<u>sr1020r9-st</u> =S-201	Examination Preparation Checklist	Form ES-201-
Eacility:	SEQUOYAH Date of Examinat	in Feb 2012
		011. 1 10.0
Developed	by: Written - Facility MRC // Operating - Facility MRC	
Target Date*	Task Description (Reference)	Chief Examiner's Initials
-180	1. Examination administration date confirmed (C.1.a; C.2.a and b)	13N
-120	2. NRC examiners and facility contact assigned (C.1.d; C.2.e)	Bn
-120	3. Facility contact briefed on security and other requirements (C.2.c)	BU
-120	4. Corporate notification letter sent (C.2.d) $\frac{g}{2l}/Dq$	BU
[-90]	[5. Reference material due (C.1.e; C.3.c; Attachment 3)] $12/14/09$	BU
{-75}	6. Integrated examination outline(s) due, including Forms ES-201-2, ES-201-3, ES-301-1, ES-301-2, ES-301-5, ES-D-1's, ES-401-1/2, ES-401-3, and ES-401-4, as applicable (C.1.e and f; C.3.d) ↓ 1/16/09	BUL
{-70}	{7. Examination outline(s) reviewed by NRC and feedback provided to facility licensee (C.2.h; C.3.e)}	73 U
{-45}	 Proposed examinations (including written, walk-through JPMs, and scenarios, as applicable), supporting documentation (including Forms ES-301-3, ES-301-4, ES-301-5, ES-301-6, and ES-401-6, and any Form ES-201-3 updates), and reference materials due (C.1.e, f, g and h; C.3.d) 	BU
-30	9. Preliminary license applications (NRC Form 398's) due (C.1.I; C.2.g; ES-202) V/17/10	Park
-14	10. Final license applications due and Form ES-201-4 prepared (C.1.I; C.2.i; ES-202) $\mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{Q}/10$	132
-14	11. Examination approved by NRC supervisor for facility licensee review (C.2.h; C.3.f)	N/14
-14	12. Examinations reviewed with facility licensee (C.1.j; C.2.f and h; C.3.g)	BU
-7	13. Written examinations and operating tests approved by NRC supervisor (C.2.i; C.3.h) 2/9/10	BUL
-7	14. Final applications reviewed; 1 or 2 (if >10) applications audited to confirm qualifications / eligibility; and examination approval and waiver letters sent (C.2.i; Attachment 5; ES-202, C.2.e; ES-204) $\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}$	<i>1334</i>
-7	15. Proctoring/written exam administration guidelines reviewed with facility licensee (C.3.k) $t/15/10$	73U
-7	16. Approved scenarios, job performance measures, and questions, distributed to NRC examiners (C.3.i) $2/16/10$	non
* Target dat identified in t	es are generally based on facility-prepared examinations and are keyed to the exam the corporate notification letter. They are for planning purposes and may be adjuste a coordination with the facility licensee.	nination date ed on a case-by-

[Applies only] {Does not apply} to examinations prepared by the NRC.

Item		Task Description		Initial	s I
			a Ka	b*	
1. W	а.	Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	A	N/A	1
R I T	b.	Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	×.	NA	*
Ť	с.	Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	¥	N/A	X
E N	d.	Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	AT'S	NY	B
2. S	a.	Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	tops	ħF	18
M U L A T	b.	Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	705	Иł	18
O R	с.	To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	TAK	hr	1B
3. W / T	a.	 Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form. 	Pors	nt	ħ
	 b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations 				11
	с.	Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	Pars -	nt	Ħ
4.	а.	Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	2013	nr	18
G E	b.	Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	Kars_	hr	B
N F	c.	Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	AUS	117	18
R	d.	Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	175	nf	18
î	e.	Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	1015	nr	18
	f.	Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	MB	W	BA
a. Autho b. Facil c. NRC	or ity R Chie	Printegonande/Signature Michael Buckner Van Ford ef Examiner (#) BRUNO CKBALLENO / BULLO BRUNO CKBALLENO / BULLO BULLO MAT WIDA (AMAL/ B		11/2 11/10 2/9	ate 2010
	Sup	# Independent NPC reviewer initial items in Column "c", chief examiner concurrence rec		<u>107</u>	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>
Notes					

per l s C

Examination Outline Quality Checklist

Form ES-201-2

Facility:	SEQUOYAH Date of Examination: FEB 2010			
Item	Task Description		Initials	3
		a Ma	b*	c#
W 1.	a. Verify that the outline(s) in(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	ng	1º/A	BC
	D. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	Cig		BN
Ť	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	car		BR
E N	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	ÔÚ		BR
2. S	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	N-1		NA
I M U L A T	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	N-1		NA
O R	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	N-1		NA
3. W / T	 a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form. 	N-)		N/A
	 b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations 	N-)		NA
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	N-]		NA
4.	 Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections. 	UM		BK
G E	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	1M/		BN
N E	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	CM		BN
R	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	can		BR
ĹĹ	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	CU (¥.	BK.
l	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	\mathcal{U}^{\prime}	N/A	<u>BN</u>
a. Autho b. Facili c. NRC d. NRC	Printed Name/Signature (*) Chief Examiner (#) Supervisor BRUND CABALLERO / B. (Juliuo MAUDILIT: WIDMANN We will with the second s		C/2 6/2 06/25	te 109 169
Note:	 Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence requ * Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines 	uired.		

N-1: This ES-201-2 Form is only for written exam outline.

To: NRC Region II Chief Examiner Bruno Caballero

Subject: Completed ES-201-3 Forms

Mr. Caballero, please find enclosed the completed ES-201-3, Examination Security Agreement, forms that have been signed off following the completion of the 2010301, Written exam administered at Sequoyah Nuclear Station 1 & 2 on March 3, 2010.

If there are any questions, please feel free to call me the Sequoyah Training Center (423-843-4208).

Respectfully, Mide Duck Mike Buckner/

Exam Project Lead Sequoyah Nuclear Station

		-
FS-201	Examination Security	Aareement
	Examination occurry	Agreement

1. Pre-Examination

Sequoyah Nuclear Plant ILT exam for 02/2010

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of <u>2/16 & 2/22 2010</u> as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. <u>Post-Examination</u>

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. STEVEN V. SMITH	OPERATIONS TEG. INSTRUCTOR AUTHO	De Stary port	7/16/09	Ste v &A	3/3/2010
2. JOHN B. RODEN	CONTRACTOR	her Bloke	7/20/09	John to lottel	3/9/200
3. Michael A. Buckner	Exam Project fead Exam Author	Michael bud	7/20/09	Malked	- 3/3/2010
4. DAVIN A. PORTER	SRO/STA ERAM REVIEWER	Deniel Char	9/2/09	kauil Marine	= 3/17/2010
5. Michare (Wilson REESE	OB Falspuelor	Mieled alkon Ru-	9/14/04	Maha Valloa Br	-3/3/2010
6. Scott J. Schiel	Ops-Instructor	f.c.	0128219	Say 1	03/03/2010
7. Dale Kaulitz	Singlator Sys	Dale Kants	9/29/89	Pat Kault	03/05/2010
8. Mike Bercher	SIME Simulator SUS	M.D. Builm	9/30/09	Muling	3/12/10
9. Jumes D. Knight	Sim Engineer	Jun Vingas	9/20/09	Jan Dishift	-3151N
10. Timothe E. Hitch teach	Sim Sices Monager	The All	T136105 0	Tax Soft	3/15/10
11. Davidta Brada of	OPS Instructor	ACUBLA	10/06/05 8	the men	3/4/2010
12. Van Ford	Shift Mar	Van Johd	10/06/09	Van Ford	3/3/2010
13. MARIE HANKINS	OPS INSTRUCTOR	Marie Jankyns	10/07/09	marci Sankins	314/2010
14. Calvin Fields	Contractor SRO	Calvin Fields	ioliclog	Calin Fields	3-16-10
15. DIERYEL WADE	SRO ETAM REVIEW	P	10/16/09	Zaenter	3/22/10
NOTES:		///////////////////////////////////////			7

EC 204	Examination Socurity Agroomont	Form ES_201_3
E3-201	Examination Security Agreement	10111110-201-3

ta 2 f -

1

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of <u>2/16-22/10</u> as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

below and authorized by the NF	RC.			ions, except as	specifically hole	Mon
PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE SIG	NATURE (2)	DATE NOTE	Jule 0
1. STACY L. HARVEY	UO/RO	Syca	11/03/09 540	<u>'</u> Q	3/9/10	(e' 2 22) p
2. Casey J. Pfeiffer _	UU/RU	Cas Pil	11/03/07 Carry	PBU	3/8/10	P3 38M
4. Druce E.Breh	spo/us	and and and	11/109	monor m	3/9/10)
5. TELL W Andersed	UC/RO SITOLIAS	Sem ali-	11/24/09	tat in	3/5/10	
7. Donald A. LANgBED	UDIRO	DUTAU	11/25/05	<u>150.</u>	3.3.10	Ň
9. William D. Livic	UCIRO	Will A de	12.1.05		<u>z:///e</u>	- D.V.
10. Michael D. McDaniel	uo/Ro	Mar Danjul	12-7-09	-1/1	statis	Illiam 10, co
12. James W. Fuller	SRO/UC	at your	12/11/29	- MA	2/2/10	Milli tele 10
13 Chris T. Brooks	RO	lan Broky	12/11/09 / have	Bach	340	P2224
15. Diame Hale	Learnay + Dou Ref	June all	16/10 000	with the	5/14/10	8 mill
NOTES:	e V				1	- 2.

ES-201	Examination Security Agreement	Form ES-201-3

tg 2 y -

٩,

1. <u>Pre-Examination</u>

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of <u>2/16-22/10</u> as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

	PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1.	STACY L. HARVET	ИС/2С	SG. Ely	illeslog		
2.	Casey J. Pfe. Her	00/20	Cam Fil	11/05/07	Cas VIL	3/8/12
3.	Tericonner	SRO/US	Por Burnon	-11/11/104	0.0	
4.	Bruce E.Bren	spo/us	thank -	1/13/04	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
5.	This w Andersid	UC/RO	Azur Glim	1/24/59		
6.	MATTHEN S LEENEZTS _	silofus	- Alton	11/25/09	MALT IN	3/5/10
7.	Dangle A. LAngbed	UC/RO	Del 150	_11/25/05_	Mar 4 CE.	3.3.10
8.4	LAMORE HUGHL	UO IRO	Alpertic	11-38-59	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
9.	Willison D: Link	<u>voj Ro</u>	Will A. Al	17.1.07		
10	Michael D. McDaniel	uo/Ro	Mor quantice /	_12-7-091	MM Damiel	3-8-10
11.	Kycn Kedal -	<u> </u>	AL AL	_ D [2/0]	ALX NA	3/8/10
12	James W Fuller	<u>sks/00</u>	- get Many	_12/1 /m_		· · · ·
13	Chris T. Blacks	<u></u> KO	Jun Prolis	12/1/01_	×	
14.	GARY P. GARNER _	SRO	(for free	12/11/39	Ing/jan-	3/3/10
15	Diana Hale	Cearney + Dou Kep	June and	-1/6/10	· · ·	•
NC	DTES:	- V	-	•		

	Evansingtion Convuity Agreement	
E3-201	Examination Security Adreement	FOILI E3-201-3

Pg 3 d

Ň

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of <u>2/16-22/10</u> as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE	Ne 12
1. FRANK SOENS	SLO	2) Mon	1/11/10	-1 Mar	3/17/10	hipe yours
2. Allen Thurman	RO	alphuman	1/11/2010 (Churman	3/31/10	
3. Drene K. PASS 134	SRO	the laper	11/2010	2 Acres	<u>3/3/10</u>	~r
4. Larry Pruett	Contractor SRO	Janu Pruetto	1-12-10	Farry Great	3/03/10	A
5. Missi Munillan	Learning & Dev. Rep	mannelin	1-12-10 4	noraminuta	314/10	. 1 1
6. BRADLEY D Pacifico Hins	Training Director	136 http	15:45 1/26/10			Nº1 A
7. DAVID A. SMITH	Rol	Danida Suit	2-4-10			-n~ 1120
8. Russell Joplin	Non ACC Try mg/	RYA	2-6-10	Rud-5	3/16/10	2m jeup
9. Marin J. Questars	<u>SRO</u>	2000	<u> </u>	A Do	- 3/15/2	Y A
10. Gavy CABET	SLO	- Allow	2/8/10	guyalong	3/15/10	PC: 20
11. D. R. Jones		- Allen (2/1/10			5
12. M.J. BRISALER			2-12-10		3-15-10	
13. ALBERT F KODDY	SHOLUS	askala 10	2/ 15/10	tonia, A	<u> 514/10</u>	
14. ANTHINE VEST	INSTRUCTUR	10. Viatek	02/12/10 /A	and hall	<u>} }{///////////////////////////////////</u>	
15. Thomas Jours	11/37	- Thomas -	- X16/10	un-thSb	3/4/10	
NOTES: DG- Selph	INST	(Bligh	2/16/10	(D.G. S.	elph 3-	22-2010
				per phon	e 'SSrifett	0 3-27-10

EC 204	Examination Security Agreement	Earm ES 201 2
E3-201	Examination Security Adreement	FUIII E3-201-3
سيجاب التقويب والتقصابي والتقصيبي التقصيبي الشاخريس القاذيني التقاذيني التقاذيبي التقاديسي القاديني والقاصي والتقادي والم		

tg34

`,

1. <u>Pre-Examination</u>

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of <u>2/16-22/10</u> as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. <u>Post-Examination</u>

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	S J GNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. FRANK SOENS	520	2/Mon	1/11/10		
2. HILEN Thurman	RO	alphingan	1/11/2010		
3. Dang K. Ppss 1 Sy	SR.J	May land	1. 15 2010 V	1 Acres	73/3/10
4. Larry Pruett	Contractor SRO	Janua Prueto	1-12-10	Firmy Kinito	3/03/10
5. M.SS. MUMillan	Levening & Dev. Rep	monteniin	1-12-10 .5	nondminute	314/10
6. BRADISID Pachenttin	Training Director	12 Atta	15:45 1/26/10	12 Atta	3/8/10
7. DAVID A. SMITH	Ro	Alanilici Suth	2-4-10	0.00	
8. Russell Joplin	Non ACC Try my 1	Run S	2-6-10		
9. Aporta J. Questors	<u>SRO</u>	CAR Low	0-8-10		
10. Lever Catseld	SRD	Mily Cours	2/8/10	0	A
11. D. R. JO.705	5m	A Chileman (2/1/10	Clesens	3/8/10
12. M.J. BRUGAKER	GM		2-12-10		
13. ALBERT F KODDY	sectus	ardada 10	4 15/10	artis 124	314/10
14. LATHLE TEST	Instancisic	tel to list	0= 10 la 1	to Silver III	12/N
15. Thursday Jours	18.37	- Monthing and	2/16/10	-in the	314/10
NOTES: DG- Selph	INST	(Is fugh	2/16/10		•

ES-201 Examination Security Agreement

1. <u>Pre-Examination</u>

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of <u>2/16-22/10</u> as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

Form ES-201-3

2. <u>Post-Examination</u>

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. Michael L. Stephen	s ILT Supervisor	MAR	<u>zlicha</u>	MAK	3/9/10
3 W/ Charldles	SPOLAN SWEWT	- They all the	-2/16/10	Jawalen	2/9/10
4. Taso Lawor Was	COTM	Reduct	2/18/10	altert	3/4/10
5					
6					
7					
8	• ······				
9					
11				·····	
12.		• •			
13					
14					
15		······································			
NOTES:					

Administrative Topics Outline

Facility: <u>Sequoyah 1 & 2</u>		Date of Examination: 2/16/2010
Examination Level: RO X	SRO 🗌	Operating Test Number: <u>2010301</u>
Administrative Topic (see Note)	Type Code*	Describe activity to be performed
Conduct of Operations	D, R	2.1.25 Ability to interpret reference materials, such as graphs, curves, tables, etc. (3.9/4.2) Perform a Reactivity Balance Calculation per 0-SO-62- 7, Appendix E (JPM 190 RO)
Conduct of Operations	D, R	2.1.26 Knowledge of industrial safety procedures (such as rotating equipment, electrical, high temperature, high pressure, caustic, chlorine, oxygen and hydrogen. (3.4/3.6) Containment Formaldehyde Stay Time Calculation (JPM 123)
Equipment Control	M, S	2.2.12 Knowledge of surveillance procedures (3.7/4.1) Perform Reactor Coolant System water inventory (JPM 43-2)
Radiation Control		
Emergency Procedures/Plan	N, R	2.4.13 Knowledge of crew roles and responsibilities during EOP usage. (4.0/4.6) Calculating maximum reactor vessel vent time Per EA-0-7 (JPM-2.4)
NOTE: All items (5 total) are they are retaking only	required for the adminis	SROs. RO applicants require only 4 items unless strative topics, when all 5 are required.
* Type Codes & Criteria:	(C)ontrol rc (D)irect from (N)ew or (M (P)revious	oom, (S)imulator, or Class(R)oom m bank (≤ 3 for ROs; ≤ 4 for SROs & RO retakes) 1)odified from bank (≥ 1) 2 exams (≤ 1; randomly selected)

812 D,

RO 2.1.a This JPM has the candidate determine a reactivity Balance Calculation needed to change power from 20% to 70%, at 3%/min, BOL conditions, on Unit 1. The JPM has been updated to current core load data and is designed to have the computer unavailable to make the calculation thus the candidate will use the guidance in 0-SO-62-7, Appendix E. This JPM can be performed in the simulator or classroom.

RO 2.1.b This JPM has the candidate determine the stay time in containment based on the formaldehyde concentration and determine the respiratory protection requirements. This is a Bank JPM that can be performed in the simulator or classroom.

RO 2.2 This JPM has the candidate determine the Reactor Coolant System Water inventory (leak rate). This is a Modified Bank JPM that will be performed in the classroom without the plant computer.

RO 2.4 This JPM has the candidate determine the allowable Reactor Vessel head venting time to prevent CNMT Hydrogen from exceeding 3% per EA-0-7. This is a New JPM that can be performed in the classroom.

Administrative Topics Outline

Facility: <u>Sequoyah 1 & 2</u>		Date of Examination: 2/16/2010
Examination Level: RO	SRO X	Operating Test Number: 2010301
Administrative Topic (see Note)	Type Code*	Describe activity to be performed
Conduct of Operations	M, R	2.1.1 Knowledge of conduct of operations requirements. (3.8/4.2) Determine Maintenance of License Active Status (JPM A.1.1)
Conduct of Operations	D, R	2.1.26 Knowledge of industrial safety procedures (such as rotating equipment, electrical, high temperature, high pressure, caustic, chlorine, oxygen and hydrogen. (3.4/3.6) Containment Formaldehyde Stay Time Calculation (JPM 123)
Equipment Control	D, R	2.2.12 Knowledge of surveillance procedures Review a Surveillance for approval (3.7/4.1) (JPM 410-1)
Radiation Control	M, R, P	2.3.6 Ability to approve release permits Approval of a Waste Gas Decay tank Release (2.0/3.8) (JPM A-3)
Emergency Procedures/Plan	N, R	2.4.38 Ability to take actions called for in the facility emergency plan, including supporting or acting as emergency coordinator if required. (2.4/4.4) Classify Rep: LOCA with Significant Fuel Failure (JPM 019 AP3)
NOTE: All items (5 total) are they are retaking only	required for the adminis	SROs. RO applicants require only 4 items unless strative topics, when all 5 are required.
* Type Codes & Criteria:	(C)ontrol rc (D)irect fron (N)ew or (N (P)revious	oom, (S)imulator, or Class(R)oom m bank (≤ 3 for ROs; ≤ 4 for SROs & RO retakes) /)odified from bank (≥ 1) 2 exams (≤ 1; randomly selected)

yeed 1/2,/10

SRO 2.1.a This JPM has the candidate review the work history for 4 SROs and determine which has performed the required activities necessary to maintain their license in an active status. This is a modified bank JPM that can be performed in the classroom.

SRO 2.1.b This JPM has the candidate determine the stay time in containment based on the formaldehyde concentration and determine the respiratory protection requirements. This is an updated Bank JPM that can be performed in the simulator or classroom.

SRO 2.2 This JPM has the candidate review a surveillance test for determining whether or not to adjust RCP seal injection supply controlled leakage. The data will be outside acceptable limits and require entry into Tech Specs as well as performing sect 6.3 of procedure to adjust the seal leak-off flows. This is a Bank JPM that can be performed on the simulator or in the classroom.

SRO 2.3 This JPM has the candidate review a radioactive gas decay tank release to determine if release permit is accurate and can take place as written. The candidate will determine who needs to approve the permit. This is a modified Bank JPM that can be performed in the simulator or in the classroom. This JPM is a Repeat from the 2009 NRC exam, which has been modified with a new critical task added and was randomly selected from a group of Radiation Control JPMs.

SRO 2.4 This JPM has the candidate determine the correct Emergency Classification based on the data provided and make the initial contacts. The JPM has the candidate determine that a SAE exists. This is a time critical, New JPM that can be performed in the simulator or in the classroom.

12

Cont	rol Room Systems [@] (8 for RO); (7 for SRO-I); (2 or 3 for SRO-	U, including 1 ESF)	
	System / IDM Title		Safat
	System / JPW The	Type Code	Functio
a.	005 Inoperable/Stuck Control Rod (AA2.03 3.5/4.4) 001AP-1 Emergency Boration (Stuck Rods)	D, S, A, L	1
b.	011 Large Break LOCA (EA1.11 4.2/4.2) 013AP1 Transfer to Hot Leg Recirc.	D, S, A	3
C.	004 Chemical and Volume Control System (A4.06 3.6/3.1) JPM-2 Remove Excess Letdown from service.	N, S	2
d.	005 Residual Heat Removal System (A4.01 3.6/3.4) 152-1 Swap RHR pumps (B train to A train) with level in the PZR.	e M, S, L	4P
e.	WE05 Loss of Secondary Heat Sink (EA2.1 3.4/4.4) 034-1 Establish MFW per EA-2-2	N, S	4S
f.	103 Containment System (A1.01 3.7/4.1) 065-1 Re-establishment of CNMT pressure	D, S	5
g.	064 Emergency Diesel Generators (A4.06 3.9/3.9) 077-1AP Perform DG load test on 1B-B DG (with high crankcase pressure)	D, S, A	6
h.	015 Nuclear Instrumentation System (A2.02 3.1/3.5) 021-1A Respond to a failure of N-41	M, S	7
In-Pla	ant Systems [@] (3 for RO); (3 for SRO-I); (3 or 2 for SRO-U)		
i.	059 Accidental Liquid Radioactive Waste Release (AA1.01 3.5/3.5) 189AP Radiation Monitor 0-RE-90-122 Flushing After Hi Radiation Signal Isolation of Release	D, R, A	9
j.	025 Residual Heat Removal System (AA1.10 3.1/2.9) 044 Venting A-A RHR pump due to cavitation	D, E, R	4P
k.	068 AC Electrical Distribution System (AA1.21 3.9/4.1) 091-1 Transfer Controls to Aux Mode per AOP-C.04, Att 3	D, E, A	8

Nid 10

* Type Codes	Criteria for RO / SRO-I / SRO-U
(A)Iternate path (C)ontrol room (D)irect from bank (E)mergency or abnormal in-plant (EN)gineered safety feature (L)ow-Power / Shutdown (N)ew or (M)odified from bank including 1(A)	$4-6 / 4-6 / 2-3$ $\leq 9 / \leq 8 / \leq 4$ $\geq 1 / \geq 1 / \geq 1$ $- / - / \geq 1 \text{ (control room system)}$ $\geq 1 / \geq 1 / \geq 1$ $\geq 2 / \geq 2 / \geq 1$ $\leq 2 / \leq 2 / \leq 2 \text{ (condemly collected)}$
(R)CA (S)imulator	$\geq 1/\geq 1/\geq 1$

- JPM A. Candidate has to determine that emergency boration is required due to 2 stuck rods following a Rx trip, with the normal emergency boration valve failing to open, requiring the candidate to align alternate boration through the charging pump suction. This is a Bank, Low Power, Alternate path, JPM.
- JPM B. Candidate is directed to make alignment change for Transfer to Hot Leg Recirculation per ES-1.4 following a trip due to large break LOCA. The Hot Leg Recirculation valve will fail to open requiring RHR to be aligned to Cold Leg injection with High head pumps aligned to Hot Legs. This is a Bank, Low Power, Alternate path, JPM.
- JPM C. Candidate will be required to remove Excess Letdown from service using normal operating procedures. The normal letdown system has been placed in service following a malfunction and excess letdown is required to be removed and placed in standby. This is a New JPM.
- JPM D. Plant is in Mode 4, and Candidate is directed to transfer RHR pumps and heat exchangers from B train to A train. This is a Modified Bank, Low Power JPM. Original JPM was to transfer from Train A to B.
- JPM E. Candidate is directed to establish a Secondary Heat Sink using Main Feed Water System following a Rx Trip. MFW will be required due to a failure of all AFW pumps. This is a New JPM.
- JPM F. Candidate is directed to vent excess pressure from CNMT. This is a Bank JPM.
- JPM G. Candidate is to perform a quick start of EDG A-A and load the EDG. Prior to closing the EDG breaker, a high crankcase condition will develop requiring a manual emergency trip of the EDG. This is a Bank, Alternate Path JPM.
- JPM H. Candidate will respond to failed Nuclear Instrument (N41) High. Control Rods will be stepping in at maximum rate, Candidate will take Rod bank selector switch to Manual and proceed to remove failed channel from service. This is a Modified Bank JPM. Original JPM (021) had N-41 failing low from ~45%, this JPM has N-41 failing High, requiring immediate manual action to stop control rod movement prior to removing channel from service.
- JPM I. Candidate is to locate and flush the radwaste effluent monitor to clear the high alarm condition. After the local flush, the JPM is complete. This a Bank, Alternate path, JPM performed in the RCA.
- JPM J. Candidate is directed to vent the 1A-A RHR pump due to pump cavitating during mid-loop operation. This venting is done locally and is required to be performed to return the RHR pump to service. This is a Bank JPM and is performed in the RCA.
- JPM K. Candidate is directed to perform checklist 3 of AOP-C.04 Shutdown from Auxiliary Control Room, following an event which requires Control Room Abandonment. This a Bank JPM.

