2009 QUAD CITIES NUCLEAR POWER STATION INITIAL EXAMINATION ADMINISTRATIVE FILES

Facility: _	Quad Cities Date of Examination	n: Nov 30, 2009			
Examinations Developed by: Facility / NRC (circle one)					
Target Date*	Task Description (Reference)	Chief Examiner's Initials			
-180	1. Examination administration date confirmed (C.1.a; C.2.a and b)	RKW			
-120	2. NRC examiners and facility contact assigned (C.1.d; C.2.e)	RKM			
-120	3. Facility contact briefed on security and other requirements (C.2.c)	RUW			
-120	4. Corporate notification letter sent (C.2.d)	RHW			
[-90]	[5. Reference material due (C.1.e; C.3.c; Attachment 2)]	RIW			
-75	 Integrated examination outline(s) due, including Forms ES-201-2, ES-201-3, ES-301-1, ES-301-2, ES-301-5, ES-D-1's, ES-401-1/2, ES-401-3, and ES-401-4, as applicable (C.1.e and f; C.3.d) 	RNW			
-70	7. Examination outline(s) reviewed by NRC and feedback provided to facility licensee (C.2.h; C.3.e)	RIW			
-45	8. Proposed examinations (including written, walk-through JPMs, and scenarios, as applicable), supporting documentation (including Forms ES-301-3, ES-301-4, ES-301-5, ES-301-6, and ES-401-6), and reference materials due (C.1.e, f, g and h; C.3.d)	RIW			
-30	9. Preliminary license applications (NRC Form 398's) due (C.1.I; C.2.g; ES-202)	RNW			
-14	10. Final license applications due and Form ES-201-4 prepared (C.1.I; C.2.i; ES-202)	KM			
-14	11. Examination approved by NRC supervisor for facility licensee review (C,2.h; C.3.f)	RW			
-14	12. Examinations reviewed with facility licensee (C.1.j; C.2.f and h; C.3.g)	RNW			
-7	13. Written examinations and operating tests approved by NRC supervisor (C.2.i; C.3.h)	bkm bkm			
-7	 Final applications reviewed; examination approval and waiver letters sent (C.2.i; Attachment 4; ES-204) 	PHW			
-7	 Proctoring/written exam administration guidelines reviewed with facility licensee (C.3.k) 	BIN			
-7	 Approved scenarios, job performance measures, and questions distributed to NRC examiners (C.3.i) 	RNW RNW			
* Target dates are keyed to the examination date identified in the corporate notification letter. They are for planning purposes and may be adjusted on a case-by-case basis in coordination with the facility licensee. [] Applies only to examinations prepared by the NRC.					

1. Pre-Examination

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 1/30 in 1/41. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

	PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE	
1.	RAYMOND J. VENCI	EXAM Author	Naymond & Vener	6/24/09 Naymond / Venu	12/14/19	
2.	Jesemy M. Bries	Exam Preparer	John To	6/24/09 ATM	1415/09	
3,	Leone R. Thullen	Exam freparer	At Chiller	4/24/19 3/ C/ Trall	2 12/15/9	
4.	aweno uniesm	office support	Leveragians	april alle alm	12/15/07	SEE AHALAED
5.	Steve Russell	OTPS/OVERSIGHT	Her Kolm (18	6/30/04	#	
6.	Kaymond Bakey	ITSA	Mymon O Bilm IV	6/30/09 Lynd (/3 clutte	12/15/09	FDF
7.	Richard Gaylord	exam consultant	World ; Gants	7-8-09 Mulist. Gay4	12-15-09	
8.	James Cox	Facility RED	HILLS.	alida Ha	1/8/10	
9.	Anda Seyneve	Transma 334	Otto Three	7.10.09 Colle	12/30/09	
10	O. William K. Henry	Simulater SUPPORT	telleund Clean	8-5-09Willian Her	12/129	
1	MARK W JENTYN	work contain	More	8-2009 MmRdu	12/16/09	
12	2 James 6. Wooldriday	SME.	and the same	8-21-07 Datel.	2/08/10	
13	3. Dalbert Wiebenga	RO Validator	CARCAN	8/24/09 DULES	1-8-10	
14	4. Brian Waker	SIZO VALIDATOR	De Kill Do	8/4/4 0000	1-08-10	
15	5. Bryan Aude	Ro Validater	ren	8-24-9 1202	1-8-10	
N	OTES:					

