

DiabloCanyonCEM Resource

From: M.L. Boysen [mlboysen2000@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 09, 2010 6:08 PM
To: fmeacham@co.slo.ca.us; bgibson@co.slo.ca.us; ahill@co.slo.ca.us;
kachadjian@co.slo.ca.us; jpatterson@co.slo.ca.us
Cc: janeslo@me.com; janeslo@kcbx.net; dianedolden@gmail.com; Stuyvenberg, Andrew;
dcsafety@dcisc.org; rochelle@a4nr.org; info@wdc.greenpeace.org; info@icanw.org
Subject: What We Know....

To: The San Luis Obispo Board of Supervisors and Other Interested Parties

Subject: What Do We Know about Nuclear Power

Date: Tuesday, March 9th, 2010

Dear Sirs,

Thank you for appearing at today's meeting on our behalf. May I please add a comment or two.... *trying* to be brief! -- first, some or all of the older nuclear plants on the East coast are apparently now leaking tritium into the aquifers there. This is serious. After fifty or more years, we still know very little of Nuclear technology. We can't control it well nor neutralize it or its' waste. We don't know how. What little we know comes from the first plants built on our Eastern coast and the alarming results of waste dumped in the ocean, yet we didn't hear about these deadly leaks at today's meeting. It occurs to us that this plant near us may well want relicensing before it begins to leak --when, *hopefully*, it would be shut down as the Vermont plant is being shut down-- incidentally, by the state government, not the NRC. But PG&E has the money, OUR money, and the power to intimidate with their soothing "everything is fine, no worries" well paid professionals who seem so sincerely to believe in proliferating this *Never Ending Deadly Waste* problem.... for jobs? the money it will bring shareholders? a laissez-faire attitude towards the future of our children, wildlife and planet?

It seems clear the NRC is cosier with PG&E than with safe and sane technology asked for by "We the people".

The state of Nevada which is nearly 85% federally owned has nuclear "forever" waste leaking into it's ground water too and that poison is now heading West.... we don't have the technology to stop it. To comfort us they tell us it is moving "slow". This is *not* comforting. Yucca Valley is a "dead issue" per the NRC, so there is NO federal depository in our future and WE will be stuck with this deadly "forever" waste there at the plant, at the seaside, the doorstep of Mother Nature, the Terror of the Pacific Rim. The cost of moving the waste is prohibitive even IF there were a place that would accept it-- even South Dakota turned it down despite the promised jobs it would bring.

At one point in time it was suggested that the U.S. accept nuclear waste from other countries. Is this in our future?

Second, we would hope the seismic and other "studies" could be done by an INDEPENDENT group, not a PG&E in-house study. We heard NRC's young "Berkeley PhD" seismologist speak and were not impressed with the "nonspeak" language she used as she assured us -- all is well, no worries, our plant can withstand a 7.5 quake. As we know, Mother Nature certainly does not listen to such assurances nor is she bound by such questionable numeric quantifications.

One also wonders why the shareholders' money is not involved but only the rateholders, OUR money?.... we are apparently asked to provide the eighty-five million dollars (today, March 9th they thought about fourteen million dollars. Last week they admitted to having spent nineteen million thus far) they will spend trying to convince us we should be saddled with countless more tons of "forever" waste waiting for a quake, tsunami, terrorist or just a sleepy employee to touch off the agonizing deaths of countless thousands of us and the ruination of our farm lands for tens of thousands of years. (Perhaps we are no longer a rich agricultural state and that no longer matters?)

In short, this is a technology that the world's "Best" and "Brightest" have not been able to control or neutralize. Too much deadly waste has already been dumped into our oceans, including near the Farallon Islands off the coast of San Francisco (47,500 barrels, 14,500 Ci). And witness the hundreds of thousands of tons of chemical waste – that we know of--- dumped into the beautiful Baltic Sea. This can't be an Answer. Those in charge are now in the dark as to what to do.... they fear removing these various wastes-- to put, where?-- would be worse than leaving them there. The Farralon dumping for over twenty-four years was the brainchild of the Atomic Energy Commission....now the NRC... a result of not knowing or understanding the technology we are playing with. We are playing Russian Roulette with Murphy's Law and we are playing Russian Roulette with Mother Nature's laws and it is a too dangerous game. We want out NOW, with our lives and lands intact-- not thirty-five years from now-- or sooner via some catastrophic disaster, depending on the unknown but Certain movements of the earth.

Please stay with those of us who live here on the Central Coast and who share in a concern for the future of the planet. Why can we not talk about the very little we know about nuclear power-- the thirty-eight year old plants in the East? Let's first know how to control it before we proliferate such a deadly product.

Thank you for your courageous vote today. It takes a lot of courage to oppose powerful people who insist nuclear is "clean, safe and cheap". We KNOW it certainly is NOT clean, it is NOT safe, and-- ask us, it is NOT cheap. How can we re-license for another thirty-five years, a plant that could not be licensed TODAY? Let's shut it down. No more well meaning assurances that all is well, no worries, --based on money and jobs.

Thank you,

MLBoysen/773-3920 (My apologies if this is a duplicate. I was unsure if the Website email acutally was sent)

Federal Register Notice: 75FR4427
Comment Number: 6

Mail Envelope Properties (950008.17179.qm)

Subject: What We Know...
Sent Date: 3/9/2010 6:07:34 PM
Received Date: 3/9/2010 6:07:36 PM
From: M.L. Boysen

Created By: mlboysen2000@yahoo.com

Recipients:

"janeslo@me.com" <janeslo@me.com>
Tracking Status: None
"janeslo@kcbx.net" <janeslo@kcbx.net>
Tracking Status: None
"dianedolden@gmail.com" <dianedolden@gmail.com>
Tracking Status: None
"Stuyvenberg, Andrew" <Andrew.Stuyvenberg@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"dcsafety@dcisc.org" <dcsafety@dcisc.org>
Tracking Status: None
"rochelle@a4nr.org" <rochelle@a4nr.org>
Tracking Status: None
"info@wdc.greenpeace.org" <info@wdc.greenpeace.org>
Tracking Status: None
"info@icanw.org" <info@icanw.org>
Tracking Status: None
"fmeacham@co.slo.ca.us" <fmeacham@co.slo.ca.us>
Tracking Status: None
"bgibson@co.slo.ca.us" <bgibson@co.slo.ca.us>
Tracking Status: None
"ahill@co.slo.ca.us" <ahill@co.slo.ca.us>
Tracking Status: None
"kachadjian@co.slo.ca.us" <kachadjian@co.slo.ca.us>
Tracking Status: None
"jpatterson@co.slo.ca.us" <jpatterson@co.slo.ca.us>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: web32404.mail.mud.yahoo.com

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	5495	3/9/2010 6:07:36 PM

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: