulfill the requirements of ODCM Section 2.6.3. Attachment 2, contains these results. ANO's review of RBS' interlaboratory comparison indicated that 100% of the sample results (52 of 52) were within the acceptable control limits.

2.9 Land Use Census Results

The latest land use census (performed in 2009) did not identify any new locations that yielded a calculated dose or dose commitment greater than those currently calculated (see Table 2.1).

Also, the land use census identified no milk-producing animals within a five-mile radius of the plant site. ANO personnel chose not to perform a garden census in 2009, which is allowed by ODCM Section 2.6.2, in lieu of broadleaf vegetation sampling in the meteorological sector (Sector 13) with the highest D/Q.

TABLE 2.1

2009 Land Use Census

Nearest Residence Within Five Miles

Direction	Sector	Distance (miles)
N	1	0.9
NNE	2	1.3
NE	3	0.9
ENE	4	0.8
E	5	0.8
ESE	6	0.8
SE	7	0.8
SSE	8	0.8
S	9	0.8
SSW	10	0.7
SW	11	2.8
WSW	12	0.7
W	13	0.8
WNW	14	0.8
NW	15	1.0
NNW	16	0.9

3.0 Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program Summary

3.1 2009 Program Results Summary

Table 3.1 summarizes the 2009 REMP results. ANO personnel did not use values reported as <LLD when determining ranges and means for indicator and control locations.

TABLE 3.1

Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program Summary

Name of Facility: **ANO - Units 1 and 2** Docket No: **50-313 and 50-368** .
 Location of Facility: **Pope County, Arkansas** Reporting Period: **January - December 2009**

Sample Type (Units)	Type & Number of Analyses ^a	LLD ^b	Indicator Locations Mean (F) ^c [Range]	Location with Highest Annual Mean		Control Locations Mean (F) ^c [Range]	Number of Nonroutine Results ^e
				Location ^d	Mean (F) ^c [Range]		
Air Particulates (pCi/m³)	GB 130	0.01	0.029 (78 / 78) [0.014 – 0.054]	Station 1 (88°, 0.5 mi)	0.030 (26 / 26) [0.014 - 0.050]	0.026 (52 / 52) [0.014 - 0.049]	0
Airborne Iodine (pCi/m³)	I-131 130	0.07	<LLD	N/A	N/A	<LLD	0
Inner Ring TLDs (mR/Qtr)	Gamma 64	(f)	8.3 (64 / 64) [5.0 – 10.7]	Station 56 (264°, 0.4 mi)	10.1 (4 / 4) [9.8 – 10.7]	N/A	0
Special Interest TLDs (mR/Qtr)	Gamma 28	(f)	7.2 (28 / 28) [4.9 – 9.9]	Station 127 (100°, 5.2 mi)	8.8 (4 / 4) [7.8 – 9.7]	N/A	0
Control TLD (mR/Qtr)	Gamma 4	(f)	N/A	N/A	N/A	6.5 (4 / 4) [6.1 – 7.1]	0

TABLE 3.1

Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program Summary

Name of Facility: ANO - Units 1 and 2 Docket No: 50-313 and 50-368
 Location of Facility: Pope County, Arkansas Reporting Period: January - December 2009

Sample Type (Units)	Type & Number of Analyses ^a	LLD ^b	Indicator Locations Mean (F) ^c [Range]	Location with Highest Annual Mean		Control Locations Mean (F) ^c [Range]	Number of Nonroutine Results ^e
				Location ^d	Mean (F) ^c [Range]		
Surface Water (pCi/l)	H-3 8	3000	668.3 (3 / 4) [438.0 – 937.0]	Station 8 (166°, 0.2 mi)	668.3 (3 / 4) [438.0 – 937.0]	<LLD	0
	GS 24						
	Mn-54	15	<LLD	N/A	N/A	<LLD	0
	Fe-59	30	<LLD	N/A	N/A	<LLD	0
	Co-58	15	<LLD	N/A	N/A	<LLD	0
	Co-60	15	<LLD	N/A	N/A	<LLD	0
	Zn-65	30	<LLD	N/A	N/A	<LLD	0
	Zr-95	30	<LLD	N/A	N/A	<LLD	0
	Nb-95	15	<LLD	N/A	N/A	<LLD	0
	I-131	15	<LLD	N/A	N/A	<LLD	0
	Cs-134	15	<LLD	N/A	N/A	<LLD	0
	Cs-137	18	<LLD	N/A	N/A	<LLD	0
	Ba-140	60	<LLD	N/A	N/A	<LLD	0
	La-140	15	<LLD	N/A	N/A	<LLD	0

TABLE 3.1

Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program Summary

Name of Facility: **ANO - Units 1 and 2** Docket No: **50-313 and 50-368**
 Location of Facility: **Pope County, Arkansas** Reporting Period: **January - December 2009**