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of il/50-12/14/2s of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 11/3 h 11/11/2 From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. MICHAEL GRAHAM	SME VALIDATOR	muhil a suham	8/24/09 1	Kuchel Stroken	12/11/09
2. MICHAUL CULLIN	SmE	Michael Jalli	8-24-09	Makeul Laewi	1-8-10
3. Rodnez Whitwore	NSO / Validator	2. With	8/27/09	K. Western	2/1/10
4. Haran Mohr	Não / le lida Tor.	- UNR	1/29/08	. 5	2/10/10
5. FRIC MARKS	SRO / UNLIDATOIL	2.412	5-27-9	2-129-6	1-8-10
6. DALE Steel	são Vetidotos	(0)/	8/21/09	012	12/5/05
7. Ed Pannell	5Ro Validator	Ellenill	827-09	Danell .	12/15/3/
8. CRAIG ICAUFMAN	RO VALIDATOR	CKH	814/9	TRIP	2/1/10
9. DOW WARREN	BO VALIDATOR	Da	8/27/9		2/1/10
10 John to blasse	KO VALIDATOR	and the	82709	SHEL.	12-17-07
11. Kevin Holle	SRO	Keun Holl	8/23/09	Kein Holls	1/7/10
12. John A. Ralston	BO Valianter	Johns Raliter	9-8-69	Whina Roelates	1-8-10
13. Tim Dalman	Ro Valuator	Siege !	9-8-9	Total -	2-23-10
14. ROW SCHEDEDER	SRU VALIDATOR	1. Selweder	9/8/9	R. Selmoella	1/13/10
15. KEVIN CRAVENS	SRO VALIDATOR	Kb Craveus	9-16-09	KBfravous	12-17-09
NOTES					

1. Pre-Examination

2. Post-Examination

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. JEFFRON A 645667	WAITTON VALIDATOR(SRO)	Ja Eng	9/203 galey	1/25/10
2. JOSEPH M. SOLTIS	WRITTEN VAUDATION(SPO)	- Jamesury	9/25/09 8 NACKTAN	12/17/09
4. LANNY CVSIMAND	ILT EXAM SUPPORT	Same Mar Programme	10/30/19 Hanny W. Cinternan	12115/09
5. John Beswick		John Besurele	11-2-09 John Busuit	
6. David F. Schumacher	SRO VALIDATE	Dittali	11-2-09 Well 7 Selm	12-17-9
7. JAY WAGNER	RO VALIDATOR	-5W2	11/2/09 - 3522	1/8/10
8. Ala Baker	Sim Op	De Seil	Maclos Sel	12 17.9
9. DUANE DECKER	SEQUESTER	Lavam Docker	4/30/09 June 1 Docker	- 1/4/10
10. Lynn Bowker	Sequester	Trom A. Barker	11/30/09 7 1	* SEE FAX
11. John C. Posnks	Phyne Talker	White	12/7/09 /2/1//	12/10
12. CHARLES C. SCHRONICK	SIM OP	The sola	12/10 Chel XX	12/14/09
13. Duane Hass	Scenario Sorrigate	aller 1	12/8/05 x COC 1	12 (10/03
14. D. KIMIER	505 / PROGRAMO WINEL	Dutiller	12/9/09 Deiner	12-18-09
15. Dianne L. Behrens	office Bupport	Dianne R. Behrens	12/9/09 Dialne 8.480	hrens 12/18/09
NOTES:	- 17			

Form ES-201-3

1. Pre-Examination

ES-201

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of interest in the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. Jed Ferdinger	Proctor	Jet Judmal	12/14/09	xel Heldert	14/1/05
2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
3		·			
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
NOTES:					

TRAINING 2nd fir.