Control Room/In-Plant Systems Outline

Facility: Sequoyah Nuclear Plant	Date of Examin	ation:02/16/2010
Exam Level: RO SRO-I X SRO-U	Operating Test	No.: <u>2010301</u>
Control Room Systems [@] (8 for RO); (7 for SRO-I);	(2 or 3 for SRO-U, including	1 ESF)
System / JPM Title	Type C	ode* Safety Function
a. 005 Inoperable/Stuck Control Rod (AA2.03 001 AP-1 Emergency Boration (Stuck Rod	5 3.5/4.4) D, S, A s)	A, L 1
b. 011 Large Break LOCA (EA1.11 4.2/4.2) 013AP1 Transfer to Hot Leg Recirc.	D, S,	A 3
c. 004 Chemical and Volume Control System JPM-2 Remove Excess Letdown from serv	(A4.06 3.6/3.1) N, S ice.	5 2
d. 005 Residual Heat Removal System (A4.0 152 Swap RHR pumps (A train to B train) v PZR.	1 3.6/3.4) M, S with level in the	L 4P
e. WE05 Loss of Secondary Heat Sink (EA2. 034-1 Establish MFW per EA-2-2	1 3.4/4.4) N, S	5 4S
f.		
g. 064 Emergency Diesel Generators (A4.06 077-1AP Perform DG load test on 1B-B DC crankcase pressure)	3.9/3.9) D, S, 6 (with high	A 6
h. 015 Nuclear Instrumentation System (A2.0 021 AP Respond to a failure of N-41	2 3.1/3.5) D, S	5 7
In-Plant Systems [@] (3 for RO); (3 for SRO-I); (3 or 2	2 for SRO-U)	
i. 059 Accidental Liquid Radioactive Waste F 3.5/3.5 189AP Radiation Monitor 0-RE-90-122 Flu Radiation Signal Isolation of Release.	Release (AA1.01 D, R, shing After Hi	, A 9
j. 005 Residual Heat Removal System 044 Venting A-A RHR pump due to cavitat	ion D, E,	, R 4P
k. 062 AC Electrical Distribution System 091-1 Transfer Controls to Aux Mode per A	D, E,	A 8
All RO and SRO-I control room (and in-plant) syste functions; all 5 SRO-U systems must serve differer may overlap those tested in the control room.	ms must be different and ser at safety functions; in-plant sy	ve different safety stems and functions
* Type Codes	Criteria for RO / SR	20-1 / SRO-U

Nº N Ń

(A)lternate nath	46/46/23
(C)ontrol room	4-07 4-07 2-3
(D)irect from bank	< 9/ < 8/ < 4
(E)mergency or abnormal in-plant	>1/>1/>1
(E))ginograd asfaty facture	1 - 1 > 1 (control room system)
(Lin)gineereu salety leature	
(L)ow-Power / Shuldown	
(N)ew or (M)odilled from bank including T(A)	$\leq 2/2/2/2$
(P)revious z exams	$\leq 3/\leq 3/\leq 2$ (randomly selected)
	21/21/21
(S)imulator	
	1

- JPM A. Candidate has to determine that emergency boration is required due to 2 stuck rods following a Rx trip, with the normal emergency boration valve failing to open, requiring the candidate to align alternate boration through the charging pump suction. This is a Bank, Low Power, Alternate path, JPM.
- JPM B. Candidate is directed to make alignment change for Transfer to Hot Leg Recirculation per ES-1.4 following a trip due to large break LOCA. The Hot Leg Recirculation valve will fail to open requiring RHR to be aligned to Cold Leg injection with High head pumps aligned to Hot Legs. This is a Bank, Low Power, Alternate path, JPM.
- JPM C. Candidate will be required to respond to failed PZR pressure instrument which causes the PZR spray valves to open with one valve sticking open. With a PZR spray valve failing to close, candidate will follow actions of AOP-I.4 and trip the RX and trip at least two RCPs to stop mitigate the depressurization to prevent SI actuation. This is a Modified Bank, Alternate Path JPM. Original JPM
- JPM D. Plant is in Mode 4, and Candidate is directed to transfer RHR pumps from B train to A train. This is a Bank, Low Power JPM.
- JPM E. Candidate is directed to establish a Secondary Heat Sink using Main Feed Water System following a Rx Trip. MFW will be required due to a failure of all AFW pumps. This is a New JPM.
- JPM G. Candidate is to perform a quick start of EDG A-A and load the EDG. Prior to closing the EDG breaker, a high crankcase condition will develop requiring a manual emergency trip of the EDG. This is a Bank, Alternate Path JPM.
- JPM H. Candidate will respond to failed Nuclear Instrument (N41) High. Control Rods will be stepping in at maximum rate, Candidate will take Rod bank selector switch to Manual and proceed to remove failed channel from service. This is a Modified Bank JPM. Original JPM (021) had N-41 failing low from ~45%, this JPM has N-41 failing High, requiring immediate manual action to stop control rod movement prior to removing channel from service.
- JPM I. Candidate is to locate and flush the radwaste effluent monitor to clear the high alarm condition. After the local flush, the JPM is complete. This is a Bank, Alternate Path, JPM performed in the RCA.
- JPM J. Candidate is directed to vent the 1A-A RHR pump due to pump cavitating during mid-loop operation. This venting is done locally and is required to be performed to return the RHR pump to service. This is a Bank JPM and is performed in the RCA.
- JPM K. Candidate is directed to perform checklist 3 of AOP-C.04 Shutdown from Auxiliary Control Room, following an event which requires Control Room Abandonment. This is a Bank JPM.

Facility:	Sequoyah Date of	Examination: 02/16/2	010	Operating Test N	lumber:	20103	01	
				and the second sec			Initial	S
		1. General Criteria				а	b*	c#
а.	The operating test confo are consistent with sam importance, safety funct	orms with the previously oling requirements (e.g. ion distribution).	/ appro ., 10 C	oved outline; chang FR 55.45, operatio	ges onal 	<i>btb</i>	M	PU
b.	There is no day-to-day r administered during this	2045	1NT	1BU				
с.	The operating test shall r (see Section D.1.a.)	21/13	лX	1BM				
d.	Overlap with the written of the operating test is w	examination and betwe vithin acceptable limits.	en diff	ferent parts	6	255	'nХ	1BU
е.	It appears that the opera and less-than-competen	ating test will differentiat t applicants at the design	te betv gnated	veen competent I license level.		for the	'n٢	1BK
	2.	Walk-Through Criteria	а				1	
a. b.	 Each JPM includes the f initial conditions initiating cues references and tools reasonable and value completion) and spect the facility licensee operationally import detailed expects system respons statements desorthe applicant criteria for succe identification of standards restrictions on the facture that any changes and administrative walk-to caused the test to deviate distribution, bank use, response 	ollowing, as applicable: s, including associated p dated time limits (avera- ecific designation if deer ant specific performanc e and other examiner c cribing important observ essful completion of the critical steps and their a <u>ne sequence of steps, if</u> from the previously app hrough outlines (Forms e from any of the accep epetition from the last 2	proced ge tim med to ce crite iteria a cues vations task associa f applic proved ES-30 tance NRC	lures e allowed for be time-critical by ria that include: and nomenclature to be made by ated performance <u>cable</u> systems 1-1 and 2) have no criteria (e.g., item examinations) spe	/ ot	ANS Als	nt	BUL N−1
	on those forms and Forr	n ES-201-2.						/\1
		3. Simulator Criteria						
The ass in accor	ociated simulator operati dance with Form ES-30	ng tests (scenario sets))1-4 and a copy is attac) have ched.	been reviewed		POTE	nr	PBUL
a. Auti	nor	Printed Name Mike Buckner	e/Sigi	al fuct	Date 12	110/20	909	
b. Fac	ility Reviewer(*)	Van Ford 🛛 🖉	lan	Ford	12	11/04	ì	
c. NR	C Chief Examiner (#)	BRUNO CABALLER	<u> 2/ /2</u>	b (elallero	2/	9/10		
d. NR	C Supervisor	MALCOLLET. WIDALLA	W/	Juiged cours	0	2/09/2	<i></i>	
NOTE:	* The facility signatu # Independent NRC	ure is not applicable for reviewer initial items ir	NRC- n Colu	developed tests. mn "c"; chief exam	niner co	ncurrei	nce re	quired.
N-1:	The licensee's ope	erating test sub.	mitta	l was outsid	le the	e acc	cpta	ble.

1-1: The licensee's operating test submitted was outside the acceptable quality range required by NUREG 1021 because 15 of 15 JPMs required either significant modification or replacement, (see attached for details) After rework, the JPMs now meet the checklist criteria.

Caballero, Bruno

om: Jent: To: Cc: Subject: Caballero, Bruno Friday, February 05, 2010 10:15 AM 'svsmith0@tva.gov' Widmann, Malcolm; 'Picchiottino, Bradley D' Draft Operating Test submittal

Steve,

This email does NOT contain exam security information.

As we discussed earlier, the draft operating test submittal was outside the acceptable quality range expected by the NRC because the 15 JPMs required significant modification and/or replacement due to the following issues:

- Incorrect simulator setup conditions
- Incorrect valve/component numbers listed
- Poorly defined standards (the "answer key") for procedure steps; i.e., adequate pass/fail criteria not established for critical steps, including tolerance bands on parameters
- Initial conditions were either missing important detail, poorly defined, or included cues. In some cases, the initiating cue statements included items that were actually initial conditions.
- Initiating cue statements were either cumbersome (because they contained initial conditions) or poorly
 defined to elicit the required knowledge being tested by the JPM
- No difference between expected knowledge for an SRO applicant versus RO applicant for some SROonly JPMs
- JPM Steps either inappropriately designated as "critical" or should have been designated as "critical"
- Proposed procedures which were provided to applicants were not marked up according to plant protocols for placekeeping, etc.
- Excessive typographical errors (do not usually comment on this "administrivia"; but the level of errors was unacceptable and misleading to the examiners)
- When suggestions for enhancements and/or replacements were addressed, the subsequent JPM packages were missing procedures and/or data

If necessary, we can provide specifics; however, due to exam security reasons, this is all we can provide via email. Don't hesitate to call if you have questions or concerns.

We look forward to working with you and your staff during the exam.

Bruno Caballero Operations Engineer - Region 2 <u>bruno.caballero@nrc.gov</u> 404-562-4608

Faci	lty: Sequoyah 1&2 Date of Exam: 2/16/2010 Scenario Numbers: 1/2	2/3/4 Operating Test N	No.: 201	0301							
		Initials									
			а	b*	c#						
1.	ATS	nt	BBR								
2	2 The scenarios consist mostly of related events.										
3	 3 Each event description consists of the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable) 										
4.	 No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event. 										
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.		PORB	ht	PEAK						
6.	 Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives. 										
7.	 If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given. 										
8.	8. The simulator modeling is not altered.										
9.	tors	W	1BN								
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of	v modified scenario. Z	PORB	W	PBA						
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified usir (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	ng Form ES-301-6	aus.	UF	18M						
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simu	transients ılator scenarios).	tos	nt	BAR						
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for e	ach crew position.	POTB	nt	m						
Т	arget Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)	Actual Attributes									
1.	Total malfunctions (5–8)	6/7/8/6	706	NF	PM						
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1–2)	2 / 3 / 3/ 1	2015	nr	BU						
3.	Abnormal events (2–4)	4/4/3/4	MB	nt	BL						
4.	Major transients (1–2)	2/1/2/1	Parts	nr	BUL						
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	3/3/3/2	Pars	hr	CM.						
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	2/2/2/1	TAT S	nr	12R						
7.	Critical tasks (2–3)	2/2/3/21	dest	nr	1931						

PHU

Transient and Event Checklist

Facility: S	Sequoyal	h Nucle	ar Pla	int D	ate of I	Exam:	02/1	6/2010)	Opera	ating Te	est No.	: 2010	301			
A	E							Sc	enari	os					<u> </u>		
Р	V		1			2			3			4		Т	N	N	
	E N T	C PO	REW SITIC	/ DN	(PC	CRE\ SITI	N ION	C PC	CREW	/ DN	PC	CREW	/ DN	O T A	r	1 1	
C A	T	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	L		N J V(*)	
N T	Y P									-			-		R	I	U
		5												2	1	1	0
SRO-I X	I/C	1,2,3,				2, 4								8	4	4	2
SRO-U	MAJ	8				5								2	2	2	1
	TS	1, 7												2	0	2	2
RO	RX		5		1									2	1	1	0
	NOR				4									1	1	1	1
SRO-I X	I/C		1, 3, 10		2,3,4, 7,8,9									9	4	4	2
SRO-U	MAJ		8		5									2	2	2	1
	TS				2, 3									2	0	2	2
RO	RX		5											1	1	1	0
	NOR						1,4							2	1	1	1
	I/C		1, 3, 10				3,4,7, 8,9							8	4	4	2
SRO-U	MAJ		8				5							2	2	2	1
	TS													0	0	2	2
RO	RX					4								1	1	1	0
X	NOR					1			i		(1	1	1	1
	I/C			2,4, 9		2, 4								5	4	4	2
SRO-U	MAJ			8		5								2	2	2	1
	TS													0	0	2	2

Instructions:

1. Check the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs must serve in both the SRO and the ATC positions, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position. If an Instant SRO additionally serves in the BOP position, one I/C malfunction can be credited toward the two I/C malfunctions required for the ATC position.

 Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.

Transient and Event Checklist

Facility: S	Sequoyal	h Nucle	ar Pla	nt Dat	e of E	Exam	: 02/	16/201	0	Opera	ating Te	est No.	: 2010	301			
A	E							Sc	enari	os							
Р	V		1			2			3			4		Т	1	M	
	E N T	E CREW CREW N POSITION POSITION P				(PC	CREW	V DN	CREW POSITION			O T A	1	I N I			
C A	' Т	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	L	ת ו ת	M J M(*)	
N T	P E														R	1	U
RO	RX	5							1					2	1	1	0
	NOR													0	1	1	1
SRO-I X	I/C	1,2,3, 4,9,10							2,5,9					9	4	4	2
SRO-U	MAJ	8							6					2	2	2	1
	TS	1, 7												2	0	2	2
BO	RX		5											1	1	1	0
	NOR							1						1	1	1	1
SRO-I X	I/C		1, 3, 10					2,3,4, 5,8,9, 10						10	4	4	2
ISRO-0	MAJ		8					6						2	2	2	1
	TS							2,4,5						3	0	2	2
RO	RX		5											1	1	1	0
X	NOR									1				1	1	1	1
	I/C		1, 3, 10							3,4, 8,10				7	4	4	2
SRO-U	MAJ		8							6				2	2	2	1
	TS													0	0	2	2
RO	RX								1					1	1	1	0
X	NOR			5										1	1	1	1
ISRO-I	I/C			2,4,9					2,5,9					6	4	4	2
	MAJ			8					6					2	2	2	1
	TS													0	0	2	2

Instructions:

1. Check the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs must serve in both the SRO and the ATC positions, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position. If an Instant SRO additionally serves in the BOP position, one I/C malfunction can be credited toward the two I/C malfunctions required for the ATC position.

 Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.

Facility: S	Sequoyat	n Nucle	ear Pla	ant D	ate of l	Exam	: 02/	16/201	D	Opera	ating T	est No.	: 2010	301			
A	E							Sc	enari	os							
Р	V		1			2			3			4		Т	1	v	
P L	E N T	C PO	REW	/ DN	(PC	CRE SIT	N ION	(PC	CREW	/ DN	(PC	CREV	V DN	O T A	1	 	
C A	T	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	L		√ J V(*)_	
T	P E														R	1	U
RO	RX					1								1	1	1	0
	NOR					4		1						2	1	1	1
SRO-I X SRO-U	I/C					2, 4		2,3,4, 5,9, 10						8	4	4	2
	MAJ					5		6						2	2	2	1
	TS							2,4,5						3	0	2	2
RO	RX				1									1	1	1	0
	NOR				4				1					2	1	1	1
SRO-I X	I/C				2,3,4, 7,8,9				2,5,9					9	4	4	2
SRO-U	MAJ				5				6					2	2	2	1
	TS				2, 3									2	0	2	2
RO	RX								1					1	1	1	0
X	NOR						1,4							2	1	1	1
	I/C						3,4,7, 8,9		2,5,9					8	4	4	2
ISRO-U	MAJ						5		6					2	2	2	1
	TS													0	0	2	2
RO	RX					1								1	1	1	0
X	NOR					4				1				2	1	1	1
	I/C					2, 4				3,4, 10				5	4	4	2
SRO-U	MAJ					5				6				2	2	2	1
	TS													0	0	2	2

Instructions:

1. Check the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs must serve in both the SRO and the ATC positions, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position. If an Instant SRO additionally serves in the BOP position, one I/C malfunction can be credited toward the two I/C malfunctions required for the ATC position.

 Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.

Transient and Event Checklist

Facility: S	Sequoyal	h Nucle	uclear Plant Date of Exam: 02/16/2010 Operating Test No.:														
A	E							Sc	enari	os							
P	V	5	SPAR	E		2			3		· · · · ·	4		Т		v	
	E N T	PC	CREV DSITI	V ON	P	CRE	W ION	(PC	CREV	V DN	(PC	CREV	V DN	O T A	1	 	
C A	' T	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	L	r l	N J M(*)	
N T	Y P														R	1	U
BO															1	1	
	NOR	1												1	1		1
SRO-I X	I/C	2,3,4,												5	4	4	2
SRO-U	MAJ	7												1	2	2	1
	TS	4,6												2	0	2	2
RO	RX		1											1	1	1	0
X	NOR		6											1	1	1	1
	I/C		2,4,5											3	4	4	2
SRO-U	MAJ		7						L					1	2	2	1
	TS														0	2	2
RO	RX														1	1	0
X	NOR			1										1	1	1	1
	I/C			3,5,8										3	4	4	2
ISRO-U	MAJ			7										1	2	2	1
	TS														0	2	2
RO	RX														1	1	0
	NOR														1	1	1
SRO-I	I/C									1					4	4	2
	MAJ														2	2	1
	TS														0	2	2

Instructions:

 Check the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs must serve in both the SRO and the ATC positions, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position. If an Instant SRO additionally serves in the BOP position, one I/C malfunction can be credited toward the two I/C malfunctions required for the ATC position.

 Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.

Facility: Sequoyah 1 & 2 Date of Examination: 2/16/2010 Operating Test No.: 2010301																
							APF	PLIC		-s						
	5 5	RO- RO-) I U	X 		RO SRO SRO	-I -U		F	RO SRO SRO	-l -U			RO SRC SRC)-I)-U	
Competencies	SCENARIO				5	SCE	NARI	0	S	CEN	VAR	0		SCEN	VARI	0
Interpret/Diagnose Events and Conditions	1 1,2, 3,4, 5,7, 9,10	2 2,3, 4,5, 6,8, 9	3 2,3, 4,5, 6,8, 9,10	4 2,3, 4,5, 6,8	1 All	2 All	3 All	4 All	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	1,3, 4,5, 6,	1,2, 3,4, 7,8, 9	1,2, 3,4, 5,6, 8	1,2, 3,4, 6,7, 8	All	All	All	All								
Operate Control Boards (2)	1,2, 3,4, 8,9, 10	1,2, 3,4, 5,7, 8,9	1,2, 3,4, 5,8, 9,10	1,2, 3,4, 6,8												
Communicate and Interact	All	All	All	All	All	All	All	All								
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)					All	All	All	All								
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)1,72,3							2,4, 5	4,6								
Comply With and 1,7 2,3 2,4 4,6 1																

Instructions:

Check the applicants' license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Facility: Sequ	acility: Sequoyah						m: 2	2010		<u></u>								
Tier	Group				R	0К/	A C	ateg	ory l	Poin	ts	<u></u>			SR	0-Onl	y Po	ints
	·	К 1	к 2	к 3	к 4	К 5	К 6	A 1	A 2	A 3	A 4	G *	Total		42	0	G*	Tot
1.	1	3	3	3				3	3			3	18		3	3		6
Emergency & Abnormal Plant	2	1	2	1		N/A		2	2	N	/A 1 9			2		2	4	
Evolutions	Tier Totals	4	5	4				5	5			4	27		5		5	10
	1	2	2 3 2 3 2 2			2	3	3	3	3	2	28		3		2	5	
2. Plant	2	1 1 0 1 1 3 4 2 4 3				1	1	1	1	1	1	1	10		2		1	3
Systems	Plant Image: Systems Tier Totals 3 4 2 4 3							4	4	4	4	3	38		5		3	8
3. Generic K	s	1	1	:	2	3	3	4	\$	10	1	2	3	4	7			
C	Categories					3		2	;	3	2	2		2	2	1	2	
2.	and SRO-only in each K/A ca The point total The final point	outlii tego for e total	nes (ry sh each I for (i.e., e iall no grou each	excep ot be p and grou	ot for less d tier ip an	one thar in ti d tie	appli cate two) ne pro r may	icabl gory). opos / dev	e K/A in Ti ed o iate	v cate ier 3 e utline by ±1	egory of the e mus	/ are sam > SRO-onl st match t n that spe	pled v ly outl that sp cified	within e ine, the pecified I in the	ach tio "Tier d in the table	er of Tota e tab	the RO Is" e.
2. 3. 4. 5.	and SRO-only in each K/A ca The point total The final point based on NRC Systems/evolu not apply at th not included o the elimination Select topics f in the group be Absent a plant selected. Use	outlin tego for e total revis tions e fac n the e fac n the e fac so f ir rom e fore	nes (ry sh each I for (sisions sisions sisions sisions sisions as m as m sele cific RO a	i.e., e all no grou each 3. Th 3. Th ine s shou ine s ropri any s ecting prior nd Si	excep pot be grou e fina ach g d be hould be hould ate K syste j a se j a se j a se z a se z a se z a se	d tier less d tier p an al RO yroup dele d be d be d be d be d be d be d be d b	very one thar r in th d tie exa exa exa adde taten nd e d top hose s for	appli cate i two i two r may m mu ident and ju d. R nents volut ic for K/As	cabl gory). opos v dev ust to ustified ustified efer a. ions r any s hav RO ai	e K// in Ti sed o viate tal 7 l on t ed; o to ES as pu syst ring a nd Si	utline by ±1 5 poi he as pera 5-401 cossib cem o an im	egory of the e mus I from nts a ssoci tiona , Atta lle; sa r evo porta	y are sam s SRO-onl at match t n that spe nd the SF ated outli lily import achment 2 ample even olution. ance ratin ortions, re	pled v ly outi that sj cified RO-on ne; sy tant, s 2, for ery sy:	within e ine, the pecified I in the ly exam /stems site-spe guidan stem of stem of of 2.5 -	ach tid e "Tier d in the table n must or evo ecific s ce reg r evolu or higł	er of Tota tota blutio syste ardii ution her s	the RO Is" 25 point ns that do ms that a ng hall be
2. 3. 4. 5.	and SRO-only in each K/A ca The point total The final point based on NRC Systems/evolu not apply at th not included o the elimination Select topics f in the group be Absent a plant selected. Use Select SRO top	outlin tego for e total revis tions e fac n the e fac n the e fore efore the f	nes (ry sh each I for (sions sions sions sions sions sions as m e sele cific RO a for T	i.e., e grou grou each s. Th hin ea shou ine s ropri any s ecting prior nd Si	exception pano grou e fina ach g d be houk ate K y ste g a se g a se tity, o RO ra	d tier pan al RO proup dele d be second only t ating	r in thar r in thar o exa o ex	appli cate two) ne pro- r may m mu ident and ju ad. R nents volut klas the F ne sh:	icabli gory). opos / dev ust to tified ustifi efer 3. ions r any s hav s hav aded	e K// in Ti sed o viate viat 7 l on t ed; o to ES as po syst ring a nd Si l syst	A cate er 3 / utline by ±1 5 poi he as pera 5-401 cossib cem o an im RO-oi	egory of the e mus I fron nts a ssoci tiona ssoci tiona (le; sa ec porta nly porta	y are sam a SRO-onl at match t n that spe nd the SR ated outli achment 2 achment 2 ample even olution. ance ratin ortions, re K/A categ	pled N ly outl that si ccified RO-on ne; sy tant, s tant, s tant, s tant, s ory sy:	within e line, the pecified l in the ly exam site-spe guidan stem of stem of the stem of stem of stem of	each tie e "Tier d in the table n must or evo ecific s ce reg r evolu or high	er of Tota tota tota syste ardin tion	the RO Is" 25 point ns that do ns that a ng hall be
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	and SRO-only in each K/A ca The point total The final point based on NRC Systems/evolu not apply at th not included o the elimination Select topics f in the group be Absent a plant selected. Use Select SRO top *The generic (must be releval	outlin tego for et total revis tions e fac n the e fac n the e fac n the e fac s fac s fac s fac s fac s fac s fac s fac s fac s fac s fac s fac s fac s fac s fac s fac s fac s fac	nes (ry sh l for (sions s with illity : outh napp as m sele cific RO a for T /As in o the	i.e., e all no grou each s. Th hin ea shou ine s ropri any s ecting prior nd SI iers 1 n Tier appli	except pot be ground e fina ach g d be hould be hould be hould ate K syste g a se ity, o RO ra RO ra ach g a se ity, o ra RO ra cable	to the second se	or one than r in than r in the d tie exa o are adde taten nd e d top hose s for com th shall plutic	appli cate two neprine r may m mu ident and ju d. R nents volut ic fol k K/As the F ne shi l be s on or	icabl gory). opos / dev ust to ust to efer 3. ions r any s hav a r any s hav s hav s elec syste	e K/A ed o iate : otal 7 l on t ed; o to ES as po to ES as po to ES isyst l syst l syst l syst l syst l syst l syst l syst l syst l syst	A cate ier 3 (by ±1 5 poi he as pera S-401 ossib em o an im RO-oi tems	egory of the e mus i fron nts a ssoci tiona , Atta le; sa le; sa nly p and Secti	y are sam a SRO-onl at match i n that spe nd the SF ated outli ally import achment 2 ample eve olution. ance ratin ortions, re K/A categ	pled N ly outl hat sp ccified RO-on ne; sy tant, s 2, for ery sy: g (IR) espec pories e K/A	within e ine, the oecified I in the ly exam ystems site-spe guidan stem of of 2.5 tively. Catalo	each tid e "Tier d in the table n must or evo ecific s ce reg r evolu or high g, but	er of Tota tota tota blutio yste ardii ution her s the t	the RO Is" 25 point ns that do ns that do ns that a ng hall be opics
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	and SRO-only- in each K/A ca The point total The final point based on NRC Systems/evolu not apply at th not included o the elimination Select topics f in the group be Absent a plant selected. Use Select SRO top *The generic (must be releva On the followin ratings (IRs) for the group and than Category #1 does not a	outlin tego for e total revis tions e fac n the e of ir rom = efore the f oics f G) K/ ant to ng pa pr the tier f A2 c opply)	nes (ry sh each) for (sions s with iility : o out inapp as m cost cost cost cost cost cost cost cost	i.e., e., e., e., e., e., e., e., e., e.,	except bot be p and groud e fina ach g gld be hould be hould ate K yste g a se ity, or a l and s 1 a cable is 2 a c is 2 a c is 2 a c c is 2 a c is 2 a c is 2 a c is 2 a c i	d tier pan al RO proup dele d be a k/A si acond t 2 fro and 2 fro and 2 fro cate RO-or te pa	very one thar that that e that e that e exa e exa exa e exa exa exa exa exa exa exa exa exa exa	appli cate i two r may m mu iden i den i d	icabl gory). opos / dev ustified ustified ustified ustified efer a. ions r any s hav RO ai aded selec syste , a br d the ente ente O an	e K/A in Ti eed o viate - viat 7 i on t ed; o to ES as por syst ving a syst ving a syst ving a sited f em. - ief d o l syst viat 1 syst viat 1 syst vi syst viat 1 syst viat 1 syst viat 1	A cate ler 3 (by ±1 5 poi he as pera S-401 cossib tems an im RO-oi tems from tems escrit to bove n the co-oi	egory of the e must l from nts a ssoci tiona ssoci tiona , Atta and l socti and l Secti iptior als (# ; if fu left s	/ are sam a SRO-onl at match t in that spe nd the SF ated outli illy import achment 2 ample eve olution. ance ratin ortions, ra- K/A categ ion 2 of th n of each - \$) for eacl el handlir side of Co ams.	pled N ly outl hat sp ccifiec RO-on ne; sy tant, s 2, for ery sy: ary sy: ary sy cories pories topic, h syst topic, h syst	within e ine, the pecified I in the ly exam site-spe guidan stem of of 2.5 tively. Catalo the top em and aipmen A2 for	ach tio a "Tier d in the table n must or evo cereg r evolu or high g, but bics' in i categ t is sar Tier 2,	er of Tota tota blutio syste ardiu tion her s the f npor gory. mple Gro	the RO Is" 25 point ns that do ns

5QN Feb 2010 - RO Exam 2

Form ES-401-2

ES-401 Emergen	icy ar	nd A	bno	PV	VR E: I Pla	xamir nt Ev	nation Outline olutions - Tier 1/Group 1((RQ)/ SRO)	Form ES	-401-2
E/APE # / Name / Safety Function	К 1	к 2	к 3	A 1	A 2	G	K/A Topic(s)	IR	#
000007 (BW/E02&E10 CE/E02) Reactor Trip - Stabilization - Recovery / 1				ß			007 EA1.10	3.7	
000008 Pressurizer Vapor Space Accident / 3									
000009 Small Break LOCA / 3	R						009 EK1.01	4.2	
000011 Large Break LOCA / 3		R					OILEK2.02	2.6	
000015/17 RCP Malfunctions / 4	Ŕ						DISAK1.01	4,4	
000022 Loss of Rx Coolant Makeup / 2				R		4 4	022 AA 1.07	2.8	
000025 Loss of RHR System / 4			R				025 AK3,02	3.3	
000026 Loss of Component Cooling Water / 8							- Manage 1 /2		
000027 Pressurizer Pressure Control System Malfunction / 3				R			027 AA1.03	36	
000029 ATWS / 1		R					029 EK2.06	2.9	
000038 Steam Gen. Tube Rupture / 3					R		038 EA2.06	3.8	
000040 (BW/E05; CE/E05; W/E12) Steam Line Rupture - Excessive Heat Transfer / 4	R						040 AK1.04	3.2	
000054 (CE/E06) Loss of Main Feedwater / 4						R	054 A G 2.1.31	4.6	
000055 Station Blackout / 6									
000056 Loss of Off-site Power / 6			8				056 A K3.01	3.5	
000057 Loss of Vital AC Inst. Bus / 6					R		057 AA2.16	3,0	
000058 Loss of DC Power / 6					1.		-		
000062 Loss of Nuclear Svc Water / 4						p.	062 AGR.4.9	3.8	
000065 Loss of Instrument Air / 8			R		2 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2		065 A K3.04	3.0	
W/E04 LOCA Outside Containment / 3					\mathcal{R}		WEOHEA2.2	3.6	
W/E11 Loss of Emergency Coolant Recirc. / 4									
BW/E04; W/E05 Inadequate Heat Transfer - Loss of Secondary Heat Sink / 4		R					WEØSEKZIZ	3.9	
000077 Generator Voltage and Electric Grid Disturbances / 6						R	077 AG 2.2.44	4.2	
							-		
K/A Category Totals:	5.5	137	3	3	3	3	Group Point Total:		18/6

ES-401, RE	ev 9 RO		T16	1 PWR EXAMINATION OUTLINE	FORM ES-401-2
KA	NAME / SAFETY FUNCTION:		IR	K1 K2 K3 K4 K5 K6 A1 A2 A3 A4 G	TOPIC:
		RO	SRO		
007EA1.10	Reactor Trip - Stabilization - Recovery / 1	3.7	3.7		S/G pressure
009EK1.01	Small Break LOCA / 3	4.2	4.7		Natural circulation and cooling, including reflux boiling
011EK2.02	Large Break LOCA / 3	2.6	2.7		Pumps
015AK1.01	RCP Malfunctions / 4	4.4	4.6		Natural circulation in a nuclear reactor power plant
022AA1.07	Loss of Rx Coolant Makeup / 2	2.8	2.7		Excess letdown containment isolation valve switches and indicators
025AK3.02	Loss of RHR System / 4	3.3	3.7		Isolation of RHR low-pressure piping prior to pressure increase above specified level
027AA1.03	Pressurizer Pressure Control System Malfunction / 3	3.6	3.5		Pressure control when on a steam bubble
029EK2.06	ATWS / 1	2.9	3.1		Breakers, relays, and disconnects.
038EA2.06	Steam Gen. Tube Rupture / 3	3.8	4.4		Shutdown margins and required boron concentrations
040AK1.04	Steam Line Rupture - Excessive Heat Transfer / 4	3.2	3.6		Nil ductility temperature
054AG2.1.31	Loss of Main Feedwater / 4	4.6	4.3		Ability to locate control room switches, controls and indications and to determine that they are correctly reflecting the desired plant lineup.

ES-401, RE	ev 9 RO	T10	G1 PWR EXAMINATION OUTLINE	FORM ES-401-2
KA	NAME / SAFETY FUNCTION:	IR	K1 K2 K3 K4 K5 K6 A1 A2 A3 A4 G	TOPIC:
		RO SRO	C	
056AK3.01	Loss of Off-site Power / 6	3.5 3.9		Order and time to initiation of power for the load sequencer
057AA2.16	Loss of Vital AC Inst. Bus / 6	3 3.1		Normal and abnormal PZR level for various modes of plant operation
062AG2.4.9	Loss of Nuclear Svc Water / 4	3.8 4.2		Knowledge of low power / shutdown implications in accident (e.g. LOCA or loss of RHR) mitigation strategies.
065AK3.04	Loss of Instrument Air / 8	3 3.2		Cross-over to backup air supplies
077AG2.2.44	Generator Voltage and Electric Grid Disturbances / 6	4.2 4.4		Ability to interpret control room indications to verify the status and operation of a system, and understand how operator actions and directives affect plant and system conditions
WE04EA2.2	LOCA Outside Containment / 3	3.6 4.2		Adherence to appropriate procedures and operation within the limitations in the facility's license and amendments.
WE05EK2.2	Inadequate Heat Transfer - Loss of Secondary Heat Sink / 4	3.9 4.2		Facility's heat removal systems, including primary coolant, emergency coolant, the decay heat removal systems and relations between the proper operation of these systems to the operation of the facility.

ES-401	SQN	Feb	2010-	RO	Exam	3		
--------	-----	-----	-------	----	------	---	--	--

ES-401 Emergency and Abn	P\ orm	NR al P	Exa lant	min Evc	atio olutio	n Oi ons	utline - Tier 1/Group 2 (RO) SR O)	Form ES-	401-2
E/APE # / Name / Safety Function	К 1	к 2	К 3	A 1	A 2	G	K/A Topic(s)	IR	#
000001 Continuous Rod Withdrawal / 1									
000003 Dropped Control Rod / 1									
000005 Inoperable/Stuck Control Rod / 1									
000024 Emergency Boration / 1									
000028 Pressurizer Level Malfunction / 2		R					028 AK2,02	2.6	
000032 Loss of Source Range NI / 7		R					032 AK2.01	2.7	
000033 Loss of Intermediate Range NI / 7					R		033 AA2.12	2.5	
000036 (BW/A08) Fuel Handling Accident / 8						6	036 AG2.4.35	3.2	
000037 Steam Generator Tube Leak / 3				R	1.50		037 A A1,04	3,6	
000051 Loss of Condenser Vacuum / 4									
000059 Accidental Liquid RadWaste Rel. / 9					5.1				
000060 Accidental Gaseous Radwaste Rel. / 9									
000061 ARM System Alarms / 7									
000067 Plant Fire On-site / 8									
000068 (BW/A06) Control Room Evac. / 8			Ŕ				068 A K3.12	4.1	
000069 (W/E14) Loss of CTMT Integrity / 5									
000074 (W/E06&E07) Inad. Core Cooling / 4						- 			
000076 High Reactor Coolant Activity / 9					- - 191				
W/EO1 & E02 Rediagnosis & SI Termination / 3									
W/E13 Steam Generator Over-pressure / 4									
W/E15 Containment Flooding / 5									
W/E16 High Containment Radiation / 9						3. I.			
BW/A01 Plant Runback / 1						1875) 1870			
BW/A02&A03 Loss of NNI-X/Y / 7									
BW/A04 Turbine Trip / 4									
BW/A05 Emergency Diesel Actuation / 6									
BW/A07 Flooding / 8									
BW/E03 Inadequate Subcooling Margin / 4									
BW/E08; W/E03 LOCA Cooldown - Depress. / 4					R		WEO3 EA21	3,4	
BW/E09; CE/A13; W/E09&E10 Natural Circ. / 4				R			WEID EAL.3	3.4	
BW/E13&E14 EOP Rules and Enclosures									
CE/A11: W/E08 RCS Overcooling - PTS / 4	R						WEO8 EKI.I	3.5	
CE/A16 Excess RCS Leakage / 2									
CE/E09 Functional Recovery									
K/A Category Point Totals:		2	1	2	2		Group Point Total:	1	9/4

Form ES-401-2

ES-401, RE	R0		T10	2 PWR EXAMINATION OUTLINE	FORM ES-401-2
КА	NAME / SAFETY FUNCTION:		IR	K1 K2 K3 K4 K5 K6 A1 A2 A3 A4 G	TOPIC:
		RO	SRC)	
028AK2.02	Pressurizer Level Malfunction / 2	2.6	2.7		Sensors and detectors
032AK2.01	Loss of Source Range NI / 7	2.7	3.1		Power supplies, including proper switch positions
033AA2.12	Loss of Intermediate Range NI / 7	2.5	3.1		Maximum allowable channel disagreement
036AG2.4.35	Fuel Handling Accident / 8	3.8	4.0		Knowledge of local auxiliary operator tasks during emergency and the resultant operational effects
037AA1.04	Steam Generator Tube Leak / 3	3.6	3.9		Condensate air ejector exhaust radiation monitor and failure indicator
068AK3.12	Control Room Evac. / 8	4.1	4.5		Required sequence of actions for emergency evacuation of control room
WE03EA2.1	LOCA Cooldown - Depress. / 4	3.4	4.2		Facility conditions and selection of appropriate procedures during abnormal and emergency operations.
WE08EK1.1	RCS Overcooling - PTS / 4	3.5	3.8		Components, capacity, and function of emergency systems.
WE10EA1.3	Natural Circ. With Seam Void/ 4	3.4	3.7		Desired operating results during abnormal and emergency situations.

SQN Feb 2010 - RO Exam 4

Form ES-401-2

.

ES-401				Pla	nt S	PV yste	VR E Ims	Exar - Ti	nina er 2/	ition Grou	Outlin	RO) SRO)	Form ES	-401-2
System # / Name	к 1	К 2	к 3	К 4	К 5	к 6	A 1	A 2	A 3	A 4	G	K/A Topic(s)	IR	#
003 Reactor Coolant Pump					Ŕ							003 K5.01	33	
004 Chemical and Volume Control									R	R		004 A3.05 004 A4.13	3.9 3.3	
005 Residual Heat Removal					Ŕ							005 K5.05	2.7	
006 Emergency Core Cooling							R					006 Al. 14	3.6	
007 Pressurizer Relief/Quench Tank	R											007 K1.03	3.0	
008 Component Cooling Water							R	R				008 A 1.04, A2.03	3.1 3,0	
010 Pressurizer Pressure Control				R								010 K4,02	3.0	
012 Reactor Protection	T	Ŕ	Ī	[Ŕ					012 A1.01, K2.01	2.9	
013 Engineered Safety Features Actuation		Ķ				R		×				013 KQ.DI 013 KG.Ol	3.6 2.7	
022 Containment Cooling	R											022 KI.01	3.5	
025 Ice Condenser				R								025 K4.02	2,8	
026 Containment Spray			R			_						026 K3.02	4.2	
039 Main and Reheat Steam			[\mathcal{R}	039 62.1.7	4,4	
059 Main Feedwater			R									059 K3.02	3.6	
061 Auxiliary/Emergency Feedwater											R	06162.1.20	4.6	
062 AC Electrical Distribution				R								062 K 4.02	ā .5	
063 DC Electrical Distribution								R				063 A 2.01	2.5	
064 Emergency Diesel Generator						Ŕ				R		064 A4.12, K6.08	3.2	
073 Process Radiation Monitoring										R		073 A4.02	3.7	
076 Service Water									Ŕ			076 A3.02	3,7	
078 Instrument Air		Ŗ										078 K2.02	3.3	
103 Containment								R	<u>R</u>			103 12.04, A3.01	3.5	
K/A Category Point Totals:	2	3	2	3	2	र		3		3	2	Group Point Total:		28/5

ES-401, REV 9	REV 9 RO		T20	1 PWR EXAMINATION OUTLINE	FORM ES-401-2
KA	NAME / SAFETY FUNCTION:		IR	K1 K2 K3 K4 K5 K6 A1 A2 A3 A4 G	TOPIC:
		RO	SRC)	
003K5.01	Reactor Coolant Pump	3.3	3.9		The relationship between the RCPS flow rate and the nuclear reactor core operating parameters (quadrant power tilt, imbalance, DNB rate, local power density, difference in loop T-hot pressure)
004A3.05	Chemical and Volume Control	3.9	3.9		RCS pressure and temperature
004A4.13	Chemical and Volume Control	3.3	2.9		VCT level control and pressure control
005K5 05	Residual Heat Removal	2.7	3.1		Plant response during "solid plant": pressure change due to the relative incompressibility of water
006A1.14	Emergency Core Cooling	3.6	3.9		Reactor vessel level
007K1.03	Pressurizer Relief/Quench Tank	3.0	3.2		RCS
008A1.04	Component Cooling Water	3.1	3.2		Surge tank level
008A2.03	Component Cooling Water	3.0	3.2		High/low CCW temperature
010K4.02	Pressurizer Pressure Control	3.0	3.4		Prevention of uncovering PZR heaters
012A1.01	Reactor Protection	2.9	3.4		Trip setpoint adjustment
012K2.01	Reactor Protection	3.3	3.7		RPS channels, components and interconnections

ES-401, REV 9 RO		٦	T2G1 PWR EXAMINATION OUTLINE	FORM ES-401-2	
КА	NAME / SAFETY FUNCTION:	IR RO S	IR K1 K2 K3 K4 K5 K6 A1 A2 A3 A4 G TOPIC:		
013K2.01	Engineered Safety Features Actuation	3.6 3	3.8 SFAS/safeguards equipment of ESFAS/safeguards equipment of the second secon	ontrol	
013K6.01	Engineered Safety Features Actuation	2.7 3	3.1 Sensors and detectors		
022K1.01	Containment Cooling	3.5 3	3.7 🖌 🗌 🗌 🗌 🗌 🔲 🔲 SWS/cooling system		
025K4.02	Ice Condenser	2.8 3	3.0 System control		
026K3.02	Containment Spray	4.2 4	4.3 Recirculation spray system		
039G2.1.7	Main and Reheat Steam	4.4 4	4.7 Ability to evaluate plant perform operational judgments based or characteristics, reactor behavio interpretation.	ance and make operating and instrument	
059K3.02	Main Feedwater	3.6 3	3.7		
061G2.1.20	Auxiliary/Emergency Feedwater	4.6 4	4.6 Ability to execute procedure ste	DS.	
062K4.02	AC Electrical Distribution	2.5 2	2.7		
063A2.01	DC Electrical Distribution	2.5 3	3.2 Grounds		
064A4.12	Emergency Diesel Generator	2.7 2	2.6		

ES-401, REV 9 R		T2G1 PWR EXAMINATION OUTLINE		31 PWR EXAMINATION OUTLINE	FORM ES-401-2	
KA	NAME / SAFETY FUNCTION:	1	R	K1 K2 K3 K4 K5 K6 A1 A2 A3 A4 G	TOPIC:	
		RO	SRC)		
064K6.08	Emergency Diesel Generator	3.2	3.3		Fuel oil storage tanks	
073A4.02	Process Radiation Monitoring	3.7	3.7		Radiation monitoring system control panel	
076A3.02	Service Water	3.7	3.7		Emergency heat loads	
078K2.02	Instrument Air	3.3	3.5		Emergency air compressor	
103A2.04	Containment	3.5	3.6		Containment evacuation (including recognition of the alarm)	
103A3.01	Containment	3.9	4.2		Containment isolation	
SQN Feb 2010 - RO Exam 5

Form ES-401-2

ES-401 PWR Examination Outline Form ES-401-2 Plant Systems - Tier 2/Group 2((RO) SRO)													-401-2	
System # / Name	к 1	к 2	к 3	к 4	К 5	к 6	A 1	A 2	A 3	A 4	G	K/A Topic(s)	IR	#
001 Control Rod Drive		R										001 K2.05	3.1	
002 Reactor Coolant	<u> </u>													
011 Pressurizer Level Control	L									ļ				
014 Rod Position Indication	L									R		014 A4.01	3.3	
015 Nuclear Instrumentation								ан 1 (1) 1 (1)	R			015A3.05	2.6	
016 Non-nuclear Instrumentation					R							016 K5.01	2.7	
017 In-core Temperature Monitor								R				017 12.01	3.1	
027 Containment Iodine Removal														
028 Hydrogen Recombiner and Purge Control														
029 Containment Purge							Ŕ					029 A1.02	3.4	
033 Spent Fuel Pool Cooling											R	033 G 2.4.21	4.0	
034 Fuel Handling Equipment						R						034 K6.02	2.6	
035 Steam Generator				R				. 5 4			1. ~.i	035 K4.01	3,6	
041 Steam Dump/Turbine Bypass Control														
045 Main Turbine Generator														
055 Condenser Air Removal														
056 Condensate	R											056 K1.03	2.6	
068 Liquid Radwaste														
071 Waste Gas Disposal														
072 Area Radiation Monitoring														
075 Circulating Water														
079 Station Air														
086 Fire Protection														
												۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰		
K/A Category Point Totals:	Manual Service	1	0	1	1		1		ļ	-		Group Point Total:		10/3

ES-401, RI	ev 9 RO		T20	2 PWR EXAMINATION OUTLINE	FORM ES-401-2
KA	NAME / SAFETY FUNCTION:		IR	K1 K2 K3 K4 K5 K6 A1 A2 A3 A4 G	TOPIC:
		RO	SRC)	
001K2.05	Control Rod Drive	3.1	3.5		M/G sets
014A4.01	Rod Position Indication	3.3	3.1		Rod selection control
015A3.05	Nuclear Instrumentation	2.6	2.7		Recognition of audio output expected for a given plant condition
016K5.01	Non-nuclear Instrumentation	2.7	2.8		Separation of control and protection circuits
017A2.01	In-core Temperature Monitor	3.1	3.5		Thermocouple open and short circuits
029A1.02	Containment Purge	3.4	3.4		Radiation levels
033G2.4.21	Spent Fuel Pool Cooling	4.0	4.6		Knowledge of the parameters and logic used to assess the status of safety functions
034K6.02	Fuel Handling Equipment	2.6	3.3		Radiation monitoring systems
035K4.01	Steam Generator	3.6	3.8		S/G level control
056K1.03	Condensate	2.6	2.6		MFW

ES-401, I	ES-401, REV 9		тз	PWR EXAMINATION OUTLINE	FORM ES-401-2
KA	NAME / SAFETY FUNCT	ION:	IR	K1 K2 K3 K4 K5 K6 A1 A2 A3 A4 G	TOPIC:
		RO	SRC)	
G2.1.19	Conduct of operations	3.9	3.8		Ability to use plant computer to evaluate system or component status.
G2.1.32	Conduct of operations	3.8	4.0		Ability to explain and apply all system limits and precautions.
G2.1.40	Conduct of operations	2.8	3.9		Knowledge of refueling administrative requirements
G2.2.40	Equipment Control	3.4	4.7		Ability to apply technical specifications for a system.
G2.2.6	Equipment Control	3.0	3.6		Knowledge of the process for making changes to procedures
G2.3.12	Radiation Control	3.2	3.7		Knowledge of radiological safety principles pertaining to licensed operator duties
G2.3.5	Radiation Control	2.9	2.9		Ability to use radiation monitoring systems
G2.3.7	Radiation Control	3.5	3.6		Ability to comply with radiation work permit requirements during normal or abnormal conditions
G2.4.28	Emergency Procedures/Plans	3.2	4.1		Knowledge of procedures relating to emergency response to sabotage.
G2.4.46	Emergency Procedures/Plans	4.2	4.2		Ability to verify that the alarms are consistent with the plant conditions.

