



BOUNDING ASSUMPTIONS AND DESIGN FEATURES IN ISA

Daniel H. Dorman, Director
Division of Fuel Cycle Safety and Safeguards
April 27, 2010

Summary of Part 70 Requirements

- Risk of each *credible* high and intermediate consequence event must be limited. *Engineered and administrative controls* must be applied to the extent needed to ensure:
 - High consequence events “highly unlikely” (70.61(b))
 - Intermediate consequence events “unlikely” (70.61(c))
- Nuclear processes must be subcritical under normal and credible abnormal conditions. *Preventive controls* must be primary means of protection for criticality. (70.61(d))
- *Each engineered and administrative controls needed to meet 70.61(b), (c), or (d) must be items relied on for safety (IROFS) (70.61(e))*
- **Regulatory Conclusion:** *Any engineered (passive or active) or administrative item relied on to demonstrate compliance with 70.61(b), (c), or (d) must be designated as an IROFS.*

Significance of IROFS Designation

- Ensures that ISA and ISA Summary accurately reflect the facility safety basis
 - Controls relied on to meet performance requirements have appropriate management measures to ensure reliability and availability
 - Configuration Management Program focuses attention on aspects of the facility design most important to safety
- Provides regulatory oversight as envisioned in Part 70 framework—
 - Record of failures must be maintained and factored into ISA process (70.62(a)(3))
 - Subject to restriction on changes that can be made without prior NRC approval/knowledge (up to one year) (70.72(c))
 - NRC approval required to alter sole IROFS
 - Certain loss or degradation of IROFS must be reported (Appendix A)
- *Staff has not identified an immediate safety concern, but the safety basis may be degraded over time without appropriate regulatory oversight*

Proposed Path Forward

- Guiding Philosophy—Given that rule requires all items relied on to meet 70.61 be designated as IROFS, find ways to address concerns raised by the industry and reduce unnecessary regulatory burden
- Issues to be addressed:
 - Clarification that everything in the facility does not need to be an IROFS
 - Clarification of *what* bounding assumptions/design features must be IROFS (especially for 70.61(d) ('what makes a facility safe' as important as 'what can go wrong'))
 - Recognition of existence of generic IROFS (no need to list for every affected sequence)
 - Level of detail of description of IROFS' safety function in ISA Summary
 - Level of detail tied to concept of "altering" a sole IROFS (DG-3037)
 - Providing guidance on grading facility management measures and QA
 - Clarification of what constitutes a sole IROFS (not merely because a design change could result in a high- or intermediate-consequence)
- *NRC believes that these issues are solvable within existing rule language*