Examination Security Agreement

Form ES-201-3

ES-201

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of the performance that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. JEFFRON A LASTER	UPITTON VALIDATOR (SEO)	Jaky	9/2909	galey	1/25/10
2. JOSEPH M. SOLDS	WILLHOW VALUDATION SAW)	1 American 1	9/15/09	5 MANNY	12/17/09
3. Dary G.Clark	Writer Validation SRD)	LOUN KUNE	10/2/09	WACIONE	1/13/10
4. LANNY CVSJAMAND	ILT EXAM SUPPORT	Same M. C. Samerally	10/30/19	Burne Na Citabulage	
5. John Beswick	Nso Validate	John Besurite	11-Z-09	Sky Branie	2-10-10
B. David F. Schumachee	SRC Validate	DITTEL	11-2-07	Withe	12-17-9
7. JAY WALNER	RO VALIDATOR	-5WL	11/3/05	-1500-	118110
8. Alax Backer	Sim Op	all Kal	Magion	W-Sal	217.9
9. DUANE DELKER	SEQUESTER	Thomas Dock	Poletin	Time Dealer	- Malia
10. Lynn Bowker	Sequester	tron A. Backer	11/30/04	Fran He Barker	2/14/10
11. John C. Possis	Phyne Talker	Carlotte	12/7/19	helle	12/190
12. CHARLES C. SCHRONICK		Kla Make	Shide	ULBRA	12/14/05
13. Avene Haw	3000Any Surveite	alle 1	12/15/05	elle n	14/14/19
14. D. KIMIEL	305 / PROGRAMONINEL	Solitaler	12/9/09	Shink	12-18-09
15. Dianne L. Behrens	office Bupport	Dianne R. Behren	1 /2/9/0	9 Dialone K. Voe	huna 12/18/09
NOTES:	77				n annex maken la .



Form ES-201-3

Examination Security Agreement

ES-201

1. Pre-Examination

l acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 1/3 to 1/4 from the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. RAYMING J. VENCI	Exam Author	Paymond & Viner	6/24/09	Daymard / Vone	12/14/19
2. Jelemy M. Bues	Exam Preparer	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	4/24/09		12/15/09
4. GWERT LINESM	Office Support	Llour Jans	UDU100	HOTE WAR	12/5/09
5. Steve Russell	OTPS/INCRSIGHT	Star Complete	4/30/04	Statill W	2/17/10
7. Richard Gaylord	Exam consultant	Whate Fants	7-2-09	The County	12-15-09
8. James Cox	Facility Rep	Alle	7/10/09	Alle	1/6/10
9. Any Seyneare	Transmi Sisa	Chillian 1	7.10.09	after the second	12/20/09
10. MARK W JENSTN	Simulater Support	More state and	8-20-09	marker	12/16/01
12. Imes 6, Wooldridge		200	8-21-0	at 2	2/68/10
13. Dalbert Wiebenaa		866	- * * * * * *	The color	1-8-10
15. Bryan Aude	Ro Validator	rece	8-24-9	har	18210
NOTES:					

