LaSalle Generating Station
2601 North 21st Road
Marseilles, IL 61341-9757

www.exeloncorp.com

Exelon Nuclear

10 CFR 50.4

RA10-037

May 7, 2010

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Attention: Document Control Desk
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

LaSalle County Station, Units 1 and 2
Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-11 and NPF-18
NRC Docket Nos. 50-373 and 50-374

Subject: 2009 Regulatory Commitment Change Summary Report

Enclosed is the Exelon Generation Company, LLC, (EGC), 2009 Regulatory Commitment Change Summary Report for LaSalle County Station. Revisions to docketed correspondence were processed using the Nuclear Energy Institute's (NEI) 99-04, Revision 0, "Guidelines for Managing NRC Commitment Changes," dated July 1999.

Should you have any questions concerning this letter, please contact Mr. Terrence W. Simpkin at (815) 415-2800.

Respectfully,

David P. Rhoades
Plant Manager
LaSalle County Station

Attachment

cc: Regional Administrator, NRC Region III
NRC Senior Resident Inspector - LaSalle County Station

Commitment Change Tracking Number	Date of Commitment Change	Original Document	Original Commitment	Changed Commitment	Basis for Change
08-001	1/20/2009	Generic Letter 89-13	ComEd defined the scope of the LaSalle Station response to Generic Letter (GL) 89-13 as encompassing the following systems or portions of systems: 1.) Core Standby Cooling System (CSCS) Pond and Intake Structure. 2.) CSCS – Equipment Cooling Water (ECW) system in its entirety, including system piping and components, which includes the Residual Heat Removal Service Water (RHRSW) and the Diesel Generator (DGCW) Service Water subsystems. 3.) Portions of the Service Water (WS) system [fuel pool cooling heat exchangers and the WS piping, which crossties to the Fire Protection (FP) system]. 4.) Portions of the Fuel Pool Cooling (FC) system (fuel pool cooling heat exchangers, fuel pool make-up lines along with applicable components from the CSCS). 5.) Portions of the FP system.	This commitment change removes portions of the WS system (fuel pool cooling heat exchangers and the WS piping, which crossties to the FP system), portions of the FC system (fuel pool cooling heat exchangers, fuel pool emergency make-up lines along with applicable components from the CSCS system), and portions of the FP system. These portions of the service water system do not transfer heat from safety-related structures, systems, or components to the Ultimate Heat Sink. This change also removes the CSCS Pond from the scope of GL 89-13 since it is the Ultimate Heat Sink for LaSalle Station and is governed by Technical Specification requirements.	GL 89-13 defined the service water systems that were to be included in the GL 89-13 Program as service water system or systems that transfer heat from safety-related structures, systems, or components to the Ultimate Heat Sink. The portions being removed do not perform this function, and this revision simply removes these systems that did not meet the original definition for inclusion in order to re-focus the program onto the original intent of GL 89-13. With regards to the removal of the CSCS Pond from the scope of GL 89-13, it is noted that there are no unique GL 89-13 required actions for the Ultimate Heat Sink that is not already covered by Technical specification requirements.

Commitment Change Tracking Number	Date of Commitment Change	Original Document	Original Commitment	Changed Commitment	Basis for Change
09-001	2/6/2009	Bulletin 96-03	LaSalle County Station shall de-sludge and inspect the wetwell during the next refueling outage of each unit (L1R08 and L2R08). If Primary Containment foreign material exclusion controls are demonstrated to be effective during these future inspections, then LaSalle County Station shall perform de-sludging on an alternating refueling outage frequency.	Deferral of the Suppression Chamber de-sludging to the next Unit 2 refueling outage (L2R13) in 2011.	The impact of deferring LMP-GM-80 "Suppression Chamber De-Sludging" until L2R13 in 2011 will not pose a challenge to ECCS suction strainer performance under accident conditions based upon past inspection results and assessment of the due date extension per Engineering Change 373783, which confirmed sufficient margins to justify the extension of the cleanup. Although the amount of material deposited on the suppression pool floor will increase as a result of this deferral, the absence of sufficient fibrous material in LaSalle's Unit 2 containment ensures that the contribution from fibrous/particulate material (material removed during suppression pool de-sludging) to ECCS suction strainer head loss is negligible for LOCA conditions postulated at LaSalle.