Sample Type (Units)	Type & Number of Analyses ^a	LLD ^b	Indicator Locations Mean (F) ^c [Range]	Location with Highest Annual Mean		Control Locations Mean (F) ^c [Range]	Number of Nonroutine Results ^e
				Location ^d	Mean (F) ^c [Range]		
Drinking Water (pCi/l)	GB 8	4	2.18 (3 / 4) [1.20 – 4.12]	Station 14 (70°, 5.1 mi)	2.18 (3 / 4) [1.20 – 4.12]	2.57 (3 / 4) [2.06 – 3.30]	0
	I-131 8	1.0	<LLD	N/A	N/A	<LLD	0
	H-3 8	2000	<LLD	N/A	N/A	<LLD	0
	GS 8						
	Mn-54	15	<LLD	N/A	N/A	<LLD	0
	Fe-59	30	<LLD	N/A	N/A	<LLD	0
	Co-58	15	<LLD	N/A	N/A	<LLD	0
	Co-60	15	<LLD	N/A	N/A	<LLD	0
	Zn-65	30	<LLD	N/A	N/A	<LLD	0
	Zr-95	30	<LLD	N/A	N/A	<LLD	0
	Nb-95	15	<LLD	N/A	N/A	<LLD	0
	Cs-134	15	<LLD	N/A	N/A	<LLD	0
	Cs-137	18	<LLD	N/A	N/A	<LLD	0
	Ba-140	60	<LLD	N/A	N/A	<LLD	0
La-140	15	<LLD	N/A	N/A	<LLD	0	
Bottom Sediment (pCi/kg)	GS 2						
	Cs-134	150	<LLD	N/A	<LLD	<LLD	0
	Cs-137	180	<LLD	N/A	<LLD	<LLD	0

TABLE 3.1

Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program Summary

Name of Facility: ANO - Units 1 and 2 Docket No: 50-313 and 50-368
 Location of Facility: Pope County, Arkansas Reporting Period: January - December 2009

Sample Type (Units)	Type & Number of Analyses ^a	LLD ^b	Indicator Locations Mean (F) ^c [Range]	Location with Highest Annual Mean		Control Locations Mean (F) ^c [Range]	Number of Nonroutine Results ^e
				Location ^d	Mean (F) ^c [Range]		
Fish (pCi/kg)	GS 2						
	Mn-54	130	<LLD	N/A	N/A	<LLD	0
	Fe-59	260	<LLD	N/A	N/A	<LLD	0
	Co-58	130	<LLD	N/A	N/A	<LLD	0
	Co-60	130	<LLD	N/A	N/A	<LLD	0
	Zn-65	260	<LLD	N/A	N/A	<LLD	0
	Cs-134	130	<LLD	N/A	N/A	<LLD	0
Cs-137	150	<LLD	N/A	N/A	<LLD	0	
Food Products (pCi/kg)	I-131 6	60	<LLD	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
	GS 6						
	Cs-134	60	<LLD	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
	Cs-137	80	<LLD	N/A	N/A	N/A	0

^a GB = Gross beta; I-131 = Iodine-131; H-3 = tritium; GS = Gamma scan.

^b LLD = Required lower limit of detection based on ODCM Tables 2.6-2.

^c Mean and range based upon detectable measurements only. Fraction of detectable measurements at specified locations is indicated in parenthesis (F).

^d Locations are specified (1) by name and (2) degrees relative to reactor site.

^e Non-routine results are those which exceed ten times the control station value. If no control station value is available, the result is considered non-routine if it exceeds ten times the preoperational value for the location.

^f LLD is not defined in ODCM Tables 2.6-2.

ATTACHMENT 1

2009 Radiological Monitoring Report

Summary of Monitoring Results

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE 1.1	AIR PARTICULATE	34
TABLE 1.2	RADIOIODINE CARTRIDGE	35
TABLE 2.1	THERMOLUMINESCENT DOSIMETERS (INNER RING)	36
TABLE 2.2	THERMOLUMINESCENT DOSIMETERS (SPECIAL INTEREST AREAS)	37
TABLE 3.1	SURFACE WATER (GAMMA ISOTOPIC)	38
TABLE 3.2	SURFACE WATER (TRITIUM)	40
TABLE 4.1	DRINKING WATER (GROSS BETA, I-131 AND GAMMA ISOTOPIC)	41
TABLE 4.2	DRINKING WATER (TRITIUM)	42
TABLE 5.1	SEDIMENT	43
TABLE 6.1	FISH	44
TABLE 7.1	FOOD PRODUCTS	45
TABLE 8.1	GROUNDWATER DATA (GAMMA ISOTOPIC)	46
TABLE 8.2	GROUNDWATER DATA (TRITIUM)	47
TABLE 8.3	GROUNDWATER DATA (TRITIUM) 2006 – 2007	48

