# **CHAPTER 5<sup>t</sup>: SHIELDING EVALUATION**

#### 5.0 INTRODUCTION

The shielding analysis of the HI-STORM 100 System, including the HI-STORM 100 overpack, HI-STORM 100S overpack, HI-STORM 100S Version B overpack<sup>††</sup>, and the 100-ton (including the 1OOD) and 125-ton (including the 125D) HI-TRAC transfer casks, is presented in this chapter. The HI-STORM 100 System is designed to accommodate different MPCs within HI-STORM overpacks (the HI-STORM **IOOS** overpack is a shorter version of the HI-STORM 100 overpack and the HI-STORM **1OS** Version B is shorter than both the HI-STORM **100** and **lOOS** overpacks). The MPCs are designated as MPC-24, MPC-24E and MPC-24EF (24 PWR fuel assemblies), MPC-32 and MPC-32F (32 PWR fuel assemblies), and MPC-68, MPC-68F, and MPC-68FF (68 BWR fuel assemblies). The MPC-24E and MPC-24EF are essentially identical to the MPC-24 from a shielding perspective. Therefore only the MPC-24 is analyzed in this chapter. Likewise, the MPC-68, MPC-68F and MPC-68FF are identical from a shielding perspective as are the MPC-32 and MPC-32F and therefore only the MPC-68 and MPC-32 are analyzed. Throughout this chapter, unless stated otherwise, MPC-24 refers to either the MPC-24, MPC-24E, or MPC-24EF and MPC-32 refers to either the MPC-32 or MPC-32F and MPC-68 refers to the MPC-68, MPC-68F, and MPC-68FF.

In addition to storing intact PWR and BWR fuel assemblies, the HI-STORM 100 System is designed to store BWR and PWR damaged fuel assemblies and fuel debris. Damaged fuel assemblies and fuel debris are defined in Sections 2.1.3 and 2.1.9. Both damaged fuel assemblies and fuel debris are required to be loaded into Damaged Fuel Containers (DFCs).

The MPC-68, MPC-68F, and MPC-68FF are also capable of storing Dresden Unit **I** antimonyberyllium neutron sources and the single Thoria rod canister which contains 18 thoria rods that were irradiated in two separate fuel assemblies.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR Rev. 8 REPORT HI-2002444 5.0-1

 $\ddagger$ 

This chapter has been prepared in the format and section organization set forth in Regulatory Guide 3.61. However, the material content of this chapter also fulfills the requirements of NUREG-1536. Pagination and numbering of sections, figures, and tables are consistent with the convention set down in *Chapter 1,* Section 1.0, herein. Finally, all terms-of-art used in this chapter are consistent with the terminology of the glossary (Table 1.0.1) and component nomenclature of the Bill-of-Materials (Section 1.5).

tt The HI-STORM 100S Version B was implemented in the HI-STORM FSAR (between Revisions 2 and 3) through the 10 CFR 72.48 process. The discussion of the HI-STORM 100S Version B and associated results were added to LAR 1014-2 at the end of the review cycle to support the NRC review of the radiation protection program proposed in the Certificate of Compliance in LAR 1014-2. The NRC did not review and approve any aspect of the design of the HI-STORM **I00S** Version B since it has been implemented under the provisions of 10 CFR 72.48.

PWR fuel assemblies may contain burnable poison rod assemblies (BPRAs), thimble plug devices (TPDs), control rod assemblies (CRAs) or axial power shaping rod assemblies (APSRs), neutron source assemblies (NSAs) or similarly named devices. These non-fuel hardware devices are an integral part of PWR fuel assemblies and therefore the HI-STORM 100 System has been designed to store PWR fuel assemblies with or without these devices. Since each device occupies the same location within a fuel assembly, a single PWR fuel assembly will not contain multiple devices, with the exception of instrument tube tie rods (ITTRs), which may be stored in the assembly along with other types of non-fuel hardware.

In order to offer the user more flexibility in fuel storage, the HI-STORM 100 System offers two different loading patterns in the MPC-24, MPC-24E, MPC-24EF, MPC-32, MPC-32F, MPC-68, and the MPC-68FF. These patterns are uniform and regionalized loading as described in Section 2.0.1 and 2.1.6. Since the different loading patterns have different allowable burnup and cooling times combinations, both loading patterns are discussed in this chapter.

The sections that follow will demonstrate that the design of the HI-STORM 100 dry cask storage system fulfills the following acceptance criteria outlined in the Standard Review Plan, NUREG-1536 [5.2.1]:

Acceptance Criteria

- 1. The minimum distance from each spent fuel handling and storage facility to the controlled area boundary must be at least 100 meters. The "controlled area" is defined in 10CFR72.3 as the area immediately surrounding an ISFSI or monitored retrievable storage (MRS) facility, for which the licensee exercises authority regarding its use and within which ISFSI operations are performed.
- 2. The cask vendor must show that, during both normal operations and anticipated occurrences, the radiation shielding features of the proposed dry cask storage system are sufficient to meet the radiation dose requirements in Sections 72.104(a). Specifically, the vendor must demonstrate this capability for a typical array of casks in the most bounding site configuration. For example, the most bounding configuration might be located at the minimum distance (100 meters) to the controlled area boundary, without any shielding from other structures or topography.
- 3. Dose rates from the cask must be consistent with a well established "as low as reasonably achievable" (ALARA) program for activities in and around the storage site.
- 4. After a design-basis accident, an individual at the boundary or outside the controlled area shall not receive a dose greater than the limits specified in 10CFR 72.106.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR Rev. 8 REPORT HI-2002444 5.0-2

5. The proposed shielding features must ensure that the dry cask storage system meets the regulatory requirements for occupational and radiation dose limits for individual members of the public, as prescribed in 10 CFR Part 20, Subparts C and D.

This chapter contains the following information which demonstrates full compliance with the Standard Review Plan, NUREG-1536:

- A description of the shielding features of the HI-STORM 100 System, including the HI-TRAC transfer cask.
- **"** A description of the bounding source terms.
- A general description of the shielding analysis methodology.
- **"** A description of the analysis assumptions and results for the HI-STORM 100 System, including the HI-TRAC transfer cask.
- \* Analyses are presented for each MPC showing that the radiation dose rates follow As-Low-As-Reasonably-Achievable (ALARA) practices.
- **"** The HI-STORM 100 System has been analyzed to show that the IOCFR72.104 and 1OCFR72.106 controlled area boundary radiation dose limits are met during normal, offnormal, and accident conditions of storage for non-effluent radiation from illustrative ISFSI configurations at a minimum distance of 100 meters.
- **"** Analyses are also presented which demonstrate that the storage of damaged fuel and fuel debris in the HI-STORM 100 System is acceptable during normal, off-normal, and accident conditions.

Chapter 2 contains a detailed description of structures, systems, and components important to safety.

Chapter 7 contains a discussion on the release of radioactive materials from the HI-STORM 100 System. Therefore, this chapter only calculates the dose from direct neutron and gamma radiation emanating from the HI-STORM 100 System.

Chapter 10, Radiation Protection, contains the following information:

- **"** A discussion of the estimated occupational exposures for the HI-STORM 100 System, including the HI-TRAC transfer cask.
- **"** A summary of the estimated radiation exposure to the public.

#### HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR Rev. 8 REPORT HI-2002444 5.0-3

## *5.1* DISCUSSION AND RESULTS

The principal sources of radiation in the HI-STORM 100 System are:

- Gamma radiation originating from the following sources
	- **1.** Decay of radioactive fission products
	- 2. Secondary photons from neutron capture in fissile and non-fissile nuclides
	- 3. Hardware activation products generated during core operations
- Neutron radiation originating from the following sources
	- **I.** Spontaneous fission
	- 2.  $\alpha$ , n reactions in fuel materials
	- 3. Secondary neutrons produced by fission from subcritical multiplication
	- 4.  $\gamma$ , n reactions (this source is negligible)
	- 5. Dresden Unit **I** antimony-beryllium neutron sources

During loading, unloading, and transfer operations, shielding from gamma radiation is provided by the steel structure of the MPC and the steel, lead, and water of the HI-TRAC transfer cask. For storage, the gamma shielding is provided by the MPC, and the steel and concrete of the overpack. Shielding from neutron radiation is provided by the concrete of the overpack during storage and by the water of the HI-TRAC transfer cask during loading, unloading, and transfer operations. Additionally, in the HI-TRAC 125 and 125D top lid and the transfer lid of the HI-TRAC 125, a solid neutron shielding material, Holtite-A is used to thermalize the neutrons. Boron carbide, dispersed in the solid neutron shield material utilizes the high neutron absorption cross section of  ${}^{10}B$  to absorb the thermalized neutrons.

The shielding analyses were performed with MCNP-4A [5.1.1] developed by Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL). The source terms for the design basis fuels were calculated with the SAS2H and ORIGEN-S sequences from the SCALE 4.3 system [5.1.2, 5.1.3]. A detailed description of the MCNP models and the source term calculations are presented in Sections 5.3 and 5.2, respectively.

The design basis zircaloy clad fuel assemblies used for calculating the dose rates presented in this chapter are B&W 15x15 and the GE 7x7, for PWR and BWR fuel types, respectively. The design basis intact 6x6 and mixed oxide (MOX) fuel assemblies are the GE 6x6. The GE 6x6 is also the design basis damaged fuel assembly for the Dresden Unit **I** and Humboldt Bay array classes. Section 2.1.9 specifies the acceptable intact zircaloy clad fuel characteristics and the acceptable damaged fuel characteristics.

The design basis stainless steel clad fuels are the WE 15x15 and the A/C 1Oxl0, for PWR and BWR fuel types, respectively. Section 2.1.9 specifies the acceptable fuel characteristics of stainless steel clad fuel for storage.

REPORT HI-2002444

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL HI-STORM FSAR Rev. 7

The MPC-24, MPC-24E, MPC-24EF, MPC-32, MPC-32F, MPC-68, and MPC-68FF are qualified for storage of SNF with different combinations of maximum burnup levels and minimum cooling times. Section 2.1.9 specifies the acceptable maximum burnup levels and minimum cooling times for storage of zircaloy clad fuel in these MPCs. Section 2.1.9 also specifies the acceptable maximum burnup levels and minimum cooling times for storage of stainless steel clad fuel. The burnup and cooling time values in Section 2.1.9, which differ by array class, were chosen based on an analysis of the maximum decay heat load that could be accommodated within each MPC. Section 5.2 of this chapter describes the choice of the design basis fuel assembly based on a comparison of source terms and also provides a description of how the allowable burnup and cooling times were derived. Since for a given cooling time, different array classes have different allowable burnups in Section 2.1.9, burnup and cooling times that bound array classes 14x14A and 9x9G were used for the analysis in this chapter since these array class burnup and cooling time combinations bound the combinations from the other PWR and BWR array classes. Section 5.2.5 describes how this results in a conservative estimate of the maximum dose rates.

Section 2.1.9 specifies that the maximum assembly average burnup for PWR and BWR fuel is 68,200 and 65,000 MWD/MTU, respectively. The analysis in this chapter conservatively considers burnups up to 75,000 and 70,000 MWD/MTU for PWR and BWR fuel, respectively.

The burnup and cooling time combinations listed below bound all acceptable uniform and regionalized loading burnup levels and cooling times from Section 2.1.9. All combinations were analyzed in the HI-STORM overpack and HI-TRAC transfer casks.



HI-STORM FSAR Rev. 7 REPORT HI-2002444

 $\alpha$ 



Results are presented in this chapter for the single burnup and cooling time combination for zircaloy clad fuel from the above table which produces the highest dose rate at 1 meter from the midplane of the HI-STORM overpack and HI-TRAC transfer casks. The burnup and cooling time combination may be different for normal and accident conditions and for the different overpacks.

As mentioned earlier, there are different versions of the HI-STORM overpack: the HI-STORM 100, the HI-STORM **IOOS,** and the HI-STORM **IOOS** Version B. Section 5.3 describes all three overpacks. However, since the HI-STORM **IOOS** Version B overpack has higher dose rates at the inlet vents and slightly higher offsite dose rates than the other overpacks, results are only presented for the HI-STORM **IOOS** Version B overpack.

The 100-ton HI-TRAC with the MPC-24 has higher normal condition dose rates at the mid-plane than the 100-ton HI-TRAC with the MPC-32 or the MPC-68. Therefore, the MPC-24 results are presented in this section and the MPC-24 was used for the dose exposure estimates in Chapter 10. The MPC-32 results, MPC-68 results, and additional MPC-24 results are provided in Section 5.4 for comparison. The 100-ton HI-TRAC with the MPC-24 also has higher accident condition dose rates at the mid-plane than the 100-ton HI-TRAC with the MPC-32 or the MPC-68. Therefore, the MPC-24 results for accident condition are presented in the section. Accident condition results for the MPC-32 and MPC-68 in the 100-ton HI-TRAC are not provided in this chapter. The HI-TRAC **100D** is a variation on the 100-ton HI-TRAC with fewer radial ribs and a slightly different lower water jacket. Section 5.4 presents results for the HI-TRAC **100D** with the MPC-32.

The HI-TRAC 100 and **100D** dose rates bound the HI-TRAC 125 and 125D dose rates for the same burnup and cooling time combinations. Therefore, for illustrative purposes, the MPC-24 was the only MPC analyzed in the HI-TRAC 125 and 125D. Since the HI-TRAC 125D has fewer radial ribs, the dose rate at the midplane of the HI-TRAC 125D is higher than the dose rate at the midplane of the HI-TRAC 125. Therefore, the results on the radial surface are only presented for the HI-TRAC 125D in this chapter.

As a general statement, the dose rates for uniform loading presented in this chapter bound the dose rates for regionalized loading therefore, dose rates for specific burnup and cooling time combinations in a regionalized loading pattern are not presented in this chapter. For regionalized loading where higher burned or shorter cooled assemblies are placed in the center of the cask, the dose rates would be substantially lower than the bounding dose rates presented here. For regionalized loading where the higher burned or shorter cooled assemblies are placed on the

HI-STORM FSAR Rev. 7 REPORT HI-2002444

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

periphery, the dose rates could be closer to the bounding dose rates presented here. Section 5.4.9 provides an additional brief discussion on regionalized loading.

Unless otherwise stated all tables containing dose rates for design basis fuel refer to design basis intact zircaloy clad fuel.

#### 5.1.1 Normal and Off-Normal Operations

Chapter **I I** discusses the potential off-normal conditions and their effect on the HI-STORM 100 System. None of the off-normal conditions have any impact on the shielding analysis. Therefore, off-normal and normal conditions are identical for the purpose of the shielding evaluation.

The IOCFR72.104 criteria for radioactive materials in effluents and direct radiation during normal operations are:

- 1. During normal operations and anticipated occurrences, the annual dose equivalent to any real individual who is located beyond the controlled area, must not exceed 25 mrem to the whole body, 75 mrem to the thyroid and 25 mrem to any other critical organ.
- 2. Operational restrictions must be established to meet as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA) objectives for radioactive materials in effluents and direct radiation.

I0CFR20 Subparts C and D specify additional requirements for occupational dose limits and radiation dose limits for individual members of the public. Chapter 10 specifically addresses these regulations.

In accordance with ALARA practices, design objective dose rates are established for the HI-STORM 100 System in Section 2.3.5.2 as: 300 mrem/hour on the radial surface of the overpack, 175 mrem/hour at the openings of the air vents, and 60 mrem/hour on the top of the overpack.

The HI-STORM overpack dose rates presented in this section are conservatively evaluated for the MPC-32, the MPC-68, and the MPC-24. All burnup and cooling time combinations analyzed bound the allowable burnup and cooling times specified in Section 2.1.9.

Figure 5.1.13 identifies the locations of the dose points referenced in the dose rate summary tables for the HI-STORM **IOOS** Version B overpack. Dose Points #1 and #3 are the locations of the inlet and outlet air ducts, respectively. The dose values reported for these locations (adjacent and 1 meter) were averaged over the duct opening. Dose Point #4 is the peak dose location above the overpack shield block. For the adjacent top dose, this dose point is located over the air annulus between the MPC and the overpack. The dose values reported at the locations shown on Figure 5.1.13 are averaged over a region that is approximately **I** foot in width.

The total dose rates presented in this chapter for the MPC-24 and MPC-32 are presented for two cases: with and without BPRAs. The dose from the BPRAs was conservatively assumed to be

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR Rev. 7 REPORT HI-2002444

5.1-4

the maximum calculated in Section 5.2.4.1. This is conservative because it is not expected that the cooling times for both the BPRAs and fuel assemblies would be such that they are both at the maximum design basis values.

Tables 5.1.11, 5.1.12, and 5.1.13 provide the maximum dose rates adjacent to the HI-STORM 100S Version B overpack during normal conditions for the MPC-32, MPC-24, and MPC-68. Tables 5.1.14 through 5.1.16 provide the maximum dose rates at one meter from the HI-STORM **IOOS** Version B overpack.

The HI-STORM **IOOS** Version B overpack was analyzed for the dose rate at the controlled area boundary. Although the dose rates for the MPC-32 in HI-STORM **IOOS** Version B are greater than those for the MPC-24 in HI-STORM **IOOS** Version B at the ventilation ducts, as shown in Tables 5.1.11 and 5.1.12, the MPC-24 was used in the calculations for the dose rates at the controlled area boundary for the HI-STORM I00S Version B overpack. This is acceptable because the vents are a small fraction of the radial surface area and the MPC-24 has higher dose rates at the radial midplane than the MPC-32 in the HI-STORM **IOOS** Version B overpack. The MPC-24 was also chosen because, for a given cooling time, the MPC-24 has a higher allowable burnup than the MPC-32 or the MPC-68 (see Section 2.1.9). Consequently, for the allowable burnup and cooling times, the MPC-24 will have dose rates that are greater than or equivalent to those from the MPC-68 and MPC-32. The controlled area boundary dose rates were also calculated including the BPRA non-fuel hardware source. In the site specific dose analysis, users should perform an analysis which properly bounds the fuel to be stored including BPRAs if present.

Table 5.1.7 provides dose rates adjacent to and one meter from the 100-ton HI-TRAC. Table 5.1.8 provides dose rates adjacent to and one meter from the 125-ton HI-TRACs. Figures 5.1.2 and 5.1.4 identify the locations of the dose points referenced in Tables 5.1.7 and 5.1.8 for the HI-TRAC 125 and 100 transfer casks, respectively. The dose rates listed in Tables 5.1.7 and 5.1.8 correspond to the normal condition in which the MPC is dry and the HI-TRAC water jacket is filled with water. The dose rates below the HI-TRAC (Dose Point #5) are provided for two conditions. The first condition is when the pool lid is in use and the second condition is when the transfer lid is in use. The HI-TRAC 125D does not utilize the transfer lid, rather it utilizes the pool lid in conjunction with the mating device. Therefore the dose rates reported for the pool lid are applicable to both the HI-TRAC 125 and 125D while the dose rates reported for the transfer lid are applicable only to the HI-TRAC 125. The calculational model of the 100-ton HI-TRAC included a concrete floor positioned 6 inches (the typical carry height) below the pool lid to account for ground scatter. As a result of the modeling, the dose rate at 1 meter from the pool lid for the 100-ton HI-TRAC was not calculated. The dose rates provided in Tables 5.1.7 and 5.1.8 are for the MPC-24 with design basis fuel at burnups and cooling times, based on the allowed burnup and cooling times specified in Section 2.1.9, that result in dose rates that are generally higher in each of the two HI-TRAC designs. The burnup and cooling time combination used for both the 100-ton and 125-ton HI-TRAC was chosen to bound the allowable burnup and cooling times in Section 2.1.9. Results for other burnup and cooling times and for the MPC-68 and MPC-32 are provided in Section 5.4.

Because the dose rates for the 100-ton HI-TRAC transfer cask are significantly higher than the dose rates for the 125-ton HI-TRACs or the HI-STORM overpack, it is important to understand the behavior of the dose rates surrounding the external surface. To assist in this understanding, several figures, showing the dose rate profiles on the top, bottom and sides of the 100-ton HI-TRAC transfer cask, are presented below. The figures discussed below were all calculated without the gamma source from BPRAs and were calculated for an earlier design of the HI-TRAC which utilized 30 steel fins 0.375 inches thick compared to 10 steel fins 1.25 inches thick. The change in rib design only affects the magnitude of the dose rates presented for the radial surface but does not affect the conclusions discussed below.

Figure 5.1.5 shows the dose rate profile at 1 foot from the side of the 100-ton HI-TRAC transfer cask with the MPC-24 for 35,000 MWD/MTU and 5 year cooling. This figure clearly shows the behavior of the total dose rate and each of the dose components as a function of the cask height. To capture the effect of scattering off the concrete floor, the calculational model simulates the 100-ton HI-TRAC at a height of 6 inches (the typical cask carry height) above the concrete floor. As expected, the total dose rate on the side near the top and bottom is dominated by the Co-60 gamma dose component, while the center dose rate is dominated by the fuel gamma dose component.

The total dose rate and individual dose rate components on the surface of the pool lid on the HI-TRAC-100 when uniformly loaded are provided in Figure 5.1.6, illustrating the significant reduction in dose rate with increasing distance from the center of the pool lid. Specifically, the total dose rate is shown to drop by a factor of more than 20 from the center of the pool lid to the outer edge of the HI-TRAC. Therefore, even though the dose rate in Table 5.1.7 at the center of the pool lid is substantial, the dose rate contribution, from the pool lid, to the personnel exposure is minimal.

The behavior of the dose rate 1-foot from the transfer lid is shown in Figure 5.1.7. Similarly, the total dose rate and the individual dose rate components 1-foot from the top lid, as a function of distance from the axis of the 100-ton HI-TRAC, are shown in Figure 5.1.8. For both lids (transfer and top), the reduction in dose rate with increased distance from the cask axial centerline is substantial.

Note that regionalized loading can have a significant effect on the variation of the dose rate on the top and bottom as a function of the distance from the cask centerline. For a regionalized loading with higher burnup fuel in the center region, the dose rate profiles would be even more pronounced, i.e. the difference between the dose rate in the center and the dose rate near the edge of the cask would be larger than shown in Figures 5.1.7 and 5.1.8. However, if a regionalized loading plan is selected where the higher burnup fuel is located in the outer region, then the difference would be less. In extreme cases, it would even be possible that the dose rate near the edge of the MPC is higher than the one at the center of the cask. This should be considered during loading operations in order to minimize occupational doses.

To reduce the dose rate above the water jacket, a localized temporary shield ring, described in Chapter 8, may be employed on the 125-ton HI-TRACs and on the 100-ton HI-TRAC. This temporary shielding, which is water, essentially extends the water jacket to the top of the HI-TRAC. The effect of the temporary shielding on the side dose rate above the water jacket (in the area around the lifting trunnions and the upper flange) is shown on Figure 5.1.9, which shows the dose profile on the side of the 100-ton HI-TRAC with the temporary shielding installed. For comparison, the total dose rate without temporary shielding installed is also shown on Figure 5.1.9. The results indicate that the temporary shielding reduces the dose rate by approximately a factor of 2 in the area above the water jacket.

To illustrate the reduction in dose rate with distance from the side of the 100-ton HI-TRAC, Figure 5.1.10 shows the total dose rate on the surface and at distances of 1-foot and 1-meter.

Figure 5.1.11 plots the total dose rate at various distances from the bottom of the transfer lid, including distances of 1, 5, 10, and 15 feet. Near the transfer lid, the total dose rate is shown to decrease significantly as a function of distance from the 100-ton HI-TRAC axial centerline. Near the axis of the HI-TRAC, the reduction in dose rate from the 1 -foot distance to the 15-foot distance is approximately a factor of 15. The dose rate beyond the radial edge of the HI-TRAC is also shown to be relatively low at all distances from the HI-TRAC transfer lid. Thus, prudent transfer operating procedures will employ the use of distance to reduce personnel exposure. In addition, when the HI-TRAC is in the horizontal position and is being transported on site, a missile shield may be positioned in front of the HI-TRAC transfer lid or pool lid. If present, this shield would also serve as temporary gamma shielding which would greatly reduce the dose rate in the vicinity of the transfer lid or pool lid. For example, if the missile shield was a 2 inch thick steel plate, the gamma dose rate would be reduced by approximately 90%.

The dose to any real individual at or beyond the controlled area boundary is required to be below 25 mrem per year. The minimum distance to the controlled area boundary is 100 meters from the ISFSI. As mentioned, only the MPC-24 was used in the calculation of the dose rates at the controlled area boundary. Table 5.1.9 presents the annual dose to an individual from a single HI-STORM **I 00S** Version B cask and various storage cask arrays, assuming an 8760 hour annual occupancy at the dose point location. The minimum distance required for the corresponding dose is also listed. These values were conservatively calculated for a burnup of 60,000 MWD/MTU and a 3-year cooling time. In addition, the annual dose was calculated for a burnup of 45,000 MWD/MTU with a corresponding cooling time of 9 years. BPRAs were included in these dose estimates. It is noted that these data are provided for illustrative purposes only. A detailed sitespecific evaluation of dose at the controlled area boundary must be performed for each ISFSI in accordance with IOCFR72.212. The site-specific evaluation will consider dose from other portions of the facility and will consider the actual conditions of the fuel being stored (bumup and cooling time).

Figure 5.1.3 is an annual dose versus distance graph for the HI-STORM 100 cask array configurations provided in Table 5.1.9. This curve, which is based on an 8760 hour occupancy, is provided for illustrative purposes only and will be re-evaluated on a site-specific basis.



HI-STORM FSAR Rev. 7 REPORT HI-2002444

Section 5.2 lists the gamma and neutron sources for the design basis fuels. Since the source strengths of the GE 6x6 intact and damaged fuel and the GE 6x6 MOX fuel are significantly smaller in all energy groups than the intact design basis fuel source strengths, the dose rates from the GE 6x6 fuels for normal conditions are bounded by the MPC-68 analysis with the design basis intact fuel. Therefore, no explicit analysis of the MPC-68 with either GE 6x6 intact or damaged or GE 6x6 MOX fuel for normal conditions is required to demonstrate that the MPC-68 with GE 6x6 fuels will meet the normal condition regulatory requirements. Section 5.4.2 evaluates the effect of generic damaged fuel in the MPC-24E, MPC-32 and the MPC-68.

Section 5.2.6 lists the gamma and neutron sources from the Dresden Unit **I** Thoria rod canister and demonstrates that the Thoria rod canister is bounded by the design basis Dresden Unit 1 6x6 intact fuel.

Section 5.2.4 presents the Co-60 sources from the BPRAs, TPDs, CRAs and APSRs that are permitted for storage in the HI-STORM 100 System. Section 5.4.6 discusses the increase in dose rate as a result of adding non-fuel hardware in the MPCs.

Section 5.4.7 demonstrates that the Dresden Unit **I** fuel assemblies containing antimonyberyllium neutron sources are bounded by the shielding analysis presented in this section.

Section 5.2.3 lists the gamma and neutron sources for the design basis stainless steel clad fuel. The dose rates from this fuel are provided in Section 5.4.4.

The analyses summarized in this section demonstrate that the HI-STORM 100 System, including the HI-TRAC transfer cask, are in compliance with the IOCFR72.104 limits and ALARA practices.

#### 5.1.2 Accident Conditions

The JOCFR72.106 radiation dose limits at the controlled area boundary for design basis accidents are:

Any individual located on or beyond the nearest boundary of the controlled area may not receive from any design basis accident the more limiting of a total effective dose equivalent of *5* Rem, or the sum of the deep-dose equivalent and the committed dose equivalent to any individual organ or tissue (other than the lens of the eye) of 50 Rem. The lens dose equivalent shall not exceed 15 Rem and the shallow dose equivalent to skin or to any extremity shall not exceed 50 Rem. The minimum distance from the spent fuel or high-level radioactive waste handling and storage facilities to the nearest boundary of the controlled are shall be at least 100 meters.

Design basis accidents which may affect the HI-STORM overpack can result in limited and localized damage to the outer shell and radial concrete shield. As the damage is localized and the





vast majority of the shielding material remains intact, the effect on the dose at the site boundary is negligible. Therefore, the site boundary, adjacent, and one meter doses for the loaded HI-STORM overpack for accident conditions are equivalent to the normal condition doses, which meet the **10CFR72.106** radiation dose limits.

The design basis accidents analyzed in Chapter **II** have one bounding consequence that affects the shielding materials of the HI-TRAC transfer cask. It is the potential for damage to the water jacket shell and the loss of the neutron shield (water). In the accident consequence analysis, it is conservatively assumed that the neutron shield (water) is completely lost and replaced by a void.

Throughout all design basis accident conditions the axial location of the fuel will remain fixed within the MPC because of the fuel spacers. The HI-STAR 100 System (Docket Number 72- 1008) documentation provides analysis to demonstrate that the fuel spacers will not fail under any normal, off-normal, or accident condition of storage. Chapter 3 also shows that the HI-TRAC inner shell, lead, and outer shell remain intact throughout all design basis accident conditions. Localized damage of the HI-TRAC outer shell could be experienced. However, the localized deformations will have only a negligible impact on the dose rate at the boundary of the controlled area.

The complete loss of the HI-TRAC neutron shield significantly affects the dose at mid-height (Dose Point #2) adjacent to the HI-TRAC. Loss of the neutron shield has a small effect on the dose at the other dose points. To illustrate the impact of the design basis accident, the dose rates at Dose Point #2 (see Figures 5.1.2 and 5.1.4) are provided in Table 5.1.10 for the 100-ton and 125-ton HI-TRACs at a distance of 1 meter and for the 100-ton HI-TRAC at a distance of 100 meters The normal condition dose rates are provided for reference. Table 5.1.10 provides a comparison of the normal and accident condition dose rates at one meter from the HI-TRAC. The burnup and cooling time combinations used in Table 5.1.10 were the combinations that resulted in the highest post-accident condition dose rates. These burnup and cooling time combinations do not necessarily correspond to the burnup and cooling time combinations that result in the highest dose rate during normal conditions.. Based on the dose rate at 100 meters in Table 5.1.10, it would take 1608 hours ( $\sim$ 67 days) for the dose at the controlled area boundary to reach 5 Rem. Assuming an accident duration of 30 days, the accumulated dose at the controlled area boundary would be 2.2Rem. Based on this dose rate and the short duration of use for the loaded HI-TRAC transfer cask, it is evident that the dose as a result of the design basis accident cannot exceed 5 Rem at the controlled area boundary for the short duration of the accident.

The consequences of the design basis accident conditions for the MPC-68 and MPC-24E storing damaged fuel and/or fuel debris differ slightly from those with intact fuel. It is conservatively assumed that during a drop accident (vertical, horizontal, or tip-over) the damaged fuel collapses and the pellets rest in the bottom of the damaged fuel container. Analyses in Section 5.4.2 demonstrates that the damaged fuel in the post-accident condition does not significantly affect the dose rates around the cask. Therefore, the damaged fuel post-accident dose rates are bounded by the intact fuel post-accident dose rates.

Analyses summarized in this section demonstrate that the HI-STORM 100 System, including the HI-TRAC transfer cask, are in compliance with the IOCFR72.106 limits.

# HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

5.1-10

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

# [INTENTIONALLY DELETED]

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

 $\bar{\Delta}$ 

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

 $\sim 10^{-1}$ 

 $\bar{\lambda}$ 

Rev. 7

 $\mathbb{Z}^{\left|j\right|}$ 

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

# [INTENTIONALLY DELETED]

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

5.1-12

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

# [INTENTIONALLY DELETED]

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

 $\sim$ 

*5.1-13*

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

# [INTENTIONALLY DELETED]

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL HI-STORM FSAR

REPORT HI-2002444

 $\bar{\phantom{a}}$ 

# [INTENTIONALLY DELETED]

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

# [INTENTIONALLY DELETED]

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

5.1-16

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

#### DOSE RATES FROM THE 100-TON HI-TRAC FOR NORMAL CONDITIONS MPC-24 DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD FUEL 60,000 MWD/MTU AND 3-YEAR COOLING



Notes:

- Refer to Figures 5.1.2 and 5.1.4 for dose locations.
- Dose location 3(temp) represents dose location 3 with temporary shielding installed.
- Dose location 4(outer) is the radial segment at dose location 4 which is 18-30 inches from the center of the overpack.
- Dose location 5(t-outer) is the radial segment at dose location 5 (transfer lid) which is  $30-42$  and  $54-$ 66 inches from the center of the lid for the adjacent and one meter locations, respectively. The inner radius of the HI-TRAC is 34.375 in. and the outer radius of the water jacket is 44.375 in.
- Dose rate based on no water within the MPC. For the majority of the duration that the HI-TRAC pool lid is installed, the MPC cavity will be flooded with water. The water within the MPC greatly reduces the dose rate.

 $<sup>†</sup>$  The cobalt activation of incore grid spacers accounts for approximately 6% of the surface and</sup> one-meter dose rates.

#### HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL



#### DOSE RATES FROM THE 125-TON HI-TRACS FOR NORMAL CONDITIONS MPC-24 DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD FUEL 75,000 MWD/MTU AND 5-YEAR COOLING



Notes:

2

- Refer to Figures 5.1.2 and 5.1.4 for dose locations.
- Dose location 4(outer) is the radial segment at dose location 4 which is 18-24 inches from the center of the overpack.
- \* Dose rate based on no water within the MPC. For the majority of the duration that the HI-TRAC pool lid is installed, the MPC cavity will be flooded with water. The water within the MPC greatly reduces the dose rate.

<sup>†</sup> The cobalt activation of incore grid spacers accounts for 9.4% of the surface and one-meter dose rates.

#### HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

Rev. 7

5.1-18

# DOSE RATES FOR ARRAYS OF MPC-24 WITH DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD FUEL AT VARYING BURNUP AND COOLING TIMES



t-8760 hr. annual occupancy is assumed.

tt Dose location is at the center of the long side of the array.

# HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

ttt Actual controlled area boundary dose rates will be lower because the maximum permissible bumup for 3-year cooling, as specified in the Section 2.1.9, is lower than the bumup used for this analysis.

## DOSE RATES FROM HI-TRAC FOR ACCIDENT CONDITIONS MPC-24 DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD FUEL AT BOUNDING BURNUP AND COOLING TIMES



 $\ddagger$ 

Refer to Figures *5.1.2* and *5.1.4.*

tt Gammas generated **by** neutron capture are included with fuel gammas.

**HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL** COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

# DOSE RATES ADJACENT TO HI-STORM **100S** VERSION B OVERPACK FOR NORMAL CONDITIONS MPC-32 DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD FUEL AT BOUNDING BURNUP AND COOLING TIME 45,000 MWD/MTU AND 3-YEAR COOLING



Refer to Figure 5.1.13.

Gammas generated by neutron capture are included with fuel gammas.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

t

tt

5.1-21

Rev. 7

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

## DOSE RATES ADJACENT TO HI-STORM **IOOS** VERSION B OVERPACK FOR NORMAL CONDITIONS MPC-24 DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD FUEL AT BOUNDING BURNUP AND COOLING TIME 60,000 MWD/MTU AND 3-YEAR COOLING



**<sup>f</sup>** Refer to Figure 5.1.13.

Gammas generated by neutron capture are included with fuel gammas.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

 $^{\dagger\dagger}$ 

5.1-22

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

### DOSE RATES ADJACENT TO HI-STORM **I OS** VERSION B OVERPACK FOR NORMAL CONDITIONS MPC-68 DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD FUEL AT BOUNDING BURNUP AND COOLING TIME 50,000 MWD/MTU AND 3-YEAR COOLING



 $\ddagger$ Refer to Figure 5.1.13.

Gammas generated by neutron capture are included with fuel gammas.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

tt

### DOSE RATES AT ONE METER FROM HI-STORM **IOOS** VERSION B OVERPACK FOR NORMAL CONDITIONS MPC-32 DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD FUEL AT BOUNDING BURNUP AND COOLING TIME 45,000 MWD/MTU AND 3-YEAR COOLING



 $t$  Refer to Figure 5.1.13.

**ff** Gammas generated by neutron capture are included with fuel gammas.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

5.1-24

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

Rev. 7

 $\sim$ 

## DOSE RATES AT ONE METER FROM HI-STORM **IOOS** VERSION B OVERPACK FOR NORMAL CONDITIONS MPC-24 DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD FUEL AT BOUNDING BURNUP AND COOLING TIME 60,000 MWD/MTU AND 3-YEAR COOLING



Refer to Figure *5.1.13.*

Gammas generated **by** neutron capture are included with fuel gammas.

**HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL** COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

**f**

**TT**

Rev. 7

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

# DOSE RATES AT ONE METER FROM HI-STORM 100S VERSION B OVERPACK FOR NORMAL CONDITIONS MPC-68 DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD FUEL AT BOUNDING BURNUP AND COOLING TIME 50,000 MWD/MTU AND 3-YEAR COOLING



 $\uparrow$  **Refer to Figure 5.1.13.** 

Gammas generated by neutron capture are included with fuel gammas.

**HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL** COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL



 $\ddagger$ 



 $\Box$ 





FIGURE 5.1.3: ANNUAL DOSE VERSUS DISTANCE FOR VARIOUS CONFIGURATIONS OF THE MPC-24 FOR 47,500 MWD/MTU AND 3-YEAR COOLING (8760 HOUR OCCUPANCY ASSUMED)

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

**4 .I in 1999**  $\equiv$  1 t. **3**  $\Box$ I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I **I** I I I I I I I **2** I I  $\Box$ I I I I I  $\Box$ I I 1 **I** I I I I I I I I I I ii I I i I I II **1** •\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ 4\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\  $\overline{5}$ FIGURE 5.1.4; CROSS SECTION ELEVATION VIEW OF 100 TON HI-TRAC TRANSFER CASK (WITH POOL LID) WITH DOSE POINT LOCATIONS REPORT HI-20024441 IREVISION **0** J. HI-STORM **100** FSAR

L

.J

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

Revision **8** January **18,** 2010



FIGURE 5.1.5; DOSE RATE 1-FOOT FROM THE SIDE OF THE 100-TON HI-TRAC TRANSFER CASK WITH THE MPC-24 FOR 35,000 MWD/MTU AND 5-YEAR COOLING

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444



FIGURE 5.1.6; DOSE RATE ON THE SURFACE OF THE POOL LID ON THE 100-TON HI-TRAC TRANSFER CASK WITH THE MPC-24 FOR 35,000 MWD/MTU AND 5-YEAR COOLING

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

Rev. 0

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010





HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444  $\overline{\text{Rev. } 0}$




HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444 Rev. 0 HI-STORM 100 FSAR

Revision 8 January 18, 2010



FIGURE 5.1.9; DOSE RATE 1-FOOT FROM THE SIDE OF THE 100-TON HI-TRAC TRANSFER CASK WITH TEMPORARY SHIELDING INSTALLED, WITH THE MPC-24 FOR 35,000 MWD/MTU AND 5-YEAR COOLING (TOTAL DOSE WITHOUT TEMPORARY SHIELDING SHOWN FOR COMPARISON)





FIGURE 5.1.10; DOSE RATE AT VARIOUS DISTANCES FROM THE SIDE OF THE 100-TON HI-TRAC TRANSFER CASK WITH THE MPC-24 FOR 35,000 MWD/MTU AND 5-YEAR COOLING

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444 Rev. 0

> HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010



DOSE RATE AT VARIOUS DISTANCES FROM THE BOTTOM OF TRANSFER LID ON THE 100-TON HI-TRAC TRANSFER CASK WITH THE MPC-24 FOR 35,000 MWD/MTU AND 5-YEAR COOLING FIGURE 5.1.11;

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444





 $\sim$ 

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

## 5.2 SOURCE SPECIFICATION

The neutron and gamma source terms, decay heat values, and quantities of radionuclides available for release were calculated with the SAS2H and ORIGEN-S modules of the SCALE 4.3 system [5.1.2, 5.1.3]. SAS2H has been extensively compared to experimental isotopic validations and decay heat measurements. References [5.2.8] through [5.2.12] and. [5.2.15] present isotopic comparisons for PWR and BWR fuels for burnups ranging to 47 GWD/MTU and reference [5.2.13] presents results for BWR measurements to a burnup of 57 GWD/MTU. A comparison of calculated and measured decays heats is presented in reference [5.2.14]. All of these studies indicate good agreement between SAS2H and measured data. Additional comparisons of calculated values and measured data are being performed by various institutions for high burnup PWR and BWR fuel. These new results, when published, are expected to further confirm the validity of SAS2H for the analysis of PWR and BWR fuel.

Sample input files for SAS2H and ORIGEN-S are provided in Appendices 5.A and 5.B, respectively. The gamma source term is actually comprised of three distinct sources. The first is a gamma source term from the active fuel region due to decay of fission products. The second source term is from  ${}^{60}Co$  activity of the steel structural material in the fuel element above and below the active fuel region. The third source is from  $(n, \gamma)$  reactions described below.

A description of the design basis zircaloy clad fuel for the source term calculations is provided in Table 5.2.1. The PWR fuel assembly described is the assembly that produces the highest neutron and gamma sources and the highest decay heat load for a given burnup and cooling time from the following fuel assembly classes listed in Table 2.1.1: B&W 15x15, B&W 17x17, CE 14x14, CE 16x16, WE 14x14, WE 15x15, WE 17x17, St. Lucie, and Ft. Calhoun. The BWR fuel assembly described is the assembly that produces the highest neutron and gamma sources and the highest decay heat load for a given burnup and cooling time from the following fuel assembly classes listed in Table 2.1.2: GE BWR/2-3, GE BWR/4-6, Humboldt Bay 7x7, and Dresden **I** 8x8. Multiple SAS2H and ORIGEN-S calculations were performed to confirm that the B&W 15x15 and the GE 7x7, which have the highest **U0 <sup>2</sup>**mass, bound all other PWR and BWR fuel assemblies, respectively. Section 5.2.5 discusses, in detail, the determination of the design basis fuel assemblies.

The design basis Humboldt Bay and Dresden 1 6x6 fuel assembly is described in Table 5.2.2. The fuel assembly type listed produces the highest total neutron and gamma sources from the fuel assemblies at Dresden **I** and Humboldt Bay. Table 5.2.21 provides a description of the design basis Dresden **I** MOX fuel assembly used in this analysis. The design basis 6x6 and MOX fuel assemblies which are smaller than the GE  $7x7$ , are assumed to have the same hardware characteristics as the GE 7x7. This is conservative because the larger hardware mass of the GE 7x7 results in a larger  ${}^{60}$ Co activity.

The design basis stainless steel clad fuel assembly for the Indian Point 1, Haddam Neck, and San Onofre **I** assembly classes is described in Table 5.2.3. This table also describes the design basis stainless steel clad LaCrosse fuel assembly.

The design basis assemblies mentioned above are the design basis assemblies for both intact and damaged fuel and fuel debris for their respective array classes. Analyses of damaged fuel are presented in Section 5.4.2.

In performing the SAS2H and ORIGEN-S calculations, a single full power cycle was used to achieve the desired burnup. This assumption, in conjunction with the above-average specific powers listed in Tables 5.2.1, 5.2.2, 5.2.3, and 5.2.21 resulted in conservative source term calculations.

Sections 5.2.1 and 5.2.2 describe the calculation of gamma and neutron source terms for zircaloy clad fuel while Section 5.2.3 discusses the calculation of the gamma and neutron source terms for the stainless steel clad fuel.

#### 5.2.1 Gamma Source

Tables 5.2.4 through 5.2.6 provide the gamma source in MeV/s and photons/s as calculated with SAS2H and ORIGEN-S for the design basis zircaloy clad fuels at varying burnups and cooling times. Tables 5.2.7 and 5.2.22 provides the gamma source in MeV/s and photons/s for the design basis 6x6 and MOX fuel, respectively.

Specific analysis for the HI-STORM 100 System, which includes the HI-STORM storage overpacks and the HI-TRAC transfer casks, was performed to determine the dose contribution from gammas as a function of energy. This analysis considered dose locations external to the 100-ton HI-TRAC transfer cask and the HI-STORM 100 overpack and vents. The results of this analysis have revealed that, due to the magnitude of the gamma source at lower energies, gammas with energies as low as 0.45 MeV must be included in the shielding analysis. The effect of gammas with energies above 3.0 MeV, on the other hand, was found to be insignificant (less than 1% of the total gamma dose at all high dose locations). This is due to the fact that the source of gammas in this range (i.e., above 3.0 MeV) is extremely low (less than 1% of the total source). Therefore, all gammas with energies in the range of 0.45 to 3.0 MeV are included in the shielding calculations. Dose rate contributions from above and below this range were evaluated and found to be negligible. Photons with energies below 0.45 MeV are too weak to penetrate the HI-STORM overpack or HI-TRAC, and photons with energies above 3.0 MeV are too few to contribute significantly to the external dose.

The primary source of activity in the non-fuel regions of an assembly arises from the activation of **59Co** to **60Co.** The primary source of 59Co in a fuel assembly is impurities in the steel structural material above and below the fuel. The zircaloy in these regions is neglected since it does not have a significant <sup>59</sup>Co impurity level. Reference [5.2.2] indicates that the impurity level in steel is 800 ppm or 0.8 gm/kg. Conservatively, the impurity level of  $^{59}$ Co was assumed to be 1000 ppm or 1.0 gm/kg. Therefore, Inconel and stainless steel in the non-fuel regions are both conservatively assumed to have the same 1.0 gm/kg impurity level.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR Rev. 7 REPORT HI-2002444

Holtec International has gathered information from utilities and vendors which shows that the 1.0 gm/kg impurity level is very conservative for fuel which has been manufactured since the mid-to-late 1980s after the implementation of an industry wide cobalt reduction program. The typical Cobalt-59 impurity level for fuel since the late 1980s is less than 0.5 gm/kg. Based on this, fuel with a short cooling time, **5** to 9 years, would have a Cobalt-59 impurity level less than 0.5 gm/kg. Therefore, the use of a bounding Cobalt-59 impurity level of 1.0 gm/kg is very conservative, particularly for recently manufactured assemblies. Analysis in Reference [5.2.3] indicates that the cobalt impurity in steel and inconel for fuel manufactured in the 1970s ranged from approximately 0.2 gm/kg to 2.2 gm/kg. However, older fuel manufactured with higher cobalt impurity levels will also have a corresponding longer cooling time and therefore will be bounded by the analysis presented in this chapter. As confirmation of this statement, Appendix D presents a comparison of the dose rates around the 100-ton HI-TRAC and the HI-STORM with the MPC-24 for a short cooling time (5 years) using the 1.0 gm/kg mentioned above and for a long cooling time (9 years) using a higher cobalt impurity level of 4.7 gm/kg for inconel. These results confirm that the dose rates for the longer cooling time with the higher impurity level are essentially equivalent to (within 11%) or bounded by the dose rates for the shorter cooling time with the lower impurity level. Therefore, the analysis in this chapter is conservative.

Some of the PWR fuel assembly designs (B&W and WE 15x15) utilized inconel in-core grid spacers while other PWR fuel designs use zircaloy in-core grid spacers. In the mid 1980s, the fuel assembly designs using inconel in-core grid spacers were altered to use zircaloy in-core grid spacers. Since both designs may be loaded into the HI-STORM 100 system, the gamma source for the PWR zircaloy clad fuel assembly includes the activation of the in-core grid spacers. Although BWR assembly grid spacers are zircaloy, some assembly designs have inconel springs in conjunction with the grid spacers. The gamma source for the BWR zircaloy clad fuel assembly includes the activation of these springs associated with the grid spacers.

The non-fuel data listed in Table 5.2.1 were taken from References [5.2.2], [5.2.4], and [5.2.5]. As stated above, a Cobalt-59 impurity level of 1 gm/kg (0.1 wt%) was used for both inconel and stainless steel. Therefore, there is little distinction between stainless steel and inconel in the source term generation and since the shielding characteristics are similar, stainless steel was used in the MCNP calculations instead of inconel. The BWR masses are for an 8x8 fuel assembly. These masses are also appropriate for the 7x7 assembly since the masses of the non-fuel hardware from a 7x7 and an 8x8 are approximately the same. The masses listed are those of the steel components. The zircaloy in these regions was not included because zircaloy does not produce significant activation. The masses are larger than most other fuel assemblies from other manufacturers. This, in combination with the conservative  ${}^{59}$ Co impurity level and the use of conservative flux weighting fractions (discussed below) results in an over-prediction of the nonfuel hardware source that bounds all fuel for which storage is requested.

The masses in Table 5.2.1 were used to calculate a **59Co** impurity level in the fuel assembly material. The grams of impurity were then used in ORIGEN-S to calculate a  ${}^{60}$ Co activity level for the desired burnup and decay time. The methodology used to determine the activation level was developed from Reference [5.2.3] and is described here.

- 1. The activity of the  ${}^{60}Co$  is calculated using ORIGEN-S. The flux used in the calculation was the in-core fuel region flux at full power.
- 2. The activity calculated in Step 1 for the region of interest was modified by the appropriate scaling factors listed in Table 5.2.10. These scaling factors were taken from Reference [5.2.3].

Tables 5.2.11 through 5.2.13 provide the  ${}^{60}Co$  activity utilized in the shielding calculations for the non-fuel regions of the assemblies in the MPC-32, MPC-24, and the MPC-68 for varying burnup and cooling times. The design basis 6x6 and MOX fuel assemblies are conservatively assumed to have the same  ${}^{60}Co$  source strength as the BWR design basis fuel. This is a conservative assumption as the design basis 6x6 fuel and MOX fuel assemblies are limited to a significantly lower burnup and longer cooling time than the design basis fuel.

In addition to the two sources already mentioned, a third source arises from  $(n, \gamma)$  reactions in the material of the MPC and the overpack. This source of photons is properly accounted for in MCNP when a neutron calculation is performed in a coupled neutron-gamma mode.

There is some uncertainty associated with the ORIGEN-S calculations due to uncertainty in the physics data (e.g. cross sections, decay constants, etc.) and the modeling techniques. References [5.2.9], [5.2.10], and [5.2.15] perform comparisons between calculations and experimental isotopic measurement data. These comparisons indicate that calculated to measured ratios for Cs-134 and Eu-154, two of the major contributors to the gamma source, range from 0.79 to 1.009 and 0.79 to 0.98, respectively. These values provide representative insight into the entire range of possible error in the source term calculations. However, any non-conservatism associated with the uncertainty in the source term calculations is offset by the conservative nature of the source term and shielding calculations performed in this chapter, and therefore no adjustments were made to the calculated values.

## 5.2.2 Neutron Source

It is well known that the neutron source strength increases as enrichment decreases, for a constant burnup and decay time. This is due to the increase in Pu content in the fuel, which increases the inventory of other transuranium nuclides such as Cm. The gamma source also varies with enrichment, although only slightly. Because of this effect and in order to obtain conservative source terms, low initial fuel enrichments were chosen for the BWR and PWR design basis fuel assemblies. The enrichments are appropriately varied as a function of burnup. Table 5.2.24 presents the <sup>235</sup>U initial enrichments for various burnup ranges from 20,000 -75,000 MWD/MTU for PWR and 20,000 - 70,000 MWD/MTU for BWR zircaloy clad fuel. These enrichments are based on References [5.2.6] and [5.2.7]. Table 8 of reference [5.2.6] presents average enrichments for burnup ranges. The initial enrichments chosen in Table 5.2.24, for burnups up to 50,000 MWD/MTU, are approximately the average enrichments from Table 8 of reference [5.2.6] for the burnup range that is 5,000 MWD/MTU less than the ranges listed in

Table 5.2.24. These enrichments are below the enrichments typically required to achieve the burnups that were analyzed. For burnups greater than 50,000 MWD/MTU, the data on historical and projected burnups available in the LWR Quantities Database in reference [5.2.7] and some additional data from nuclear plants was reviewed and conservatively low enrichments were chosen for each burnup range above 50,000 MWD/MTU.

Inherent to this approach of selecting minimum enrichments that bound the vast majority of discharged fuel is the fact that a small number of atypical assemblies will not be bounded. However, these atypical assemblies are very few in number (as evidenced by the referenced discharge data), and thus, it is unlikely that a single cask would contain several of these outlying assemblies. Further, because the approach is based on using minimum enrichments for given burnup ranges, any atypical assemblies that may exist are expected to have enrichments that are very near to the minimum enrichments used in the analysis. Therefore, the result is an insignificant effect on the calculated dose rates. Consequently, the minimum enrichment values used in the shielding analysis are adequate to bound the fuel authorized by the limits in Section 2.1.9 for loading in the HI-STORM system. Since the enrichment does affect the source term evaluation, it is recommended that the site-specific dose evaluation consider the enrichment for the fuel being stored.

The neutron source calculated for the design basis fuel assemblies for the MPC-24, MPC-32, and MPC-68 and the design basis 6x6 fuel are listed in Tables 5.2.15 through 5.2.18 in neutrons/s for varying burnup and cooling times. Table 5.2.23 provides the neutron source in neutrons/sec for the design basis MOX fuel assembly.  $244$ Cm accounts for approximately 92-97% of the total number of neutrons produced. Alpha,n reactions in isotopes other than  $244$ Cm account for approximately 0.3-2% of the neutrons produced while spontaneous fission in isotopes other than  $2^{44}$ Cm account for approximately 2-8% of the neutrons produced within the  $UO<sub>2</sub>$  fuel. In addition, any neutrons generated from subcritical multiplication, (n,2n) or similar reactions are properly accounted for in the MCNP calculation.

There is some uncertainty associated with the ORIGEN-S calculations due to uncertainty in the physics data (e.g. cross sections, decay constants, etc.) and the modeling techniques. References [5.2.9], [5.2.10], and [5.2.15] perform comparisons between calculations and experimental isotopic measurement data. These comparisons indicate that calculated to measured ratios for Cm-244 ranges from 0.81 to 0.95. These values provide representative insight into the entire range of possible error in the source term calculations. However, any non-conservatism associated with the uncertainty in the source term calculations is offset by the conservative nature of the source term and shielding calculations performed in this chapter, and therefore no adjustments were made to the calculated values.

#### 5.2.3 Stainless Steel Clad Fuel Source

Table 5.2.3 lists the characteristics of the design basis stainless steel clad fuel. The fuel characteristics listed in this table are the input parameters that were used in the shielding calculations described in this chapter. The active fuel length listed in Table 5.2.3 is actually

#### HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR Rev. 7 REPORT HI-2002444

longer than the true active fuel length of 122 inches for the WE 15x15 and 83 inches for the LaCrosse 10x10. Since the true active fuel length is shorter than the design basis zircaloy clad active fuel length, it would be incorrect to calculate source terms for the stainless steel fuel using the correct fuel length and compare them directly to the zircaloy clad fuel source terms because this does not reflect the potential change in dose rates. As an example, if it is assumed that the source strength for both the stainless steel and zircaloy fuel is 144 neutrons/s and that the active fuel lengths of the stainless steel fuel and zircaloy fuel are 83 inches and 144 inches, respectively; the source strengths per inch of active fuel would be different for the two fuel types, 1.73 neutrons/s/inch and **I** neutron/s/inch for the stainless steel and zircaloy fuel, respectively. The result would be a higher neutron dose rate at the center of the cask with the stainless steel fuel than with the zircaloy clad fuel; a conclusion that would be overlooked by just comparing the source terms. This is an important consideration because the stainless steel clad fuel differs from the zircaloy clad in one important aspect: the stainless steel cladding will contain a significant photon source from Cobalt-60 which will be absent from the zircaloy clad fuel.

In order to eliminate the potential confusion when comparing source terms, the stainless steel clad fuel source terms were calculated with the same active fuel length as the design basis zircaloy clad fuel. Reference [5.2.2] indicates that the Cobalt-59 impurity level in steel is 800 ppm or 0.8 gm/kg. This impurity level was used for the stainless steel cladding in the source term calculations. It is assumed that the end fitting masses of the stainless steel clad fuel are the same as the end fitting masses of the zircaloy clad fuel. Therefore, separate source terms are not provided for the end fittings of the stainless steel fuel.

Tables 5.2.8, 5.2.9, 5.2.19, and 5.2.20 list the gamma and neutron source strengths for the design basis stainless steel clad fuel. It is obvious from these source terms that the neutron source strength for the stainless steel fuel is lower than for the zircaloy fuel. However, this is not true for all photon energy groups. The peak energy group is from 1.0 to 1.5 MeV, which results from the large Cobalt activation in the cladding. Since some of the source strengths are higher for the stainless steel fuel, Section 5.4.4 presents the dose rates at the center of the overpack for the stainless steel fuel. The center dose location is the only location of concern since the end fittings are assumed to be the same mass as the end fittings for the zircaloy clad fuel. In addition, the burnup is lower and the cooling time is longer for the stainless steel fuel compared to the zircaloy clad fuel.

## 5.2.4 Non-fuel Hardware

Burnable poison rod assemblies (BPRAs), thimble plug devices (TPDs), control rod assemblies (CRAs), and axial power shaping rods (APSRs) are permitted for storage in the HI-STORM 100 System as an integral part of a PWR fuel assembly. BPRAs and TPDs may be stored in any fuel location while CRAs and APSRs are restricted as specified in Section 2.1.9.

### 5.2.4.1 BPRAs and TPDs

Burnable poison rod assemblies (BPRA) (including wet annular burnable absorbers) and thimble plug devices (TPD) (including orifice rod assemblies, guide tube plugs, and water displacement guide tube plugs) are an integral, yet removable, part of a large portion of PWR fuel. The TPDs are not used in all assemblies in a reactor core but are reused from cycle to cycle. Therefore, these devices can achieve very high bumups. In contrast, BPRAs are burned with a fuel assembly, in core and are not reused. In fact, many BPRAs are removed after one or two cycles before the fuel assembly is discharged. Therefore, the achieved burnup for BPRAs is not significantly different than fuel assemblies. Vibration suppressor inserts are considered to be in the same category as BPRAs for the purposes of the analysis in this chapter since these devices have the same configuration (long non-absorbing thimbles which extend into the active fuel region) as a BPRA without the burnable poison.

TPDs are made of stainless steel and contain a small amount of inconel. These devices extend down into the plenum region of the fuel assembly but do not extend into the active fuel region with the exception of the W  $14x14$  water displacement guide tube plugs. Since these devices are made of stainless steel, there is a significant amount of cobalt-60 produced during irradiation. This is the only significant radiation source from the activation of steel and inconel.

BPRAs are made of stainless steel in the region above the active fuel zone and may contain a small amount of inconel in this region. Within the active fuel zone the BPRAs may contain 2-24 rodlets which are burnable absorbers clad in either zircaloy or stainless steel. The stainless steel clad BPRAs create a significant radiation source (Co-60) while the zircaloy clad BPRAs create a negligible radiation source. Therefore the stainless steel clad BPRAs are bounding.

SAS2H and ORIGEN-S were used to calculate a radiation source term for the TPDs and BPRAs. In the ORIGEN-S calculations the cobalt-59 impurity level was conservatively assumed to be 0.8 gm/kg for stainless steel and 4.7 gm/kg for inconel. These calculations were performed by irradiating the appropriate mass of steel and inconel using the flux calculated for the design basis B&W 15x15 fuel assembly. The mass of material in the regions above the active fuel zone was scaled by the appropriate scaling factors listed in Table 5.2.10 in order to account for the reduced flux levels above the fuel assembly. The total curies of cobalt were calculated for the TPDs and BPRAs as a function of burnup and cooling time. For burnups beyond 45,000 MWD/MTU, it was assumed, for the purpose of the calculation, that the burned fuel assembly was replaced with a fresh fuel assembly every 45,000 MWD/MTU. This was achieved in ORIGEN-S by resetting the flux levels and cross sections to the 0 MWD/MTU condition after every 45,000 MWD/MTU.

Since the HI-STORM 100 cask system is designed to store many varieties of PWR fuel, a bounding TPD and BPRA had to be determined for the purposes of the analysis. This was accomplished by analyzing all of the BPRAs and TPDs (Westinghouse and B&W 14x14 through  $17x17$ ) found in references [5.2.5] and [5.2.7] to determine the TPD and BPRA which produced the highest Cobalt-60 source term and decay heat for a specific burnup and cooling time. The bounding TPD was determined to be the Westinghouse 17x17 guide tube plug and the bounding BPRA was actually determined by combining the higher masses of the Westinghouse 17x17 and 15x15 BPRAs into a singly hypothetical BPRA. The masses of this TPD and BPRA are listed in Table 5.2.30. As mentioned above, reference [5.2.5] describes the Westinghouse 14x14 water displacement guide tube plug as having a steel portion which extends into the active fuel zone. This particular water displacement guide tube plug was analyzed and determined to be bounded by the design basis TPD and BPRA.

Once the bounding BPRA and TPD were determined, the allowable Co-60 source and decay heat from the BPRA and TPD were specified as: 50 curies Co-60 and 0.77 watts for each TPD and 895 curies Co-60 and 14.4 watts for each BPRA. Table 5.2.31 shows the curies of Co-60 that were calculated for BPRAs and TPDs in each region of the fuel assembly (e.g. incore, plenum, top). An allowable burnup and cooling time, separate from the fuel assemblies, is used for BPRAs and TPDs. These burnup and cooling times assure that the Cobalt-60 activity remains below the allowable levels specified above. It should be noted that at very high burnups, greater than 200,000 MWD/MTU the TPD Co-60 source actually decreases as the burnup continues to increase. This is due to a decrease in the Cobalt-60 production rate as the initial Cobalt-59 impurity is being depleted. Conservatively, a constant cooling time has been specified for burnups from 180,000 to 630,000 MWD/MTU for the TPDs.

Section 5.4.6 discusses the increase in the cask dose rates due to the insertion of BPRAs or TPDs into fuel assemblies.

## 5.2.4.2 CRAs and APSRs

Control rod assemblies (CRAs) (including control element assemblies and rod cluster control assemblies) and axial power shaping rod assemblies (APSRs) are an integral portion of a PWR fuel assembly. These devices are utilized for many years (upwards of 20 years) prior to discharge into the spent fuel pool. The manner in which the CRAs are utilized vary from plant to plant. Some utilities maintain the CRAs fully withdrawn during normal operation while others may operate with a bank of rods partially inserted (approximately 10%) during normal operation. Even when, fully withdrawn, the ends of the CRAs are present in the upper portion of the fuel assembly since they are never fully removed from the fuel assembly during operation. The result of the different operating styles is a variation in the source term for the CRAs. In all cases, however, only the lower portion of the CRAs will be significantly activated. Therefore, when the CRAs are stored with the PWR fuel assembly, the activated portion of the CRAs will be in the lower portion of the cask. CRAs are fabricated of various materials. The cladding is typically stainless steel, although inconel has been used. The absorber can be a single material or a combination of materials. AgInCd is possibly the most common absorber although B4C in aluminum is used, and hafnium has also been used. AgInCd produces a noticeable source term in the 0.3-1.0 MeV range due to the activation of Ag. The source term from the other absorbers is negligible, therefore the AgInCd CRAs are the bounding CRAs.

APSRs are used to flatten the power distribution during normal operation and as a result these devices achieve a considerably higher activation than CRAs. There are two types of B&W

stainless steel clad APSRs: gray and black. According to reference [5.2.5], the black APSRs have 36 inches of AgInCd as the absorber while the gray ones use 63 inches of inconel as the absorber. Because of the cobalt-60 source from the activation of inconel, the gray APSRs produce a higher source term than the black APSRs and therefore are the bounding APSR.

Since the level of activation of CRAs and APSRs can vary, the quantity that can be stored in an MPC is being limited. These devices are required to be stored in the locations as outlined in Section 2.1.9.

In order to determine the impact on the dose rates around the HI-STORM 100 System, source terms for the CRAs and APSRs were calculated using SAS2H and ORIGEN-S. In the ORIGEN-S calculations the cobalt-59 impurity level was conservatively assumed to be 0.8 gm/kg for stainless steel and 4.7 gm/kg for inconel. These calculations were performed by irradiating 1 kg of steel, inconel, and AgInCd using the flux calculated for the design basis B&W 15 $x15$  fuel assembly. The total curies of cobalt for the steel and inconel and the 0.3-1.0 MeV source for the AgInCd were calculated as a function of burnup and cooling time to a maximum burnup of 630,000 MWD/MTU. For burnups beyond 45,000 MWD/MTU, it was assumed, for the purpose of the calculation, that the burned fuel assembly was replaced with a fresh fuel assembly every 45,000 MWD/MTU. This was achieved in ORIGEN-S by resetting the flux levels and- cross sections to the 0 MWD/MTU condition after every 45,000 MWD/MTU. The sources were then scaled by the appropriate mass using the flux weighting factors for the different regions of the assembly to determine the final source term. Two different configurations were analyzed for both the CRAs and APSRs with an additional third configuration analyzed for the APSRs. The configurations, which are summarized below, are described in Tables 5.2.32 for the CRAs and Table 5.2.33 for the APSR. The masses of the materials listed in these tables were determined from a review of [5.2.5] with bounding values chosen. The masses listed in Tables 5.2.32 and 5.2.33 do not match exact values from [5.2.5] because the values in the reference were adjusted to the lengths shown in the tables.

### Configuration 1: CRA and APSR

This configuration had the lower 15 inches of the CRA and APSR activated at full flux with two regions above the 15 inches activated at a reduced power level. This simulates a CRA or APSR which was operated at 10% insertion. The regions above the 15 inches reflect the upper portion of the fuel assembly.

### Configuration 2: CRA and APSR

This configuration represents a fully removed CRA or APSR during normal core operations. The activated portion corresponds to the upper portion of a fuel assembly above the active fuel length with the appropriate flux weighting factors used.

### Configuration 3: APSR

This configuration represents a fully inserted gray APSR during normal core operations. The region in full flux was assumed to be the 63 inches of the absorber.

### HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR Rev. 7 REPORT HI-2002444

Tables 5.2.34 and 5.2.35 present the source terms, including decay heat, that were calculated for the CRAs and APSRs respectively. The only significant source from the activation of inconel or steel is Co-60 and the only significant source from the activation of AgInCd is from 0.3-1.0 MeV. The source terms for CRAs, Table 5.2.34, were calculated for a maximum burnup of 630,000 MWD/MTU and a minimum cooling time of 5 years. Because of the significant source term in APSRs that have seen extensive in-core operations, the source term in Table 5.2.35 was calculated to be a bounding source term for a variable burnup and cooling time as outlined in Section 2.1.9. The very larger Cobalt-60 activity in configuration 3 in Table 5.2.35 is due to the assumed Cobalt-59 impurity level of 4.7 gm/kg. If this impurity level were similar to the assumed value for steel, 0.8gm/kg, this source would decrease by approximately a factor of 5.8.

Section 5.4.6 discusses the effect on dose rate of the insertion of APSRs into the inner four fuel assemblies in the MPC-24 and inner twelve fuel assemblies in the MPC-32, as well as the insertion of CRAs into the inner twelve fuel assemblies of the MPC-24 and MPC-32.

#### 5.2.5 Choice of Design Basis Assembly

The analysis presented in this chapter was performed to bound the fuel assembly classes listed in Tables 2.1.1 and 2.1.2. In order to perform a bounding analysis, a design basis fuel assembly must be chosen. Therefore, a fuel assembly from each fuel class was analyzed and a comparison of the neutrons/sec, photons/sec, and thermal power (watts) was performed. The fuel assembly that produced the highest source for a specified burnup, cooling time, and enrichment was chosen as the design basis fuel assembly. A separate design basis assembly was chosen for the PWR MPCs (MPC-24 and MPC-32) and the BWR MPCs (MPC-68).

### 5.2.5.1 PWR Design Basis Assembly

Table 2.1.1 lists the PWR fuel assembly classes that were evaluated to determine the design basis PWR fuel assembly. Within each class, the fuel assembly with the highest  $UO<sub>2</sub>$  mass was analyzed. Since the variations of fuel assemblies within a class are very minor (pellet diameter, clad thickness, etc.), it is conservative to choose the assembly with the highest UO<sub>2</sub> mass. For a given class of assemblies, the one with the highest **U0 <sup>2</sup>**mass will produce the highest radiation source because, for a given burnup (MWD/MTU) and enrichment, the highest UO<sub>2</sub> mass will have produced the most energy and therefore the most fission products.

Table 5.2.25 presents the characteristics of the fuel assemblies analyzed to determine the design basis zircaloy clad PWR fuel assembly. The corresponding fuel assembly array class from Section 2.1.9 is also listed in the table. The fuel assembly listed for each class is the assembly with the highest  $UO<sub>2</sub>$  mass. The St. Lucie and Ft. Calhoun classes are not present in Table 5.2.25. These assemblies are shorter versions of the CE 16x16 and CE 14x14 assembly classes, respectively. Therefore, these assemblies are bounded by the CE 16x16 and CE 14x14 classes and were not explicitly analyzed. Since the Indian Point 1, Haddam Neck, and San Onofre 1 classes are stainless steel clad fuel, these classes were analyzed separately and are discussed below. All fuel assemblies in Table 5.2.25 were analyzed at the same burnup and cooling time.

HI-STORM FSAR Rev. 7 REPORT HI-2002444

The initial enrichment used in the analysis is consistent with Table 5.2.24. The results of the comparison are provided in Table 5.2.27. These results indicate that the B&W 15x15 fuel assembly has the highest radiation source term of the zircaloy clad fuel assembly classes considered in Table 2.1.1. This fuel assembly also has the highest **U0 <sup>2</sup>**mass (see Table 5.2.25) which confirms that, for a given initial enrichment, burnup, and cooling time, the assembly with the highest UO<sub>2</sub> mass produces the highest radiation source term. The power/assembly values used in Table 5.2.25 were calculated by dividing 110% of the thermal power for commercial PWR reactors using that array class by the number of assemblies in the core. The higher thermal power, 110%, was used to account for potential power uprates. The power level used for the B&W15 is an additional 17% higher for consistency with previous revisions of the FSAR which also used this assembly as the design basis assembly.

The Haddam Neck and San Onofre **I** classes are shorter stainless steel clad versions of the WE 15x15 and WE 14x14 classes, respectively. Since these assemblies have stainless steel clad, they were analyzed separately as discussed in Section 5.2.3. Based on the results in Table 5.2.27, which show that the WE 15x15 assembly class has a higher source term than the WE 14x14 assembly class, the Haddam Neck, WE 15x15, fuel assembly was analyzed as the bounding PWR stainless steel clad fuel assembly. The Indian Point **I** fuel assembly is a unique 14x14 design with a smaller mass of fuel and clad than the WEl4xI4. Therefore, it is also bounded by the WE  $15x15$  stainless steel fuel assembly.

As discussed below in Section 5.2.5.3, the allowable burnup limits in Section 2.1.9 were calculated for different array classes rather than using the design basis assembly to calculate the allowable burnups for all array classes. As mentioned above, the design basis assembly has the highest neutron and gamma source term of the various array classes for the same burnup and cooling time. In order to account for the fact that different array classes have different allowable burnups for the same cooling time, burnups which bound the  $14x14A$  array class were used with the design basis assembly for the analysis in this chapter because those burnups bound the burnups from all other PWR array classes. This approach assures that the calculated source terms and dose rates will be conservative.

### 5.2.5.2 BWR Design Basis Assembly

Table 2.1.2 lists the BWR fuel assembly classes that were evaluated to determine the design basis BWR fuel assembly. Since there are minor differences between the array types in the GE BWR/2-3 and GE BWRI4-6 assembly classes, these assembly classes were not considered individually but rather as a single class. Within that class, the array types, 7x7, 8x8, 9x9, and  $10x10$  were analyzed to determine the bounding BWR fuel assembly. Since the Humboldt Bay 7x7 and Dresden I 8x8 are smaller versions of the 7x7 and 8x8 assemblies they are bounded by the 7x7 and 8x8 assemblies in the GE BWR/2-3 and GE BWR/4-6 classes. Within each array type, the fuel assembly with the highest UO<sub>2</sub> mass was analyzed. Since the variations of fuel assemblies within an array type are very minor, it is conservative to choose the assembly with the highest  $UO_2$  mass. For a given array type of assemblies, the one with the highest  $UO_2$  mass will produce the highest radiation source because, for a given burnup (MWD/MTU) and

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR Rev. 7 REPORT HI-2002444

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

enrichment, it will have produced the most energy and therefore the most fission products. The Humboldt Bay 6x6, Dresden **I** 6x6, and LaCrosse assembly classes were not considered in the determination of the bounding fuel assembly. However, these assemblies were analyzed explicitly as discussed below.

Table 5.2.26 presents the characteristics of the fuel assemblies analyzed to determine the design basis zircaloy clad BWR fuel assembly. The corresponding fuel assembly array class from Section 2.1.9 is also listed in the table. The fuel assembly listed for each array type is the assembly that has the highest **U0 <sup>2</sup>**mass. All fuel assemblies in Table 5.2.26 were analyzed at the same burnup and cooling time. The initial enrichment used in these analyses is consistent with Table 5.2.24. The results of the comparison are provided in Table 5.2.28. These results indicate that the 7x7 fuel assembly has the highest radiation source term of the zircaloy clad fuel assembly classes considered in Table 2.1.2. This fuel assembly also has the highest UO<sub>2</sub> mass which confirms that, for a given initial enrichment, burnup, and cooling time, the assembly with the highest  $UO<sub>2</sub>$  mass produces the highest radiation source term. According to Reference [5.2.6], the last discharge of a 7x7 assembly was in 1985 and the maximum average burnup for a 7x7 during their operation was 29,000 MWD/MTU. This clearly indicates that the existing 7x7 assemblies have an average burnup and minimum cooling time that is well within the burnup and cooling time limits in Section 2.1.9. Therefore, the 7x7 assembly has never reached the burnup level analyzed in this chapter. However, in the interest of conservatism the 7x7 was chosen as the bounding fuel assembly array type. The power/assembly values used in Table 5.2.26 were calculated by dividing 120% of the thermal power for commercial BWR reactors by the number of assemblies in the core. The higher thermal power, 120%, was used to account for potential power uprates. The power level used for the 7x7 is an additional 4% higher for consistency with previous revisions of the FSAR which also used this assembly as the design basis assembly.

Since the LaCrosse fuel assembly type is a stainless steel clad 10xl0 assembly it was analyzed separately. The maximum burnup and minimum cooling time for this assembly are limited to 22,500 MWD/MTU and 10-year cooling as specified in Section 2.1.9. This assembly type is discussed further in Section 5.2.3.

The Humboldt Bay 6x6 and Dresden 1 6x6 fuel are older and shorter fuel than the other array types analyzed and therefore are considered separately. The Dresden **I** 6x6 was chosen as the design basis fuel assembly for the Humboldt Bay 6x6 and Dresden 1 6x6 fuel assembly classes because it has the higher UO<sub>2</sub> mass. Dresden 1 also contains a few 6x6 MOX fuel assemblies, which were explicitly analyzed as well.

Reference [5.2.6] indicates that the Dresden **I** 6x6 fuel assembly has a higher **U0 <sup>2</sup>**mass than the Dresden 1 8x8 or the Humboldt Bay fuel (6x6 and 7x7). Therefore, the Dresden **I** 6x6 fuel assembly was also chosen as the bounding assembly for damaged fuel and fuel debris for the Humboldt Bay and Dresden **I** fuel assembly classes.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR Rev. 7 REPORT HI-2002444

Since the design basis 6x6 fuel assembly can be intact or damaged, the analysis presented in Section 5.4.2 for the damaged 6x6 fuel assembly also demonstrates the acceptability of storing intact 6x6 fuel assemblies from the Dresden **I** and Humboldt Bay fuel assembly classes.

As discussed below in Section 5.2.5.3, the allowable burnup limits in Section 2.1.9 were calculated for different array classes rather than using the design basis assembly to calculate the allowable bumups for all array classes. As mentioned above, the design basis assembly has the highest neutron and gamma source term of the various array classes for the same burnup and cooling time. In order to account for the fact that different array classes have different allowable bumups for the same cooling time, bumups which bound the 9x9G array class were used with the design basis assembly for the analysis in this chapter because those burnups bound the burnups from all other BWR array classes. This approach assures that the calculated source terms and dose rates will be conservative.

#### 5.2.5.3 Decay Heat Loads and Allowable Burnup and Cooling Times

Section 2.1.6 describes the calculation of the MPC maximum decay heat limits per assembly. These limits, which differ for uniform and regionalized loading, are presented in Section 2.1.9. The allowable burnup and cooling time limits are derived based on the allowable decay heat limits. Since the decay heat of an assembly will vary slightly with enrichment for a fixed burnup and cooling time, an equation is used to represent burnup as a function of decay heat and enrichment. This equation is of the form:

$$
B_u = A * q + B * q^2 + C * q^3 + D * E_{235}^2 + E * E_{235} * q + F * E_{235} * q^2 + G
$$

where:  $B_u$  = Burnup in MWD/MTU  $q =$  assembly decay heat (kW)  $E_{235}$  = wt.% <sup>235</sup>U

The coefficients for this equation were developed by fitting ORIGEN-S calculated data for a specific cooling time using GNUPLOT [5.2.16]. ORIGEN-S calculations were performed for enrichments ranging from 0.7 to 5.0 wt.% <sup>235</sup>U and burnups from 10,000 to 65,000 MWD/MTU for BWRs and 10,000 to 70,000 MWD/MTU for PWRs. The burnups were increased in 2,500 MWD/MTU increments. Using the ORIGEN-S data, the coefficients A through G were determined and then the constant, G, was adjusted so that all data points were bounded (i.e. calculated burnup less than or equal to ORIGEN-S value) by the fit. The coefficients were calculated using ORIGEN-S data for cooling times from 3 years to 20 years. As a result, Section 2.1.9 provides different equation coefficients for each cooling time from 3 to 20 years. Additional discussion on the determination of the equation coefficients is provided in Appendix 5.F. Since the decay heat increases as the enrichment decreases, the allowable burnup will decrease as the enrichment decreases. Therefore, the enrichment used to calculated the allowable burnups becomes a minimum enrichment value and assemblies with an enrichment higher than the value used in the equation are acceptable for storage assuming they also meet the

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR Rev. 7 REPORT HI-2002444

corresponding burnup and decay heat requirements. Even though the lower limit of 0.7 wt.% <sup>235</sup>U was used in developing the coefficients, these equations are valid for the few assemblies that might exist with enrichments below 0.7 wt.% 235U. This is because the curve fit is very well behaved in the enrichment range from 0.7 to 5.0 wt.% <sup>235</sup>U and, therefore, it is expected that the curve fit will remain accurate for enrichments below  $0.7$  wt.%  $235$ U.

Different array classes or combinations of classes were analyzed separately to determine the allowable burnup as a function of cooling time for the specified allowable decay heat limits. Calculating allowable burnups for individual array classes is appropriate because even two assemblies with the same MTU may have a different allowable burnup for the same allowable cooling time and permissible decay heat. The heavy metal mass specified in Table 5.2.25 and 5.2.26 and Section 2.1.9 for the various array classes is the value that was used in the determination of the coefficients as a function of cooling time and is the maximum for the respective assembly class. Equation coefficients for each array class listed in Tables 5.2.25 and 5.2.26 were developed. In the end, the equation for the 17xl7B and 17xl7C array classes resulted in almost identical burnups. Therefore, in Section 2.1.9 these array classes were combined and the coefficients for the 17x17C array class were used since these coefficients produce slightly lower allowable burnups.