\$

ES-401 SQNI FEB 2010 - SPO EXAM

Form ES-401-2

ES-401 Emergen	cy an	d Al	onoi	PW rma	/R Ex I Plar	camir ht Eve	nation Outline olutions - Tier 1/Group 1 (ROY SRO)	Form ES	-401-2
E/APE # / Name / Safety Function	К 1	K 2	к 3	A 1	A 2	G	K/A Topic(s)	IR	#
000007 (BW/E02&E10 CE/E02) Reactor Trip - Stabilization - Recovery / 1					ş		007 EA 2.04	4.6	
000008 Pressurizer Vapor Space Accident / 3									
000009 Small Break LOCA / 3						S	009 G24.20	4.3	
000011 Large Break LOCA / 3]			5		011 EA2,04	3.4	
000015/17 RCP Malfunctions / 4									
000022 Loss of Rx Coolant Makeup / 2									
000025 Loss of RHR System / 4						(Frida) Rajan (Leffi			
000026 Loss of Component Cooling Water / 8					5		026 AA2.02	3.6	
000027 Pressurizer Pressure Control System Malfunction / 3									
000029 ATWS / 1									
000038 Steam Gen. Tube Rupture / 3									
000040 (BW/E05; CE/E05; W/E12) Steam Line Rupture - Excessive Heat Transfer / 4									
000054 (CE/E06) Loss of Main Feedwater / 4									
000055 Station Blackout / 6									
000056 Loss of Off-site Power / 6						\mathcal{G}	056 AG 2.1.19	3.8	
000057 Loss of Vital AC Inst. Bus / 6									
000058 Loss of DC Power / 6									
000062 Loss of Nuclear Svc Water / 4									
000065 Loss of Instrument Air / 8									
W/E04 LOCA Outside Containment / 3									
W/E11 Loss of Emergency Coolant Recirc. / 4									
BW/E04; W/E05 Inadequate Heat Transfer - Loss of Secondary Heat Sink / 4									
000077 Generator Voltage and Electric Grid Disturbances / 6						Ş	677 AG2.2.44	4,4	
K/A Category Totals:		Ţ	Τ			3	Group Point Total:		18/6

2

ES-401, RE	EV 9	SR	т оғ	1G1 PWR EXAMINATION OUTLINE	FORM ES-401-2			
KA	NAME / SAFETY FUNCTION:	١F	R	K1 K2 K3 K4 K5 K6 A1 A2 A3 A4 G	TOPIC:			
		RO	SRO					
007EA2.04	Reactor Trip - Stabilization - Recovery / 1	4.6	4.4		If reactor should have tripped but has not done so, manually trip the reactor and carry out actions in ATWS EOP			
009EG2.4.20	Small Break LOCA / 3	3.8	4.3		Knowledge of operational implications of EOP warnings, cautions and notes.			
011EA2.07	Large Break LOCA / 3	3.2	3.4		That equipment necessary for functioning of critical pump water seals is operable			
026AA2.02	Loss of Component Cooling Water / 8	2.9	3.6		The cause of possible CCW loss			
056AG2.1.19	Loss of Off-site Power / 6	3.9	3.8		Ability to use plant computer to evaluate system or component status.			
077AG2.2.44	Generator Voltage and Electric Grid Disturbances / 6	4.2	4.4		Ability to interpret control room indications to verify the status and operation of a system, and understand how operator actions and directives affect plant and system conditions			

ES-401 SON Feb 2010 - SRO Exam 3

Form ES-401-2

E/APE # / Name / Safety Function	ĸ	к	к	A	A	G	K/A Topic(s)	IR	#
	1	2	3	1	2				
000001 Continuous Rod Withdrawal / 1						\square			
000003 Dropped Control Rod / 1				-+			and the second		
000005 Inoperable/Stuck Control Rod / 1						3	005 AG x, 4 30	9.0	
000024 Emergency Boration / 1		,			<u>5</u>		OX4 AAN. OI	9.1	
000028 Pressurizer Level Malfunction / 2						1999 1999 1999 (19			
000032 Loss of Source Range NI / 7							and a second second second second		
000033 Loss of Intermediate Range NI / 7		********			S		033 NA2.03	5.1	
000036 (BW/A08) Fuel Handling Accident / 8					10		2019/06/00/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/		
000037 Steam Generator Tube Leak / 3									
000051 Loss of Condenser Vacuum / 4									
000059 Accidental Liquid RadWaste Rel. / 9									
000060 Accidental Gaseous Radwaste Rel. / 9									
000061 ARM System Alarms / 7									
000067 Plant Fire On-site / 8									
000068 (BW/A06) Control Room Evac. / 8									
000069 (W/E14) Loss of CTMT Integrity / 5									
000074 (W/E06&E07) Inad. Core Cooling / 4						ß	074 FG 8.2. 14	4.4	
000076 High Reactor Coolant Activity / 9									·····
W/EO1 & E02 Rediagnosis & SI Termination / 3				_					
W/E13 Steam Generator Over-pressure / 4									
W/E15 Containment Flooding / 5									
W/E16 High Containment Radiation / 9									
BW/A01 Plant Runback / 1									
BW/A02&A03 Loss of NNI-X/Y / 7									
BW/A04 Turbine Trip / 4									
BW/A05 Emergency Diesel Actuation / 6									
BW/A07 Flooding / 8									
BW/E03 Inadequate Subcooling Margin / 4									
BW/E08: W/E03 LOCA Cooldown - Depress. / 4									
BW/E09; CE/A13; W/E09&E10 Natural Circ. / 4									
BW/E13&E14 EOP Rules and Enclosures				Ī					
CE/A11; W/E08 RCS Overcooling - PTS / 4									
CE/A16 Excess RCS Leakage / 2									
CE/E09 Functional Recovery				4					
K/A Category Point Totals:		Î		ľ	2	21	Group Point Total:		9/4

~ .

ES-401, RE	EV 9	SRO	T1G2 PWR EXAMINATION OUTLINE	FORM ES-401-2
KA	NAME / SAFETY FUNCTION:	IR	K1 K2 K3 K4 K5 K6 A1 A2 A3 A4 G	TOPIC:
		RO SF	O	
005AG2.4.35	Inoperable/Stuck Control Rod / 1	3.8 4.(Knowledge of local auxiliary operator tasks during emergency and the resultant operational effects
024AA2.01	Emergency Boration / 1	3.8 4.1		Whether boron flow and/or MOVs are malfunctioning from plant conditions
033AA2.03	Loss of Intermediate Range NI / 7	2.8 3.1		Indication of blown fuse
074EG2.2.44	Inad. Core Cooling / 4	4.2 4.4	4	Ability to interpret control room indications to verify the status and operation of a system, and understand how operator actions and directives affect plant and system conditions

1	SON	Feb 2010 -	SRO Exam	4	

ES-401 PWR Examination Outline Form ES-40 Plant Systems - Tier 2/Group 1 (RO /(SRO))												-401-2		
System # / Name	к 1	к 2	к 3	K 4	к 5	к 6	A 1	A 2	A 3	A 4	G	K/A Topic(s)	IR	#
003 Reactor Coolant Pump														
004 Chemical and Volume Control														
005 Residual Heat Removal											5	005 67.240	4,7	
006 Emergency Core Cooling														
007 Pressurizer Relief/Quench Tank														
008 Component Cooling Water								an Seith						
010 Pressurizer Pressure Control														
012 Reactor Protection											S	D12 53.4.11	4.2	
013 Engineered Safety Features Actuation														
022 Containment Cooling												։ Դերուս Գերուս Ապատուն հետ է պատուն է հայտում են ված հետ հետ է հետ ու ներ հայտությունների պատուն հետ է գետ կանություննե		
025 Ice Condenser														
026 Containment Spray														
039 Main and Reheat Steam								Z				039 A2.02	2.7	
059 Main Feedwater										-				
061 Auxiliary/Emergency Feedwater														
062 AC Electrical Distribution														
063 DC Electrical Distribution								1000 1000 1000 1000						
064 Emergency Diesel Generator								Ş			 	064 N 2.16	3.12	
073 Process Radiation Monitoring								\$				073 N2.02	2.2	
076 Service Water								n Alf				ana a cur agus an tha bha bha bha bha bha bha ann an tha cur a chur an tar ann an tha ann an tha ann ann an tar		
078 Instrument Air														
103 Containment														
]]								
K/A Category Point Totals:	T	1	Ī	T	Π		1	2			2	Group Point Total:		28/

ES-401, R	EV 9	SRO 1	2G1 PWR EXAMINATION OUTLINE	FORM ES-401-2
KA	NAME / SAFETY FUNCTION:	IR	K1 K2 K3 K4 K5 K6 A1 A2 A3 A4 G	TOPIC:
		RO SRC)	
005G2.2.40	Residual Heat Removal	3.4 4.7		Ability to apply technical specifications for a system.
012G2.4.11	Reactor Protection	4.0 4.2		Knowledge of abnormal condition procedures.
039A2.02	Main and Reheat Steam	2.4 2.7		Decrease in turbine load as it relates to steam escaping from relief valves
064A2.16	Emergency Diesel Generator	3.3 3.7		Loss of offsite power during full-load testing of ED/G
073A2.02	Process Radiation Monitoring	2.7 3.2		Detector failure

.

SON	Feb	2010-	SRO	Exam	5			

ES-401 PWR Examination Outline Form ES-401-2 Plant Systems - Tier 2/Group 2 (ROT SRO)											-401-2			
System # / Name	к 1	к 2	к 3	K 4	K 5	к 6	A 1	A 2	A 3	A 4	G	K/A Topic(s)	IR	#
001 Control Rod Drive														
002 Reactor Coolant														
011 Pressurizer Level Control														
014 Rod Position Indication														
015 Nuclear Instrumentation	ļ													
016 Non-nuclear Instrumentation														
017 In-core Temperature Monitor														
027 Containment lodine Removal														
028 Hydrogen Recombiner and Purge Control							*****	Ś				02812.03	4.0	
029 Containment Purge														
033 Spent Fuel Pool Cooling														
034 Fuel Handling Equipment														
035 Steam Generator								i di Kasi						
041 Steam Dump/Turbine Bypass Control								New Second						
045 Main Turbine Generator														
055 Condenser Air Removal											β	055 Gail.20	3.2	
056 Condensate														
068 Liquid Radwaste														
071 Waste Gas Disposal														
072 Area Radiation Monitoring								111 245						
075 Circulating Water								5				075 A 2.01	32	
079 Station Air														
086 Fire Protection		\square												
K/A Category Point Totals:			T	T	1		Ī	2		ſ	1	Group Point Total:		10/3

ES-401, RI	EV 9	SRO T2G2 PWR EXAMINATION OUTLINE FORM ES-40)1-2
KA	NAME / SAFETY FUNCTION:	IR K1 K2 K3 K4 K5 K6 A1 A2 A3 A4 G TOPIC:	
		RO SRO	
028A2.03	Hydrogen Recombiner and Purge Control	3.4 4.0 The hydrogen air concentration in excess of limit flame propagation or detonation with resulting equipment damage in containment	
055G2.1.20	Condenser Air Removal	4.6 4.6 Ability to execute procedure steps.	
075A2.01	Circulating Water	3.0 3.2 Loss of intake structure	

Generic Knowledge and Abilities Outline (Tier 3)

Facility: SDA	I (SRO .	(xam) Date of Exam: T(6 2010					
Category	K/A #	Торіс		R	0	SRO	Only
				R	#	IR	#
	2.1.26	Supery procedures (herends, etc.)	N	<u>/A</u>	N/A	3.6	
1.	2.1.29	System Longyps (VIVs, HX13, Switch)				4.0	
Conduct	2.1.		ļ	<u> </u>			
of Operations	2.1.		ļ				
	2.1.		ļ				
	2.1.		<u> </u>				
	Subtotal					2	
	2.2. 🐼	surveillance proceederes	ļ			4.1	
	2.2. 17	Porcen In managing namilemence	<u> </u>	L		3.8	
2.	2.2.	Y		-			
Control	2.2.						
	2.2.		ļ				
	2.2.		ur constant			NO 20 MARY ROOMS IN	
	Subtotal					2	
	2.3. 5	Ist rad nor g sighting				2.9	
	2.3.		ļ				
3. Rediction	2.3.						
Control	2.3.						
	2.3.						
	2.3.						
	Subtotal	A				an India	
	2.4. b	Serviction based EOP metiontic strakes				4.7	
4.	2.4.	Jew representation huma conteguing				4.3	
Emergency Procedures (2.4.		ļ				
Plan	2.4.		 	-			
	2.4.			¥	ļ		
	2.4.			14	V		
	Subtotal				NA	2	
Tier 3 Point Total					10	7	7

ES-401, I	REV 9	SRO	T3 PWR EXAMINATION OUTLINE	FORM ES-401-2
KA	NAME / SAFETY FUNCTION:	IR	K1 K2 K3 K4 K5 K6 A1 A2 A3 A4 G	TOPIC:
		RO SRO	C	
G2.1.26	Conduct of operations	3.4 3.6		Knowledge of industrial safety procedures (such as rotating equipment, electrical, high temperature, high pressure, caustic, chlorine, oxygen and hydrogen).
G2.1.29	Conduct of operations	4.1 4.0		Knowledge of how to conduct system lineups, such as valves, breakers, switches, etc.
G2.2.12	Equipment Control	3.7 4.1		Knowledge of surveillance procedures.
G2.2.17	Equipment Control	2.6 3.8		Knowledge of the process for managing maintenance activities during power operations.
G2.3.5	Radiation Control	2.9 2.9		Ability to use radiation monitoring systems
G2.4.12	Emergency Procedures/Plans	4.0 4.3		Knowledge of general operating crew responsibilities during emergency operations.
G2.4.6	Emergency Procedures/Plans	3.7 4.7		Knowledge symptom based EOP mitigation strategies.

Generic Knowledge and Abilities Outline (Tier 3)

Facility: SQA	ileo S.	um) Date of Exam: Teb 2010				
Category	K/A #	Торіс	R	0	SRO	-Only
			IR	#	IR	#
	2.1. 19	its plant insputes to evaluate	3.9		N/A	NA
1.	2.1.32	Explain " apply uptim P. L's	3.6		Ì	
Conduct	2.1.40	Potrueling Admin Regits	a.E			
of Operations	2.1.		ļ			
	2.1.					
	2.1.					
	Subtotal		3		1000	
	2.2. b	Provide to making change to proch	2.0			
	2.2. 40	Apply Frick Specis	3.4			
2.	2.2.				1000	
Control	2.2.					
	2.2.					19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 1
	2.2.					
	Subtotal		2			
	2.3.5	Use radiation monitoring septence	3.9		**************************************	
	2.3. 7	- impay up teat right is had fabraiced	2,5	deskildaationeensileksi		
3. Padiation	2.3. 12	induces out early reg to that bookles process	3.Z			
Control	2.3.					1
	2.3.					
	2.3.					
	Subtotal		3			
	2.4. 28	Procedures for response to subortange	3.2			and print if the
4.	2.4.46	Virily alprand manader of plant could	4,2			
Emergency Procedures (2.4.					
Plan	2.4.					
	2.4.					
	2.4.			A	MA	_ <u>_</u>
	Subtotal		_2_			NA
Tier 3 Point Total			10	10		7

Tier / Group	Randomly Selected K/A	Reason for Rejection
1/1 (RO)	077 AG2.2.22	Chief Examiner: For an RO applicant, the Grid Instability topic does not lend itself to generic K/A for LCOs and safety limits. Randomly re-selected 077AG2.2.44 [Interpret CR indications]
2/1 (RO)	010 K6.04	Chief Examiner: K/A required impact of PRT malfunction on Pzr Pressure Controlwhich excessively overlaps with another Tier 2 Group 1 K/A 007K1.03 [physical connections/cause-effect relationship of PRT and RCS. Randomly re-selected K4.02 [Prevent Uncovering Pzr Heaters]
2/1 (RO)	022 K1.04	Chief Examiner: SQN does not use chilled water for containment cooling. Randomly re-selected K1.01 [SWS/Cooling System].
2/2 (RO)	079 K4.01	Chief Examiner: K/A required knowledge of cross-connect between Service Air and Instrument airwhich excessively overlaps with Tier 1 Group 1 K/A [loss of instrument air and cross-over to backup air supplies] at SQN. Randomly re- selected 035 K4.01 [S/G Level Control]
3 (RO)	G2.1.9	Chief Examiner: Pure generic K/A required test item related to directing personnel activities inside the control room. This K/A is not conducive to a discriminating question at the RO level. Randomly re-selected G2.1.32 [Explain/apply System P&Ls]
1/2(SRO)	074 EG2.2.36	Chief Examiner: The Inadequate Core Cooling topic does not lend itself to generic K/A topic of maintenance activities and LCO status. Randomly re-selected G2.2.44 [Interpret CR indications]
2/1(SRO)	064 A2.09	Chief Examiner: K/A required test item related to synchronization of the EDG w/ other electric power supplieswhich excessively overlaps w/ RO T2G1 064A4.12 [synchronization of EDG]. Randomly re-selected A2.16 [LOOP during full EDG load testing]
1/2(SRO)	005AG2.4.35	Licensee: Could not write a discriminating question at the SRO level (due to generic knowledge associated with local AO tasks); Chief Examiner randomly selected G2.4.46 [10-13-09]
1/1 (SRO)	056 AG2.1.19	Licensee: Could not write a question to test SRO applicant's ability to use the plant computer as it relates to LOOP, e.g., off site power sources not a "live" screen, no actions in ECA-0.0 requiring plant computer displays, etc. Chief Examiner randomly selected 056 2.1.23

Written Examination Quality Checklist

Facility:	Sequoyah 1 & 2	Date of Exam: 3/03/2010	Ex	am Level: R	OX SR	o X		
		Item Description					Initial	
						а	b*	c [#]
1.	Questions and answers	are technically accurate and applicable to the	facility.		···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	JAB	177	BH
2.	a. NRC K/As a b. Facility lear	are referenced for all questions. ning objectives are referenced as available.	······			ATT	Иł	BUL
3.	SRO questions are app	ropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES	S-401			900	NY	BU
4.	The sampling process wa from the last 2 NRC lice	s random and systematic (If more than 4 RO o ensing exams, consult the NRR OL program of	r 2 SRO quest fice).	tions were re	peated			BUL
5.	Question duplication fro the item that applies) and the audit exam wa the audit exam wa the audit exam wa the examinations w X_ the licensee certi other (explain)	om the license screening/audit exam was contr nd appears appropriate: s systematically and randomly developed; or s completed before the license exam was start were developed independently; or fies that there is no duplication; or	olled as indic ed; or	cated below	(check	bas	nt	BU
6.	Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank,	Bank	Modified	New	Deel		mai
	at least 10 percent new the actual RO / SRO-or	r, and the rest new or modified); enter hly question distribution(s) at right.	35 / 2	11/6	29 / 17	TANS	nt	TBU
7.	Between 50 and 60 per	cent of the questions on the RO exam are	Memory	,	C/A	1		
	written at the comprehe exceed 60 percent if the cognitive levels; enter the at right.	ension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may e randomly selected K/As support the higher he actual RO / SRO question distribution(s)	35 / 9		40 / 16	-foots	NF	m
8.	References/handouts p	rovided do not give away answers or aid in the	elimination of	distractors.		PASS	Nt	BN
9.	Question content confo and is appropriate for th	rms with specific K/A statements in the previou ne tier to which they are assigned; deviations a	usly approved re justified.	examination	outline	976	nt	1BN
10	Question psychometric	quality and format meet the guidelines in ES A	ppendix B.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Jos	nt	1BU
11.	The exam contains the with the value on the co	required number of one-point, multiple choice over sheet.	items; the tot	al is correct a	and agrees	Pape	Nf	BU
a. Autho b. Facilit c. NRC (d. NRC)	r y Reviewer (*) Chief Examiner (#) Regional Supervisor	Printed Name Mike Buckner Van Ford BRUNO CABALLERO MALCOLUT MDUSALA	/ Signature Bud 70 L B. Celal Millioux	llero			D _/_ 	ate 24/2010 24/10 5 <u>7</u> /10 7/10
Note:	* The facility reviewer's # Independent NRC re	s initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-d viewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examin	leveloped exal er concurrenc	minations. e required.				
		* Percentages>	BANK 4690/8	1, 14	0 .69,/24	Ŋú	NEW 38.6/0	1876

Men <u>C/A</u> 46.692/3692 <u>53.39/649</u>

Written Examination Review Worksheet

O #	1.	2.		3. Psyc	chometr	ic Flaws	5	4.	Job Con	ent Fla	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
New																Avoid using the words "should" or "could occur" The question must be tightly worded as to what is "required" and "will occur."
New																RO items preliminarily determined unacceptable: 5, 7, 8, 11, 12, 22, 23, 45, 49, 51, 54, 72 (total of twelve) >> 16% unacceptable
New																SRO items preliminarily determined unacceptable: 83, 87, 92 (total of three) >> 12% unacceptable
New																Overall unacceptable items (preliminary, RO+SRO) is 15%
New																Appears to be more higher cognitive level questions on the RO test; need to verify enough lower cognitive questions to meet NUREG criteria
New																Very little coverage of Tech Specs on the SRO exam; appears to mostly be procedure selection being tested.
New																The annunciators listed in the stem of the question seem to use a longer than necessary numerical descriptor, i.e., "1-XA-55-3B" versus "M3-B, E-1." This should be listed after the alarm window in parenthesis.

SEQUOYAH 2010-301

Instructions

[Refer to Section D of ES-401 and Appendix B for additional information regarding each of the following concepts.]

- 1. Enter the level of knowledge (LOK) of each question as either (F)undamental or (H)igher cognitive level.
- 2. Enter the level of difficulty (LOD) of each question using a 1 5 (easy difficult) rating scale (questions in the 2 4 range are acceptable).
- 3. Check the appropriate box if a psychometric flaw is identified:
 - The stem lacks sufficient focus to elicit the correct answer (e.g., unclear intent, more information is needed, or too much needless information).
 - The stem or distractors contain cues (i.e., clues, specific determiners, phrasing, length, etc).
 - The answer choices are a collection of unrelated true/false statements.
 - The distractors are not credible; single implausible distractors should be repaired, more than one is unacceptable.
 - One or more distractors is (are) partially correct (e.g., if the applicant can make unstated assumptions that are not contradicted by stem).
- 4. Check the appropriate box if a job content error is identified:
 - The question is not linked to the job requirements (i.e., the question has a valid K/A but, as written, is not operational in content).
 - The question requires the recall of knowledge that is too specific for the closed reference test mode (i.e., it is not required to be known from memory).
 - The question contains data with an unrealistic level of accuracy or inconsistent units (e.g., panel meter in percent with question in gallons).
 - The question requires reverse logic or application compared to the job requirements.
- 5. Check questions that are sampled for conformance with the approved K/A and those that are designated SRO-only (K/A and license level mismatches are unacceptable).
- 6. Enter question source: (B)ank, (M)odified, or (N)ew. Check that (M)odified questions meet criteria of ES-401 Section D.2.f.
- 7. Based on the reviewer's judgment, is the question as written (U)nsatisfactory (requiring repair or replacement), in need of (E)ditorial enhancement, or (S)atisfactory?
- 8. At a minimum, explain any "U" ratings (e.g., how the Appendix B psychometric attributes are not being met).

SEQUOYAH 2010-301

ES-401

Form ES-401-9

	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	'S	4.	Job Cont	tent Fla	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
1	н	2										x		N	E	007 EA1.10
																1. Cred Dist: "A" and "D" are not plausible because the Tavg mode cannot be operated manually. (borderline)
																2. Q=K/A: This question is supposed to target the emergency abnormal Tier 1 category. The choices seem to test the applicants' knowledge of the Tier 2 aspect (normal system operation) of the Steam Dumps. (borderline)
																Suggest the following:
																Unit 2 is starting up at 2% power with the Steam Dump Control System operating as follows:
												:				Steam Dump Mode Selector Switch (HS-1-103D): STEAM PRESS
																Steam Dump Pressure Controller (PIC-1-33): AUTO
																A spurious reactor trip occurs; however, the "B" reactor trip breaker fails to open.
																WOOTF identifies the steam generator pressure setpoint BEFORE the crew transitions to ES-0.1, Reactor Trip Response, and the required actions to manually control steam generator pressure AFTER ES-0.1 has been entered?
																A. SG pressure corresponding to Tavg of 547°F (~1005 psig) Use the lever at the bottom of the PIC-1-33 controller
																B. SG pressure corresponding to Tavg of 552°F (~1047 psig) Use the lever at the bottom of the PIC-1-33 controller
																C. SG pressure corresponding to Tavg of 547°F (~1005 psig) Use the setpoint up/down pushbuttons
																D. SG pressure corresponding to Tavg of 552°F (~ 1047 psig) Use the setpoint up/down pushbuttons

2

~	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	/S	4.	Job Con	tent Fl	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
2	H	2	x			x								В	E	 009 EK1.01 1. Cred Dist: "C" is not plausible because the applicants know that SAMG criteria is not being tested. 2. Stem Focus: The 6th bullet is not necessary to elicit the correct response. 3. Stem Focus: The 1st and 5th bullets can be combined to streamline the question. Suggest the following: <i>A small break LOCA has occurred and the RCPs have been tripped in accordance with the EOPs. The following conditions currently exist:</i> <i>SI pumps failed to start</i> <i>RCS Pressure is 1200 psig</i> <i>RCS Hot Legs and the Reactor Vessel Head have voided</i> WOOTF identifies one current method of core cooling and a note provided in ES-1.2, Post LOCA Cooldown and Depressurization? <i>A. Natural Circulation During RCS depressurization, pressurizer level indication may rapidly rise</i> <i>B. Reflux boiling During RCS depressurization, pressurizer level indication may rapidly rise</i> <i>D. Reflux boiling During RCS depressurization, pressurizer level indication may rapidly lower</i> <i>D. Reflux boiling During RCS depressurization, pressurizer level indication may rapidly lower</i>

	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	/S	4.	Job Cont	ent Fla	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	LOK (F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
3	H	2	x			x								В	E	 011 EK2.02 Cred Dist: "A" is not plausible because it states to "do nothing different that you were planning on doing." In other words, the stem already states that 63-5 is ready to be closed and "A" states to go ahead and close 63-5 with no other required actions even though an RHR Pump has just tripped. Stem Focus: The 4th bullet is not necessary to elicit the correct response. Stem Focus: The word "correct" in the stem is not necessary to elicit the correct response. Stem Focus: Whenever applicable, ensure the phrase "<i>in accordance with</i>" Is pasted after the stem question. This ensures that there is only one correct answer. In all procedure related questions, ensure that the stem question includes "<i>in accordance with</i>[procedure name]"
																See suggestion on the following row:

	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	'S	4.	Job Con	tent Fl	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
3	H	2	X			x								В	E	 Suggest the following: A large break LOCA occurred on Unit 1 and the crew is currently implementing ES-1.3, Transfer to Containment Sump. The following conditions currently exist: Both RHR Pumps are running aligned to the Containment Sump and RWST The charging pump suction from the RWST has been manually isolated The crew is ready to close 1-FCV-63-5, SI Pump Suction from RWST RHR Pump 1A-A subsequently trips WOOTF identifies the expected Charging Injection Header Flow Indication (CCPIT) and the required "response not obtained (RNO)" actions for the following continuous monitoring step in accordance with ES-1.3? "14. Monitor Both RHR Pumps Running" A. Greater than zero; Ensure 1B-B CCP and 1B-B SI Pumps are running and then place the 1A-A CCP and 1A-A SI Pumps control switches to the pull-to-lock (P-T-L) position B. Greater than zero: Close 1-FCV-63-72, Train A Containment Sump Valve C. Zero; Ensure 1B-B CCP and 1B-B SI Pumps are running and then place the 1A-A CCP and 1A-A SI Pumps control switches to the pull-to-lock (P-T-L) position D. Zero; Close 1-FCV-63-72, Train A Containment Sump Valve

Page 7 of 80

	1.	2.	3	8. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	'S	4.	Job Cont	tent Fla	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
4	н	2	×			x								в	E	015 AK1.01
																 Cred Dist: Because the choices aren't parallel; i.e., "B" is the only choice which states that forced circulation is the heat removal mechanism, this makes "B" not plausible. Stem Focus: The 3rd and 5th sub-bullet has two different fonts Suggest writing a 2-part question to test the applicants' knowledge of 1) one natural circ indication [Tcold at saturation temperature for SG pressure (vs. Thot at saturation temperature for SG pressure)] AND 2) one implication of a natural circ cooldown [emergency boration is required (vs not required)] Both of these items are fundamental knowledge.

~ "	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	'S	4.	Job Con	tent Fla	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	. 8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
5	Η	2	×	X								×		Ν	U	 022 AA1.07 1. Q=K/A: This is a tough K/A to hit because it requires a loss of reactor coolant makeup. The question (as proposed) does not involve a loss of reactor coolant makeup. It involves a loss of letdown, requiring excess letdown to be placed in service. Discuss alternatives with the licensee; Chief Examiner may need to replace the K/A. 2. Cues: Choices "A", "B", and "D" include the cue of a containment isolation signal. Suggest the following choices: A. 62-59 will automatically divert to the RCDT. 62-61 and 62-63 will NOT auto-close. B. 62-61 and 62-63 will auto-close; Seal Return Relief Valve will open to the PRT C. No automatic actions occur at this time. At 1.5 psig, 62-61 and 62-63 will auto-close; Seal Return Relief Valve will open to the PRT D. No automatic actions occur at this time. At 2.81 psig, 62-61 and 62-63 will auto-close; Seal Return Relief Valve will open to the PRT 3. Stem Focus: The 1st four bullets should all be past tense. The only bullet with present tense should be the last bullet. 4. Stem Focus: The stem question should be targeted to elicit the response from the choices provided, i.e., the stem question as proposed asks the applicants to describe how flow will be affected whereas each choice previded, i.e., the stem question sequence. The stem question can be more appropriately worded as "WOOTF predicts the status of the Excess Letdown Valves?"

Page 8 of 80

(F/H) (1-5) Stem Focus Cues T/F Cred. Dist. Partial Job- Link Minutia #/ units Back- ward Q= SRO K/A B/M/N U/E/S	Explanation
6 F 2 X X X X N E 025 6 F 2 X X X X X N E 025 1 X X X X X X X N E 025 1 X X X X X X X N E 025 1 X X X X X X X N E 025 1 X X X X X X X X N E 025 1 X<	 25 AK3.02 Job Link: Presumably the reason that the 1A-A RHR Pump trips to meet the K/A [Loss of RHR]; however, tripping of the pump is rected by AOP-R.03 when RCS pressure continues to rise. The RP for the high pressure condition requires to the operator to first tempt to adjust charging/letdown to remedy the high pressure ondition. An applicant can potentially argue that this question is not berationally relevant because the stem doesn't follow the required bions listed in the ARP and AOP; therefore, the question may be eleted from the exam. Q=K/A: Because the 1st portions of each choice are unique, the oplicants are not being tested on the "reason" (2nd) portion of the 'A statement. uggest the following: <i>mit 1 is in Mode 5 with the pressurizer solid. Train "A" is operating Shutdown Cooling. The following alarm is received:</i> CV-74-1/2 TROUBLE OR RHR PRESS HI (M6-6, E-7) the operator attempted to adjust letdown and charging; however, the farm condition did not clear and RCS pressure continued to slowly see. The operator subsequently manually tripped the 1A-A RHR ump and noted that RCS Pressure at which the operator is directed manually close FCV-1 and -2 in accordance with AOP-R.03, RHR ystem Malfunction, including the reason for this required action? A. 380 psig; prevent inventory loss B. 380 psig; prevent over pressurization of the PRT C. 450 psig; prevent inventory loss D. 450 psig; prevent over pressurization of the PRT

Page 10 of 80

	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	rs	4.	Job Cont	tent Fla	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
7	н	2				x				x				В	U	027 AA1.03
						-										1. Use the EXACT wording engraved on Pressurizer Pressure HI (LO) Alarm Window (also specified in ARP) followed by parentheses containing the shortest possible abbreviated window location designation that the applicants are familiar with. This ensures no misunderstanding as to the alarm being referenced.
																2. Cred Dist: "A" is not plausible because IF the 68-340A "sees" actual pressure rising, (HI alarm) THEN the 2 nd part (pressure will rise) is not plausible.
																3. Cred Dist: "D" is not plausible because IF the 68-340A "sees" actual pressure lowering, (LO alarm) THEN the 2 nd part (pressure will lower) is not plausible.
														:		2. #/units: Include the controller numbers, i.e., PIC-68-340A, etc. in the stem.
																Suggest the following:
																The unit is operating at 85% power and both Pressurizer Spray Valve Controllers (#ID) are in MANUAL with their output set to "0."
																WOOTF predicts the plant response if the Pressurizer Master Pressure Controller (#ID) output signal fails to 100%?
																A. PS-68-340F/G PRESSURIZER PRESS ABOVE REF SET POINT (M5-A, B-3) will alarm; Actual pressure will remain the same.
																B. PS-68-340F/G PRESSURIZER PRESS ABOVE REF SET POINT (M5-A, B-3) will alarm; Actual pressure will lower.
																C. PS-68-340G/F PRESSURIZER PRESSURE LOW BACKUP HTRS ON (M5-A, D-4) will alarm; Actual pressure will rise
																D. PS-68-340G/F PRESSURIZER PRESSURE LOW BACKUP HTRS ON (M5-A, D-4) will alarm; Actual pressure will remain the same.

—	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	s	4.	Job Con	ent Fl	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
8	Η	2									x	×		Μ	U	 029 EK2.06 Q=K/A: The question does not meet the Tier 1 aspects, i.e., doesn't test applicants' knowledge of the abnormal control room indications or emergency procedures for an ATWS as it relates to the trip breakers. The proposed question is testing the applicants' knowledge of the reactor trip breakers from a systems perspective, i.e., Tier 2. See 012, RPS, K6.03, Trip logic circuits and A2.06, Failure of RPS signal to trip the reactor. The 3'd bullet is provided to the applicants even though the K/A requires Suggest testing the applicants' knowledge of FR-S.1 required actions and the local manipulations that must be taken at the breaker cubicle(s). Backwards: The question (as written) asks the applicant to predict the impact of a failure on the plant, i.e., how an event affects the plant. The question (as written) asks the applicants to look back at an event and then predict what could have caused it.

—	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	'S	4.	Job Cont	tent FI	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.	8.
	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
9	н	2	x			x		x						N	E	038 EA2.06
																 Cred Dist: "B" is not plausible because it is the only choice that does not provide an action to take.
																Stem Focus: "B" and "C" also include "reasons" even though the stem question does not ask for a reason; therefore these two choices may not be plausible.
																3. Job-link: Step 4.d in ES-3.1 requires the operator to perform 0-SI- NUC-000-038 to determine the required RCS boron concentration required for cold shutdown. The K/A requires testing the applicants' ability to determine the boron concentration OR to interpret the required boron concentration. Ask the licensee when ROs perform this SI.
																4. Stem Focus: Re-word the stem question as follows:
																"WOOTF identifies an action that is required to be performed BEFORE a cooldown can be commenced and the reason why the cooldown should be promptly initiated at a rate near 100°F/hr in accordance with ES-3.1?"
																A. Borate the RCS > 35 gpm from the BAT; Inadvertent criticality could occur during for a slower cooldown rate
																 B. Inject Boric Acid into the Secondary side of #2 SG using AFW; longer operation of the RCPs is permitted
																C. Borate the RCS > 35 gpm from the BAT; longer operation of the RCPs is permitted
																D. Inject Boric Acid into the Secondary side of #2 SG using AFW; Inadvertent criticality could occur during for a slower cooldown rate

_	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	s	4.	Job Cont	ent Fla	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
10	F	1				x	x					x		В	Е	040 AK1.04
																1. Q=K/A: The choices are testing Generic Fundamentals Knowledge; i.e., the plant specific portion of the question (Left of Limit A on Curve 2) is not necessary to choose the correct answer because all the answers have a "bad" thing occurring. The question should test a <u>plant specific</u> knowledge.
																2. Partial: An applicant can also argue "C" as correct. Whenever applicable, ensure the phrase " <i>in accordance with</i> " Is pasted after the stem question. This ensures that there is only one correct answer. In all procedure related questions, ensure that the stem question includes " <i>in accordance with …[procedure name]</i> "
																 Cred Dist: "A" is not plausible because of the word "fatigue" (i.e., "cyclic") since this does not occur during a rapid cool down. (GFES knowledge).
				- - - - -												 Cred Dist: "D" is not plausible because it is the only choice that contains a "reason." This choice is not psychometrically balanced with the other choices.
																Suggest the following:
																A steam line rupture has occurred on Unit 2.
																WOOTF points indicates a Pressurized Thermal Shock condition and identifies how this condition affects the reactor vessel in accordance with FR-P.1, Pressurized Thermal Shock, and EPM-3-FR-P1, Basis Document for FR-P.1?
																A. To the LEFT of Limit A on Curve 2; an existing flaw could propagate
																B. To the RIGHT of Limit A on Curve 2; new flaw can develop
																C. To the LEFT of Limit B on Curve 2; an existing flaw could propagate
																D. To the RIGHT of Limit B on Curve 2; new flaw can develop
																Alternatively, test the applicants' knowledge of mitigation activities associated with a faulted SG in FR-P.1

	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	/s	4.	Job Con	ent Fla	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
11	Н	2	x	×		Dist.		x		units	ward	x x	Only	Μ	U	 054 AG2.1.31 1. Job-Link: This question will be targeted to ONLY Unit 1 because DCS Modification D22239A replaces the SGWLC System Main Control Room components on Unit 2. The NRC Operator Licenses will be issued on both units, contingent on the applicants' successful completion of their training and evaluation for this modification. 2. Q=K/A: The generic K/A requires testing the applicants' knowledge of the control room switches, controls, and indications. The question (as proposed) tests the applicants' knowledge of the required position (closed) following a FWI signal. This generic K/A as applied to the stem question would require testing the applicants' knowledge for <u>the expected indications on the 1-LIC-3-35, -48, -90, -103 controllers</u> following a loss of main feed water. In other words, the question should test the applicants' knowledge of what the controller looks like following a FWI signal. Suggest providing a picture of the controller and test the applicants knowledge of the expected indications such as AUTO/MAN lamps, bar graph indication, and/or set point line indicator.
																 Cue. The 5 build in the stem cues the applicant that an isolation signal has occurred. Suggest providing an actual FWI signal in the stem, i.e., Hi-Hi SG level, SI, or Rx Trip/Lo Tavg. Stem Focus: Re-word the stem question and eliminate the words "would be" in each choice. "WOOTF predicts the position of the MFW Byp Valves and the expected SG level trend?" A. closed; rising B. open: loweringetc.

	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	rs	4.	Job Cont	tent Fl	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
12	H	3	×	×								×		В	U	 056 AK3.01 1. Cue: The 2nd portion of the stem is a cue because of the words "following the SI signal.?" This phrase cues the applicants that choices "A" and "B" are incorrect because they occur after the shutdown board voltage is restored. This phrase is not needed to elicit the correct response. 2. Q=K/A: The K/A requires testing the applicants' knowledge of the <i>reasons</i> for the order/time sequencing. The question (as proposed) does not test the applicants' knowledge of the reasons. 2. Stem Focus: The words "due to blackout" are redundant to all four choices and are not needed to elicit the correct response. 3. Stem Focus: The words "Assuming all equipment operates as designed" is not needed to elicit the correct response. 4. Stem Focus: The LOCA event can be concisely worded (at time 13.5 seconds) as "Valid Safety Injection (SI) Signal"

Page	16	of	80	
i ugo	10	01	00	

13 F	H	2	Stem Focus x	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job-	Minutia							
13 ⊦	н	2	x			1	and the second se	LINK	winnutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
										x	ward	K/A	Only	В	E	057 AA2.16 1. #/units: The choices should reflect the controller numbers in the main control room (instead of the flow control valve (FCV) designations); i.e., HIC-62-93A and HIC-62-89A. 2. Stem Focus: Whenever applicable, ensure the phrase " <i>in</i> <i>accordance with</i> " Is pasted after the stem question. This ensures that there is only one correct answer. In all procedure related questions, ensure that the stem question includes " <i>in accordance</i> <i>with[procedure name]</i> " Suggest the following: WOOTF controllers is required to be placed in MANUAL (will no longer function in AUTOMATIC), including the required action in accordance with AOP-P-0.3, Loss of Unit 1 Vital Instrument Power Board? HIC-62-93A. Charging Flow Control
14																 HIC-62-89A, Charging Flow Control HIC-62-89A, Charging Seal Water Flow Control A. HIC-62-93A; control pressurizer level at or trending to 25%. B. HIC-62-93A; control pressurizer level at or trending to 60% C. HIC-62-89A; control seal injection between 6 – 11 gpm D. HIC-62-89A; control seal injection between 3 – 4 gpm Note: The power loss involves essentially the same board as Q#20 and Q#38.

Page 1	17	of	80
--------	----	----	----

0.4	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	rs	4.	Job Cont	tent FI	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	LOK (F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
15	F	2					x					x		Ν	E	065 AK3.04 1. Q=K/A: The 2 nd part of the question (reason for the actuation) is not being tested because each of the 1 st parts is unique. In other
																 words, an applicant only needs to know the actuation set point and he/she can get the correct answer without knowing the reason. Partial: An applicant can potentially argue that there is no correct answer because the "reason" listed in Choice "A" does not exactly match the lesson plan. Whenever applicable, ensure the phrase "in accordance with" Is pasted after the stem question. This ensures that there is only one correct answer. In all procedure related questions, ensure that the stem question includes "in accordance with[procedure name]".
																The reason listed in OPT200.CSA for why the 0-FCV-32-85 isolates was" to isolate the non-essential portion from essential air portion during a degraded non-essential air pressure below 69 psig." The lesson plan is normally not referenced in NRC test questions. Is there an FSAR section that identifies the reason for the isolation? If not, then the exact wording from the lesson plan must be used.
16	F	2		x		х								Ν	E	077 AG2.2.44 1. Cues: The proposed question does not test the applicants ability to interpret control room indications because the stem provides cues such as "the generator voltage regulator is taken to manual" and "WOOTF identifies the max generator voltage allowed" These items cue the applicant as to what the alarm [GEN EXCITER FIELD OVERCURRENT] means. Suggest keeping the alarm, but providing the applicant with pictures
																Suggest keeping the alarmbut providing the applicant with pictures of control board meter indications for VARS and MW and then testing the applicants' ability to determine whether these indications exceed GOI-6 limits and the required operator actions, including any new limits when regulator is in Manual.
																 Cred Dist: "C" and "D" are not plausible because it is never wrong to notify the SELD. Ensure no overlap w/ SRO Q#81

0,4	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	'S	4.	aws	5. Q	5. Other		7.	8.		
(F/H	(F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
17	F	2	x											В	E	 WE04 EA2.2 1.Stem Focus: The first 3 bullets can be steamlined or eliminated as follows: Following a reactor trip, abnormal radiation was noted in the Aux Building due to a loss of RCS inventory outside containment. WOOTF identifies a required action and the subsequent check used to determine whether or not the leak is isolated in accordance with ECA-1.2, LOCA Outside Containment?
18	F	2	×											Μ	Ε	 WE05 EK2.2 Stem Focus: The word "Intact" is provided in all 4 choices; therefore it is not necessary to elicit the correct response. Stem Focus: The order of the two parts of the stem question is confusing because they don't flow logically, i.e., one would first determine which SG to use and THEN determine what feed water source was available. Suggest the following: WOOTF identifies the preference for restoring a SG as a heat sink and the order in which the feed water sources are attempted in accordance with FR-H.1, Loss of Secondary Heat Sink? Feed a ruptured SG before feeding a faulted SG TDAFW, MFW, Condensate, MDAFW using ERCW Feed a faulted SG before feeding a ruptured SG TDAFW, MFW, Condensate Feed a faulted SG before feeding a ruptured SG mDAFW, MFW, Condensate, MDAFW using ERCW Feed a faulted SG before feeding a ruptured SG mDAFW, MFW, Condensate, MDAFW using ERCW Feed a faulted SG before feeding a ruptured SG mDAFW, MFW, Condensate, MDAFW using ERCW Feed a faulted SG before feeding a ruptured SG mDAFW, MFW, Condensate, MDAFW using ERCW Feed a faulted SG before feeding a ruptured SG mDAFW, MFW, Condensate, MDAFW using ERCW

~	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	s	4.	Job Cont	ent Fla	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
19	Η	3						x						В	S	028 AK2.02 1. Job-Link: Discuss the simulator validation times (i.e., the times until a reactor trip occurs for each of the four transmitter failures) with the licensee. Consider listing these times in the distractor analysis for each level transmitter failure.
20	F	2				x		×				x		В	E	032 AK2.01 1. Cred Dist: "B" is not plausible because the stem states that N-32 has failed; therefore, placing the audio CR selector switch to a broken channel does not make sense.
																2. Job-Link: Did M-4B, A-2 also alarm? [SOURCE/INTERMED RANGE CH II TROUBLE]. If so, then provide this alarm (and all associated alarms) in the stem. This is needed in order for the applicant to diagnose the problem.
			_													3. Q=K/A: How is the proposed question testing the applicants' knowledge of power supplies? Suggest failing a vital instrument board and provide ALL associated alarms in the stem and then test applicants' knowledge of required switch positions to recover a SRM feature.
					-											Note: The power loss involves essentially the same board as Q#13 and Q#38.

	2# 1. LOK (F/H)	2. LOD (1-5)	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	rs	4. Job Content Flaws				5. Other		6.	7.	8.
. Q#			Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
21	Т	2	×					x		x				Ν	E	033 AA2.12 1. #/units: The readings provided in the stem do not match the units provided on the M-4 indicators for the N-35 and N-36 meters [1-XI- 92-5003A and -5004A]. The meters read out in powers of 10; therefore, the stem should provide the same units as the applicants' would encounter on panel M-4. For example, at 0800, the reading would be 10 ¹ (instead of 10%). Also, the meter numbers should also be provided in the stem so that the applicant knows these readings were obtained at panel M-4.
																2. Job Link: Discuss required actions if the indicators at Panel M-4 exceed the max allowable deviation at the same time that the IR drawer indications are correct. Is there an LCO? TRM? (just for the M-4 indicators?) Note 27 (in 1-SI-OPS-000-002.0) states that "readings on both channels will provide evidence that the instruments are operable." Is this all that's required for operability? Are both indicators inoperable or just one?
																 Stem Focus: The words control board readings in the stem question should exactly match the words in 1-SI-OPS-000-002.0, Note 27, i.e., "control board indicators" Beference Provided: Ack the licensee how much of 1 SI OPS
																000-002.0 is being provided to the applicants. All pages? Only one page?
																4. Stem Focus:
																WOOTF identifies the correct status of the M-4 meter indications for N-35 and N-36, in accordance with 1-SI-OPS-000-002.0, Shift Log?
																A. 5003A and 5004A are both operable
															:	B. 5003A and 5004A are BOTH inoperable
																C. ONLY 5003A is inoperable; 5004A remains operable
								1								D. ONLY 5004A is inoperable; 5003A remains operable
22	н	2				x								N	υ	036 AG2.4.35
																1. Cred Dist: "A" and "B" are not plausible because with any "leak" in progress, one always closes or isolates components instead of opening them. Suggest testing the RO applicants' knowledge of the hand wheel location for the 78-610 wafer valve and how this will affect the lowering cavity level (in the event of a reactor cavity seal leak.)

*
	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	's	4.	Job Con	tent Fl	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
23	Н	1										X		N	U	 037 AA1.04 1. Q=K/A: In the context of a SGTL, the K/A should be testing the applicants' ability to monitor the alarm limits for CVE radiation monitors, including after chemistry has calculated 90-119 or 90-99 alarm limits for primary to secondary leakage. The question (as proposed) renders "B" and "D" as not plausible because the stem states that 90-99 went "offscale high" with no change in PZR level or Charging flow. The K/A statement (failure indicator) may be referring to a warning light on the 90-99 -119 drawer; however, in the context of a SGTL, this phrase is most likely referring to a <i>tube</i> failure indicator based on alarm limits for CVE radiation monitors. 2. Cred Dist: "C" is not plausible because IF the CVP discharge filter was plugged, the rad monitor would not rapidly rise and go offscale high. 3. LOD=1: This question will not provide any discriminatory value on the exam because of Items #1 and #2 above.
24	F	2						×						Ν	E	 068 AK3.12 The sequence being tested must be an actual sequence specified in the AOP-C.04. Also, testing the applicants' knowledge of which step is listed before another may result in appeals from the applicants because the sequence on the printed page may not have a basis. 1. Job-Link: "A" is not operationally valid because the procedure doesn't specify (<i>require</i>) that the reactor vessel head vent fuses are pulled before the MSIV bypass valve fuses, even though these steps are listed in this order. This sequence requirement does not exist. 2. Job-Link: "D" is not operationally valid because the procedure does not require normal letdown in service (only excess letdown). This AOP action does not exist. Some alternative items to test include: 1) There is a requirement to place only one CCP in P-T-L <u>before</u> leaving the control room. 2) There is a requirement to trip 0-HS-13-204 and -205 <u>before</u> leaving the control room. 3) There is a time requirement to pull fuses within <u>30 minutes</u>. Any of these items are valid "sequences" specified in the AOP, and lend themselves to testing applicants' knowledge of the reasons for the actions.

Page	22	of	80	
, ago	A	~	00	

_	1.	2.	3	3. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	ıs	4.	Job Conf	tent Fl	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
25	Η	2					x					×		N	E	 WE03 EA2.1 Q=K/A: This question (as proposed) tests the applicants' knowledge of the same prerequisites for shutdown cooling as 0-SO-74-1, i.e., RCS pressure < 380 psig and RCS temperature < 350 deg F AND the max (allowed) cooldown rate (100 deg/hr). Because this is a Tier 1 K/A (emergency/abnormal), then the item should not <i>only</i> test normal prerequisites required for shutdown cooling. Partial: "C" can also be argued as correct because the containment pressure (following the LOCA) is not specified in the stem. Therefore, an applicant can reasonably assume that adverse containment values are required in ES-1.2, which do not meet the req'ts of less than 300 psig RCS Pressure in Step 37. Suggest including containment pressure in the stem, which requires applicants' to know the difference between normal shutdown cooling prerequisites and LOCA shutdown cooling prerequisites. (which are different based on adverse containment conditions). The selection of adverse containment of facility conditions and selection of procedures. Alternatively, test another knowledge item in ES-1.2.