Table 1.1
 Sample Type: **Air Particulate**
 Analysis: Gross Beta
 Units: pCi/m³

Start Date	End Date	Station 1 * (Indicator)	Station 2 (Indicator)	Station 56 (Indicator)	Station 6 (Control)	Station 7 (Control)
	Required LLD	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
12/29/2008	01/06/2009	0.041	0.041	0.038	0.032	0.036
01/06/2009	01/20/2009	0.041	0.036	0.039	0.028	0.031
01/20/2009	02/03/2009	0.034	0.033	0.034	0.027	0.032
02/03/2009	02/14/2009	0.027	0.026	0.028	0.022	0.026
02/17/2009	03/03/2009	0.037	0.036	0.037	0.030	0.035
03/03/2009	03/17/2009	0.031	0.031	0.032	0.025	0.031
03/17/2009	03/31/2009	0.023	0.023	0.027	0.018	0.021
03/31/2009	04/14/2009	0.026	0.035	0.026	0.020	0.024
04/14/2009	04/28/2009	0.026	0.027	0.026	0.023	0.023
04/28/2009	05/12/2009	0.014	0.016	0.016	0.014	0.015
05/12/2009	05/26/2009	0.018	0.020	0.019	0.017	0.018
05/26/2009	06/09/2009	0.028	0.027	0.028	0.024	0.025
06/09/2009	06/23/2009	0.026	0.023	0.022	0.020	0.023
06/23/2009	07/07/2009	0.036	0.033	0.034	0.034	0.029
07/07/2009	07/21/2009	0.031	0.029	0.029	0.025	0.029
07/21/2009	08/04/2009	0.027	0.025	0.028	0.022	0.024
08/04/2009	08/18/2009	0.030	0.029	0.026	0.023	0.026
08/18/2009	09/01/2009	0.023	0.024	0.024	0.020	0.024
09/01/2009	09/15/2009	0.042	0.040	0.036	0.032	0.036
09/15/2009	09/29/2009	0.025	0.027	0.028	0.021	0.026
09/29/2009	10/13/2009	0.021	0.020	0.021	0.016	0.018
10/13/2009	10/27/2009	0.019	0.019	0.020	0.016	0.018
10/24/2009	12/08/2009	0.030	0.028	0.031	0.024	0.028
10/27/2009	11/10/2009	0.029	0.030	0.030	0.026	0.027
11/10/2009	11/24/2009	0.039	0.038	0.039	0.033	0.035
12/08/2009	12/22/2009	0.050	0.050	0.054	0.040	0.049

* Station with highest annual mean.

Table 1.2
 Sample Type: **Radioiodine Cartridge**
 Analysis: Iodine-131
 Units: pCi/m³

Start Date	End Date	Station 1 (Indicator)	Station 2 (Indicator)	Station 56 (Indicator)	Station 6 (Control)	Station 7 (Control)
	Required LLD →	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07
12/29/2008	01/06/2009	<0.027	<0.029	<0.024	<0.023	<0.018
01/06/2009	01/20/2009	<0.013	<0.020	<0.020	<0.018	<0.015
01/20/2009	02/03/2009	<0.016	<0.012	<0.020	<0.019	<0.018
02/03/2009	02/14/2009	<0.014	<0.022	<0.016	<0.017	<0.017
02/17/2009	03/03/2009	<0.015	<0.017	<0.021	<0.015	<0.018
03/03/2009	03/17/2009	<0.016	<0.021	<0.020	<0.019	<0.015
03/17/2009	03/31/2009	<0.017	<0.015	<0.019	<0.017	<0.015
03/31/2009	04/14/2009	<0.019	<0.018	<0.018	<0.020	<0.015
04/14/2009	04/28/2009	<0.017	<0.016	<0.020	<0.016	<0.018
04/28/2009	05/12/2009	<0.019	<0.019	<0.021	<0.018	<0.020
05/12/2009	05/26/2009	<0.018	<0.024	<0.019	<0.021	<0.022
05/26/2009	06/09/2009	<0.019	<0.022	<0.016	<0.014	<0.019
06/09/2009	06/23/2009	<0.019	<0.016	<0.018	<0.017	<0.018
06/23/2009	07/07/2009	<0.022	<0.019	<0.018	<0.024	<0.025
07/07/2009	07/21/2009	<0.020	<0.018	<0.020	<0.018	<0.019
07/21/2009	08/04/2009	<0.018	<0.018	<0.018	<0.016	<0.022
08/04/2009	08/18/2009	<0.018	<0.014	<0.016	<0.019	<0.015
08/18/2009	09/01/2009	<0.024	<0.021	<0.016	<0.020	<0.014
09/01/2009	09/15/2009	<0.019	<0.021	<0.016	<0.020	<0.017
09/15/2009	09/29/2009	<0.017	<0.017	<0.017	<0.014	<0.014
09/29/2009	10/13/2009	<0.025	<0.023	<0.021	<0.018	<0.018
10/13/2009	10/27/2009	<0.022	<0.022	<0.022	<0.018	<0.020
10/24/2009	12/08/2009	<0.023	<0.019	<0.017	<0.019	<0.013
10/27/2009	11/10/2009	<0.023	<0.019	<0.020	<0.016	<0.016
11/10/2009	11/24/2009	<0.033	<0.023	<0.020	<0.020	<0.025
12/08/2009	12/22/2009	<0.053	<0.045	<0.040	<0.047	<0.043

Table 2.1
 Sample Type: **TLDs**
 Analysis: Gamma Dose
 Units: mrem/Qtr

Inner Ring (Indicators)					
Station	1st Qtr '09 (mrem)	2nd Qtr '09 (mrem)	3rd Qtr '09 (mrem)	4th Qtr '09 (mrem)	Annual Mean '09 (mrem)
3	5.0	5.7	6.1	5.8	5.7
145	7.4	8.0	8.1	8.5	8.0
146	7.7	9.0	9.6	9.1	8.9
147	7.2	7.0	8.9	8.1	7.8
1	8.0	8.9	8.7	8.9	8.6
148	7.9	8.8	10.3	8.2	8.8
110	8.1	8.2	8.8	7.4	8.1
149	6.8	7.7	8.9	8.3	7.9
4	7.3	8.1	8.3	7.7	7.9
150	9.1	9.5	9.4	8.8	9.2
151	8.3	8.4	9.1	8.4	8.6
2	8.3	8.7	8.9	8.4	8.6
56 *	9.8	10.7	10.1	9.8	10.1
109	7.5	9.2	9.6	9.6	9.0
108	7.9	7.8	8.6	9.1	8.4
152	7.3	6.9	7.7	6.6	7.1

* Station with highest annual mean.