RO

Tier / Group	Randomly Selected K/A	Reason for Rejection
T2G1	2620002 K5.04 became 262002 K6.02	Importance Rating <2.5 and no K5s with IR > 2.5. Randomly Reselected K6.02
T2G1	264000 K2.02 became 264000K 3.02	Importance Rating <2.5 and no K2s with IR > 2.5. Randomly Reselected K3.02
T2G1	215005 K3.03 became 215005 K2.02	After the 264000 K2 was replaced with a K3, T2G1 became unbalanced with 3 K3s and only 1 K2. Randomly selected one of the other two systems with K3s. Replaced with 215005 K2.02.
T2G2	201006 K2.01 became 201006 K3.01	Importance Rating <2.5 and the only K2 available. Randomly selected K3.01
T2G2	272000 A4.06 became 272000 K2.01	After the 201006 K2 was reselected, there were not enough K2s selected in T2G2 while the A4 category had 5 selected. Randomly selected 272000 from that domain and then selected K2.01.
T2G2	268000 A3 became 204000 A3.05	No A3 KAs. Randomly selected another system for an A3 topic, RWCU. Then selected A3.05. (Any other reselection option would have resulted in multiple reselections to flatten the distribution.)
Т3	2.4.08 became 2.4.32	SG 2.4.08 selected in both T1 and T3. Replaced T3 2.4.08 with 2.4.32.
T2G1	SSMP K5.06 became SSMP K5.02	SSMP is a Quad Cities specific system that was added to T2G1 in agreement with the Chief Examiner. It was also agreed to use RCIC, 217000, KA statements. The K5.06 sub-topic is "Turbine operation", a component that the SSMP does not have. K5.02, flow indication is viable.
T2G2	290002 2.4.41 became 290002 2.4.06	The original System Generic K/A statement (about EAL classifications) could not be combined with the reactor vessel internals system to produce a psychometrically sound question at the RO level.
T2G1	215004 K2.01 became 215004 2.1.23	215004 (SRM) has only one K2 (power supply to detector). This KA combination was used on the Cert Exam and the resultant question would be a duplication. Randomly selected Generic to replace K2 and then selected an unused System Generic.
T1G1	295016 2.2.04 became 295016 2.1.28	There are no differences between units (2.2.04) as applied to control room abandonment. Reselected an unused System Generic K/A.
	295016 2.1.28 became 295016 2.1.20	Knowledge of purpose of major system component and controls does not apply to Control Room abandonment because it is not a system. Randomly selected an unused System Generic K/A.

RO

-		110
Tier / Group	Randomly Selected K/A	Reason for Rejection
T1G1	295019 AK2.19 became 295019 AK2.02	There is no relationship between the Instrument Air system and the RHR/LPCI. Randomly selected another K2 for that evolution.
T1G2	295033 EA1.06 became 295033 EA1.04	EA1.06 is has an asterisk with its importance rating, meaning there was high variability in responses. At Quad Cities, High Secondary Containment Radiation procedures direct operators to use Radiation Protection Department personnel for survey support. There are no references available to support a question on use of "portable radiation monitoring instruments" related to high secondary containment radiation. Randomly selected another EA1 for this evolution.
	295033 EA1.04 became 295033 EA1.01	EA1.04 was rejected due to oversampling and a close relationship with 261000 A3.01. Randomly selected another EA1 topic.
T2G1	217000 K4.03 became 217000K4.02	The concept of minimum flow protection was also sampled under HPCI (206000 A2.06). Randomly selected another K4 for this system.
T1G1	295024 EA 2.06 became 295024 EA2.02	This combination relates High DW Temp to Torus water temp. The High Torus water temp Emergency (295026) is also selected in T1G1 and the High Torus water temp Abnormal (295013) is selected in T1G2. To avoid oversampling, Randomly selected another EA2 for this evolution.
T2G1	259002 AA2.09 became 259002 AA2.06	A 2.09 refers to the FWCI system failure alarm. FWCI is not applicable to Quad Cities. Randomly selected another AA2 topic.
T1G2	295008 AA1.07 became 295008 AA1.08	Topic conflicts with T1G1 295005 AA1.04 which is also about the ability to trip the main turbine during high RPV water level conditions. Randomly selected another AA1 topic.
ТЗ	2.4.26 became 2.4.42	Reactor Operators at Quad Cities are not qualified to serve on the Fire Brigade. This K/A was rejected at Oconee in June 2004 for the same reason (precedent). Randomly reselected an unused Generic K/A.
T1G1	295025 2.2.40 became 295025 2.4.01	Once in the EOPs for (Emergency Level) Reactor High Pressure, the LCO for RPV pressure will be exited immediately due transition to Mode 3. Therefore could not write a psychometrically sound question. Randomly reselected an unused Generic K/A.
174		