Page 23 of 80

	1.	2.	3	8. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	'S	4.	Job Cont	ent Fla	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
26	Н	2	X			X					X			Ν	Ε	 WE08 EK1.01 Stem Focus: The 1st sub-bullet should be Tcold (vs RCS temperature) Cred Dist: "A" is not plausible because the flowpath is not provided like "C" and "D." Backward Logic: All of the plant conditions should normally be provided in the stem and then the applicant should be tested based on those initial conditions. The question (as proposed) relies on providing an "additional" condition in each of the four choices. This may be acceptable depending on the final outcome of the question. Suggest the following: WOOTF identifies an additional condition that is the largest contributor to the pressurized thermal shock, including the required action to mitigate in accordance with FR-P.1? (Consider each condition separately) Total AFW flow to the intact SGs is 300 gpm; Raise AFW flow to greater than 440 gpm Total AFW flow to the intact SGs is 300 gpm; Minimize AFW flow to no greater than 50 gpm per SG One CCP is injecting via the CCPIT; Reset SI and isolate the CCPIT

	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	/s	4.	Job Con	tent Fl	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	LOK (F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
27	Н	2	×											В	S	 WE10 EA1.3 Note to Examiner: The operator should be aware that the pressurizer will not respond in the normal manner if a void is present. If letdown is greater than charging, the pressurizer pressure will decrease, the vessel void will grow and the pressurizer level will increase. In the same way when charging is greater than letdown, the pressurizer pressure will increase, the vessel void will shrink, and the level will decrease. Stem Focus: Modify the 3rd bullet to state "A depressurization is being performed and PZR pressure is 785 psig and being lowered by auxiliary spray." Stem Focus: Modify the stem question as follows: "Assuming NO additional operator action, WOOTF predicts the expected RVLIS and PZR Level trends as the depressurization continues? Stem Focus: Modify the title of the 2nd column to "PZR Level"

Page	25	of	80	
------	----	----	----	--

	1.	2.	з	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	/S	4.	Job Con	tent Fla	aws	5. C	Other	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
28	F	2	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link X	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	E	Explanation 003 K5.01 1. Licensee provided Farley 2009 exam question 2. Job-Link: The operational implication (limit is exceeded) may be a result of the low flow; however, the effect on plant operations is that, if not already tripped, the unit must exit Mode 1 as a result of the DNB parameter. Suggest the following: WOOTF completes both statements in accordance with Tech Spec 3.4.1, Reactor Coolant Loops and Coolant Recirculation? The plant is designed to operate with all reactor coolant loops in operation, which maintains above the safety analysis limit during all normal operations and anticipated transients. With one reactor coolant loop not in operation, the plant is required to be within 1 hour.
																 A. QPTR; less than or equal to 5% B. QPTR: in Hot Standby C. DNB; less than or equal to 5% DNB; in Hot Standby

0.1	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	'S	4.	Job Con	tent Fl	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
29	н	2	x			x						x		в	Е	004 A3.05
																1. Cred Dist: "C" is not plausible because of the word AUTO; i.e., the crew normally never receives an AUTO makeup. IF an AUTO makeup occurred, then there is most likely a leak, and this is not something intended to be introduced to the question.
																2. Stem Focus: The stem does not include the status of the RCS pressure control parameters, i.e., spray valve position, control heaters, etc. This can contribute to an applicant arguing no correct answer or the another answer is correct. Provide RCS pressure value and status of the spray valves and controlling heaters.
																 Stem Focus: The word "could" in the stem question is vague and can lead to partially correct answers. (Depends on the meaning of the word "could"). Re-word the stem question as:
																"WOOTF conditions will result in these conditions?"
																 Q=K/A: What automatic function of the CVCS system is being monitored? Discuss K/A match w/ licensee. May be acceptable.
30	н	2	x	х										В	Е	004 A4.13
																 Cue: The ONLY choice with an operator manual answer is also the correct choice, i.e., "C". Consider including what would happen if the operator did not intervene, i.e., VCT level would continue to lower until charging pumps lose suction.
																2. Stem Focus: WOOTF predicts the plant response assuming no operator action?
																3. Stem Focus: Follow the alarm window with parentheses containing the shortest possible abbreviated window location designation that the applicants are familiar with; i.e., M-6C, A-3.
31	н	2	x											В	E	005 K5.05
																1. Verify no overlap with RO Q#6
																2. Stem Focus: Combine the 4 th bullet with the stem question as follows:
																"WOOTF choices completes the following choices IF the 1A-A RHR Pump subsequently trips?"
																The RCS pressure will initially because 1-PCV-62-81 will automatically throttle when the pump trips

	1.	2.	З	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	s	4.	Job Cont	tent Fla	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	LOK (F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
32	Н	2					x							В	S	006 A1.14 1. Partial: Ask the licensee why an applicant couldn't successfully argue that "C" is also correct in the strictest sense of the wording, i.e., uncovery will eventually happen and raising ECCS flow is always a good thing.
33	н	2					x							В	E	007 K1.03 1. Partial: "D" can be argued as correct since the normal flowpath is " <i>isolated</i> ."
34	F	2	x											N	E	008 A1.04 1. Stem Focus: add <i>"in accordance with 0-SO-70-1, Component Cooling Water System "B" Train?"</i> to the stem question.

	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	'S	4.	Job Coni	tent Fl	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	LOK (F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
35	F	2	х					x						N	E	008 A2.03
																1. Job-Link: The alarm procedure states device 1-TS- 70 -157 triggers the RHR HX A OUTLET TEMP HI alarm at 115 def F and rising. Is this temperature switch monitoring <i>CCS temperature</i> or <i>RHR (primary) temperature</i> ?
																Discuss w/ the licensee, because the wording of the fill-in-the-blank statement must align with the wording in the alarm response procedure. In other words, the guidance listed in the alarm response procedure tries to adjust temperature of RHR water (not CCS water).
																 Stem Focus: Re-word the 2nd bullet as follows: RHR HX A OUTLET TEMPERATURE HIGH (M27-B-A, E-6) in alarm
								-								3. Stem Focus: The 3 rd bullet has two different fonts
																Stem Focus: Split the fill-in-the-blank statement into two sentences as follows:
																WOOTF choices completes both statement in accordance with the annunciator procedure?
																"It is to exceed the alarm setpoint when Shutdown Cooling is in service."
																"In order to maintain the RHR heat exchanger outlet temperature less than 145 deg F,"
																A. allowed; Throttle open 1-FCV-70-156 and/or Lower RHR Flow through the heat exchanger by throttling open 1-FCV-74-32. Throttling closed 1-FCV-74-16 is NOT permitted.
																B. allowed; Throttle open 1-FCV-70-156 OR Lower RHR Flow through the heat exchanger by throttling open 1-FCV-74-32 and/or throttling open 1-FCV-74-16.
																 NOT allowed; Throttle open 1-FCV-70-156 and/or Lower RHR Flow through the heat exchanger by throttling open 1-FCV-74- 32. Throttling closed 1-FCV-74-16 is NOT permitted.
																D. NOT allowed; Throttle open 1-FCV-70-156 OR Lower RHR Flow through the heat exchanger by throttling open 1-FCV-74-32 and/or throttling open 1-FCV-74-16.

0 #	1.	2.	3	. Psycl	homet	ric Flaw	s	4.	Job Cont	ent Fla	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
36	Н	2	x			x								В	E	010 K4.02 1. Stem Focus: The 1 st bullet provides a value of reactor pressure (2235 psig), which conflicts with the 3 rd bullet which states "currently." The 1 st bullet should become the 1 st sentence (no need for Given the following phrase); i.e., <i>Unit 1 was in Mode 3, Tavg 547 deg F, PZR pressure 2235 psig.</i> <i>A malfunction subsequently occurred which caused PZR pressure to</i> <i>drop to 2050 psig and PZR level to drop to 15%. Currently, PZR</i> <i>pressure is 2100 psig and PZR level is 30%.</i> <i>Assuming no operator action, WOOTF predicts the current status of</i>
																the PZR heaters? 2. Cred Dist: "D" is not plausible because it does not mention Backup Heater Bank "C." What is the proposed status of "C" Backup Heater Bank? On or off?
37	Η	2	X											В	E	 012 A1.01 1. Stem Focus: To be more precise (and avoid confusion) use the words "trip setpoint value" (instead of "setpoint"). 2. Stem Focus: Modify the stem question to ask: "WOOTF identifies how the actual RPS trip setpoint value will change if Tavg decreases by 1 deg F? Assume AFD remains constant. A. Both OTΔT and OPΔT trip setpoint values will decrease. B. OTΔT trip setpoint value will increase and OPΔT trip setpoint value will decrease. C. OTΔT trip setpoint value will increase and OPΔT trip setpoint value will remain the same. D. OTΔT trip setpoint value will remain the same and OPΔT trip setpoint value will decrease.

<u> </u>	1.	2.	3	. Psycl	homet	ric Flaw	s	4.	Job Cont	tent Fla	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
38	н	2	x								x			В	E	 012 K2.01 1. This question overlaps with Q#13 (U1) and Q# 20 (U2)T because this question also involves essentially the same Vital Instrument Board. Discuss w/ licensee. 2. This question overlaps with Q#84 because it involves essentially the same knowledge associated with how the high flux reactor trip output feature is affected by a loss of control power. Discuss w/ licensee. 3. Backwards Logic: The question presents a startup condition with a loss of VIPB 1-II and then requires the applicants' to go back in time and predict how different switch positions would have affected a reactor trip. 3. Stem Focus: Suggest the following A reactor startup is in progress on Unit 1 with reactor power at 5 x10⁶ % on the Intermediate Range. WOOTF predicts whether a reactor trip will occur for the following Source Range Monitor N-32 Trip Bypass Switch positions if 120VAC Instrument Power Board 1-II subsequently de-energizes? IF Switch was in "NORMAL"
39	Н	2	x	x										В	E	 013 K2.01 1. Cue: The 1st bullet provides a cue to the applicants that a reactor trip will occur following a loss of VIPB 1-I. IF this is the case (Main Feedwater Pumps go to minimum??), THEN the applicant should be able to deduce a reactor trip occurred without the information in this bullet. How does the loss of VIPB1-I cause a reactor trip? 2. Stem Focus: WOOTF predicts how SSPS and ECCS will respond? 3. Stem Focus: Re-word the choices to eliminate the word "would" and replace with "will."

~	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	s	4.	Job Con	tent Fla	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.
J#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
40	н	2	x			х								N	Е	013 K6.01
																1. Cred Dist: The first part of choice "B" should be the same as "A" to make the question psychometrically balanced. Pick whichever Train A(B) is most plausible and change both choices so that the 1 st portion matches.
																2. Stem Focus: "WOOTF identifies the availability of the swapover logic and the required actions in accordance with Tech Spec 3.3.2.1, Engineered Safety Feature Actuation System Instrumentation?
																Swapover Logic Status Required Action
																 Stem Focus: Make the 2nd part of each choice mirror the words in Action 18 as closely as possible, i.e., "Place the 1-LI-63-50 channel in the BYPASSED condition" or "Place the 1-LI-63-50 channel in the TRIPPED condition"
																 Verify w/ the licensee's Operations Management that the 2nd part of the question is fair for an RO applicant.
41	н	1	x									x		в	Е	022 K1.01
																 LOD=1: This question will not discriminate on the exam because without cooling water, any cooler won't work.
																2. Q=K/A: The K/A match is interesting; however, it is not the normal way to hit the K/A. For example, the applicants' could be tested on their knowledge of how the loss of one train of ERCW would affect the containment cooling system, etc. Testing the applicants' knowledge of whether or not a Tech Spec LCO is met is a different knowledge than a K1 systems knowledge.
																Stem Focus: For choices "C" and "D", identify which valves are isolated by name and #.

Page 31 of 80

	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	/S	4.	Job Cont	tent Fla	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	LOK (F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
42	F	2	×											В	E	 025 K4.02 1. Stem Focus: Suggest the following: WOOTF describes how the lce Condenser Glycol Containment Isolation Valves prevent over pressurization of the piping that penetrates containment when glycol trapped between the valves expands? A. The penetration's INSIDE containment isolation valve disks are modified with a drilled hole. B. The penetration's OUTSIDE containment isolation valve disks are modified with a drilled hole. C. The penetration's INSIDE containment isolation valve has a bypass line and check valve arrangement D. The penetration's OUTSIDE containment isolation valve has a bypass line and check valve arrangement.

	1.	2.	3	3. Psyc	homet	tric Flaw	vs	4.	Job Con	tent Fl	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	LOK (F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
43	H	2	x							x	ward	X	Uniy	M	E	 026 K3.02 1. Stem Focus: Why is the unit only at 10% power initially? 2. Stem Focus: The 2nd bullet indicates that 1A-A started, does this mean <i>automatically</i> started? 3. #/units: The exact noun name for 72-39 should be used. 4. Q=K/A: The question (as proposed) tests the applicants knowledge of when RHR Recirc Spray is removed from service; the K/A requires testing the effects of a loss of CS on RHR Recirc Spray. Suggest the following: WOOTF identifies the minimum required Containment Spray flow rate (per train) and one of the required prerequisite conditions for establishing RHR Recirculation Spray Operation in accordance with FR-Z.1, High Containment Pressure?
																 A. At least 5000 gpm; At least 1 hour has elapsed since the beginning of the accident B. At least 4750 gpm; Containment pressure at least 10 psig C. At least 5000 gpm; Containment pressure at least 10 psig D. At least 4750 gpm; At least 1 hour has elapsed since the beginning of the accident.

~	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	s	4.	Job Cont	ent Fla	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
44	Н	2	x											Μ	E	 039 G2.1.7 1. Stem Focus: In the 1st part of the stem question, re-define "largest reactivity excursion" [to better match the K/A] by providing the plant specific indication that indicates a large reactivity excursion is occurring; i.e., "most rod movement" (if in AUTO) or "Tavg/Tref deviation." 2. Stem Focus: In the 2nd part of the stem question, re-word to eliminate the word "would", i.e., WOOTF identifies both 2) a condition which requires a manual reactor trip in accordance with AOP-S.05? 3. Stem Focus: For choices "A" and "C", re-define "steam leak in excess of 3% power [to better match the K/A] by providing the statement "Final Reactor power is 65%" 4. Does current Core Operating Limits Report reflect moderator temperature coefficient much more negative at EOL? (Reference
45	н	2												Ν	U	 material not provided.) Verify current core on both units. 059 K3.02 1. Q=K/A: The correct choice can be determined solely by knowing the MDAFW pump power supply and the AFW flow paths. Because each choice already involves AFW feeding, the applicant is NOT being tested on how AFW is affected by a loss of MFW. Discuss w/ licensee.
46	н	2	x											В	Е	 061 G2.1.20 1. Stem Focus: The 1st and 2nd bullets can be combined. "Unit 1 was initially operating at 100% power and a reactor trip occurred." (not necessary to specify E-0 in this bullet) 2. Stem Focus: "WOOTF identifies the EARLIEST time that AFW can be reset and throttled to less than 440 gpm in accordance with E-0, Reactor Trip or Safety Injection?"

	1.	2.	3	3. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	/S	4.	Job Con	tent Fl	aws	5. C	other	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
47	F	2	x											м	E	062 K4.02
																1. Stem Focus: Is it 300 seconds from the time that voltage dipped below 6456v or 300 seconds from the time that the alarms were received? The reference material (OPT200.DG, page 44 of 83) implies that the breaker will trip 300 seconds from the time that the 2/3 relays "see" 6456v. If this is the case, then the choices are not defined well enough. Suggest modifying the question to test applicants knowledge of when the clock starts for the 300 seconds, including the setpoint for sustained degraded voltage.
																 Stem Focus: The 2nd and 3rd bullets are somewhat redundant, except for the 30 second delay. Is it necessary to include both bullets?
																3. IF alarms provided, then put (M26-B, C-7) after the name of the alarm window.
																Stem Focus: Eliminate the word "would" in the stem question and replace with "will."
																5. Higher or Lower Cog question? Seems lower cog. Discuss w/ licensee.
																Unit 1 & 2 are operating at 100% power.
																WOOTF identifies the <u>degraded v</u> oltage set point that will automatically trip open the 1B-B 6.9KV Shutdown Board Normal Feeder Breaker?
															-	A. Voltage remains at 93.5% of nominal voltage for at least 30 seconds
																B. Voltage remains at 80% of nominal voltage for at least 30 seconds
																C. Voltage remains at 93.5% of nominal voltage for at least 5 minutes
																D. Voltage remains at 80% of nominal voltage for at least 5 minutes

Q#

48

49

1.	2.	3	. Psycl	nomet	ric Flaw	s	4.	Job Coni	ent Fla	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.	8.
LOK (F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
н	3	x									8.000		В	E	063 A2.01
															1. Stem Focus: Choices "A" and "C" are not psychometrically balanced with "B" and "D"; i.e., A and C should have the same 1 st portion instead of undervoltage and overvoltage, respectively.
							:								Stem Focus: The word "correct" (in the stem question) is not necessary to elicit the correct response.
															3. The 2^{nd} bullet should have parenthesis after the words with (M1-C, A-5)
															Unit 1 is operating at 100% power and the following alarm is received:
															125V DC VITAL BAT BD 1 ABNORMAL (M1-C, A-5)
															WOOTF identifies the reason for this alarm and an expected indication OR required operator action in accordance with the annunciator procedure?
															A. Charger has tripped; EI-57-92 indicates steady current flow below zero
															B. Charger has tripped; EI-57-92 indicates steady current flow above zero
															C. Ground exists; Locally adjust red flag set point to clear the control room alarm
								-							D. Ground exists; Sequentially open breakers (one at a time) on the battery board to locate the ground.
н	1												в	U	064 A4.12
															1. LOD=1: The proposed question can be answered solely using GFES knowledge without having plant specific knowledge. This portion of the exam is the plant specific portion; therefore, the test item should require the applicants' to know some plant specific knowledge.

Q#

..... 50

51

	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	s	4.	Job Cont	tent Fla	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.
2#	LOK (F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
0	F	2										х		В	E	064 K6.08
																1. Q=K/A: The K/A requires the applicant to have knowledge of how a loss of the fuel oil tanks will affect the EDG. The proposed question tells the applicants how the EDG is affected; i.e., it will be declared inoperable.
														-		WOOTF identifies the MINIMUM required 7-Day Fuel Oil Tank Level on 0-LI-16-38 and the required actions if actual level is less than the minimum required level in accordance with Tech Specs?
																[Reference Provided]
																A. 4.8 feet; Perform Breaker Surveillance within 1 hour
																B. 4.3 feet; Restore level to greater than minimum within 1 hour
																C. 4.8 feet; Restore level to greater than minimum within 1 hour
																D. 4.3 feet; Perform Breaker Surveillance within 1 hour
1	н	2	x			x								N	υ	1. Ensure no overlap with Q#23
																 Cred Dist: "A" and "C" are not plausible because of the 2nd portion (isolation valves must be closed to allow chemistry to take a sample). Common sense dictates that a valve must be opened to obtain a sample.
																Suggest keeping the 1 st portion of the question and revising the 2 nd portion to test the applicants' knowledge of how to open the SGBD isolation valves (i.e., what switch position or prerequisite 's required to open these valves). If it's simply a switch going to the OPEN position, then test the applicants' knowledge of a rad monitor decision step in E3.
																 Stem Focus: The valve numbers are missing from the 2nd portion of the stem question (FCV-1-181?)
																 Stem Focus: Replace the word "would" (in the 2nd part of the stem question) with "are required to"

~	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	'S	4.	Job Con	tent Fl	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
52	F	2	X											В	E	 076 A3.02 1. Stem Focus: The choices are cumbersome to read and are not psychometrically balanced. Verify w/ licensee that FCV-151 does not ever receive an auto-open SI signal and that Unit 2 SI signal is the same as Unit 1. Suggest the following: Unit 2 is operating at 100% power and a valid SI signal is received. WOOTF predicts the automatic response of the following ERCW System Valves? 0-FCV-151, CCS OB1 Heat Exchanger Discharge to Header A 0-FCV-152, CCS OB2 Heat Exchanger Discharge to Header B A. FCV-151 automatically closes FCV-152 automatically throttles to 35% open B. FCV-151 remains as is FCV-152 automatically throttles D. FCV-151 automatically throttles D. FCV-151 automatically throttles to 35% open 2. Verify w/ licensee that IF OPEN, FCV-151 does not ever receive auto closure signal from SI.
53	F	2												М	S	078 K2.02

	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	s	4.	Job Cont	tent Fl	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
54	F	2				x	x								υ	103 A2.04
										- -						 Cred Dist: "A" and "C" are not plausible because they imply that it's okay to leave people in containment after an irradiated bundle was dropped w/ rad levels rising.
																2. Partial: An applicant can argue "D" as correct during an appeal because the "immediate area" is not defined well enough to preclude it meaning containment. The 2 nd portion can be argued as correct because it's missing the word "automatically", i.e., applicant could argue that the word "verify" means manual actuation.
																Suggest the following:
						:										An irradiated fuel assembly has been dropped in containment during a refueling outage.
																WOOTF identifies the MINIMUM required areas to be evacuated and a MINIMUM required actions in accordance with AOP-M.04, Refueling Malfunctions?
																A. All personnel inside containment must be evacuated; however, personnel on elevation 734' in the Aux Building are NOT required to be evacuated.
																At least ONE door must be closed in both upper and lower containment air locks.
																B. All personnel inside containment AND on elevation 734 in the Aux Building are required to be evacuated.
																BOTH doors must be closed in both upper and lower containment air locks.
				:												C. All personnel inside containment must be evacuated; however, personnel on elevation 734' in the Aux Building are NOT required to be evacuated
																BOTH doors must be closed in both upper and lower containment air locks.
																D. All personnel inside containment AND on elevation 734 in the Aux Building are required to be evacuated.
																At least ONE door must be closed in both upper and lower containment air locks.

0,4	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	'S	4.	Job Con	tent Fla	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
55	Η	2									×			В	E	 103 A3.01 1. Backwards Logic: The proposed question presents a series of events and requires the applicants' to look back to determine whether the events were correct. Ideally, the question should present the applicants with a set of plant conditions and then test the applicants' ability to predict (forward thinking) what will happen (versus what should have happened.) A manual Safety Injection (SI) signal was initiated on Unit 2. The following plant conditions currently exist: Containment Purge Rad Monitors: 2-RM-90-130: HI alarm 2-RM-90-131: not in alarm Phase A signal: RESET SI Signal: NOT RESET WOOTF predicts how the Containment Ventilation Isolation (CVI) System will respond and how the CVI signal must be reset? A. ONLY an "A" Train isolation will occur; The CVI signal can be reset with the SI signal present B. ONLY an "A" Train isolation will solate; The CVI signal can be reset with the SI signal present D. Both "A" and "B" Trains will isolate: The SI signal MUST be reset FIRST before the CVI signal can be reset D. Both "A" and "B" Trains will isolate: The SI signal MUST be reset FIRST before the CVI signal can be reset with the SI signal present
56	н	2												В	S	001 K2.05 1. Ask the licensee whether the phrase "by relay operation" has a significant meaning to this question.

	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	s	4.	Job Cont	tent Fl	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
57	F	2										×		В	E	 014 A4.01 1. Q=K/A: The proposed questions tests the applicants' <i>knowledge</i> of the <i>reason</i> for adjusting the Control Bank D group 2 step counter to zero steps before withdrawing a dropped rod. The question should test the applicants' <i>ability</i> to operate or monitor the rod selection controls. For example, Suggest a 2-part question which tests the applicants 1) knowledge of what was required prior to withdrawing the rod (adjust group step counter for all control bank D group 2 rods to zero {versus adjusting all except H-8} AND 2) ability to withdraw the rod place the bank control selector switch to Bank D {versus some other plausible distractor}.) 2. Ensure no overlap with scenarios or JPMs
58	F	2				x									Ε	 015 A3.05 1. Cred Dist: "C" and "D" are not plausible because these actions are manually performed. Suggest keeping "A" and "B" and converting to a 2-part question. Alternatively, suggest the following; WOOTF identifies how the Control Room Audio Count Rate sound occurs following a reactor trip? A. Sound is AUTOMATICALLY triggered only after both N-35 & N-36 are less than 10⁻⁴ % power. B. Sound is AUTOMATICALLY triggered only after 3 out of 4 of the Power Range detectors are less than 10% C. Sound is MANUALLY initiated only after the Level Trip Bypass Switches are placed in the NORMAL position. D. Sound is MANUALLY initiated only after SRM Trip Reset Block Switches are placed to the RESET position.

O #	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	's	4.	Job Con	tent Fl	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
59	F	2				x	x							В	E	 016 K5.01 Partial: "C" can also be argued as correct; especially since none of the training reference materials describe the purpose of the isolation amplifier. Is this description provided in an I&C lesson plan? Ask licensee to provide reference that describes purpose and function of isolation amplifier to ensure "C" is incorrect. If reference not available, then re-work question. Cred Dist: "B" is not plausible because the word Isolation Amplifier cannot mean that containment pressures are protected. Suggest testing the logic diagram associated with ¼ pressurizer pressure channels used for SI versus all 4 pressurizer channels used for control and work into the question the separation of control and protection circuits.
																3. IF the isolation amplifier is inoperable, does tech specs require declaring the channel inoperable?
60	F	2	X											Ν	S	 017 A2.01 1. Stem Focus: The 2nd part of each choice is confusing because it focuses the applicants on the "Train" instead of the "Quadrant." Since Tech Specs focuses on the requirements for each Quadrant, re-work the 2nd part of each choice to begin with Each Quadrant must have a minimum of 2. Stem Focus: Provide the annunciator this way: INCORE TEMP MONITORING SYSTEM TROUBLE (M4-B, A-3) 3. Licensee designated as higher order. Discuss whether this may qualify as lower cog since both parts of the question are fundamental knowledge items. (Seems like exam has many higher cog questions)

Page	43	of	80	
------	----	----	----	--

0#	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	/s	4.	Job Con	tent Fl	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
61	н	2	x			x								В	E	029 A1.02
																1. Cred Dist: "C" and "D" are not plausible because the 90-106 is not a purge rad monitor.
																2. Stem Focus: The 2 column format is confusing with the 90-106 heading. Suggest the following:
																 Stem Focus: Provide a procedure section which is in effect for the purge activity.
																Unit 1 is operating at 100% power and a lower containment purge in in progress in accordance with 1-SO-??.
																WOOTF identifies:
																 the minimum required logic to initiate an automatic containment ventilation isolation signal and
																2) whether the 1-RM-106, Lower Containment Radiation Monitor control board indication remains valid after the isolation signal?
																Note: 1-RM-90-130 & 131, Containment Purge Rad Monitors
																A. BOTH rad monitors (90-130 & -131) must reach the high setpoint for an automatic CVI; 1-RM-106 indication is still VALID after the CVI occurs
																B. BOTH rad monitors (90-130 & -131) must reach the high setpoint for an automatic CVI; 1-RM-106 indication is NOT valid after the CVI occurs
																C. ONLY one rad monitor (90-130 OR -131) reaching its high setpoint will result in an automatic CVI; 1-RM-106 indication is still VALID after the CVI occurs
																D. ONLY one rad monitor (90-130 OR -131) reaching its high setpoint will result in an automatic CVI; 1-RM-106 is NOT valid after the CVI occurs

~	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	hometi	ric Flaw	s	4.	Job Conf	tent Fla	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	lok (F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
62	F	2				х								в	E	033 G2.4.21
	1															1. Tough K/A to hit, but achievable.
																2. Cred Dist: "C" and "D" are not plausible because there is no such thing as a minimum temperature for refueling.
																Suggest a 2-part question which tests the applicants' knowledge of 1) the temperature value associated with cavitation (i.e. the safety function status) and 2) the reason for the temperature limitation (cavitation)
																WOOTF completes the following statement in accordance with the caution listed in AOP-M.06, Loss of Spent Fuel Cooling?
																IF the spent fuel pit temperature is greater than, THEN
																A. 192°F; excessive gassing from the SFP
																B. 192°F; SFP pump cavitation
																C. [another plausible temperature] °F; excessive gassing from the SFP
																D. [another plausible temperature] °F; SFP pump cavitation

Page 44 of 80

Page	45	of	80
------	----	----	----

								1								
0.4	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	/s	4.	Job Cont	ent Fla	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
63	H	3	x	Cues		Dist.		Link		#/ units	ward	x	Only	M	E	 034 K6.02 1. Q=K/A: Because there are no rad monitoring systems whose loss or malfunction directly affects one of the fuel handling systems (grapple, polar crane, etc.), THEN this K/A is match is borderline, but is acceptable since the fuel handling activity depends on the operability of the control room rad monitors. 2. Stem Focus: Because LCO typically means limiting condition of operation, technically the correct phrase should be "require entry to an action statement." 3. Stem Focus: The 3rd bullet can be more precise by simply stating that the monitor had failed, i.e., "due to an instrument malfunction" may imply more that was intended. 4. Stem Focus: Convert to 2 fill-in-the-blank statements. Given these conditions, WOOTF choices completes both statements? Unit 1 Tech Spec 3.3.3.1, Radiation Monitoring Instrumentation, contains an action statement that to be entered.
																With the 0-RM-90-125 failed, irradiated fuel shuffles in the spent fuel pool A. is required; may still continue B. is required; are not allowed C. is NOT required; may still continue D. is NOT required; are not allowed

—	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	's	4.	Job Cont	tent Fl	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
64	μ	2	X					×						Μ	E	 035 K4.01 1. Stem Focus: The 1st portion of each choice inappropriately mixes the reason for the 33% setpoint value and the 44% setpoint value. The 1st part of the stem question asks the applicant for the design bases criteria for the entire level control program whereas the 1st part of each choice presents the applicants with the basis for both setpoints intermingled. This may cause confusion. Suggest testing either the basis for the 33% or 44% setpoint (not both); i.e., 33% is steam line break, 44% is shrink and swell accommodations. 2. Stem Focus: The 2nd part of the stem question seems like it would be better as the 1st part, i.e., test applicants' knowledge of where the level control program setpoint is derived FIRSTand then test the basis for the setpoint. 3. Stem Focus: The basis portion of the question is in accordance with what document? 4. Q=K/A: Since the program level setpoint is a design feature, then this question hits the K/A. (No interlock is being tested>>okay) 5. Job-Link: Will the Unit 2 modification still have the same basis for the SG program setpoint values? Discuss w/ licensee.