Table 2.2
 Sample Type: **TLDs**
 Analysis: Gamma Dose
 Units: mrem/Qtr

Special Interest Areas - (Population Centers & Schools)					
Station	1st Qtr '09 (mrem)	2nd Qtr '09 (mrem)	3rd Qtr '09 (mrem)	4th Qtr '09 (mrem)	Annual Mean '09 (mrem)
6	6.5	7.1	7.2	6.9	6.9
111	4.9	5.8	5.5	5.7	5.5
116	7.4	8.4	8.7	8.5	8.3
125	5.2	4.9	5.3	5.4	5.2
127*	7.8	8.9	9.7	8.6	8.8
137	7.4	8.4	8.7	8.3	8.2
153	6.6	7.0	9.9	7.5	7.8

* Stations with highest annual mean.

Special Interest Areas - (Control)					
Station	1st Qtr '09 (mrem)	2nd Qtr '09 (mrem)	3rd Qtr '09 (mrem)	4th Qtr '09 (mrem)	Annual Mean '09 (mrem)
7	6.5	6.1	7.1	6.4	6.5

Table 3.1
 Sample Type: **Surface Water**
 Analysis: Gamma Isotopic
 Units: pCi/l

Location	Start Date	End Date	Mn-54	Co-58	Fe-59	Co-60	Zn-65	Nb-95	Zr-95	I-131	Cs-134	Cs-137	Ba-140	La-140
	Required LLD	→	15	15	30	15	30	15	30	15	15	18	60	15
Station 8 (Indicator)	12/31/2008	01/31/2009	<4.45	<6.15	<10.96	<5.97	<11.96	<10.20	<11.02	<10.73	<7.32	<6.92	<30.05	<12.71
Station 10 (Control)	12/31/2008	01/31/2009	<7.60	<5.38	<11.29	<4.48	<12.24	<9.13	<11.27	<11.83	<7.43	<5.47	<38.15	<6.77
Station 8 (Indicator)	01/31/2009	02/29/2009	<7.07	<4.34	<11.52	<5.71	<6.40	<8.10	<13.33	<14.30	<6.45	<5.44	<35.26	<9.78
Station 10 (Control)	01/31/2009	02/29/2009	<6.00	<6.53	<9.80	<6.45	<15.41	<8.04	<9.24	<10.76	<7.11	<6.57	<34.47	<11.62
Station 8 (Indicator)	02/29/2009	03/31/2009	<5.71	<3.64	<11.66	<2.46	<10.60	<5.72	<6.26	<9.19	<5.20	<5.33	<19.55	<9.85
Station 10 (Control)	02/29/2009	03/31/2009	<4.58	<2.80	<8.74	<3.68	<7.66	<4.52	<9.03	<8.42	<4.52	<5.20	<21.60	<9.02
Station 8 (Indicator)	03/31/2009	04/30/2009	<3.65	<3.68	<6.97	<3.25	<6.88	<4.72	<5.84	<6.95	<4.07	<3.51	<16.10	<7.03
Station 10 (Control)	03/31/2009	04/30/2009	<2.87	<3.13	<6.71	<3.06	<7.14	<3.71	<5.78	<5.25	<3.82	<3.48	<13.99	<4.76
Station 8 (Indicator)	04/30/2009	05/31/2009	<4.87	<5.03	<10.26	<5.00	<11.95	<6.26	<8.79	<7.31	<4.05	<3.39	<21.18	<5.65
Station 10 (Control)	04/30/2009	05/31/2009	<6.21	<6.85	<11.55	<5.50	<9.59	<5.62	<10.76	8.06	<6.11	<5.93	<27.59	<9.26
Station 8 (Indicator)	05/31/2009	06/30/2009	<4.79	<4.29	<11.77	<5.29	<12.62	<6.73	<10.18	<10.75	<5.71	<6.27	<28.69	<8.91
Station 10 (Control)	05/31/2009	06/30/2009	<4.54	<4.12	<12.90	<5.01	<10.52	<6.01	<9.61	<9.16	<4.59	<3.48	<19.69	<10.85

Table 3.1
 Sample Type: **Surface Water**
 Analysis: Gamma Isotopic
 Units: pCi/l