There is some uncertainty associated with the ORIGEN-S calculations due to uncertainty in the physics data (e.g. cross sections, decay constants, etc.) and the modeling techniques. To estimate this uncertainty, an approach similar to the one in Reference [5.2.14] was used. As a result, the potential error in the ORIGEN-S decay heat calculations was estimated to be in the range of 3.5 to 5.5% at 3 year cooling time and 1.5 to 3.5% at 20 year cooling. The difference is due to the change in isotopes important to decay heat as a function of cooling time. In order to be conservative in the derivation of the coefficients for the burnup equation, a 5% decay heat penalty was applied for both the PWR and BWR array classes.

As a demonstration that the decay heat values used to determine the allowable burnups are conservative, a comparison between these calculated decay heats and the decay heats reported in Reference [5.2.7] are presented in Table 5.2.29. This comparison is made for a burnup of 30,000 MWD/MTU and a cooling time of 5 years. The burnup was chosen based on the limited burnup data available in Reference [5.2.7].

As mentioned above, the fuel assembly burnup and cooling times in Section 2.1.9 were calculated using the decay heat limits which are also stipulated in Section 2.1.9. The burnup and cooling times for the non-fuel hardware, in Section 2.1.9, were chosen based on the radiation source term calculations discussed previously. The fuel assembly burnup, decay heat, and enrichment equations were derived without consideration for the decay heat from BPRAs, TPDs, CRAs, or APSRs. This is acceptable since the user of the HI-STORM 100 system is required to demonstrate compliance with the assembly decay heat limits in Section 2.1.9 regardless of the heat source (assembly or non-fuel hardware) and the actual decay heat from the non-fuel hardware is expected to be minimal. In addition, the shielding analysis presented in this chapter conservatively calculates the dose rates using both the burnup and cooling times for the fuel assemblies and non-fuel hardware. Therefore, the safety of the HI-STORM 100 system is guaranteed through the bounding analysis in this chapter, represented by the burnup and cooling time limits in the CoC, and the bounding thermal analysis in Chapter 4, represented by the decay heat limits in the CoC.

## 5.2.6 Thoria Rod Canister

Dresden Unit **I** has a single DFC containing 18 thoria rods which have obtained a relatively low burnup, 16,000 MWD/MTU. These rods were removed from two 8x8 fuel assemblies which contained 9 rods each. The irradiation of thorium produces an isotope which is not commonly found in depleted uranium fuel. Th-232 when irradiated produces U-233. The U-233 can undergo an (n,2n) reaction which produces U-232. The U-232 decays to produce TI-208 which produces a 2.6 MeV gamma during Beta decay. This results in a significant source in the 2.5-3.0 MeV range which is not commonly present in depleted uranium fuel. Therefore, this single DFC container was analyzed to determine if it was bounded by the current shielding analysis.

A radiation source term was calculated for the 18 thoria rods using SAS2H and ORIGEN-S for a burnup of 16,000 MWD/MTU and a cooling time of 18 years. Table 5.2.36 describes the 8x8 fuel assembly that contains the thoria rods. Table 5.2.37 and 5.2.38 show the gamma and neutron source terms, respectively, that were calculated for the 18 thoria rods in the thoria rod canister. Comparing these source terms to the design basis 6x6 source terms for Dresden Unit **I** fuel in Tables 5.2.7 and 5.2.18 clearly indicates that the design basis source terms bound the thoria rods source terms in all neutron groups and in all gamma groups except the 2.5-3.0 MeV group. As mentioned above, the thoria rods have a significant source in this energy range due to the decay of TI-208.

Section 5.4.8 provides a further discussion of the thoria rod canister and its acceptability for storage in the HI-STORM 100 System.

## 5.2.7 Fuel Assembly Neutron Sources

Neutron source assemblies (NSAs) are used in reactors for startup. There are different types of neutron sources (e.g. californium, americium-beryllium, plutonium-beryllium, poloniumberyllium, antimony-beryllium). These neutron sources are typically inserted into the water rod of a fuel assembly and are usually removable.

## 5.2.7.1 PWR Neutron Source Assemblies

REPORT HI-2002444

During in-core operations, the stainless steel and inconel portions of the NSAs become activated, producing a significant amount of Co-60. Reference [5.2.5] provides the masses of steel and inconel for the NSAs. Using these masses it was determined that the total activation of a primary or secondary source is bound by the total activation of a BPRA (see Table 5.2.31). Therefore, storage of NSAs is acceptable and a detailed dose rate analysis using the gamma source from activated NSAs is not performed. Conservatively, the burnup and cooling time limits for TPDs,

as listed in Section 2.1.9, are being applied to NSAs since they cover a larger range of burnups.

Antimony-beryllium sources are used as secondary (regenerative) neutron sources in reactor cores. The Sb-Be source produces neutrons from a gamma-n reaction in the beryllium, where the gamma originates from the decay of neutron-activated antimony. The very short half-life of  $124$ Sb, 60.2 days, however results in a complete decay of the initial amount generated in the reactor within a few years after removal from the reactor. The production of neutrons by the Sb-Be source through regeneration in the MPC is orders of magnitude lower than the design-basis fuel assemblies. Therefore Sb-Be sources do not contribute to the total neutron source in the MPC.

Primary neutron sources (californium, americium-beryllium, plutonium-beryllium and poloniumberyllium) are usually placed in the reactor with a source-strength on the order of 5E+08 n/s. This source strength is similar to, but not greater than, the maximum design-basis fuel assembly source strength listed in Tables 5.2.15 and 5.2.16.

By the time NSAs are stored in the MPC, the primary neutron sources will have been decaying for many years since they were first inserted into the reactor (typically greater than **10** years). For the <sup>252</sup>Cf source, with a half-life of 2.64 years, this means a significant reduction in the source intensity; while the <sup>210</sup>Po-Be source, with a half-life of 138 days, is virtually eliminated The  $^{238}$ Pu-Be and  $^{241}$ Am-Be sources, however, have a significantly longer half-life, 87.4 years and 433 years, respectively. As a result, their source intensity does not decrease significantly before storage in the MPC. Since the  $238$ Pu-Be and  $241$ Am-Be sources may have a source intensity similar to a design-basis fuel assembly when they are stored in the MPC, only a single NSA is permitted for storage in the MPC. Since storage of a single NSA would not significantly increase the total neutron source in an MPC, storage of NSAs is acceptable and detailed dose rate analysis of the neutron source from NSAs is not performed.

For ease of implementation in the CoC, the restriction concerning the number of NSAs is being applied to all types of NSAs. In addition, conservatively NSAs are required to be stored in the inner region of the MPC basket as specified in Section 2.1.9.

## 5.2.7.2 BWR Neutron Source Assemblies

Dresden Unit **I** has a few antimony-beryllium neutron sources. These sources have been analyzed in Section 5.4.7 to demonstrate that they are acceptable for storage in the HI-STORM 100 System.

## 5.2.8 Stainless Steel Channels

The LaCrosse nuclear plant used two types of channels for their BWR assemblies: stainless steel and zircaloy. Since the irradiation of zircaloy does not produce significant activation, there are no restrictions on the storage of these channels and they are not explicitly analyzed in this chapter. The stainless steel channels, however, can produce a significant amount of activation, predominantly from Co-60. LaCrosse has thirty-two stainless steel channels, a few of which have been in the reactor core for, approximately, the lifetime of the plant. Therefore, the activation of the stainless steel channels was conservatively calculated to demonstrate that they are acceptable for storage in the HI-STORM 100 system. For conservatism, the number of stainless steel channels in an MPC-68 is being limited to sixteen and Section 2.1.9 requires that these channels be stored in the inner sixteen locations.

The activation of a single stainless steel channel was calculated by simulating the irradiation of the channels with ORIGEN-S using the flux calculated from the LaCrosse fuel assembly. The mass of the steel channel in the active fuel zone (83 inches) was used in the analysis. For burnups beyond 22,500 MWD/MTU, it was assumed, for the purpose of the calculation, that the burned fuel assembly was replaced with a fresh fuel assembly every 22,500 MWD/MTU. This was achieved in ORIGEN-S by resetting the flux levels and cross sections to the 0 MWD/MTU condition after every 22,500 MWD/MTU.

LaCrosse was commercially operated from November 1969 until it was shutdown in April 1987. Therefore, the shortest cooling time for the assemblies and the channels is 13 years. Assuming the plant operated continually from 11/69 until 4/87, approximately 17.5 years or 6388 days, the accumulated burnup for the channels would be 186,000 MWD/MTU (6388 days times 29.17 MW/MTU from Table 5.2.3). Therefore, the cobalt activity calculated for a single stainless steel channel irradiated for 180,000 MWD/MTU was calculated to be 667 curies of Co-60 for 13 years cooling. This is equivalent to a source of 4.94E+13 photons/sec in the energy range of 1.0- 1.5 MeV.

In order to demonstrate that sixteen stainless steel channels are acceptable for storage in an MPC-68, a comparison of source terms is performed. Table 5.2.8 indicates that the source term for the LaCrosse design basis fuel assembly in the 1.0-1.5 MeV range is 6.34E+13 photons/see for 10 years cooling, assuming a 144 inch active fuel length. This is equivalent to 4.31E+15 photons/sec/cask. At 13 years cooling, the fuel source term in that energy range decreases to 4.31 E+13 photons/sec which is equivalent to 2.93 E+15 photons/sec/cask. If the source term from the stainless steel channels is scaled to 144 inches and added to the 13 year fuel source term the result is 4.30E+15 photons/sec/cask (2.93E+15 photons/sec/cask + 4.94E+13 photons/sec/channel x 144 inch/83 inch x 16 channels/cask). This number is equivalent to the 10 year 4.31E+15 photons/sec/cask source calculated from Table 5.2.8 and used in the shielding analysis in this chapter. Therefore, it is concluded that the storage of 16 stainless steel channels in an MPC-68 is acceptable.

#### HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR Rev. 7 REPORT HI-2002444

5.2-17

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010



### DESCRIPTION OF DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD FUEL

Notes:

- 1. The B&W 15x15 is the design basis assembly for the following fuel assembly classes listed in Table 2.1.1: B&W 15xl5, B&W 17x17, CE 14x14, CE 16x16, WE 14x14, WE 15x15, WE 17x17, St. Lucie, and Ft. Calhoun.
- 2. The GE 7x7 is the design basis assembly for the following fuel assembly classes listed in Table 2.1.2: GE BWR/2-3, GE BWR/4-6, Humboldt Bay 7x7, and Dresden **I** 8x8.

ft Derived from parameters in this table.

#### HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

 $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}$ 

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

 $\sim$ 

## Table 5.2.1 (continued)

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ 

## DESCRIPTION OF DESIGN BASIS FUEL



HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

 $\mathcal{L}$ 

 $\sim$ 



DESCRIPTION OF DESIGN BASIS GE 6x6 ZIRCALOY CLAD FUEL  $\mathbb{R}^2$ 

Notes:

- **I.** The 6x6 is the design basis damaged fuel assembly for the Humboldt Bay (all array types) and the Dresden **I** (all array types) damaged fuel assembly classes. It is also the design basis fuel assembly for the intact Humboldt Bay 6x6 and Dresden **I** 6x6 fuel assembly classes.
- 2. This design basis damaged fuel assembly is also the design basis fuel assembly for fuel debris.

**f** Derived from parameters in this table.

### HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444



### DESCRIPTION OF DESIGN BASIS STAINLESS STEEL CLAD FUEL

Notes:

**1.** The WE 15x15 is the design basis assembly for the following fuel assembly classes listed in Table 2.1.1: Indian Point 1, Haddam Neck, and San Onofre 1.

2. The LaCrosse **IOxI0** is the design basis assembly for the following fuel assembly class listed in Table 2.1.2: LaCrosse.

 $\mathcal{A}$ 

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Burnup and cooling time combinations are equivalent to or conservatively bound the limits in Section 2.1.9.

## CALCULATED MPC-32 PWR FUEL GAMMA SOURCE PER ASSEMBLY FOR DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD FUEL FOR VARYING BURNUPS AND COOLING TIMES



HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

 $\bar{z}$ 



## CALCULATED MPC-24 PWR FUEL GAMMA SOURCE PER ASSEMBLY FOR DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD FUEL FOR VARYING BURNUPS AND COOLING TIMES

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

5.2-23



## CALCULATED MPC-68 BWR FUEL GAMMA SOURCE PER ASSEMBLY FOR DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD FUEL FOR VARYING BURNUPS AND COOLING TIMES

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

5.2-24

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{r}}$ 

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010



 $\sim$ 

### CALCULATED MPC-68 BWR FUEL GAMMA SOURCE PER ASSEMBLY FOR DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD GE 6x6 FUEL

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

5.2-25

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

 $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$ 



### CALCULATED BWR FUEL GAMMA SOURCE PER ASSEMBLY FOR STAINLESS STEEL CLAD FUEL

Note: These source terms were calculated for a 144-inch fuel length. The limits in Section 2.1.9 are based on the actual 83-inch active fuel length.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

5.2-26

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

 $\sim 10$  $\mathcal{A}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ 

 $\mathcal{L}$ 



## CALCULATED PWR FUEL GAMMA SOURCE PER ASSEMBLY FOR STAINLESS STEEL CLAD FUEL

Note: These source terms were calculated for a 144-inch fuel length. The limits in Section 2.1.9 are based on the actual 122-inch active fuel length.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

 $\sim$ 

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

5.2-27

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010



 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ 

 $\sim$ 



# SCALING FACTORS USED IN CALCULATING THE  $^{60}\mathrm{Co}$  SOURCE

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

 $\bar{\omega}$ 

 $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$ 

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

 $\mathbb{R}^2$ 

 $\mathcal{A}$ 

5.2-28

 $\sim 10$ 

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

 $\sim$ 

Rev. 7

 $\mathcal{L}$ 

# CALCULATED MPC-32 **60Co** SOURCE PER ASSEMBLY FOR DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD FUEL AT DESIGN BASIS BURNUP AND COOLING TIME



HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

# CALCULATED MPC-24 <sup>60</sup>Co SOURCE PER ASSEMBLY FOR DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD FUEL AT DESIGN BASIS BURNUP AND COOLING TIME



HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL
# CALCULATED MPC-68 6°Co SOURCE PER ASSEMBLY FOR DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD FUEL AT DESIGN BASIS BURNUP AND COOLING TIME



HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

 $\sim$ 

÷.

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

## THIS TABLE INTENTIONALLY DELETED

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ 

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

 $\bar{z}$ 

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-200244 4

5.2-32

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

### CALCULATED MPC-32 PWR NEUTRON SOURCE PER ASSEMBLY FOR DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD FUEL FOR VARYING BURNUPS AND COOLING TIMES



HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

 $\mathcal{L}_{\rm{in}}$ 

5.2-33

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

## CALCULATED MPC-24 PWR NEUTRON SOURCE PER ASSEMBLY FOR DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD FUEL FOR VARYING BURNUPS AND COOLING TIMES



HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

# CALCULATED MPC-68 BWR NEUTRON SOURCE PER ASSEMBLY FOR DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD FUEL FOR VARYING BURNUPS AND COOLING TIMES



HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

5.2-35

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010



#### CALCULATED MPC-68 BWR NEUTRON SOURCE PER ASSEMBLY FOR DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD GE 6x6 FUEL

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

J.

 $\sim$ 

 $\sim$ 

 $\mathcal{A}$ 

 $\sim$ 

 $\mathcal{A}$ 

Rev. 7

 $\sim 10^{11}$ 



# CALCULATED BWR NEUTRON SOURCE PER ASSEMBLY FOR STAINLESS STEEL CLAD FUEL

Note: These source terms were calculated for a 144-inch fuel length. The limits in Section 2.1.9 are based on the actual 83-inch active fuel length.

 $\bar{z}$ 

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL



## CALCULATED PWR NEUTRON SOURCE PER ASSEMBLY FOR STAINLESS STEEL CLAD FUEL

Note: These source terms were calculated for a 144-inch fuel length. The limits in Section 2.1.9 are based on the actual 122-inch active fuel length.

 $\bar{t}$ 

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

÷,



# DESCRIPTION OF DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD MIXED OXIDE FUEL

 $\bar{\uparrow}$ 

See Table 5.3.3 for detailed composition of  $PuUO<sub>2</sub>$  rods.

t

Derived from parameters in this table.

# HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL



# CALCULATED MPC-68 BWR FUEL GAMMA SOURCE PER ASSEMBLY FOR DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD MIXED OXIDE FUEL

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444 4

5.2-40

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010



#### CALCULATED MPC-68 BWR NEUTRON SOURCE PER ASSEMBLY FOR DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD MIXED OXIDE FUEL

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010



INITIAL ENRICHMENTS USED IN THE SOURCE TERM CALCULATIONS

Note: The burnup ranges do not overlap. Therefore, 20,000-25,000 MWD/MTU means 20,000-24,999.9 MWD/MTU, etc. This note does not apply to the maximum burnups of 70,000 and 75,000 MWD/MTU.

#### HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

# Table 5.2.25 (page 1 of 2)



### DESCRIPTION OF EVALUATED ZIRCALOY CLAD PWR FUEL

 $\bar{t}$ 

Derived from parameters in this table.

# HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

# Table 5.2.25 (page 2 of 2)



# DESCRIPTION OF EVALUATED ZIRCALOY CLAD PWR FUEL

t Derived from parameters in this table.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

# Table 5.2.26 (page 1 of 2)



# DESCRIPTION OF EVALUATED ZIRCALOY CLAD BWR FUEL

t Derived from parameters in this table.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

 $\mathbb{R}^2$ 

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

 $\mathcal{L}$ 

# Table 5.2.26 (page 1 of 2)



# DESCRIPTION OF EVALUATED ZIRCALOY CLAD BWR FUEL

Note 1: 1OxIOC has a diamond shaped water rod with 4 additional segments dividing the fuel rods into four quadrants.

Derived from parameters in this table.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

 $\bar{t}$ 



# COMPARISON OF SOURCE TERMS FOR ZIRCALOY CLAD PWR FUEL 3.4 wt.%  $^{235}$ U - 40,000 MWD/MTU - 5 years cooling

Note:

The WE 14x14 and WE 15x15 have both zircaloy and stainless steel guide tubes. The first value presented is for the assembly with zircaloy guide tubes and the second value is for the assembly with stainless steel guide tubes.

# HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444



### COMPARISON OF SOURCE TERMS FOR ZIRCALOY CLAD BWR FUEL 3.0 wt.% <sup>235</sup>U - 40,000 MWD/MTU - 5 years cooling

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444 Rev. 7

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

## COMPARISON OF CALCULATED DECAY HEATS FOR DESIGN BASIS FUEL AND VALUES REPORTED IN THE DOE CHARACTERISTICS DATABASE<sup>†</sup> FOR 30,000 MWD/MTU AND 5-YEAR COOLING



Notes:

1. The decay heat from the source term calculations is the maximum value calculated for that fuel assembly class.

2. The decay heat values from the database include contributions from in-core material (e.g. spacer grids).

3. Information on the 10xl0 was not available in the DOE database. However, based on the results in Table 5.2.28, the actual decay heat values from the  $10x10$  would be very similar to the values shown above for the 8x8.

4. The enrichments used for the column labeled "Decay Heat from Source Term Calculations" were consistent with Table 5.2.24.

 $\uparrow$  Reference [5.2.7].

#### HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

 $\sim 10^{-1}$ 

 $\Delta$ 

### DESCRIPTION OF DESIGN BASIS BURNABLE POISON ROD ASSEMBLY AND THIMBLE PLUG DEVICE



HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

 $\bar{z}$ 

 $\bar{z}$ 

 $\sim$   $\sim$ 

5.2-50

 $\sim 10^7$ 

 $\sim$  1

## DESIGN BASIS COBALT-60 ACTIVITIES FOR BURNABLE POISON ROD ASSEMBLIES AND THIMBLE PLUG DEVICES



HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444 Rev. 7

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

### DESCRIPTION OF DESIGN BASIS CONTROL ROD ASSEMBLY CONFIGURATIONS FOR SOURCE TERM CALCULATIONS



 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ 

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

 $\mathcal{L}$ 

# DESCRIPTION OF DESIGN BASIS AXIAL POWER SHAPING ROD CONFIGURATION S FOR SOURCE TERM CALCULATIONS



HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

5.2-53

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010



#### DESIGN BASIS SOURCE TERMS FOR CONTROL ROD ASSEMBLY CONFIGURATIONS

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

### DESIGN BASIS SOURCE TERMS FROM AXIAL POWER SHAPING ROD CONFIGURATIONS



HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

 $\sim$ 

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010



#### DESCRIPTION OF FUEL ASSEMBLY USED TO ANNALYZE THORIA RODS IN THE THORIA ROD CANISTER

<sup>†</sup> Derived from parameters in this table.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT H1-2002444

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010



 $\mathcal{L}$ 

# CALCULATED FUEL GAMMA SOURCE FOR THORIA ROD CANISTER CONTAINING EIGHTEEN THORIA RODS

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

 $\mathcal{L}$ 

 $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ 

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444 Rev. 7

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

### CALCULATED FUEL NEUTRON SOURCE FOR THORIA ROD CANISTER CONTAINING EIGHTEEN THORIA RODS



HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

 $\alpha\rightarrow 0$ 

Rev. 7

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

5.2-58

### 5.3 MODEL SPECIFICATIONS

The shielding analysis of the HI-STORM 100 System was performed with MCNP-4A [5.1.1]. MCNP is a Monte Carlo transport code that offers a full three-dimensional combinatorial geometry modeling capability including such complex surfaces as cones and tori. This means that no gross approximations were required to represent the HI-STORM 100 System, including the HI-TRAC transfer casks, in the shielding analysis. A sample input file for MCNP is provided in Appendix 5.C.

As discussed in Section 5.1.1, off-normal conditions do not have any implications for the shielding analysis. Therefore, the MCNP models and results developed for the normal conditions also represent the off-normal conditions. Section 5.1.2 discussed the accident conditions and stated that the only accident that would impact the shielding analysis would be a loss of the neutron shield (water) in the HI-TRAC. Therefore, the MCNP model of the normal HI-TRAC condition has the neutron shield in place while the accident condition replaces the neutron shield with void. Section 5.1.2 also mentioned that there is no credible accident scenario that would impact the HI-STORM shielding analysis. Therefore, models and results for the normal and accident conditions are identical for the HI-STORM overpack.

#### 5.3.1 Description of the Radial and Axial Shielding Configuration

Chapter 1 provides the drawings that describe the HI-STORM 100 System, including the HI-TRAC transfer casks. These drawings, using nominal dimensions, were used to create the MCNP models used in the radiation transport calculations. Modeling deviations from these drawings are discussed below. Figures 5.3.1 through 5.3.6 show cross sectional views of the HI-STORM 100 overpack and MPC as it was modeled in MCNP for each of the MPCs. Figures 5.3.1 through 5.3.3 were created with the MCNP two-dimensional plotter and are drawn to scale. The inlet and outlet vents were modeled explicitly, therefore, streaming through these components is accounted for in the calculations of the dose adjacent to the overpack and at 1 meter. Figure 5.3.7 shows a cross sectional view of the 100-ton HI-TRAC with the MPC-24 inside as it was modeled in MCNP. Since the fins and pocket trunnions were modeled explicitly, neutron streaming through these components is accounted for in the calculations of the dose adjacent to the overpack and 1 meter dose. In Section 5.4.1, the dose effect of localized streaming through these compartments is analyzed.

Figure 5.3.10 shows a cross sectional view of the HI-STORM 100 overpack with the as-modeled thickness of the various materials. The dimensions for the HI-STORM **100S** and HI-STORM **100S** Version B overpacks are also shown on Figure 5.3.10. This figure notes two different dimensions for the inner and outer shells. These values apply only to the HI-STORM 100 and 100S. In these overpacks, the inner and outer shells can be manufactured from 1.25 and 0.75 inch thick steel, respectively, or both shells can be manufactured from 1 inch thick steel. The HI-STORM 100 and **IOOS** were modeled as 1.25 and 0.75 inch thick shells.

Figures 5.3.11, 5.3.18, and 5.3.22 are axial representations of the HI-STORM 100, HI-STORM 100S, and HI-STORM 100S Version B overpacks, respectively, with the various as-modeled dimensions indicated.

Only the HI-STORM **IOOS** Version B is analyzed in this chapter. This is reasonable because the HI-STORM **IN0S** Version B overpack is shorter than the other overpacks, and the MPC is positioned closer to the inlet vent which results in higher dose rates at the inlet vent compared to the other overpacks. In addition, the HI-STORM **IOOS** Version B has slightly higher offsite dose than the other overpacks.

Figures 5.3.12, 5.3.13, and 5.3.23 show axial cross-sectional views of the 100-, 125-ton, and 100D HI-TRAC transfer casks, respectively, with the as-modeled dimensions and materials specified. Figures 5.3.14, 5.3.15, and 5.3.20 show fully labeled radial cross-sectional views of the HI-TRAC 100, 125, and 125D transfer casks, respectively. Figure 5.3.14 also provides the information for the HI-TRAC **100D.** Finally, Figures 5.3.16 and 5.3.17 show fully labeled diagrams of the transfer lids for the HI-TRAC 100 and 125 transfer casks. Since lead plate may be used instead of poured lead in the pool and transfer lids, there exists the possibility of a gap between the lead plate and the surrounding steel walls. This gap was accounted for in the analysis as depicted on Figures 5.3.16 and 5.3.17. The gap was not modeled in the pool lid since the gap will only exist on the outer edges of the pool lid and the highest dose rate is in the center. (All results presented in this chapter were calculated with the gap with the exception of the results presented in Figures 5.1.6, 5.1.7, and 5.1.11 which did not include the gap.) The HI-TRAC I00D and 125D do not utilize the transfer lid, rather they utilize the pool lid in conjunction with the mating device. Therefore the dose rates reported for the pool lid in this chapter are applicable to both the HI-TRAC 125 and 125D and the HI-TRAC 100 and 100D while the dose rates reported for the transfer lid are applicable only to the HI-TRAC 100 and 125. Consistent with the analysis of the transfer lid in which only the portion of the lid directly below the MPC was modeled, the structure of the mating device which surrounds the pool lid was not modeled.

Since the HI-TRAC 125D has fewer radial ribs, the dose rate at the midplane of the HI-TRAC 125D is higher than the dose rate at the midplane of the HI-TRAC 125. The HI-TRAC 125D has steel ribs in the lower water jacket while the HI-TRAC 125 does not. These additional ribs in the lower water jacket reduce the dose rate in the vicinity of the pool lid for the HI-TRAC 125D compared to the HI-TRAC 125. Since the dose rates at the midplane of the HI-TRAC 125D are higher than the HI-TRAC 125, the results on the radial surface are only presented for the HI-TRAC 125D in this chapter.

To reduce the gamma dose around the inlet and outlet vents, stainless steel cross plates, designated gamma shield cross plates<sup>†</sup> (see Figures 5.3.11 and 5.3.18), have been installed inside

 $<sup>†</sup>$  This design embodiment, formally referred to as "Duct Photon Attenuator," has been disclosed</sup> as an invention by Holtec International for consideration by the US Patent Office for issuance of a patent under U.S. law.

all vents in all overpacks. The steel in these plates effectively attenuates the fuel and  ${}^{60}Co$ gammas that dominated the dose at these locations prior to their installation. Figure 5.3.19 shows three designs for the gamma shield cross plates to be used in the inlet and outlet vents. The designs in the top portion of the figure are mandatory for use in the HI-STORM 100 and 100S overpacks during normal storage operations and were assumed to be in place in the shielding analysis. The designs in the middle portion of the figure may be used instead of the mandatory designs in the HI-STORM **IOS** overpack to further reduce the radiation dose rates at the vents. These optional gamma shield cross plates could further reduce the dose rate at the vent openings by as much as a factor of two. The designs in the bottom portion of the figure are mandatory for use in the HI-STORM 100S Version B overpack during normal storage operations and were assumed to be in place in the shielding analysis.

Calculations were performed to determine the acceptability of homogenizing the fuel assembly versus explicit modeling. Based on these calculations it was concluded that it was acceptable to homogenize the fuel assembly without loss of accuracy. The width of the PWR and BWR homogenized fuel assembly is equal to 15 times the pitch and 7 times the pitch, respectively. Homogenization resulted in a noticeable decrease in run time.

Several conservative approximations were made in modeling the MPC. The conservative approximations are listed below.

- 1. The basket material in the top and bottom 0.9 inches where the MPC basket flow holes are located is not modeled. The length of the basket not modeled  $(0.9)$ inches) was determined by calculating the equivalent area removed by the flow holes. This method of approximation is conservative because no material for the basket shielding is provided in the 0.9-inch area at the top and bottom of the MPC basket.
- 2. The upper and lower fuel spacers are not modeled, as the fuel spacers are not needed on all fuel assembly types. However, most PWR fuel assemblies will have upper and lower fuel spacers. The fuel spacer length for the design basis fuel assembly type determines the positioning of the fuel assembly for the shielding analysis, but the fuel spacer materials are not modeled. This is conservative since it removes steel that would provide a small amount of additional shielding.
- 3. For the MPC-32, MPC-24, and MPC-68, the MPC basket supports are not modeled. This is conservative since it removes steel that would provide a small increase in shielding. The optional aluminum heat conduction elements are also conservatively not modeled.
- 4. The MPC-24 basket is fabricated from 5/16 inch thick cell plates. It is conservatively assumed for modeling purposes that the structural portion of the MPC-24 basket is uniformly fabricated from 9/32 inch thick steel. The Boral and

sheathing are modeled explicitly. This is conservative since it removes steel that would provide a small amount of additional shielding.

- *5.* In the modeling of the BWR fuel assemblies, the zircaloy flow channels were not represented. This was done because it cannot be guaranteed that all BWR fuel assemblies will have an associated flow channel when placed in the MPC. The flow channel does not contribute to the source, but does provide some small amount of shielding. However, no credit is taken for this additional shielding.
- 6. In the MPC-24, conservatively, all Boral panels on the periphery were modeled with a reduced width of 5 inches compared to 6.25 inches or 7.5 inches.
- 7. The MPC-68 is designed for two lid thicknesses: 9.5 inches and 10 inches. Conservatively, all calculations reported in this chapter were performed with the 9.5 inch thick lid.

During this project several design changes occurred that affected the drawings, but did not significantly affect' the MCNP models of the HI-STORM 100 and HI-TRAC. Therefore, the models do not exactly represent the drawings. The discrepancies between models and drawings are listed and discussed here.

#### MPC Modeling Discrepancies

- 1. In the MPCs, there is a sump in the baseplate to enhance draining of the MPC. This localized reduction in the thickness of the baseplate was not modeled. Since there is significant shielding and distance in both the HI-TRAC and the HI-STORM outside the MPC baseplate, this localized reduction in shielding will not affect the calculated dose rates outside the HI-TRAC or the HI-STORM.
- 2. The design configuration of the MPC-24 has been enhanced for criticality purposes. The general location of the 24 assemblies remains basically the same, therefore the shielding analysis continues to use the superseded configuration. Since'the new MPC-24 configuration and the configuration of the MPC-24E are almost identical, the analysis of the earlier MPC-24 configuration is valid for the MPC-24E as well. Figure 5.3.21 shows the superseded and current configuration for the MPC-24 for comparison.
- 3. The sheathing thickness on the new MPC-24 configuration was reduced from 0.06 inches to 0.0235 inches. However, the model still uses 0.06 inches. This discrepancy is compensated for by the use of 9/32 inch cell walls and 5 inch boral on the periphery as described above. MCNP calculations were performed with the new MPC-24 configuration in the 100-ton HI-TRAC for comparison to the superceded configuration. These results indicate that on the side of the overpack, the dose rates decrease by approximately 12% on the surface for a burnup of

60,000 MWD/MTU and a cooling time of 3 years. These results demonstrate that using the superceded MPC-24 design is conservative.

4. The MPC lid can be made either entirely from stainless steel, or from a stainless steel / carbon steel combination. In MCNP, the lid is modeled entirely as stainless steel. The difference in material would have a negligible effect on dose rates.

#### HI-TRAC Modeling Discrepancies

- 1. The pocket trunnion on the HI-TRAC 125 was modeled as penetrating the lead. This is conservative for gamma dose rates as it reduces effective shielding thickness. The HI-TRAC 125D does not use pocket trunnions.
- 2. The lifting blocks in the top lid of the 125-ton HI-TRACs were not modeled. Holtite-A was modeled instead. This is a small, localized item and will not impact the dose rates.
- 3. The door side plates that are in the middle of the transfer lid of the HI-TRAC 125 are not modeled. This is acceptable because the dose location calculated on the bottom of the transfer lid is in the center.
- 4. The outside diameter of the Holtite-A portion of the top lid of the 125-ton HI-TRACs was modeled as 4 inches larger than it is due to a design enhancement. This is acceptable because the peak dose rates on the top lid occur on the inner portions of the lid.

## HI-STORM Modeling Discrepancies

- 1. The steel channels in the cavity between the MPC and overpack were not modeled. This is conservative since it removes steel that would provide a small amount of additional shielding.
- 2. The bolt anchor blocks were not explicitly modeled. Concrete was used instead. These are small, localized items and will not impact the dose rates.
- 3. In the HI-STORM **100S** model, the exit vents were modeled as being inline with the inlet vents. In practice, they are rotated 45 degrees and positioned above the short radial plates. Therefore, this modeling change has the exit vents positioned above the full length radial plates. This modeling change has minimal impact on the dose rates at the exit vents.
- 4. The short radial plates in the HI-STORM 100S overpack were modeled in MCNP even though they are optional.

## HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL



- 5. The pedestal baseplate, which is steel with holes for pouring concrete, in the HI-STORM 100 and **IOOS** overpacks was modeled as concrete rather than steel. This is acceptable because this piece of steel is positioned at the bottom of the pedestal below 5 inches of steel and a minimum of **11.5** inches of concrete and therefore will have no impact on the dose rates at the bottom vent.
- 6. Minor penetrations in the body of the overpack (e.g. holes for grounding straps) are not modeled as these are small localized effects which will not affect the offsite dose rates.
- 7. Deleted
- 8. The drawings in Section 1.5 indicate that the HI-STORM 100S has a variable height. This is achieved by adjusting the height of the body of the overpack. The pedestal height is not adjusted. Conservatively, all calculations in this chapter used the shorter height for the HI-STORM **IOOS.**
- 9. In February 2002, the top plate on the HI-STORM 100 overpack was modified to be two pieces in a shear ring arrangement. The total thickness of the top plate was not changed. However, there is approximately a 0.5 inch gap between the two pieces of the top plate. This gap was not modeled in MCNP since it will result in a small increase in the dose rate on the overpack lid in an area where the dose rate is greatly reduced compared to other locations on the lid.
- 10. The MPC base support in the HI-STORM **IOOS** Version B was conservatively modeled as a 1 inch thick plate resting on a two inch tall ring as shown in Figure 5.3.22. The design of the overpack utilizes a solid three inch plate.
- 11. The gussets in the inside lower corners of the HI-STORM 100S Version B overpack were not modeled. Concrete was modeled instead.

#### 5.3.1.1 Fuel Configuration

As described earlier, the active fuel region is modeled as a homogenous zone. The end fittings and the plenum regions are also modeled as homogenous regions of steel. The masses of steel used in these regions are shown in Table 5.2.1. The axial description of the design basis fuel assemblies is provided in Table 5.3.1. Figures 5.3.8 and 5.3.9 graphically depict the location of the PWR and BWR fuel assemblies within the HI-STORM 100 System. The axial locations of the Boral, basket, inlet vents, and outlet vents are shown in these figures.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR Rev. 7 REPORT HI-2002444

*5.3-6*

### 5.3.1.2 Streaming Considerations

The MCNP model of the HI-STORM overpack completely describes the inlet and outlet vents, thereby properly accounting for their streaming effect. The gamma shield cross plates located in the inlet and outlet vents, which effectively reduce the gamma dose in these locations, are modeled explicitly.

The MCNP model of the HI-TRAC transfer cask describes the lifting trunnions, pocket trunnions, and the opening in the HI-TRAC top lid. The fins through the HI-TRAC water jacket are also modeled. Streaming considerations through these trunnions and fins are discussed in Section 5.4.1.

The design of the HI-STORM 100 System, as described in the drawings in Chapter 1, has eliminated all other possible streaming paths. Therefore, the MCNP model does not represent any additional streaming paths. A brief justification of this assumption is provided for each penetration.

- The lifting trunnions will remain installed in the HI-TRAC transfer cask.
- **0** The pocket trunnions of the HI-TRAC are modeled as solid blocks of steel. No credit is taken for any part of the pocket trunnion that extends beyond the water jacket.
- The threaded holes in the MPC lid are plugged with solid plugs during storage and, therefore, do not create a void in the MPC lid.
- **0** The drain and vent ports in the MPC lid are designed to eliminate streaming paths. The holes in the vent and drain port cover plates are filled with a set screw and plug weld. The steel lost in the MPC lid at the port location is replaced with a block of steel approximately 6 inches thick located directly below the port opening and attached to the underside of the lid. This design feature is shown on the drawings in Chapter 1. The MCNP model did not explicitly represent this arrangement but, rather, modeled the MPC lid as a solid plate.

#### 5.3.2 Regional Densities

Composition and densities of the various materials used in the HI-STORM 100 System and HI-TRAC shielding analyses are given in Tables 5.3.2 and 5.3.3. All of the materials and their actual geometries are represented in the MCNP model.

The concrete density shown in Table 5.3.2 is the minimum concrete density analyzed in this chapter. The HI-STORM 100 overpacks are designed in such a way that the concrete density in the body of the overpack can be increased to approximately 3.2 gm/cc (200 lb/cu-ft). It is generally recommended to use concrete with a density of 155 lb/cu-ft or more. Increasing the density beyond this value would result in a significant reduction in the dose rates. This may be



beneficial based on on-site and off-site ALARA considerations. Lower densities may be necessary to address weight restrictions at certain sites.

The water density inside the MPC corresponds to the maximum allowable water temperature within the MPC. The water density in the water jacket corresponds to the maximum allowable temperature at the maximum allowable pressure. As mentioned, the HI-TRAC transfer cask is equipped with a water jacket providing radial neutron shielding. Demineralized water will be utilized in the water jacket. To ensure operability for low temperature conditions, ethylene glycol (25% in solution) may be added to reduce the freezing point for low temperature operations. Calculations were performed to determine the effect of the ethylene glycol on the shielding effectiveness of the radial neutron shield. Based on these calculations, it was concluded that the addition of ethylene glycol (25% in solution) does not reduce the shielding effectiveness of the radial neutron shield.

The MPCs in the HI-STORM 100 System can be manufactured with one of two possible neutron absorbing materials: Boral or Metamic. Both materials are made of aluminum and  $B_4C$  powder. The Boral contains an aluminum and  $B_4C$  powder mixture sandwiched between two aluminum plates while the Metamic is a single plate. The minimum  $^{10}B$  areal density is the same for Boral and Metamic while the thicknesses are essentially the same. Therefore, the mass of Aluminum and B4C are essentially equivalent and there is no distinction between the two materials from a shielding perspective. As a result, Table 5.3.2 identifies the composition for Boral and no explicit calculations were performed with Metamic.

Sections 4.4 and 4.5 demonstrate that all materials used in the HI-STORM and HI-TRAC remain below their design temperatures as specified in Table 2.2.3 during all normal conditions. Therefore, the shielding analysis does not address changes in the material density or composition as a result of temperature changes.