0#	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	s	4.	Job Cont	ent Fl	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
65	F	2	x									x		Ν	E	 056 K1.03 1. Q=K/A & Stem Focus: The proposed question does not "directly" test the cause effect relationship of the <u>condensate system</u> to the MFW system even though seal injection taps off of the condensate system. Suggest the following to hit the 1st part of the K/A and also to streamline the question: WOOTF choices completes both statements? The Main Feedwater Pump Seal Water Injection System taps off of the condensate system A sustained condition with low seal water will cause a MFW Pump to automatically trip. A. between the condensate and condensate booster pumps injection pressure at 220 psig B. between the condensate and condensate booster pumps differential pressure at 10 psid C. downstream of the condensate booster pumps differential pressure at 220 psig D. downstream of the condensate booster pumps differential pressure at 10 psid

	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.
k- rd	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
	x			Е	 G2.1.19 Q=K/A: Because the last part of the 3rd bullet tells the meaning of the alarm, the K/A is not being tested, i.e., the applicants must be tested on their ability to use the plant computer. IF the computer alarm is presented differently on the screen, THEN the question, as proposed, tests the applicants' knowledge of the AFD Tech Specs but doesn't test their ability to accent the plant computer ack the licensee to show the computer accent being

	1.	2.	3	3. Psyc	home	ric Flaw	/s	4.	Job Con	tent Fl	aws	5. 0	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
66	Н	3				x						x			E	 G2.1.19 Q=K/A: Because the last part of the 3rd bullet tells the meaning of the alarm, the K/A is not being tested, i.e., the applicants must be tested on their ability to use the plant computer. IF the computer alarm is presented differently on the screen, THEN the question, as proposed, tests the applicants' knowledge of the AFD Tech Specs but doesn't test their ability to use the plant computer. Ask the licensee to show the computer screen being tested during prep week. Discuss whether or not all of the words in the 3rd bullet are provided in the computer screen being screen. Suggest either taking out the last part of the 3rd bullet OR providing them with a copy of the plant computer screen that provides the AFD alarm. Cred Dist: The plausibility analysis for "A" [no action required] states that the basis for this choice is if the applicant doesn't recognize that the ICS alarm indicates that at least 2 NIS AFD channels indicate > 50% RTP and outside the acceptable region even though the 3rd bullet already provides this information.
67	F	2		×				×						Μ	Ε	 G2.1.32 Cue: The last part of the 2nd bullet cues the applicant that the shorter time frames (i.e., choice "C", is not correct.) The reason for rolling the diesel is not required to elicit the correct response. Suggest modifying the 2nd bullet as: "2A-A Diesel Generator is being rolled locally in accordance with 0-SO-82-3, Diesel Generator 2A-A prior to the performance of a monthly surveillance." Job-Link: The last part of the 2nd bullet states that the reason for rolling the DG is to "check for water in the cylinders" Precaution & Limitation O.4 states that rolling the diesel provides assurance that the cylinders are not cracked or do not have a gasket leak for 24 hours. These reasons appear to conflict. Discuss w/ licensee.

	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	/s	4.	Job Con	tent Fla	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	LOK (F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
68	F	2	×			×								Ν	E	 G2.1.40 Stem Focus: Ensure that a question is presented in the stem. Suggest the following: WOOTF identifies the reason for this requirement in accordance with 0-GO-9, Refueling Procedure? Cred Dist: Modify one of the incorrect choices to include the words "adequate vent exists"
69	Н	3	x											В	S	 G2.2.40 Stem Focus: WOOTF identifies the required actions in accordance with Tech Spec 3.4.9.1, RCS Pressure and Temperature Limits or Tech Requirements Manual TRM 3.4.9.2, Pressurizer Temperature Limits?

Page 50 of 80

.

Q#	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	S	4.	Job Con	tent FI	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
70	F	2	x			x								В	E	G2.2.6
											-					 Stem Focus/Cred Dist: The 1st part of the proposed question is testing the applicants' knowledge of whether the procedure can be performed out of sequence; however, the 2nd part of the question is not solicited in the stem. Consequently, choices "A" , "B", and "C" are not plausible because "D" is ALWAYS the right thing to do.
																Discuss w/ the licensee>> Is there ever a time at Sequoyah when performing procedure steps out-of-sequence is allowed? (other than startup/shutdown procedures) If so, then this situation/procedure needs to be incorporated. If not, then none of the incorrect choices are plausible. Discuss w/ licensee. For example:
																WOOTF identifies whether the procedure steps are allowed to be performed out-of-sequence, including the reason or other required actions?
																A. The procedure steps are allowed to be performed out-of- sequence in this case because this is classified as a "Operator Burden" in accordance with OPDP-1, Conduct of Operations.
					:											B. The procedure steps are allowed to be performed out-of- sequence in this case because this is classified as a "Operator Challenge" in accordance with OPDP-1, Conduct of Operations.
																C. The procedure steps are NOT allowed to be performed out-of-sequence in this case. A temporary procedure change is required in accordance with SPP-2.2, Administration of Site Technical Procedures.
																D. The procedure steps are NOT allowed to be performed out-of-sequence in this case. A permanent procedure revision is required in accordance with SPP-2.2, Administration of Site Technical Procedures.

.

0 #	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	s	4.	Job Cont	tent Fla	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
71	F	2	x											Ν	E	 G2.3.12 Stem Focus: Reword the stem as follows: WOOTF identifies the incore flux detector requirements listed in 0-SI-OPS-000-011.0, Containment Access Control During Modes 1 – 4, for an entry into lower containment or the annulus? Required Incore Flux <u>Detector Placement</u> <u>Tagged with a</u>
72	F	2				x	X							В	U	 G2.3.5 Cred Dist: "A", "B", and "C" are not plausible because the stem asks for the specific leaking SG and these choices each only have one rad monitor listed. Partial: An applicant can argue that "A", "B", and "C" are each partially correct because by the operator could use these rad monitors in conjunction with other available indications to determine the specific SG w/ the rupture. Suggest developing a question that tests the applicants knowledge of how a procedure uses a specific rad monitor, i.e., some question related to a threshold value and required action.

	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	/S	4.	Job Con	tent Fla	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
73	F	2	x					×						В	E	 G2.3.7 1. Stem Focus: The question can be simplified as follows: WOOTF completes the following statement in accordance with the General Requirements in RCI-10, ALARA Program? The shall approve all Containment Building Entries during periods which are outside the pre-determined Containment Building entry schedule. Approvals shall be documented on an Attachment 06, Containment Building Entry Request/Authorization. A. Plant Manager B. Radiation Operations Shift Supervisor C. Radiation Protection Manager D. Shift Manager [Question deals with the RWP Request Process for K/A match 2. Job-Link: Verify w/ Sequoyah Operations Management that this is RO knowledge.

	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	'S	4.	Job Con	tent Fl	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
74	F	2	x				x	X						N	E	 G2.4.28 Partial and/or Stem Focus: An applicant could argue choices "A" and "D" as potentially correct because these situations (hostile force and lack of security guards) could warrant a <u>conservative</u> implementation of the "2-Person-Line-of-Sight Rule." Since the stem of the question does not specify in accordance with any procedure and this opens up choices "A" and "D" to interpretation. Job-Link: Based on EPIP-8 (Personnel Accountability and Evacuation), Appendix D (Nuclear Security – Assembly and Accountability Actions), it appears that Security makes the call on whether the "2-Person-Line-of-Sight Rule" is required. Discuss w/ licensee. WHO makes the determination whether to
																 implement the rule? IF Security makes the determination, then test the applicants' knowledge of what the "2-Person-Line-of-Sight Rule" means. 3. The SPP-1.3 document was not provided on the reference disk. The one-page excerpt provided with the Draft Written submittal package provides additional guidance listed in the document; however, unable to research w/o the reference. 4. Suggest writing a fill-in-the-blank question format: WOOTF completes both of the following statements in accordance with EPIP-8, Personnel Accountability & Evacuation?
																 During a, the "2-Person-Line-of-Sight Rule" is required. The "2-Person-Line-of-Sight Rule" requires that A. Radiological Emergency; all persons in vital areas must remain in visual contact with another person and the two persons also must possess similar skills or knowledge B. Radiological Emergency; all persons in vital areas must remain in visual contact with another person but the two persons do NOT have to possess similar skills or knowledge. C. Credible Insider Threat; ditto "A" D. Credible Insider Threat; ditto "B" [Verify w/ licensee that 2-Person-Line-of-Sight Rule is never applicable during only a radiological emergency.]

	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	/s	4.	Job Cont	tent Fla	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	LOK (F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
75	H	2	x	x		x								Μ	E	 G2.4.46 075 Cred Dist: "C" and "D" are not plausible because stem asks for the correct operator response and the 2nd parts of these choices don't provide any response ("monitor" is not a response). Cue: The word "remain" in the 6th bullet is a cue that something has failed to re-position. Stem Focus: The word "correct" in the stem question should be "required." Suggest developing a 2 part question that tests 1) the applicants knowledge of the M6-E, E-4 alarm setpoint and 2) a CNTMT Sump alarm which is consistent with the required
																conditions for the auto-swapover logic to occur. 4. Verify no overlap exists with SRO Q#78.

	1	1														
Q#	1. LOK	2.	3	B. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	/S	4.	Job Cont	tent Fl	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.	8.
	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
76	Н	2	x											м	E	007 EA2.04
																 Stem Focus: The choices ("A", "B", "C" & "D") seem unbalanced. "A" and "B" have an action. The correct answer ("C") includes a contingent portion ["if conditions remain the same"] which is confusing. See suggestion below. Stem Focus: The stem question does not ask the applicant to
																determine the "reason" for the response even though choices "A" and "B" include a reason. Either provide a reason for all choices or eliminate the reasons provided in "A" and "B."
																 Stem Focus: 1st bullet should say Unit 2 WAS operating at 100% power with the TDAFW Pump tagged out of service.
																 Stem Focus: 2nd bullet is missing an "s" after the word "attempt" and the word unsuccessful is misspelled. Additionally, the 2nd bullet is missing the word "trip" after the word manually.
																4. Stem Focus: Add the words "and stable" to the RCS pressure
																Unit 2 was operating at 100% with the TDAFW Pump tagged out when a valid trip signal occurred; however, the reactor did not trip. All attempts to manually trip the reactor from the MCR were unsuccessful. The crew entered E-0 and the Immediate operator actions of FR-S.1, Nuclear Power Generation/ATWS, have been completed. The following plant conditions currently exist:
																 Maximum available AFW flows: 195, 220, 0, 0 NR SG Levels: 0%, 0%, 0%, 0% RCS Pressure: 2285 psig and stable Emergency Boration Flow: 45 gpm from the BAT Reactor Power: 19%
																"Given the above conditions, WOOTF identifies the required actions, in accordance with FR-S.1, Nuclear Power Generation/ATWS?
																A. Do NOT perform the actions of FR-H.1; RCPs are allowed to be tripped
																B. Perform the actions of FR-H.1; RCPs are NOT allowed to be tripped
																C. Do NOT perform the actions of FR-H.1; RCPs are NOT allowed to be tripped
																D. Perform the actions of FR-H.1; RCPs are allowed to be tripped
																[SRO-only: Procedure Selection]

0#	1. 2. 0# 10K 10D		3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	s	4. Job Content Flaws					ther	6.	7.	8.
	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
77	н	2	x					x	x					м	E	009 EG2.4.20
																1. Job-Link/Minutia: The premise of the question is for the applicants' to <u>infer</u> that pressurizer level and subcooling will lower [during the RCP startup] based on the wording of the NOTE preceding another step that commences depressurization. [NOTE: Upper head voiding may occur during RCS depressurization if no RCPs are running. This may result in rapidly <u>rising</u> pressurizer level.]
																This note doesn't state that pressurizer level will <u>decrease</u> when the RCP is started. Verify exact conditions in the stem on the simulator yield lowering level during a RCP startup. What pressure ranges [on the simulator] show a lowering level when the RCP is started after a depressurization? Is this documented? Need to discuss w/ licensee to ensure that this question has a correct answer.
																2. Stem Focus: The 1 st phrase ["Given the following:"] and 1 st bullet can be streamlined, i.e., suggest combining into "A small break LOCA has occurred on Unit 1 and the following conditions currently exist." Also, the stem question is cumbersome because it is a lengthy 2 part question.
																 Stem Focus: Also, the wording of the P&L listed in the normal system operating procedure should align with the [incorrect] choices "B" and "D."
																Suggest the following:
																WOOTF identifies the next required procedure and the operational implication of a NOTE in this procedure prior to starting an RCP?
																A. ES-1.1; A steam bubble is required in the Pressurizer to minimize the pressure transient when cold water injected by the charging pump is circulated in the RCS during the RCP startup
																B. ES-1.1; A steam bubble may exist in the Reactor Vessel Upper Head which could result in lowering Pressurizer level indication and lowering subcooling margin during the RCP startup
																C. ES-1.2; A steam bubble is required in the Pressurizer to minimize the pressure transient when cold water injected by the charging pump is circulated in the RCS during the RCP startup
																D. ES-1.2; A steam bubble may exist in the Reactor Vessel Upper Head which could result in lowering Pressurizer level indication and lowering subcooling margin during the RCP startup
																[SRO-only: Procedure Selection]
Page	57	of	80													
------	----	----	----	--												
------	----	----	----	--												

	1.	2.	з	. Psyc	homet	tric Flaw	/s	4.	Job Con	tent Fl	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	LOK (F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
78	F	2	x											N	E	011 EA2.07
																 Stem Focus: The annunciator should be for the "B-B" Pump, this may be a typo.
																2. Stem Focus: The first five bullets can be combined as follows:
																3. Stem Focus: The 2 nd part of each choice is confusing because of the implied sequence of procedures. Suggest the following:
														×		Unit 2 was operating at 100% power with the 2A-A RHR Pump out of service when a LOCA occurred. The reactor was tripped and a safety injection was actuated.
																WOOTF identifies how a loss of CCS cooling to the 2B-B RHR Pump seal water heat exchanger will affect continued pump operation and the required procedure for these plant conditions when RWST level lowers to 27%?
																Note:
1																ES-1.3, Transfer to RHR Containment Sump
																ECA-1.1, Loss of RHR Sump Recirculation
																A. 2B-B RHR Pump can run indefinitely; ES-1.3
																B. 2B-B RHR Pump must be immediately removed from service; ES-1.3
																C. 2B-B RHR Pump can run indefinitely; ECA-1.1
																D. 2B-B RHR Pump must be immediately removed from service; ECA-1.1
																[SRO-only: Procedure Selection]
																Note: Ensure that placing 27% in the stem does not provide a cue to another test item on the RO or SRO exam. Verify no overlap w/ RO Q #75.

Page	58	of	80
------	----	----	----

	1													[1	1
0#	1.	2.	3	8. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	/s	4.	Job Con	tent Fla	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.	8.
G#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
79	Н	2	x										×	N	E	026 AA2.02 1. SRO-only: The 2 nd portion of the choices doesn't meet the "procedure selection" requirement of 10CFR55.43(b) for SRO applicants because all choices say "appropriate section of 0-SO-70- 1, CCWS "B" Train". The 2 nd portion of the choices should ideally require the applicants' to pick a procedure section. 0-SO-70-1, Precaution & Limitation "M" states that the C-S Pump throw over switch alignment to the Train A power supply eliminates the load shedding features thus rendering the DG inoperable; therefore, an RO applicant can deduce that "A" and "C" are not correct. [RO's are responsible for P&Ls] Furthermore, the "B" choice can be eliminated solely by knowing the power supply to the C-S pump. "A", "B" and "C" can all be eliminated using RO knowledge. See Suggestion below.
																 The Stem question is cumbersome because it is a lengthy 2 part question. Suggest the following: Both units are operating at 100% power with all equipment normally aligned. WOOTF identifies 1) the cause of a loss of CCS Train "B" on both units and 2) the required procedure to restore the Train B cooling in accordance with AOP M.03, A. 480 V SD Board 1A2-A; 0-SO-70-1, Section 8.6, Swapping C-S Pump Power Supplies B. 480 V SD Board 1A2-A; 0-SO-70-1, Section 8.7.1, Swapping 1B-B CCS Pump From "A" Train Service to "B" Train Service and Re-aligning 2B-B CCS Pump to Unit 2, "A" Train Service C. 480 V SD Board 2B2-B; 0-SO-70-1, Section 8.6, Swapping C-S Pump Power Supplies D. 0-SO-70-1, Section 8.7.1, Swapping 1B-B CCS Pump From "A" Train Service and Re-aligning 2B-B CCS Pump for the CS Pump From "A" Train Service and Re-aligning 2B-B CCS Pump for Train Service and Re-aligning 2B-B CCS Pump for Train Service and Re-aligning 2B-B CCS Pump for "A" Train Service [SRO-only: Procedure Selection]

	8.	
	Explanation	
AND 25410 (27 410)		

0.4	1.	2.	3	3. Psyc	chomet	tric Flav	vs	4.	Job Con	tent Fl	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	₃ T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
80	F	2	x	Cues		Dist.		Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A χ	Only	N	E	 056 AG2.1.19 1. Q=K/A: This K/A was discussed per telecom on 11/2/09 because it seemed to be a difficult one to hit. Licensee explained that although a switchyard diagram on the plant computer existed, it wasn't "active" and couldn't be used to determine offsite power breaker position. Chief Examiner suggested to write question testing the SRO applicants' ability to use the process computer to determine <u>any</u> equipment's status following a LOOP. Licensee subsequently provided 2-part question to test SRO applicants' knowledge of 1) the Unit 1 & 2 plant computers availability following a dual unit trip (LOOP) and 2) the preferred source of offsite power IAW AOP-P.01. Neither of these items test the applicants' ability to <u>use</u> the plant computer to evaluate a system status; therefore, the K/A match is "soft." Suggest writing the question that involves a LOOP (similar to what is already proposed) and then require the applicants to <u>use</u> a <u>provided screen picture of a system</u>, <u>component</u>, or <u>parameter</u> to determine an E-plan or EOP procedure selection.
																 Stem Focus: The stem question is lengthy, consider the use of a fill-in-the-blank statement.

80
80

0.4	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	s	4.	Job Con	tent Fl	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
81	Н	2	x			Dist.	x	Link		units	ward	K/A	X	Ν	E	 077 AG2.2.44 1. SRO-only: The question provides a <u>normal</u> 161KV voltage and then tests the applicants' ability to determine operability of the 161KV System. The question should provide at least one <u>ABNORMAL</u> condition and then test the SRO applicants' ability to determine operability of the 161 KV. This question does not seem operationally valid since the voltage is normal, i.e., why is the dispatcher calling to say a RED condition exists when everything is normal? Would he be normally providing the parameter of concern? Discuss w/ the licensee. Suggest providing switchyard voltage/VAR information and require the applicant to apply this information SECTION F of GOI-6 to determine operability and/or reportability per SPP-3.5. 3. Stem Focus: For the 1st portion of the stem question, re-word as follows: "WOOTF identifies the required procedure for the crew to implement and the immediate operability status of the
																 Partial: Is it wrong for an applicant to pull out AOP-P.01 and refer to the procedure? IF the procedure was implemented, would any actions be taken based on the current plant conditions? An applicant may be able to argue "C" as correct. Ensure no overlap w/ RO Q#16

	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	s	4.	Job Cont	ent Fla	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	LOK (F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
82	H	2	Focus			Dist.		Link		[#] units	ward	Υ- K/A	x	N	E	 005 AG2.4.46 1. SRO-only: The proposed test item is borderline with respect to linkage to 10CFR55.43(b) (5) [procedure selection]. The basis for the SRO-only tie is presumably the level of recall associated with when power is > or 75% [step 10 in AOP-C.01]. Since ROs are normally responsible for all plant conditions that require an immediate reactor trip, then this question is borderline with respect to SRO-only. Additionally, the action to restore the rod is a 1 hour action statement in accordance with TS 3.1.3.1, which is RO knowledge. Suggest the following annunciator: COMPUTER ALARM ROD DEV & SEQ NIS PWR RANGE TILTS (M4-B, D-4) WOOTF identifies the 1) rod misalignment setpoint for the alarm and 2) the corresponding Tech Spec (TS) surveillance requirement(s) that require(s) this annunciator to be operable? Note: TS 3.2.1 Power Distribution Limits – Axial Flux Difference TS 3.2.4 Power Distribution Limits – Quadrant Power Tilt Ratio A. A MINIMUM of at least a 12 step difference between the actual rod position and the bank demand counter; Alarm function fulfills surveillance requirement for BOTH TS 3.2.1 and TS 3.2.4 C. GREATER than a 12 step difference between the actual rod position and the bank demand counter; Alarm function fulfills surveillance requirement for BOTH TS 3.2.1 and TS 3.2.4 D. GREATER than a 12 step difference between the actual rod position and the bank demand counter; Alarm function fulfills surveillance requirement for BOTH TS 3.2.1 B. A MINIMUM of at least a 12 step difference between the actual rod position and the bank demand counter; Alarm function fulfills surveillance requirement for BOTH TS 3.2.1 and TS 3.2.4 C. GREATER than a 12 step difference between the actual rod position and the bank demand counter; Alarm function fulfills surveillance requirement for BOTH TS 3.2.1 and TS 3.2.4 D. GREATER than a 12 step difference between the actual rod position and the bank demand count
																licensee.

~	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	s	4.	Job Con	tent Fl	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.
	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
83	н	2	x			×	x				x		x	м	U	024 AA2.01
						-										 This test question overlaps with the Simulator JPM [005 Inoperable/Stuck Control Rod [001 Emergency Boration (alt path)]. Either modify the test question or replace the JPM (safety function 1)
																2. SRO-only: The basis for the SRO-only tie is presumably the procedure selection associated with either Section 4.2 (BAT) or Section 4.3 (RWST); however, because these choices are linked to an RO knowledge associated with the <u>required minimum injection</u> <u>rate</u> for emergency boration, the SRO procedure selection aspect is actually not being tested, i.e., if he knows the minimum required injection rate for emergency boration, then he doesn't need to know what section of the procedure is required. [Knowing that switch has no seal-in feature is RO systems knowledge.]
																3. Partial: "A" and "B" are technically identical distracters.
																4. Cred Dist: IF the 1FCV-62-138 MOV breaker compartment does not have a thermal overload reset feature, THEN "A" and "B" are not plausible because a magnetic trip of the circuit breaker would remove the RED/GREEN indication.
																5. Stem Focus: The 3 rd bullet should be more precisely worded (to ensure applicants' know that the switch wasn't held long enough) as follows: "After the OATC placed 1-HS-62-138A, Emergency Boration FCV, to the OPEN position, he immediately released the hand switch as soon as he observed flow on 1-FI-62-137A, Emergency Boration Flow Indicator."
										- - -						6. Backward: The words "would be" in choices "C" and "D" are futuristic and the question is asking the applicant to predict what happened in the past (valve stopped moving) instead of predicting the expected response.