Location	Start Date	End Date	Mn-54	Co-58	Fe-59	Co-60	Zn-65	Nb-95	Zr-95	I-131	Cs-134	Cs-137	Ba-140	La-140
	Required LLD	→	15	15	30	15	30	15	30	15	15	18	60	15
Station 8 (Indicator)	06/30/2009	07/31/2009	<2.99	<2.66	<7.38	<3.68	<6.63	<3.99	<6.82	<5.49	<3.53	<3.43	<16.97	<4.47
Station 10 (Control)	06/30/2009	07/31/2009	<3.44	<3.62	<8.00	<3.60	<6.32	<4.06	<7.11	<7.30	<4.25	<4.19	<18.31	<6.68
Station 8 (Indicator)	07/31/2009	08/31/2009	<2.82	<2.79	<6.35	<2.91	<8.15	<3.76	<5.83	<4.20	<3.27	<3.57	<13.11	<5.91
Station 10 (Control)	07/31/2009	08/31/2009	<3.59	<4.05	<8.82	<4.42	<7.31	<4.49	<6.87	<6.05	<4.37	<4.46	<15.60	<5.96
Station 8 (Indicator)	08/31/2009	09/30/2009	<5.80	<5.49	<11.20	<3.64	<12.94	<7.20	<7.38	<8.59	<7.30	<6.38	<28.52	<10.76
Station 10 (Control)	08/31/2009	09/30/2009	<4.26	<5.27	<12.02	<5.68	<12.78	<6.86	<9.53	<9.82	<5.13	<5.91	<22.47	<13.54
Station 8 (Indicator)	09/30/2009	10/31/2009	<3.25	<3.16	<4.43	<3.24	<6.82	<3.10	<6.09	<4.65	<3.32	<3.11	<13.26	<4.74
Station 10 (Control)	09/30/2009	10/31/2009	<3.79	<3.82	<7.15	<3.28	<6.73	<5.08	<6.49	<6.04	<4.55	<4.30	<20.04	<6.21
Station 8 (Indicator)	10/31/2009	11/30/2009	<5.41	<4.53	<10.58	<4.88	<10.89	<6.71	<8.62	<9.67	<5.99	<5.45	<23.01	<10.11
Station 10 (Control)	10/31/2009	11/30/2009	<4.18	<3.49	<7.41	<3.29	<7.69	<4.68	<5.65	<6.01	<3.53	<3.25	<17.00	<5.16
Station 8 (Indicator)	11/30/2009	12/31/2009	<4.65	<4.94	<10.75	<2.92	<10.63	<5.11	<9.70	<8.15	<4.68	<4.60	<22.60	<7.85
Station 10 (Control)	11/30/2009	12/31/2009	<4.75	<4.57	<8.33	<3.57	<11.58	<6.10	<7.33	<7.83	<4.81	<4.11	<22.55	<9.83

Table 3.2
 Sample Type: **Surface Water**
 Analysis: Tritium
 Units: pCi/l

Location	Begin Date	End Date	H-3
		<u>Required LLD</u> →	<u>3000</u>
Station 8 (Indicator)	12/31/2008	03/31/2009	937
Station 10 (Control)	12/31/2008	03/31/2009	< 540
Station 8 (Indicator)	03/31/2009	06/30/2009	< 564
Station 10 (Control)	03/31/2009	06/30/2009	< 566
Station 8 (Indicator)	06/30/2009	09/30/2009	630
Station 10 (Control)	06/30/2009	09/30/2009	< 518
Station 8 (Indicator)	09/30/2009	12/31/2009	438
Station 10 (Control)	09/30/2009	12/31/2009	< 528

Table 4.1
 Sample Type: **Drinking Water**
 Analysis: Gross Beta, Iodine-131 and Gamma Isotopic
 Units: pCi/l

Location	Collection Date	Gross Beta	I-131	Mn-54	Fe-59	Co-58	Co-60	Zn-65	Zr-95	Nb-95	Cs-134	Cs-137	Ba-140	La-140
Required LLD →		<u>4.0</u>	<u>1.0</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>15</u>
Station 14 (Indicator)	03/17/2009	4.12	<0.89	<4.65	<9.76	<4.90	<4.47	<10.48	<8.13	<7.32	<5.50	<5.59	<28.58	<10.90
Station 57 (Control)	03/17/2009	3.31	<0.87	<3.97	<11.20	<4.67	<4.84	<10.49	<9.49	<6.20	<4.71	4.67	<22.81	<5.54
Station 14 (Indicator)	06/23/2009	1.20	<0.78	<4.64	<8.58	<5.66	<3.06	<10.76	<7.99	<6.63	<5.58	<5.40	<25.38	<6.50
Station 57 (Control)	06/23/2009	2.06	<0.77	<5.40	<14.12	<6.43	< 6.25	<14.20	<10.72	<9.57	<7.25	<5.69	<33.52	<12.33
Station 14 (Indicator)	09/15/2009	1.23	<0.88	<4.42	<8.86	<3.19	<2.11	<8.77	<7.44	<4.87	<4.47	<3.85	<16.76	<6.94
Station 57 (Control)	09/15/2009	2.35	<0.89	<3.39	<7.84	<2.99	<3.14	<6.55	<6.71	<3.71	<3.52	<3.38	<16.21	<5.55
Station 14 (Indicator)	12/08/2009	<1.10	<0.89	<7.69	<13.15	<5.61	<6.43	<12.04	<10.86	<8.49	<6.96	<6.55	<28.11	<12.50
Station 57 (Control)	12/08/2009	<1.10	<0.89	<4.51	<7.90	<5.48	<3.13	<6.91	<7.81	<5.00	<4.73	<4.42	<19.91	<7.38

Table 4.2
Sample Type: **Drinking Water**
Analysis: Tritium
Units: pCi/l

Location	Collection Date	H-3
	<u>Required LLD</u> →	<u>2000</u>
Station 14 (Indicator)	03/17/2009	<555
Station 57 (Control)	03/17/2009	<559
Station 14 (Indicator)	06/23/2009	<563
Station 57 (Control)	06/23/2009	<564
Station 14 (Indicator)	09/15/2009	<521
Station 57 (Control)	09/15/2009	<518
Station 14 (Indicator)	12/08/2009	<525
Station 57 (Control)	12/08/2009	<527