Section 4.4 indicates that there are localized areas in the concrete in the lid of the overpack which approach 390°F. An increase in temperature from 300°F to 390°F results in an approximate 0.666% overall density reduction due to the loss of chemically unbound water. This density reduction results in a reduction in the mass fraction of hydrogen from 0.6% to 0.529% in the area affected by the temperature excursion. This is a localized effect with the maximum loss occurring at the bottom center of the lid where the temperature is the hottest and reduced loss occurring as the temperature decreases to 300'F.

Based on these considerations, the presence of localized temperatures up to 390°F in the lid concrete has a negligible effect on the shielding effectiveness of the HI-STORM 100 overpack lid.

Chapter 11 discusses the effect of the various accident conditions on the temperatures of the shielding materials and the resultant impact on their shielding effectiveness. As stated in Section 5.1.2, there is only one accident that has any significant impact on the shielding configuration. This accident is the loss of the neutron shield (water) in the HI-TRAC as a result of fire or other

5.3-8
damage. The change in the neutron shield was conservatively analyzed by assuming that the entire volume of the liquid neutron shield was replaced by void.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

5.3-9

### Table 5.3.1

 $\ddot{\phantom{1}}$ 



## DESCRIPTION OF THE AXIAL MCNP MODEL OF THE FUEL ASSEMBLIES<sup>†</sup>

 $\ddot{\mathbf{t}}$ 

## HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

All dimensions start at the bottom of the fuel assembly. The length of the lower fuel spacer must be added to the distances to determine the distance from the top of the MPC baseplate.

### Table 5.3.2



### COMPOSITION OF THE MATERIALS IN THE HI-STORM 100 SYSTEM

 $\ddagger$ 

All B-10 loadings in the Boral compositions are conservatively lower than the values defined in the Bill of Materials.

### HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

 $\bar{z}$ 

# Table 5.3.2 (continued)



## COMPOSITION OF THE MATERIALS IN THE HI-STORM 100 SYSTEM

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

 $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$ 

5.3-12

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

# Table 5.3.2 (continued)

## COMPOSITION OF THE MATERIALS IN THE HI-STORM 100 SYSTEM



HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

5.3-13

## Table 5.3.2 (continued)

## COMPOSITION OF THE MATERIALS IN THE HI-STORM 100 SYSTEM



HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

 $\overline{a}$ 

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ 

 $\sim 10^7$ 

5.3-14

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

### Table 5.3.3



#### COMPOSITION OF THE FUEL PELLETS IN THE MIXED OXIDE FUEL ASSEMBLIES

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

 $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$ 

Rev. 7

*5.3-15*

÷.



FIGURE 5.3.1; HI-STORM 100 OVERPACK WITH MPC-32 CROSS SECTIONAL VIEW AS MODELLED IN MCNPt

 $\mathcal{L}$ 

 $<sup>†</sup>$  This figure is drawn to scale using the MCNP plotter.</sup>

REPORT HI-2002444 Rev. **I**



### FIGURE 5.3.2; HI-STORM 100 OVERPACK WITH MPC-24 CROSS SECTIONAL VIEW AS MODELLED IN MCNP'

REPORT HI-2002444 Rev. 0

 $<sup>†</sup>$  This figure is drawn to scale using the MCNP plotter.</sup>



FIGURE 5.3.3; HI-STORM 100 OVERPACK WITH MPC-68 CROSS SECTIONAL VIEW AS MODELLED IN MCNP<sup>†</sup>

REPORT HI-2002444 Rev. 0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>t</sup> This figure is drawn to scale using the MCNP plotter.



## FIGURE 5.3.4; CROSS SECTIONAL VIEW OF AN MPC-32 BASKET CELL AS MODELED IN MCNP

REPORT HI-2002444 REV. 2



FIGURE 5.3.5; CROSS SECTIONAL VIEW OF AN MPC-24 BASKET CELL AS MODELED **IN** MCNP

REPORT HI-2002444 Rev. 0



FIGURE 5.3.6; CROSS SECTIONAL VIEW OF AN MPC-68 BASKET CELL AS MODELED IN MCNP

REPORT HI-2002444 Rev. 0

 $\alpha$  .

 $\sim$ 



### FIGURE 5.3.7; HI-TRAC OVERPACK WITH MPC-24 CROSS SECTIONAL VIEW AS MODELED IN MCNP<sup>†</sup>

REPORT HI-2002444 Rev. **I**

 $\vert$ 

t This figure is drawn to scale using the MCNP plotter.

L



January **18,** 2010

ا ب

**F-**

L\_



January **18,** 2010











 $\sigma_{\rm{max}}=1$ 

Note: The HI-TRAC 100 has 10 steel ribs as shown. The HI-TRAC 100D has 8 steel ribs evenly spaced with thickness as shown.

#### FIGURE 5.3.14; HI-TRAC 100 AND **IOOD** TRANSFER CASK CROSS SECTIONAL VIEW (AS MODELED)

 $\sim$ 

#### REPORT HI-2002444

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ 



FIGURE 5.3.15; HI-TRAC 125 TRANSFER CASK CROSS SECTIONAL VIEW (AS MODELED)

 $\sim$ 

REPORT HI-2002444 REV. **I**

 $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ 

 $\sim$ 

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ 





"9





January **18,** 2010



FIGURE 5.3.20; HI-TRAC 125D TRANSFER CASK CROSS SECTIONAL VIEW (AS MODELED)

REPORT HI-2002444 REV. 1

 $\bar{z}$ 



### FIGURE 5.3.21; CROSS SECTIONAL VIEWS OF THE CURRENT MPC-24 DESIGN AND THE SUPERSEDED MPC-24 WHICH IS USED IN THE MCNP MODELS.

REPORT HI-2002444 REV. **I**



L



### 5.4 SHIELDING EVALUATION

The MCNP-4A code was used for all of the shielding analyses [5.1.1]. MCNP is a continuous energy, three-dimensional, coupled neutron-photon-electron Monte Carlo transport code. Continuous energy cross section data are represented with sufficient energy points to permit linear-linear interpolation between points. The individual cross section libraries used for each nuclide are those recommended by the MCNP manual. All of these data are based on ENDF/B-V data. MCNP has been extensively benchmarked against experimental data by the large user community. References [5.4.2], [5.4.3], and [5.4.4] are three examples of the benchmarking that has been performed.

The energy distribution of the source term, as described earlier, is used explicitly in the MCNP model. A different MCNP calculation is performed for each of the three source terms (neutron, decay gamma, and  ${}^{60}Co$ ). The axial distribution of the fuel source term is described in Table 2.1 **.11** and Figures 2.1.3 and 2.1.4. The PWR and BWR axial burnup distributions were obtained from References [5.4.5] and [5.4.6], respectively. These axial distributions were obtained from operating plants and are representative of PWR and BWR fuel with bumups greater than 30,000 MWD/MTU. The <sup>60</sup>Co source in the hardware was assumed to be uniformly distributed over the appropriate regions.

It has been shown that the neutron source strength varies as the burnup level raised by the power of 4.2. Since this relationship is non-linear and since the burnup in the axial center of a fuel assembly is greater than the average burnup, the neutron source strength in the axial center of the assembly is greater than the relative burnup times the average neutron source strength. In order to account for this effect, the neutron source strength in each of the 10 axial nodes listed in Table 2.1.11 was determined by multiplying the average source strength by the relative burnup level raised to the power of 4.2. The peak relative burnups listed in Table 2.1.11 for the PWR and BWR fuels are 1.105 and 1.195 respectively. Using the power of 4.2 relationship results in a 37.6% (1.105<sup>4.2</sup>/1.105) and 76.8% (1.195<sup>4.2</sup>/1.195) increase in the neutron source strength in the peak nodes for the PWR and BWR fuel, respectively. The total neutron source strength increases by 15.6% for the PWR fuel assemblies and 36.9% for the BWR fuel assemblies.

MCNP was used to calculate doses at the various desired locations. MCNP calculates neutron or photon flux and these values can be converted into dose by the use of dose response functions. This is done internally in MCNP and the dose response functions are listed in the input file in Appendix 5.C. The response functions used in these calculations are listed in Table 5.4.1 and were taken from ANSI/ANS 6.1.1, 1977 [5.4.1].

The dose rates at the various locations were calculated with MCNP using a two step process. The first step was to calculate the dose rate for each dose location per starting particle for each neutron and gamma group in the fuel and each axial location in the end fittings. The second and last step was to multiply the dose rate per starting particle for each group or starting location by the source strength (i.e. particles/sec) in that group or location and sum the resulting dose rates

for all groups in each dose location. The standard deviations of the various results were statistically combined to determine the standard deviation of the total dose in each dose location.

The HI-STORM shielding analysis was performed for conservative burnup and cooling time combinations which bound the uniform and regionalized loading specifications for zircaloy clad fuel specified in Section 2.1.9. Therefore, the HI-STORM shielding analysis presented in this chapter is conservatively bounding for the MPC-24, MPC-32, and MPC-68.

Tables 5.1.11 through 5.1.13 provide the maximum dose rates adjacent to the HI-STORM overpack during normal conditions for each of the MPCs. Tables 5.1.14 through 5.1.16 provide the maximum dose rates at one meter from the overpack. A detailed discussion of the normal, off-normal, and accident condition dose rates is provided in Sections 5.1.1 and 5.1.2.

Tables 5.1.7 and 5.1.8 provide dose rates for the 100-ton and 125-ton HI-TRAC transfer casks, respectively, with the MPC-24 loaded with design basis fuel in the normal condition, in which the MPC is dry and the HI-TRAC water jacket is filled with water. Table 5.4.2 shows the corresponding dose rates adjacent to and one meter away from the 100-ton HI-TRAC for the fully flooded MPC condition with an empty water-jacket (condition in which the HI-TRAC is removed from the spent fuel pool). Table 5.4.3 shows the dose rates adjacent to and one meter away from the 100-ton HI-TRAC for the fully flooded MPC condition with the water jacket filled with water (condition in which welding operations are performed). Dose locations 4 and 5, which are on the top and bottom of the HI-TRAC were not calculated at the one-meter distance for these configurations. For the conditions involving a fully flooded MPC, the internal water level was 10 inches below the MPC lid. These dose rates represent the various conditions of the HI-TRAC during operations. Comparing these results to Table 5.1.7 indicates that the dose rates in the upper and lower portions of the HI-TRAC are reduced by about 50% with the water in the MPC. The dose at the center of the HI-TRAC is reduced by approximately 50% when there is also water in the water jacket and is essentially unchanged when there is no water in the water jacket as compared to the normal condition results shown in Table 5.1.7.

The burnup and cooling time combination of 60,000 MWD/MTU and 3 years was selected for the **I** 00-ton MPC-24 HI-TRAC analysis because this combination of burnup and cooling time results in the highest dose rates, and therefore, bounds all other requested combinations in the 100-ton HI-TRAC. The dose rate at 1 meter from the pool lid was not calculated because a concrete floor was placed 6 inches below the pool lid to account for potential ground scattering. These results in Table 5.1.7 clearly indicate that gammas are the dominant portion of the total dose rate. Therefore, as the burnup and cooling time increase, the reduction in the gamma dose rate due to the increased cooling time results in a net decrease in the total dose rate.

In contrast, the dose rates surrounding the HI-TRAC 125 and 125D transfer casks have significantly higher neutron component. Therefore, the dose rates at 75,000 MWD/MTU burnup and 5 year cooling are higher than the dose rates at 60,000 MWD/MTU burnup and 3 year cooling. The dose rates for the 125-ton HI-TRACs with the MPC-24 at 75,000 MWD/MTU and 5 year cooling are listed in Table 5.1.8 of Section 5.1.

Table 5.4.9 provides dose rates adjacent to and one meter away from the 100-ton HI-TRAC with the MPC-68 at a burnup and cooling time combination of 50,000 MWD/MTU and 3 years. The dose rate at 1 meter from the pool lid was not calculated because a concrete floor was placed 6 inches below the pool lid to account for potential ground scattering. These results demonstrate that the dose rates on contact at the top and bottom of the 100-ton HI-TRAC are somewhat higher in the MPC-68 case than in the MPC-24 case. However, the MPC-24 produces higher dose rates than the MPC-68 at the center of the HI-TRAC, on-contact, and at locations 1 meter away from the HI-TRAC. Therefore, the MPC-24 is still used for the exposure calculations in Chapter 10 of the FSAR.

Table 5.4.11 provides dose rates adjacent to and one meter away from the 100-ton HI-TRAC with the MPC-32 at burnup and cooling time combination of 45,000 MWD/MTU and 3 years. The dose rate at **I** meter from the pool lid was not calculated because a concrete floor was placed 6 inches below the pool lid to account for potential ground scattering. These results demonstrate that the dose rates on contact at the top of the 100-ton HI-TRAC are somewhat higher in the MPC-32 case than in the MPC-24 case. However, the MPC-24 produces higher dose rates than the MPC-32 at the center of the HI-TRAC, on-contact, and at locations 1 meter away from the HI-TRAC. Therefore, the MPC-24 is still used for the exposure calculations in Chapter 10 of the FSAR.

Table 5.4.19 provides dose rates adjacent to and one meter away from the radial surface of the HI-TRAC **IOOD** with the MPC-32 at a bumup of 35,000 MWD/MTU and a cooling time of 3 years. Results are presented only for dose locations **I** through 3 since the differences between the HI-TRAC 100 and the **100D** will only affect the dose rates on the side of the transfer cask. A comparison of these results to those provided in Table 5.4.11 indicates that the dose rates at 1 meter from the transfer cask are very similar to the dose rates for the **I** 00-ton HI-TRAC.

As mentioned in Section 5.0, all MPCs offer a regionalized loading pattern as described in Section 2.1.9. This loading pattern authorizes fuel of higher decay heat than uniform loading (i.e. higher bumups and shorter cooling times) to be stored in either the center region, region 1, of the MPC or the outer region, region 2. From a shielding perspective, placing the older fuel on the outside provides shielding for the inner fuel in the radial direction. Note that for the MPC-24 there are some inner region assemblies that have a comer area with a direct line of sight to the MPC shell and therefore the outer region will not provide quite as much additional shielding over the whole surface area of the cask as it does for the MPC-32 and MPC-68. Based on analysis for the MPC-32 and MPC-68 using the same burnup and cooling times in region **I** and 2 the following percentages were calculated for dose location 2 on the 100-ton HI-TRAC.

Approximately 21% and 27% of the neutron dose at the edge of the water jacket comes from region 1 fuel assemblies in the MPC-32 and MPC-68, respectively.

Region 1 contains 12 (38% of total) and 32 (47% of total) assemblies in the MPC-32 and MPC-68, respectively. For the MPC-24, where region **I** contains 12 (50% of total) assemblies, the contribution would be similar.

Approximately 1% and 2% of the photon dose at the edge of the water jacket comes from region **I** fuel assemblies in the MPC-32 and MPC-68, respectively. For the MPC-24, where some corners of the region **I** assemblies are not completely shielded by the outer assemblies, the contribution of the photon dose from region **I** would be slightly larger than for the MPC-32 and MPC-68, but the gamma dose rates would still be dominated by the outer assemblies.

These results clearly indicate that the outer fuel assemblies shield almost the entire gamma source from the inner assemblies in the radial direction and a significant percentage of the neutron source. The conclusion from this analysis is that the total dose rate on the external radial surfaces of the cask can be greatly reduced by placing longer cooled and lower burnup fuels on the outside of the basket. Note that for the MPC-24 there may be localized higher dose rates using regionalized loading, since the inner region is not totally surrounded by the outer region. However, the dose rates would always be bounded by the values presented in this chapter. In the axial direction, regionalized loading with higher burnup fuel on the inside results in higher dose rates in the center portion of the cask since the region 2 assemblies are not shielding the region 1 assemblies for axial dose locations.

Note that the regionalized loading scheme also allows placing higher burned or shorter cooled assemblies on the periphery of the basket. In this case, dose rate would be closer to the bounding values presented here. This configuration should only be used if it is not feasible to place such assemblies in the center of the cask.

Burnup and cooling time combinations which bound both regionalized loading and uniform loading patterns were analyzed. Therefore, dose rates for specific regionalized loading patterns are not presented in this chapter. Section 5.4.9 provides a brief additional discussion on regionalized loading dose rates.

Unless otherwise stated all tables containing dose rates for design basis fuel refer to design basis intact zircaloy clad fuel.

Since MCNP is a statistical code, there is an uncertainty associated with the calculated values. In MCNP the uncertainty is expressed as the relative error which is defined as the standard deviation of the mean divided by the mean. Therefore, the standard deviation is represented as a percentage of the mean. The relative error for the total dose rates presented in this chapter were typically less than 5% and the relative error for the individual dose components was typically less than 10%.

#### 5.4.1 Streaming Through Radial Steel Fins and Pocket Trunnions and Azimuthal Variations

The HI-STORM 100 overpack and the HI-TRAC utilize radial steel fins for structural support and cooling. The attenuation of neutrons through steel is substantially less than the attenuation of neutrons through concrete and water. Therefore, it is possible to have neutron streaming through the fins that could result in a localized dose peak. The reverse is true for photons, which would result in a localized reduction in the photon dose. In addition to the fins, the pocket trunnions in the HI-TRAC 100 and 125 are essentially blocks of steel that are approximately 12 inches wide and 12 inches high. The effect of the pocket trunnion on neutron streaming and photon transmission will be more substantial than the effect of a single fin.

Analysis of the pocket trunnions in the HI-TRAC 100 and 125 and the steel fins in the HI-TRAC 100, 125, and 125D indicate that neutron streaming is noticeable at the surface of the transfer cask. The neutron dose rate on the surface of the pocket trunnion is approximately 5 times higher than the circumferential average dose rate at that location. The gamma dose rate is approximately 10 times lower than the circumferential average dose rate at that location. The streaming at the rib location is the largest in the HI-TRAC 125D because the ribs are thicker than in the HI-TRAC 100 or 125. The neutron dose rate on the surface of the rib in the 125D is approximately 3 times higher than the circumferential average dose rate at that location. The gamma dose rate on the surface of the rib in the 125D is approximately 3 times lower than the circumferential average dose rate at that location. At one meter from the cask surface there is little difference between the dose rates calculated over the fins and the pocket trunnions compared to the other areas of the water jackets.

These conclusions indicate that localized neutron streaming is noticeable on the surface of the transfer casks. However, at one meter from the surface the streaming has dissipated. Since most HI-TRAC operations will involve personnel moving around the transfer cask at some distance from the cask only surface average dose rates are reported in this chapter.

Below each lifting trunnion, there is a localized area where the water jacket has been reduced in height by 4.125 inches to accommodate the lift yoke (see Figures 5.3.12 and 5.3.13). This area experiences a significantly higher than average dose rate on contact of the HI-TRAC. The peak dose in this location is 2.9 Rem/hr for the MPC-32, 2.0 Rem/hr for the MPC-68 and 2.4 Rem/hr for the MPC-24 in the 100-ton HI-TRAC and 1.7 Rem/hr for the MPC-24 in the HI-TRAC 125D. At a distance of **I** to 2 feet from the edge of the HI-TRAC the localized effect is greatly reduced. This dose rate is acceptable because during lifting operations the lift yoke will be in place, which, due to the additional lift yoke steel  $(\sim$ 3 inches), will greatly reduce the dose rate. However, more importantly, people will be prohibited from being in the vicinity of the lifting trunnions during lifting operations as a standard rigging practice. In addition the lift yoke is remote in its attachment and detachment, further minimizing personnel exposure. Immediately following the detachment of the lift yoke, in preparation for closure operations, temporary shielding may be placed in this area. Any temporary shielding (e.g., lead bricks, water tanks, lead blankets, steel plates, etc.) is sufficient to attenuate the localized hot spot. The operating

#### HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR Rev. 8 REPORT HI-2002444 5.4-5

procedure in Chapter 8 discusses the placement of temporary shielding in this area. For the 100 ton HI-TRAC, the optional temporary shield ring will replace the water that was lost from the axial reduction in the water jacket thereby eliminating the localized hot spot. When the HI-TRAC is in the horizontal position, during transport operations, it will (at a minimum) be positioned a few feet off the ground by the transport vehicle and therefore this location below the lifting trunnions will be positioned above people which will minimize the effect on personnel exposure. In addition, good operating practice will dictate that personnel remain at least a few feet away from the transport vehicle. During vertical transport of a loaded HI-TRAC, the localized hot spot will be even further from the operating personnel. Based on these considerations, the conclusion is that this localized hot spot does not significantly impact the personnel exposure.

