



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 67 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-26
CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK, INC.
INDIAN POINT NUCLEAR GENERATING UNIT NO. 2
DOCKET NO. 50-247

Introduction

By letter dated February 3, 1981, Consolidated Edison Company of New York (the licensee) proposed changes to the reactor vessel surveillance specimen removal program in the Technical Specifications for Indian Point Unit No. 2. The licensee, in a letter dated September 24, 1980, committed to send in this application for amendment. The proposed change had been discussed and agreed to by the NRC prior to the September 24, 1980 letter.

Evaluation

The licensee's review of the current version of ASTM E 185-79 indicated that a revision to the original surveillance capsule removal schedule for Indian Point Unit No. 2 would provide more useful irradiation effects data for the reactor vessel. The proposed specimen removal schedule will satisfy the criteria of Table 1 of ASTM E 185-79, and we find it acceptable.

Environmental Consideration

We have determined that the amendment does not authorize a change in effluent types or total amounts nor an increase in power level and will not result in any significant environmental impact. Having made this determination, we have further concluded that the amendment involves an action which is insignificant from the standpoint of environmental impact and, pursuant to 10 CFR §51.5(d)(4), that an environmental impact statement or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

Conclusion

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) because the amendment does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of accidents previously considered and does not involve a significant decrease in a safety margin, the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration, (2) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (3) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Date: February 10, 1981