Table 5.1
Sample Type: **Sediment**
Analysis: Gamma Isotopic
Units: pCi/kg

Location	Collection Date	Cs-134	Cs-137
	<u>Required LLD</u> →	<u>150</u>	<u>180</u>
Station 8 (Indicator)	9/11/2009	<138.98	<103.61
Station 16 (Control)	9/11/2009	<91.32	<66.56

Table 6.1
Sample Type: **Fish**
Analysis: Gamma Isotopic
Units: pCi/kg

Location	Collection Date	Mn-54	Fe-59	Co-58	Co-60	Zn-65	Cs-134	Cs-137
<u>Required LLD</u> →		<u>130</u>	<u>260</u>	<u>130</u>	<u>130</u>	<u>260</u>	<u>130</u>	<u>150</u>
Station 8 (Indicator)	9/24/2009	<22.39	<11.88	<32.88	<26.62	<57.80	<21.90	<15.96
Station 16 (Control)	9/8/2009	<22.08	<11.10	<46.27	<18.66	<58.32	<14.41	<18.91

Table 7.1
 Sample Type: **Food Products**
 Analysis: Iodine-131 and Gamma Isotopic
 Units: pCi/kg

Location	Collection Date	<u>I-131</u>	<u>Cs-134</u>	<u>Cs-137</u>
	<u>Required LLD</u> →	<u>60</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>80</u>
Station 13 (Indicator)	06/09/2009	<59.21	<44.29	<33.52
Station 55 (Control)	06/09/2009	<51.98	<42.10	<21.65
Station 13 (Indicator)	07/14/2009	<59.98	<22.37	<18.77
Station 55 (Control)	07/14/2009	<57.92	<20.98	<20.16
Station 13 (Indicator)	08/18/2009	< 59.95	< 25.68	< 45.36
Station 55 (Control)	08/18/2009	< 59.12	< 38.53	< 31.66

Table 8.1

Sample Type: **Groundwater**

Analysis: Iodine-131 and Gamma Isotopic

Units: pCi/l

Sample #	Collection Date	I-131	Mn-54	Fe-59	Co-58	Co-60	Zn-65	Zr-95	Nb-95	Cs-134	Cs-137	Ba-140	La-140
<u>Required LLD</u> □		<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>15</u>
58*	02/18/2009	< 14.67	< 3.60	< 9.46	< 3.82	< 3.51	< 7.85	< 8.78	< 7.44	< 4.05	< 4.16	< 32.37	< 11.53
59	02/18/2009	< 14.82	< 3.82	< 10.13	< 4.08	< 3.55	< 3.18	< 8.25	< 5.81	< 4.22	< 4.39	< 34.35	< 4.52
60	02/18/2009	< 14.98	< 3.92	< 11.23	< 4.65	< 4.06	< 11.23	< 8.24	< 4.86	< 4.75	< 4.62	< 35.03	< 14.29
61	02/18/2009	< 13.61	< 4.29	< 8.69	< 3.93	< 3.98	< 5.66	< 4.34	< 5.59	< 5.09	< 4.91	< 27.34	< 9.22
58*	04/16/2009	< 14.92	< 4.91	< 9.41	< 5.28	< 4.15	< 13.14	< 9.27	< 6.78	< 5.22	< 3.88	< 29.31	< 6.63
59	04/16/2009	< 13.14	< 5.83	< 13.38	< 5.53	< 4.69	< 8.92	< 9.10	< 6.01	< 6.29	< 4.38	< 27.46	< 8.07
60	04/16/2009	< 14.84	< 4.80	< 9.13	< 3.46	< 4.59	< 6.85	< 9.31	< 6.48	< 3.67	< 5.27	< 30.42	< 8.03
61	04/16/2009	< 12.18	< 4.99	< 10.32	< 4.56	< 3.36	< 7.32	< 6.56	< 4.92	< 4.89	< 2.56	< 29.58	< 10.56
58*	07/22/2009	< 14.76	< 2.92	< 10.42	< 4.89	< 5.08	< 10.72	< 8.68	< 5.29	< 4.73	< 4.77	< 32.35	< 11.79
59	07/22/2009	< 13.76	< 5.51	< 10.82	< 4.87	< 3.82	< 12.31	< 8.86	< 6.31	< 4.99	< 5.72	< 25.68	< 8.61
60	07/22/2009	< 14.36	< 5.20	< 10.38	< 6.37	< 5.21	< 10.96	< 8.42	< 6.64	< 5.20	< 5.23	< 24.91	< 11.07
61	07/22/2009	< 14.41	< 5.69	< 12.17	< 4.98	< 4.94	< 4.93	< 9.36	< 7.10	< 5.62	< 3.96	< 35.12	< 9.95
58*	10/15/2009	< 14.97	< 4.76	< 7.72	< 6.24	< 4.05	< 6.13	< 6.94	< 6.12	< 4.81	< 4.83	< 28.45	< 12.57
59	10/15/2009	< 15.00	< 4.47	< 9.92	< 2.95	< 4.02	< 8.05	< 7.14	< 6.66	< 4.45	< 4.85	< 30.48	< 11.93
60	10/15/2009	< 14.35	< 5.28	< 10.32	< 4.98	< 4.70	< 7.27	< 8.95	< 6.34	< 5.33	< 5.87	< 28.78	< 9.25
61	10/15/2009	< 14.77	< 4.68	< 10.98	< 5.55	< 4.62	< 9.48	< 9.63	< 6.75	< 4.86	< 4.42	< 28.50	< 9.18