SRO

Tier /	Randomly	Reason for Rejection
Group	Selected K/A	Heason for Hejection
SRO	295029 A2.01	No System Generics were originally selected in this Tier and Group.
T1G2	became	Randomly selected 295029 and then selected SG 2.4.50
	295029 2.4.50	
SRO	295001 2.4.18	295001 is an Abnormal Evolution to which 2.4.18 "Knowledge of the
T1G1	became	specific bases of EOPs" does not apply. Randomly selected a
	295001 2.4.04	System Generic KA.
SRO	295030 EA2.01	Questions on this evolution (Low Torus Water Level) conflict with a
T1G1	became	previously developed scenario transient. Randomly selected
	295038 EA2.01	another evolution and randomly selected another A2 topic.
SRO	600000 2.2.39	2.2.39 was SRO knowledge of <1hr Tech Specs which is also RO
T1G1	became	knowledge. Randomly selected an unused System Generic KA.
	600000 2.4.30	
SRO	295002 AA2.02	Evolution of loss of condenser vacuum was too similar to a
T1G2	became	simulator scenario. Randomly selected another evolution and
9	295014 AA2.01	randomly selected another A2 topic.
SRO	217000 2.4.21	RCIC is not an ECCS system and no credit is taken in the Safety
T2G1	became	Analysis. Therefore Generic KA 2.4.21 did not apply. Randomly
11 12 2	217000 2.1.32	selected an unused System Generic KA.
SRO	218000 A2.02	The A2.02 topic conflicts with a scenario event. Upon review, the
T2G1	became	only A2 topic that does not conflict with a scenario event or a written
	218000 A2.03	question is A2.03.
SRO	262001 2.4.20	There are no applicable EOP warnings, cautions, or notes related to
T2G1	became	loss of AC power. Randomly selected an unused System Generic
	262001 2.4.21	KA.
11- 6	262001 2.4.21	Originally selected topic conflicted with Emergency topic 700000 in
	became	T1G1 on the RO exam. In order to provide better balance in T2G1,
	262001 A2.04	of the SRO exam, randomly reselected from the A2 category.

SRO

0110				
Tier / Group	Randomly Selected K/A	Reason for Rejection		
SRO T1G1	295028 EA2.05 became 295028 EA2.04	Not able to construct a psychometrically sound question relating to the parameters. Randomly selected another EA2 topic.		
SRO T2G2	290003 2.4.01 became 290003 2.2.44	No EOP conditions or immediate action steps apply to the Control Room HVAC system. Randomly reselected an unused System Generic K/A.		
SRO T1G1	295018 2.4.49 became 295018 2.4.11	There are no immediate operator action statements in the Loss of CCW procedures from which to construct an SRO question. From the unused System Generic KAs, randomly selected 2.2.36 and then 2.2.40, both of which relate Loss of CCW to Tech Specs. However, there are no CCW LCOs. Randomly reselected another unused System Generic K/A.		
SRO T3	2.1.44 became 2.1.34	Unable to construct a SRO level question about "Knowledge of RO duties" during refueling. From the unused System Generic KAs, randomly selected 2.1.5, which was being used as the basis for a SRO JPM. Randomly reselected another unused System Generic K/A.		
+				

Written Examination Grading Quality Checklist

Form ES-403-1

Facility	/: Fermi	Date of Exam: Exan	n Level: RO 🕱 S	RO □			
				Initials		s	
Item Description			а	b	С		
1.	Clean answer sheet	s copied before grading		CAM N/A RIW		RIW	
Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented			Glu		pnw		
 Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations) 			Chr		KN €	12/30/29	
4.				NA		PNO	
All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified			NA		NIA		
6.				Pm		Bum	
Printed Name/Signature Date					Date		
a. Grader CARL Mode Coul Move				-	12/18/19		
b. Facility Reviewer(*)			-	N/A			
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*) haymand K Walton Rayulk Walter			1	12/30/2009			
d. NRC Supervisor (*) Hivonovi Peterson April 1/7/10					110.		
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.							

Facility	: Date of Exam: Exam	Level: F	20 5	RO⊠			
racinty	. Date of Exam. Exam	Initials					
Item Description		а					
1.				RNU			
	 Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented 			RVLo			
3.	 Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations) 			puw			
1000	 Grading for all borderline cases (80 ±2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail 			M			
	 All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified 			Ala			
	Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	FINA		BIW			
	Printed Name/Signature		D	ate			
a. Grad	-	12/18/19					
b. Faci		N/A					
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*) Raymond K Walton Ray Walto			12/30/2009				
d. NRC	1/7/11						
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.							