Q# 84	LOK (F/H) H	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues						için i k	aws	5.0	iner	0.	1.	8.
84	Н				1/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
		2	х					x						N	E	033 AA2.03
																 This question overlaps with Q#38 because it involves essentially the same knowledge associated with how the high flux reactor trip output feature is affected by a loss of control power.
																2. Cue: The 2 nd part of the stem question cues the applicant that N35 is also required by TS 3.3.3.7. Plausibility of 7 day LCO (before plant shutdown required)
																3. Stem Focus: The word "would" [in the 1 st portion of the stem question] is confusing. Also, the word MINIMUM should be incorporated to the stem question to add plausibility to "A" and "C" choices. Suggest the following:
																Unit 1 is at 40% power and NI-35 fails due to one blown fuse.
																WOOTF NI-35 drawer circuit indications prevents the high flux reactor trip output signal from being manually bypassed and identifies the MINIMUM required actions in accordance with Tech Specs?
																A. Control Power light is dark; Power operation can continue indefinitely with NI-35 inoperable
										- - -						B. Control Power light is dark; NI-35 must be restored operable within 30 days or be in Hot Standby within the next 6 hours.
			1													C. Instrument Power light is dark; Power operation can continue indefinitely with NI-35 inoperable
																D. Instrument Power light is dark; NI-35 must be restored operable within 30 days or be in Hot Standby within the next 6 hours.
																[SRO-only: Tech Specs]
																Note: Ask the licensee to explain the fuse circuitry to assure that the high flux reactor trip output signal cannot be manually bypassed even though only one fuse is blown.

Page 64 of	f 80
------------	------

	1.	2.	3	3. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	/s	4.	Job Conf	tent Fl	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
85	H	3	x			Dist.		Link		units	ward	κ̈́/Α	Only	Ν	E	 074 EG2.2.44 1. Stem Focus: To ensure that the applicants know that the SG levels dropped to < 10% during the depressurization, suggest the following changes: A LOCA occurred on Unit 1 and core exit T/C temperatures exceeded 1200 deg F. The crew has performed the following actions: RCPs #1 and #2 have been started SG depressurization has been commenced During the depressurization, the following conditions were noted: All SG NR levels dropped to < 10% Core exit T/Cs indicate 1210 deg F and lowering
																 WOOTF choices completes both of the following statements in accordance with FR-C.1, Inadequate Core Cooling? The depressurization of the SGs and the required action is to A. is required to continue; enter SACRG-1, Severe Accident Control Room Guideline Initial Response B. is required to continue; remain in FR-C.1, Inadequate Core Cooling C. is NOT allowed to continue; enter SACRG-1, Severe Accident Control Room Guideline Initial Response D. is NOT allowed to continue; remain in FR-C.1, Inadequate Core Cooling [SRO-only: Procedure Selection]

Page 6	5 of	80
--------	------	----

0#	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	s	4.	Job Con	ent Fla	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
86	н	2	x											М	E	005 AG2.4.40
																 Stem Focus: Ensure that the RCS temperature is Tavg to comply with the modes definitions.
																2. Stem Focus: The 1 st , 5 th , and 6 th bullets can be combined to streamline the chronology of events.
																3. Stem Focus: The 1st part of the stem question asks for the staus of the LCO but the choices only provide action statementssuggest the following to also test applicants' knowledge of modes and LCO actions applicable to certain modes:
																Unit 1 is shutdown with Tavg at 170 deg F with all RCS loops filled and all RCPs OFF.
																The 1A RHR Pump was initially in service; however the pump tripped and the crew subsequently placed Train B RHR in service. Current SG levels are:
																 SG#1 at 8% WR SG#2 at 15% WR SG#3 at 9% WR SG#4 at 6% WR
																WOOTF identifies the MINIMUM required action (if any) in accordance with Tech Spec 3.4, Reactor Coolant System and also identifies the Tech Spec basis for the requirement that one RHR loop be in operation for this plant mode?
																A. No required action; Provides sufficient core decay heat removal in the event of a bank withdrawal accident
																B. No required action; Ensures that any reactivity changes associated with boron reduction are within the capability of operator recognition and control
																C. Immediately raise one SG level > 10% WR; Provides sufficient core decay heat removal in the event of a bank withdrawal accident
																D. Immediately raise one SG level > 10% WR; Ensures that any reactivity changes associated with boron reduction are within the capability of operator recognition and control
												[[SRO-only: Tech Spec Bases]

~	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	'S	4.	Job Con	tent Fla	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
87	Н	2	x			Dist.		Link		units	ward	K/A	Only x	Ν	U	012 G2.4.11 1. SRO-only: The basis for the SRO-only tie is presumably the procedure selection associated with either selecting an appendix in the procedure or notifying MIG to evaluate the Eagle 21 lockup. However, the question can be answered solely using systems knowledge since there are no bistables lit (as stated in the stem); consequently, this is NOT a loop control processor failure. Extra info is contained in the second part of each answer choice allowing the question to be answered using RO knowledge. One area of SRO level knowledge is knowledge of the content of the procedure versus knowledge of the procedure's overall mitigative strategy or purpose. The proposed question does not provide for procedure selection and only tests the AOP-I.01's overall mitigative strategy (RO knowledge). Suggest modifying this question to keep the 1 st part (which section of the AOP-I.01 is required) but also incorporate an instrument failure and test the SRO applicants' knowledge of the required Tech Spec 3.3.1 actions, not to overlap with any scenario event. Alsopossible suggestion is: A. (1) same as original question
																 (2) Implement Appendix in the AOP (2) Implement Appendix in the AOP (1) same as original question (2) Notify MIG 2. Stem Focus: If an annunciator(s) is referred to in any part of the question, use the EXACT wording engraved on Alarm Window (also specified in ARP) followed by parentheses containing the shortest possible abbreviated window location designation of which applicants are familiar. This ensures no misunderstanding as to the alarm being referenced. For example: (M-6A, C-3)

	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	/S	4.	Job Cont	tent Fla	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
88	H	2	x	x		Dist.		Link		units	ward	K/A	Only	M	E	 039 A2.02 2.Cue: The 2nd bullet provides a cue to the applicant regarding the required action per TS 3.7.1, i.e., "reduction in the PR high flux trip setpoint." This can be eliminated by stating that "all required actions in accordance with TS 3.7.1 have been taken." 3. Stem Focus: Re-word the 2-part stem question as follows: "WOOTF predicts the turbine load response to the relief valve leakage (prior to maintenance gagging activities) and identifies any additional required actions in accordance with TS 3.7.1? 4.Stem Focus: The first part of each choice (A thru D) should state either "turbine load will remain constant"or "turbine load will lower", i.e., no need for the governor valve response portion in each choice. 5. Stem Focus: The words "would be" in the 2nd part of each choice should be replaced with "no additional required actions for TS 3.7.1" or "the Power Range Neutron Flux High Setpoint must be adjusted." 6. Stem Focus: The 3rd bullet is vague with respect to the slang term "leaking through." Try to use the words from the AOP, e.g., abnormal leakage, etc. 7. Stem Focus: The fourth bullet is not necessary to elicit the correct response.
																 9. Stem Focus: The 2nd bullet should be past tense, i.e., <i>"setpoint was reduced"</i> [SRO-only: Tech Specs]

O #	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	'S	4.	Job Cont	tent Fl	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
89	н	2	x			x								N	E	064 A2.16
																 References provided to applicant: Discuss providing the entire Section 1 of EPIP-1 to the applicants (instead of only providing page 21 of 47). By only providing one page, this may cue the applicant where to look for the answer to the E-plan classification. Discuss w/ licensee. The loss of power lasting greater than 15 minutes may be sufficient (without a reference) to elicit applicants' recall that a NOUE is required. Discuss w/ licensee. Cred Dist: "C" and "D" do not appear plausible because why would the engine trip following a over current relay actuation? More logical to assume that the engine would continue to run unloaded.
																A. Bkr 1912 will trip open & re-close; Alert
																B. Bkr 1912 will trip open & re-close; NOUE
																C. Bkr 1912 will trip open & remain open; ALERT
										•						D. Bkr 1912 will trip open & remain open; NOUE
														-		3. Stem Focus: Include the name and number of the surveillance procedure being conducted in bullet #2.
																4. Need a combined U1/U2 electrical diagram of Sequoyah electrical distribution in the reference material. Does one exist?
																[SRO-only: Procedure selection E-Plan]

•

	0.
Q# LOK LOD Image: Comparison of the comparis	xplanation
90 H 4 x x x N E 073 A2.02 90 H 4 x x x N E 073 A2.02 1. Cred Dist: "C" and "D" are in these choices involves the OD in longer a release, i.e., SGBE System. In other words, an aprad monitors are required oper via the pathway. IF the SGBD then the release would be term sample frequency is a moot por eliminate "C" and "D" without k grab sampling frequency. 2. Stem Focus: The stem doe upscale or downscale. IF the incomparison of 24 hours dependent of 0.0000 and 0.0000 and 0.0000 and 0.00000 and 0.00000 and 0.0000 and 0.00000 and 0.000000 and 0.00000 and 0.000000 and 0.00000000 and 0.000000000 and 0.00000000000000000000000000000000000	not plausible because the 2 nd part of CM requirements <i>even though there is</i> 0 flow was re-routed to the Condensate plicant knows that the only time the able is when releases are underway was re-routed to the demineralizers, ninated and determining the grab int; therefore an applicant could nowing the parameter that determines int specify whether the 90-121 failed rad monitor fails upscale, then is "D" icensee that the releases may mples are obtained either every 12 on the secondary coolant activity. ator(s) is referred to in any part of the ing engraved on Alarm Window (also parentheses containing the shortest ocation designation that the applicants no misunderstanding as to the alarm

<u> </u>	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	'S	4.	Job Cont	ent Fla	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	LOK (F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
91	н	3						x					x	N	E	028 A2.03
																1. Job-Link: The 1 st part of the stem question asks the applicants to determine whether the H2 RECOMBINER is allowed to be operated; however, FR-I.3, Step 19 targets the H2 IGNITERS. It appears that the 1 st column in the choices should also be labeled "IGNITERS."
																2. SRO-only: The 2 nd portion of the question only tests the applicants knowledge of when vessel venting is/is not allowed. [Not allowed when Containment hydrogen concentration is > 6%.] The question is not testing the SRO applicants' knowledge of procedure selection.
																One area of SRO level knowledge is knowledge of the content of the procedure versus knowledge of the procedure's overall mitigative strategy or purpose. The proposed question does not provide for procedure selection and only tests the FR-I.3 overall mitigative strategy (RO knowledge).
																Suggest the following:
																An accident has occurred on Unit 1 and the crew has entered FR-I.3, Voids in The Reactor. The crew has placed the Hydrogen Analyzers in service and the following conditions currently exist:
																 RCS Subcooling > 90 deg F Pzr Level > 90% PRT pressure is 5 psig Containment hydrogen concentration is 7% Containment Ventilation is isolated and the upper and lower compartment coolers and CRDM fans are running
																WOOTF identifies the required actions in accordance with FR-1.3?
																A. Do NOT place the Hydrogen Igniters in service and Do NOT vent the Reactor Vessel; Return to Procedure and Step in Effect
																B. Do NOT place the Hydrogen Igniters in service; Perform EA-0-7, Calculating Maximum Reactor Vessel Vent Time.
																C. Place the Hydrogen Igniters in service and then perform EA-0-7, Calculating Maximum Reactor Vessel Vent Time.
																D. Place the Hydrogen Igniters in service and then perform EA- 268-1, Placing Hydrogen Recombiners in Service.

 8.	

	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	/S	4.	Job Con	tent Fl	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
92	F	2	x	Cues	17F	Dist.		Link		#/ units	Back- ward	x	x	N	U	 D55 G2.1.20 1. Q=K/A: The proposed test item does not meet the K/A because it targets the emergency/abnormal Tier 1 topic (i.e., SGTL event) instead of a Tier 2 aspect (normal condenser air removal operation). The proposed test item tests the applicants' ability to perform required actions from AOP-R.01 (emergency/abnormal topic) but does not test the applicants' ability to perform or interpret steps associated with the Condenser Air Removal system. 2. SRO-only: Presumably the tie to the 55.43 is "procedure selection" based on the applicant having to recall steps of the procedure. SRO-level knowledge (with respect to prescribing or selecting procedures) is knowledge of the content of the procedure (SRO knowledge) versus knowledge of the overall mitigative strategy of the procedure (RO knowledge). (this question may be borderline) Suggest writing a question to target the Condenser Vacuum System Charcoal Absorber System, including the SRO aspect of either ODCM 1 / 2.2.2.4 (Tech Specs) or procedure selection. Discuss how the Condenser Air Removal System topic can be tested at the SRO level with the licensee. 3. Stem Focus: The choices can be boiled down to 1) how long do you have to shutdown the unit and 2) do you have to obtain a confirmatory chemistry sample before commencing the shutdown. The choices (as proposed) all have the words "without waiting" or "leak rate confirmed." Suggest streamlining converting the shutdown.
					-											 answers into a 2-part choice and re-wording the stem question to closely match. Stem Focus: The 4th bullet is not necessary to elicit the correct response.

0,4	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	/s	4.	Job Con	tent Fl	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
93	Η	2	x			X	x	Link		units	ward	K/A	Only		E	 075 A2.01 1. Per NUREG 1021, ES-401, Section D.2 (pg 6 of 33), it is acceptable to limit the scope of an A2 K/A statement to the (b) portion (only) IF it is not possible to test both aspects of the K/A statement without expending an inordinate amount of resources. In this question (as proposed), only the (b) portion of the K/A is being targeted. This is acceptable. 2. Stem Focus: The stem question needs to be worded to eliminate the word "should." Consider incorporating the words "minimum required action" in the stem question. 3. Cred Dist: "B" and "D" refer to a cooldown that was already in progress on Unit 1. Does the stem support this? In other words, the stem only states that Unit 1 is in Mode 4 w/ RCS at 340 deg F. Is Unit 1 cooling down? Because this information is missing from the stem, it makes "B" and D" less plausible. 4. Partial: An applicant could successfully argue that "C" is also correct based on the imminent nature of lake level. 5. Stem Focus: Is the lake level stable? Suggest the following: WOOTF completes both of the following statements in accordance with AOP-N.04, Break of Downstream Dam, and TS 3.7.5, Ultimate Heat Sink? Loss of condenser circulating water supply occurs when lake level drops to
																[SRO-only: Procedure Selection and/or Tech Specs]

ideda/

<u>г</u>	T		— —											Т		T
0#	1.	2.	3	J. Psyc	homet	tric Flaw	/S	4.	Job Con	tent Fl	aws	5. C	/ther	6.	7.	8.
Ge#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
94	F	2				x	x							N	E	G2.1.26
									-							 Cred Dist: Since the 1st part of each choice is unique, then the applicant doesn't need to know the answer to the 2nd part; i.e., the SRO portion. For example:
	'			'	'		1						1	'		A. orange; SPP-10.2
				/	'						(1 '			B. blue; GO-5
				'	'								1	!		C. green; SPP-10.2
				'	'								1 '	'		D. red; GO-5
																 Partial: Some applicants can argue that "A" is also correct because the stem does not specify which procedure requirement.
																Suggest copying an exact sentence from the SPP-10.2 appendix E and converting it into a fill-in-the-blank statement. For example:
																The procedure for clearing a 161 kV or 500 kV air blast power circuit breaker requires the breaker and its disconnects to be "opened" and then the breaker cycled at the direction of the transmission operator to <u>discharge any capacitors that may be</u> <u>in parallel with the contacts of the breaker</u> .
													'			Plausible distracters can be " <u>to locally verify the correct</u> <u>breaker.</u> "
																To make SRO-only, include the TS required action for the 161 (or 500 KV) breaker being under clearance.

κ.

	1.	2.	3	3. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	/S	4.	Job Con	tent Fl	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
95	F	2	x								x ·	×		Ν	E	 G2.1.29 Q=K/A: In the 1st part of this question, the applicant is being tested on the required verification technique (RO knowledge). The 2nd part of the question (knowledge of TS 3.0.4) is <u>not</u> the intended topic to be tested at the SRO level. This situation is similar to Q#80; however, this is the second item on the SRO test like this. The problem is that the sampling of SRO knowledge on the sample plan topic may become skewed with too many of these type questions. Stem Focus: Include exact noun name for the manual valve (No. 1 System Start Motor Air supply Isolation Valve) in the 2nd bullet Backwards Logic: Reverse the situation such that the 3rd bullet is deleted and first test the applicant's knowledge of whether entry to Mode 4 can be made with the out-of-position valve. Secondly, test the applicants' knowledge of the required verification technique. Consider the following two alternatives:

~ #	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	/S	4.	Job Cont	ent Fla	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.				
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation				
95	F	2	X							units	x	X		Ν	E	G2.1.29 (cont'd) WOOTF completes both statements in accordance with SPP-10.1, System Status Control? The LOWEST level of authority required to relax the status control of a system within a clearance boundary is the An equipment alignment checklist be documented as "complete" with a valve tagged out-of-position by another clearance. A. Shift Manager; can NOT B. Shift Manager; can Still C. Unit Supervisor; can NOT D. Unit Supervisor; can still				
																[SRO-only: Procedure selection based on knowledge of administrative procedures that specify hierarchy, implementation, and/or coordination of plant normal procedures.]				

Q#

96

1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	/S	4.	Job Cont	tent FI	aws	5. C	other	6.	7.	8.
LOK (F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
F	2	х											N	E	G2.2.12Stem Focus: This question (including the choices) are too cumbersome. Suggest the following revision:

								r. Ste cun	m Focus: This question (including the choices) are too nbersome. Suggest the following revision:
								Unit 2 is was dec AC Sour (0-SI-08,	operating at 100% power and the 2A-A Diesel Generator lared inoperable at 08:00. According to Tech Spec 3.8.1.1, ces, the AC Electrical Power Source Operability Verification 2-007.W) is required to be performed within 1 hour.
								WOOTF	choices completes both statements?
								The LAT	EST time that 0-SI-082-007.W is allowed to be completed is in accordance with Tech Specs.
								IF the 0- event with SPF	SI-082-007.W is NOT performed by this time, THEN this required to be reported to the NRC in accordance P-3.5, Regulatory Reporting Requirements.
								А.	09:00; IS
								В.	09:15; IS
								C.	09:00; IS NOT
								D.	09:15; IS NOT
									I Ster Curr Unit 2 is was dec AC Sour (0-SI-08 WOOTF The LAT IF the O- event

	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	/S	4.	Job Cont	ent Fla	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	LOK (F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
97	F	2	×											Ν	E	 G2.2.17 Stem Focus: The clearance for this screen maintenance isn't well defined. Will the screen removal require the J-A and K-A pumps to be placed under clearance? If so, then include a statement in the stem stating that both of these pumps are tagged out. Stem Focus: Streamline the stem question as follows: WOOTF identifies the MINIMUM required risk level classification and operability status of the "A" Train ERCW Header in accordance with SPP-7.3, Work Activity Risk Management Process AND Tech Specs, respectively? Stem Focus: The column headings for the choices should be clarified as follows: MINIMUM REQUIRED RISK LEVEL STATUS [SRO-only: Procedure selection based on knowledge of administrative procedures that specify hierarchy, implementation, and/or coordination of plant normal procedures.]

2

Q#

98

х

х

4.	Job Con	tent Fl	aws	5. C	Other	6.	7.	8.
ob- nk	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
						в	E	G2.3.5
								 Cred Dist/Cues: "A" and "B" are not plausible because of the word "containment radiation monitors"; i.e., if an applicant was guessing, he could rule out "A" and "B" because the 1st portion of these choices does not include the word containment.
								2. Partial: "D" could be argued as correct since pressure is related to temperature.
								 Stem Focus: The 2-part question flows better in reverse order, i.e. first test the applicants' knowledge of where in the E-plan

								guessing, he could rule out "A" and "B" because the 1 st portion of these choices does not include the word containment.
							2.	Partial: "D" could be argued as correct since pressure is related to temperature.
							3.	Stem Focus: The 2-part question flows better in reverse order, i.e., first test the applicants' knowledge of where in the E-plan network the monitors used and then HOW they're used.
								Suggest the following:
								WOOTF completes the following statements as they pertain to the Upper Containment Radiation Monitors (1-RE-90-273 and 1- RE-90-274) in accordance with EPIP-1, Emergency Classification Matrix?
								The Upper Containment Rad Monitors are required to assess the integrity of barriers in the Fission Product Barrier Matrix
								The Upper Containment Rad Monitors should only be used for trending until has been stable for approximately 5 minutes after a steam line break or LOCA.
								A. 2; containment temperature
								B. all 3; excore nuclear instrumentation
								C. 2; containment temperature
								D. all 3; excore nuclear instrumentation
							[SR	O-only: E-plan]

—	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	S	4.	Job Cont	tent Fl	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
99	F	2	×				x							Ν	E	 G2.4.12 Stem Focus: The question is targeting EPM-4, Shift Manager Responsibility (Item # 3.3.1.1) on the top of page 11 of 97. Rather than providing the lightning strike scenario, copy the Item and provide a fill-in-the-blank statement to test the applicants' knowledge of where the incident commander function is required to be located. Partial: The Item # 3.3.1.1 that this question is targeting in EPM-4 contains two words that can allow an applicant to argue that there is <u>no</u> correct answer. The words "may", "IF", "should" can imply there is no right or wrong way to complete the incident commander function locally. Suggest the following: WOOTF completes the following statement in accordance with EPM-4, Users Guide, Section 3.3, Duties of the Operations Team? The local Incident Commander function be performed remotely from the control room during a A. may; fire when the Unit Supervisors are at the minimum crew staffing level B. may: medical emergency when the Auxiliary Unit Operators are at the minimum crew staffing level D. may NOT; medical emergency even if the Auxiliary Unit Operators are at the minimum crew staffing level [SRO-only: Knowledge of administrative procedures & SRO responsibilities]

	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	/S	4.	Job Con	tent Fl	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.	8.			
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation			
100	H	2	Focus			Dist.	X	Link		units	x		Only	В	E	 G2.4.6 Partial: "B" and "D" are very similar; therefore, an applicant can potentially argue that "D" is correct. Backward: "B" and "D" are backwards logic because of the phrase "if the red path still exists." All of the required information should be in the stem of the question and then the applicants' should make their choice only based on the information in the stem. Suggest the following: A LOCA occurred on Unit 1 and FR-C.2, Degraded Core Cooling has been entered and a depressurization of the steam generators is being performed. The STA subsequently reported a Red Path condition on FR-P.1, Pressurized Thermal Shock. WOOTF identifies the required depressurization rate in FR-C.2 and the required action in accordance with the Functional Restoration Procedures? Less than 100 deg/hr; Suspend implementation to FR-P.1; Less than 100 deg/hr; Immediately transition to FR-P.1; 			
																 Less than 100 deg/nr; immediately transition to FR-P.1; D. Maximum achievable rate; Suspend implementation of FR-P.1 [SRO-only: Procedure selection] 			

Written Examination Grading Quality Checklist

Facility: SEQUOYAH	Date of Exam: 3-3-10 Exam Lev	/el: RO	X s	RO
			Initials	;
	Item Description	а	b	с
1. Clean answer she	ets copied before grading	MJR	N/A	BLC
2. Answer key chang and documented	es and question deletions justified	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. Applicants' scores (reviewers spot ch	checked for addition errors eck > 25% of examinations)	NIA	N/A	BU
4. Grading for all bor as applicable, ±4%	derline cases (80 ±2% overall and 70 or 80, o on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	N/A	N/A	NA
5. All other failing exact are justified	aminations checked to ensure that grades	NA	A/N	NA
6. Performance on m deficiencies and w of questions misse	issed questions checked for training ording problems; evaluate validity d by half or more of the applicants	MJR	N/A	BN
	Printed Name/Signature		D	ate
a. Grader	MARK J. RICHES Mark Riches	4	<u>03-</u>	11-1D
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	N/A			
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*	BRUND CABALLERO/BRUNG challes	10	3-	22-10
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	MALCOLMT. WIDNEANN/ (MUNICHUR	~	<u>03/2</u>	2/10
(*) The facility reviewer two independent N	's signature is not applicable for examinations IRC reviews are required.	graded	by the I	NRC;

Written Examination Grading Quality Checklist

Fa	cility: SEQUOYAH	Date of Exam: 3-3-70	Exam Lev	el: RO	S	ROX
					Initials	
	lt	em Description		а	b	с
1.	Clean answer sheet	s copied before grading		MJR	N/A	Bol
2.	Answer key changes and documented	and question deletions justified		N/A	a/a	NA
3.	Applicants' scores cl (reviewers spot chec	necked for addition errors k > 25% of examinations)		N/A	N/A	Bok
4.	Grading for all borde as applicable, ±4% c	rline cases (80 ±2% overall and n the SRO-only) reviewed in det	70 or 80, ail	MJR	N/N	PBU
5.	All other failing exam are justified	inations checked to ensure that	grades	MJR	N/A	BA
6.	Performance on mise deficiencies and wor of questions missed	sed questions checked for trainin ding problems; evaluate validity by half or more of the applicants	ng	MJR	NJA	BOU
		Printed Name/Signature	;		D	ate
a.	Grader	MARK J. RICHES Mak	J. Riche	L	03-1	1-10
b.	Facility Reviewer(*)	N/A	V			
c.	NRC Chief Examiner (*)	BRUND CABALLEND / BURNEL	<u>ilaller</u>		3-2	2-10
d.	NRC Supervisor (*)	MALCOLA T. WITHINAN / MININ	withen		03/2	2/10
(*)	The facility reviewer's two independent NR	signature is not applicable for exa C reviews are required.	aminations	graded k	by the N	IRC;