* Identifies Control Locations

Table 8.2
 Sample Type: **Groundwater**
 Analysis: Tritium
 Units: pCi/l

Location	Collection Date	H-3
	<u>Required LLD</u> →	<u>3000</u>
Station 58 (Control)	02/18/2009	< 570
Station 59 (Indicator)	02/18/2009	< 569
Station 60 (Indicator)	02/18/2009	< 573
Station 61 (Indicator)	02/18/2009	< 569
Station 58 (Control)	04/16/2009	< 552
Station 59 (Indicator)	04/16/2009	< 554
Station 60 (Indicator)	04/16/2009	< 554
Station 61 (Indicator)	04/16/2009	< 544
Station 58 (Control)	07/22/2009	< 586
Station 59 (Indicator)	07/22/2009	< 566
Station 60 (Indicator)	07/22/2009	< 568
Station 61 (Indicator)	07/22/2009	< 568
Station 58 (Control)	10/15/2009	< 542
Station 59 (Indicator)	10/15/2009	< 532
Station 60 (Indicator)	10/15/2009	< 535
Station 61 (Indicator)	10/15/2009	< 532

Table 8.3
 Sample Type: Groundwater 2006 - 2007
 Analysis: Tritium
 Units: pCi/l

Location	Collection Date	H-3
	<u>Required LLD</u> →	<u>3000</u>
Station 58 (Control)	12/07/2006	< 358
Station 59 ((Indicator)	12/07/2006	< 351
Station 60 (Indicator)	12/07/2006	< 350
Station 61 (Indicator)	12/07/2006	< 350
Station 58 (Control)	03/13/2007	< 563
Station 59 ((Indicator)	03/13/2007	< 549
Station 60 (Indicator)	03/13/2007	< 526
Station 61 (Indicator)	03/13/2007	< 560
Station 58 (Control)	06/22/2007	< 523
Station 59 ((Indicator)	06/22/2007	< 535
Station 60 (Indicator)	06/22/2007	< 508
Station 61 (Indicator)	06/22/2007	< 512
Station 58 (Control)	09/06/2007	< 528
Station 59 ((Indicator)	09/06/2007	< 528
Station 60 (Indicator)	09/06/2007	< 527
Station 61 (Indicator)	09/06/2007	< 528
Station 58 (Control)	11/07/2007	< 515
Station 59 ((Indicator)	11/07/2007	< 537
Station 60 (Indicator)	11/07/2007	< 517
Station 61 (Indicator)	11/07/2007	< 518

ATTACHMENT 2

Interlaboratory Comparison Program

Table 9.1

Sample Type: **Interlaboratory Comparison**

Analysis: Gross Beta, Iodine-131 and Gamma Isotopic

Analytics E6674-125 March 19, 2009							
Nuclide	RBS Mean	RBS 1-s	Ref Lab Value	Ref Lab Uncertainty	Resolution	RBS/Ref Lab Ratio	Pass/Fail
I-131	73.3	0.13	69.0	1.15	60	1.06	Pass
Ce-141	126	2.54	120	2.01	59.7	1.05	Pass
Cr-51	371	10.9	387	6.46	59.9	0.96	Pass
Cs-134	118	2.11	119	1.98	60.1	0.99	Pass
Cs-137	151	2.91	141	2.36	59.7	1.07	Pass
Co-58	154	2.65	151	2.52	59.9	1.02	Pass
Mn-54	173	4.05	162	2.70	60	1.07	Pass
Fe-59	134	2.84	127	2.11	60.2	1.06	Pass
Zn-65	199	2.71	197	3.30	59.7	1.01	Pass
Co-60	189	0.31	180	3.01	59.8	1.05	Pass

Analytics E6675-125 March 19, 2009							
Nuclide	RBS Mean	RBS 1-s	Ref Lab Value	Ref Lab uncertainty	Resolution	RBS/Ref Lab Ratio	Pass/Fail
Cs-137	240	2.66	235	3.92	59.9	1.02	Pass

Analytics E6676-125 March 19, 2009							
Nuclide	RBS Mean	RBS 1-s	Ref Lab Value	Ref Lab uncertainty	Resolution	RBS/Ref Lab Ratio	Pass/Fail
I-131	76.0	2.75	78.6	1.31	60.0	0.97	Pass

Analytics E6708-125 June 18, 2009							
Nuclide	RBS Mean	RBS 1-s	Ref Lab Value	Ref Lab uncertainty	Resolution	RBS/Ref Lab Ratio	Pass/Fail
Cs-137	91.6	0.59	86.5	1.44	60.1	1.06	Pass

Table 9.1
 Sample Type: **Interlaboratory Comparison**
 Analysis: Tritium and Gamma Isotopic

Analytics E6677-125 March 19, 2009							
Gamma in Milk							
Nuclide	RBS Mean	RBS 1-s	Ref Lab Value	Ref Lab uncertainty	Resolution	RBS/Ref Lab Ratio	Pass/Fail
I-131	74.0	4.10	79.3	1.32	60.1	0.93	Pass
Ce-141	91.2	3.91	94.9	1.58	60.1	0.96	Pass
Cr-51	283	20.9	305	5.10	59.8	0.93	Pass
Cs-134	95.5	2.04	93.7	1.57	59.7	1.02	Pass
Cs-137	107	0.95	111	1.86	59.7	0.96	Pass
Co-58	111	2.80	119	1.99	59.8	0.93	Pass
Mn-54	136	3.79	128	2.13	60.1	1.06	Pass
Fe-59	109	5.85	99.9	1.67	59.8	1.09	Pass
Zn-65	159	6.00	156	2.60	60.0	1.02	Pass
Co-60	143	1.22	142	2.38	59.7	1.01	Pass

Analytics E6677-125 March 19, 2009							
Gamma in Milk							
Nuclide	RBS Mean	RBS 1-s	Ref Lab Value	Ref Lab uncertainty	Resolution	RBS/Ref Lab Ratio	Pass/Fail
I-131	74.0	4.10	79.3	1.32	60.1	0.93	Pass
Ce-141	91.2	3.91	94.9	1.58	60.1	0.96	Pass
Cr-51	283	20.9	305	5.10	59.8	0.93	Pass
Cs-134	95.5	2.04	93.7	1.57	59.7	1.02	Pass
Cs-137	107	0.95	111	1.86	59.7	0.96	Pass
Co-58	111	2.80	119	1.99	59.8	0.93	Pass
Mn-54	136	3.79	128	2.13	60.1	1.06	Pass
Fe-59	109	5.85	99.9	1.67	59.8	1.09	Pass
Zn-65	159	6.00	156	2.60	60.0	1.02	Pass
Co-60	143	1.22	142	2.38	59.7	1.01	Pass

Analytics E6707-125 June 18, 2009							
H-3 In water							
Nuclide	RBS Mean	RBS 1-s	Ref Lab Value	Ref Lab uncertainty	Resolution	RBS/Ref Lab Ratio	Pass/Fail
H-3	12591	368	13300	223	59.6	0.95	Pass

Table 9.1
 Sample Type: Interlaboratory Comparison
 Analysis: Gamma Isotopic

Analytics E6709-125 June 18, 2009		Gamma Filter					
Nuclide	RBS Mean	RBS 1-s	Ref Lab Value	Ref Lab Uncertainty	Resolution	RBS/Ref Lab Ratio	Pass/ Fail
Ce-141	119	2.5	120	2.0	60	0.99	Pass
Cr-51	172	11.1	169	2.82	59.9	1.02	Pass
Cs-134	66.9	1.2	69.8	1.17	59.7	0.96	Pass
Cs-137	83.3	0.8	80.8	1.35	59.9	1.03	Pass
Co-58	40.1	0.6	38.7	0.646	59.9	1.03	Pass
Mn-54	60.5	1.4	57.7	0.964	59.9	1.05	Pass
Fe-59	55.5	1.3	51.5	0.860	59.9	1.08	Pass
Zn-65	80.4	1.7	73.9	1.23	60.1	1.09	Pass
Co-60	136	2.4	131	2.19	59.8	1.04	Pass

Analytics E6710-125 June 18, 2009		Gamma Soil					
Nuclide	RBS Mean	RBS 1-s	Ref Lab Value	Ref Lab Uncertainty	Resolution	RBS/Ref Lab Ratio	Pass/ Fail
Ce-141	0.461	1.99e-3	0.462	7.72e-3	59.8	1.00	Pass
Cr-51	0.631	5.69e-3	0.652	1.09e-2	59.8	0.97	Pass
Cs-134	0.269	1.32e-3	0.270	4.51e-3	59.9	1.00	Pass
Cs-137	0.428	4.94e-3	0.406	6.78e-3	59.9	1.05	Pass
Co-58	0.144	2.26e-3	0.150	2.51e-3	59.8	0.96	Pass
Mn-54	0.231	1.99e-3	0.223	3.72e-3	59.9	1.04	Pass
Fe-59	0.201	1.50e-3	0.199	3.32e-3	59.9	1.01	Pass
Zn-65	0.299	4.70e-3	0.286	4.78e-3	59.8	1.05	Pass
Co-60	0.509	3.72e-3	0.507	8.47e-3	59.9	1.00	Pass

Interlaboratory Comparison Program Summary

RBS' Environmental Laboratory analyzed interlaboratory comparison samples to fulfill the requirements of the ODCM. The interlaboratory comparison results indicated that 100% of the sample results for accuracy and precision were within the acceptable control limits.

ATTACHMENT 3

Sediment Dose Calculations

Sediment Dose Calculations

Sediment Sample Results

Sediment samples were collected from two locations in 2009 and analyzed for gamma radionuclides. Although Cesium-137 has been detected in previous years, all gamma radionuclides were below detectable limits in 2009. Therefore, ANO operations had no significant impact on the environment or public by this waterborne pathway.

In previous reports, ANO has included annual maximum dose calculations to the skin and total body. However since gamma radionuclides were below detectable limits, no calculation is being provided since there is no associated dose.