#### 5.4.2 Damaged Fuel Post-Accident Shielding Evaluation

#### 5.4.2.1 Dresden **I** and Humboldt Bay Damaged Fuel

As discussed in Section 5.2.5.2, the analysis presented below, even though it is for damaged fuel, demonstrates the acceptability of storing intact Humboldt Bay 6x6 and intact Dresden 1 6x6 fuel assemblies.

For the damaged fuel and fuel debris accident condition, it is conservatively assumed that the damaged fuel cladding ruptures and all the fuel pellets fall and collect at the bottom of the damaged fuel container. The inner dimension of the damaged fuel container, specified in the Design Drawings of Chapter 1, and the design basis damaged fuel. and fuel debris assembly dimensions in Table 5.2.2 are used to calculate the axial height of the rubble in the damaged fuel container assuming 50% compaction. Neglecting the fuel pellet to cladding inner diameter gap, the volume of cladding and fuel pellets available for deposit is calculated assuming the fuel rods are solid. Using the volume in conjunction with the damaged fuel container, the axial height of rubble is calculated to be 80 inches.

Dividing the total fuel gamma source for a 6x6 fuel assembly in Table 5.2.7 by the 80 inch rubble height provides a gamma source per inch of 3.41E+12 photon/s. Dividing the total neutron source for a 6x6 fuel assembly in Table 5.2.18 by 80 inches provides a neutron source per inch of 2.75E+05 neutron/s. These values are both bounded by the BWR design basis fuel gamma source per inch and neutron source per inch values of 1.08E+13 photon/s and 9.17E+05 neutron/s, respectively, for a burnup and cooling time of 40,000 MWD/MTU and 5 years. These BWR design basis values were calculated by dividing the total source strengths for 40,000 MWD/MTU and 5 year cooling by the active fuel length of 144 inches. Therefore, damaged Dresden I and Humboldt Bay fuel assemblies are bounded by the design basis intact BWR fuel assembly for accident conditions. No explicit analysis of the damaged fuel dose rates from Dresden 1 or Humboldt Bay fuel assemblies are provided as they are bounded by the intact fuel analysis.
#### 5.4.2.2 Generic PWR and BWR Damaged Fuel

The Holtec Generic PWR and BWR DFCs are designed to accommodate any PWR or BWR fuel assembly that can physically fit inside the DFC. Damaged fuel assemblies under normal conditions, for the most part, resemble intact fuel assemblies from a shielding perspective. Under accident conditions, it can not be guaranteed that the damaged fuel assembly will remain intact. As a result, the damaged fuel assembly may begin to resemble fuel debris in its possible configuration after an accident.

Since damaged fuel is identical to intact fuel from a shielding perspective no specific analysis is required for damaged fuel under normal conditions. However, a generic shielding evaluation was performed to demonstrate that fuel debris under normal or accident conditions, or damaged fuel in a post-accident configuration, will not result in a significant increase in the dose rates around the 100-ton HI-TRAC. Only the 100-ton HI-TRAC was analyzed because it can be concluded that if the dose rate change is not significant for the 100-ton HI-TRAC then the change will not be significant for the 125-ton HI-TRACs or the HI-STORM overpacks.

Fuel debris or a damaged fuel assembly which has collapsed can have an average fuel density which is higher than the fuel density for an intact fuel assembly. If the damaged fuel assembly was to fully or partially collapse, the fuel density in one portion of the assembly would increase and the density in the other portion of the assembly would decrease. This scenario was analyzed with MCNP-4A in a conservative bounding fashion to determine the potential change in dose rate as a result of fuel debris or a damaged fuel assembly collapse. The analysis consisted of modeling the fuel assemblies in the damaged fuel locations in the MPC-24 (4 peripheral locations in the MPC-24E or MPC-24EF) and the MPC-68 (16 peripheral locations) with a fuel density that was twice the normal fuel density and correspondingly increasing the source rate for these locations by a factor of two. A flat axial power distribution was used which is approximately representative of the source distribution if the top half of an assembly collapsed into the bottom half of the assembly. Increasing the fuel density over the entire fuel length, rather than in the top half or bottom half of the fuel assembly, is conservative and provides the dose rate change in both the top and bottom portion of the cask.

Tables 5.4.13 and 5.4.14 provide the results for the MPC-24 and MPC-68, respectively. Only the radial dose rates are provided since the axial dose rates will not be significantly affected because the damaged fuel assemblies are located on the periphery of the baskets. A comparison of these results to the results in Tables 5.1.7 and 5.4.9 indicate that the dose rates in the top and bottom portion of the 100-ton HI-TRAC increase by less than 27% while the dose rate in the center of the HI-TRAC actually decreases a little bit. The increase in the bottom and top is due to the assumed flat power distribution. The dose rates shown in Tables 5.4.13 and 5.4.14 were averaged over the circumference of the cask. Since almost all of the peripheral cells in the MPC-68 are filled with DFCs, an azimuthal variation would not be expected for the MPC-68. However, since there are only 4 DFCs in the MPC-24E, an azimuthal variation in dose due to the damaged fuel/fuel debris might be expected. Therefore, the dose rates were evaluated in four smaller regions, one outside each DFC, that encompass about 44% of the circumference. There was no significant change in the dose rate as a result of the localized dose calculation. These results indicate that the potential effect on the dose rate is not very significant for the storage of damaged fuel and/or fuel debris. This conclusion is further reinforced by the fact that the majority of the significantly damaged fuel assemblies in the spent fuel inventories are older assemblies from the earlier days of nuclear plant operations. Therefore, these assemblies will have a considerably lower burnup and longer cooling times than the assemblies analyzed in this chapter.

The MPC-32 was not explicitly analyzed for damaged fuel or fuel debris in this chapter. However, based on the analysis described above for the MPC-24 and the MPC-68, it can be concluded that the shielding performance of the MPC-32 will not be significantly affected by the storage of damaged fuel.

#### 5.4.3 Site Boundary Evaluation

NUREG-1536 [5.2.1] states that detailed calculations need not be presented since SAR Chapter 12 assigns ultimate compliance responsibilities to the site licensee. Therefore, this subsection describes, by example, the general methodology for performing site boundary dose calculations. The site-specific fuel characteristics, burnup, cooling time, and the site characteristics would be factored into the evaluation performed by the licensee.

As an example of the methodology, the dose from a single HI-STORM overpack loaded with an MPC-24 and various arrays of loaded HI-STORMs at distances equal to and greater than 100 meters were evaluated with MCNP. In the model, the casks were placed on an infinite slab of dirt to account for earth-shine effects. The atmosphere was represented by dry air at a uniform density corresponding to 20 degrees C. The height of air modeled was 700 meters. This is more than sufficient to properly account for skyshine effects. The models included either 500 or 1050 meters of air around the cask. Based on the behavior of the dose rate as a function of distance, 50 meters of air, beyond the detector locations, is sufficient to account for back-scattering. Therefore, the HI-STORM MCNP off-site dose models account for back scattering by including more than 50 meters of air beyond the detector locations for all cited dose rates. Since gamma back-scattering has an effect on the off-site dose, it is recommended that the site-specific evaluation under IOCFR72.212 include at least 50 to 100 meters of air, beyond the detector locations, in the calculational models.

The MCNP calculations of the off-site dose used a two-stage process. In the first stage a binary surface source file (MCNP terminology) containing particle track information was written for particles crossing the outer radial and top surfaces of the HI-STORM overpack. In the second stage of the calculation, this surface source file was used with the particle tracks originating on the outer edge of the overpack and the dose rate was calculated at the desired location (hundreds of meters away from the overpack). The results from this two-stage process are statistically the

same as the results from a single calculation. However, the advantage of the two-stage process is that each stage can be optimized independently.

The annual dose, assuming 100% occupancy (8760 hours), at 350 meters from a single HI-STORM **I 00S** Version B cask is presented in Table 5.4.6 for the design basis burnup and cooling time analyzed. This table indicates that the dose due to neutrons is 2.8 % of the total dose. This is an important observation because it implies that simplistic analytical methods such as point kernel techniques may not properly account for the neutron transmissions and could lead to low estimates of the site boundary dose.

The annual dose, assuming 8760 hour occupancy, at distance from an array of casks was calculated in three steps.

- 1. The annual dose from the radiation leaving the side of the HI-STORM 100S Version B overpack was calculated at the distance desired. Dose value  $= A$ .
- 2. The annual dose from the radiation leaving the top of the HI-STORM **IOOS** Version B overpack was calculated at the distance desired. Dose value = B.
- 3. The annual dose from the radiation leaving the side of a HI-STORM **IOOS** Version B overpack, when it is behind another cask, was calculated at the distance desired. The casks have an assumed 15-foot pitch. Dose value **=** C.

The doses calculated in the steps above are listed in Table 5.4.7 for the bounding burnup and cooling time of 60,000 MWD/MTU and 3-year cooling. Using these values, the annual dose (at the center of the long side) from an arbitrary 2 by Z array of HI-STORM 100S Version B overpacks can easily be calculated. The following formula describes the method.

 $Z$  = number of casks along long side

 $Dose = ZA + 2ZB + ZC$ 

As an example, the dose from a 2x3 array at 450 meters is presented.

- 1. The annual dose from the side of a single cask: Dose  $A = 6.81$
- 2. The annual dose from the top of a single cask: Dose B **=** 1.78E-2
- 3. The annual dose from the side of a cask positioned behind another cask: Dose  $C = 1.36$

Using the formula shown above  $(Z=3)$ , the total dose at 450 meters from a 2x3 array of HI-STORM overpacks is 24.62 mrem/year, assuming a 8760 hour occupancy.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

An important point to notice here is that the dose from the side of the back row of casks is approximately 16 % of the total dose. This is a significant contribution and one that would probably not be accounted for properly by simpler methods of analysis.

The results for various typical arrays of HI-STORM overpacks can be found in Section 5.1. While the off-site dose analyses were performed for typical arrays of casks containing design basis fuel, compliance with the requirements of IOCFR72.104(a) can only be demonstrated on a site-specific basis. Therefore, a site-specific evaluation of dose at the controlled area boundary must be performed for each ISFSI in accordance with IOCFR72.212. The site-specific evaluation will consider the site-specific characteristics (such as exposure duration and the number of casks deployed), dose from other portions of the facility and the specifics of the fuel being stored (burnup and cooling time).

#### 5.4.4 Stainless Steel Clad Fuel Evaluation

Table 5.4.8 presents the dose rates at the center of the HI-STORM 100 overpack, adjacent and at one meter distance, from the stainless steel clad fuel. These dose rates, when compared to Tables 5.1.1 through 5.1.6, are similar to the dose rates from the design basis zircaloy clad fuel, indicating that these fuel assemblies are acceptable for storage.

As described in Section 5.2.3, it would be incorrect to compare the total source strength from the stainless steel clad fuel assemblies to the source strength from the design basis zircaloy clad fuel assemblies since these assemblies do not have the same active fuel length and since there is a significant gamma source from Cobalt-60 activation in the stainless steel. Therefore it is necessary to calculate the dose rates from the stainless steel clad fuel and compare them to the dose rates from the zircaloy clad fuel. In calculating the dose rates, the source term for the stainless steel fuel was calculated with an artificial active fuel length of 144 inches to permit a simple comparison of dose rates from stainless steel clad fuel and zircaloy clad fuel at the center of the HI-STORM 100 overpack. Since the true active fuel length is shorter than 144 inches and since the end fitting masses of the stainless steel clad fuel are assumed to be identical to the end fitting masses of the zircaloy clad fuel, the dose rates at the other locations on the overpack are bounded by the dose rates from the design basis zircaloy clad fuel, and therefore, no additional dose rates are presented.

#### 5.4.5 Mixed Oxide Fuel Evaluation

The source terms calculated for the Dresden **I** GE 6x6 MOX fuel assemblies can be compared to the source terms for the BWR design basis zircaloy clad fuel assembly (GE 7x7) which demonstrates that the MOX fuel source terms are bounded by the design basis source terms and no additional shielding analysis is needed.

Since the active fuel length of the MOX fuel assemblies is shorter than the active fuel length of the design basis fuel, the source terms must be compared on a per inch basis. Dividing the total

fuel gamma source for the MOX fuel in Table 5.2.22 by the **110** inch active fuel height provides a gamma source per inch of 2.36E+12 photons/s. Dividing the total neutron source for the MOX fuel assemblies in Table 5.2.23 by 110 inches provides a neutron source strength per inch of 3.06E+5 neutrons/s. These values are both bounded by the BWR design basis fuel gamma source per inch and neutron source per inch values of 1.08E+13 photons/s and 9.17E+5 neutrons/s for 40,000 MWD/MTU and 5 year cooling. These BWR design basis values were calculated by dividing the total source strengths for 40,000 MWD/MTU and 5 year cooling by the active fuel length of 144 inches. This comparison shows that the MOX fuel source terms are bound by the design basis source terms. Therefore, no explicit analysis of dose rates is provided for MOX fuel.

Since the MOX fuel assemblies are Dresden Unit **I** 6x6 assemblies, they can also be considered as damaged fuel. Using the same methodology as described in Section 5.4.2.1, the source term for the MOX fuel is calculated on a per inch basis assuming a post accident rubble height of 80 inches. The resulting gamma and neutron source strengths are  $3.25E+12$  photons/s and  $4.21E+5$ neutrons/s. These values are also bounded by the design basis fuel gamma source per inch and neutron source per inch. Therefore, no explicit analysis of dose rates is provided for MOX fuel in a post accident configuration.

#### 5.4.6 Non-Fuel Hardware

As discussed in Section 5.2.4, non-fuel hardware in the form of BPRAs, TPDs, CRAs, and APSRs are permitted for storage, integral with a PWR fuel assembly, in the HI-STORM 100 System. Since each of these devices occupy the same location within an assembly (i.e., the guide tubes), only one of these devices will be present in a given assembly. ITTRs, which are installed after core discharge and do not contain radioactive material, may also be stored in the assembly. BPRAs, TPDs, and ITTRs are authorized for unrestricted storage in an MPC. The CRAs are restricted to the center twelve locations in an MPC while the APSRs are restricted to the center four locations in the MPC-24, MPC-24E, MPC-24EF and the center twelve locations in the MPC-32. The calculation of the source term and a description of the bounding fuel devices was provided in Section 5.2.4. The dose rate due to BPRAs and TPDs being stored in a fuel assembly was explicitly calculated. Table 5.4.15 provides the dose rates at various locations on the surface and one meter from the 100-ton HI-TRAC due to the BPRAs and TPDs for the MPC-24 and MPC-32. These results were added to the totals in the other table to provide the total dose rate with BPRAs. Table 5.4.15 indicates that the dose rates from BPRAs bound the dose rates from TPDs.

As discussed in Section 5.2.4, two different configurations were analyzed for CRAs and three different configurations were analyzed for APSRs. The dose rate due to CRAs and APSRs was explicitly calculated for dose locations around the 100-ton HI-TRAC. Tables 5.4.16 and 5.4.17 provide the results for the different configurations of CRAs and APSRs, respectively, in the MPC-24 and MPC-32. These results indicate the dose rate on the radial surfaces of the overpack due to the storage of these devices is less than the dose rate from BPRAs (except for the surface dose rate at the bottom, where the value for the CRA is comparable to or higher than the value

HI-STORM FSAR Rev. 8 REPORT HI-2002444 5.4-11

from the BPRA, depending on the CRA configuration) and the dose rate out the top of the overpack is essentially 0. The latter is due to the fact that CRAs and APSRs do not achieve significant activation in the upper portion of the devices due to the manner in which they are utilized during normal reactor operations. In contrast, the dose rate out the bottom of the overpack is substantial due to these devices. However, these dose rates occur in an area (below the pool lid and transfer doors) which is not normally occupied. Therefore, even though the dose rates calculated (using a very conservative source term evaluation) are very high, they do not pose a risk from an operations perspective because they are localized in nature. Section 5.1.1 provides additional discussion on the acceptability of the relatively high localized doses on the bottom of the HI-TRACs.

It has to be noted that when twelve CRAs or APSRs are placed in a basket, not all of them are shielded equally well: the components placed in the center four locations have a better shielding than the eight components placed around them. The dose contribution per component will therefore be lower for components placed in the center four locations. It is therefore recommended from an ALARA perspective to minimize the number of CRAs and APSRs per basket if possible, and place the components close to the center of the basket.

#### 5.4.7 Dresden Unit **I** Antimony-Beryllium Neutron Sources

Dresden Unit 1 has antimony-beryllium neutron sources which are placed in the water rod location of their fuel assemblies. These sources are steel rods which contain a cylindrical antimony-beryllium source which is 77.25 inches in length. The steel rod is approximately 95 inches in length. Information obtained from Dresden Unit **I** characterizes these sources in the following manner: "About one-quarter pound of beryllium will be employed as a special neutron source material. The beryllium produces neutrons upon gamma irradiation. The gamma rays for the source at initial start-up will be provided by neutron-activated antimony (about 865 curies). The source strength is approximately 1E+8 neutrons/second."

As stated above, beryllium produces neutrons through gamma irradiation and in this particular case antimony is used as the gamma source. The threshold gamma energy for producing neutrons from beryllium is 1.666 MeV. The outgoing neutron energy increases as the incident gamma energy increases. Sb-124, which decays by Beta decay with a half life of 60.2 days, produces a gamma of energy 1.69 MeV which is just energetic enough to produce a neutron from beryllium. Approximately 54% of the Beta decays for Sb-124 produce gammas with energies greater than or equal to 1.69 MeV. Therefore, the neutron production rate in the neutron source can be specified as 5.8E-6 neutrons per gamma (IE+8/865/3.7E+10/0.54) with energy greater than 1.666 MeV or 1.16E+5 neutrons/curie **(I** E+8/865) of Sb- 124.

With the short half life of 60.2 days all of the initial Sb-124 is decayed and any Sb-124 that was produced while the neutron source was in the reactor is also decayed since these neutron sources are assumed to have the same minimum cooling time as the Dresden 1 fuel assemblies (array classes 6x6A, 6x6B, 6x6C, and 8x8A) of 18 years. Therefore, there are only two possible gamma

sources which can produce neutrons from this antimony-beryllium source. The first is the gammas from the decay of fission products in the fuel assemblies in the MPC. The second gamma source is from Sb-124 which is being produced in the MPC from neutron activation from neutrons from the decay of fission products.

MCNP calculations were performed to determine the gamma source as a result of decay gammas from fuel assemblies and Sb-124 activation. The calculations explicitly modeled the 6x6 fuel assembly described in Table 5.2.2. A single fuel rod was removed and replaced by a guide tube. In order to determine the amount of Sb-124 that is being activated from neutrons in the MPC it was necessary to estimate the amount of antimony in the neutron source. The O.D. of the source was assumed to be the I.D. of the steel rod encasing the source (0.345 in.). The length of the source is 77.25 inches. The beryllium is assumed to be annular in shape encompassing the antimony. Using the assumed O.D. of the beryllium and the mass and length, the I.D. of the beryllium was calculated to be 0.24 inches. The antimony is assumed to be a solid cylinder with an O.D. equal to the I.D. of the beryllium. These assumptions are conservative since the antimony and beryllium are probably encased in another material which would reduce the mass of antimony. A larger mass of antimony is conservative since the calculated activity of Sb-124 is directly proportional to the initial mass of antimony.

The number of gammas from fuel assemblies with energies greater than 1.666 MeV entering the 77.25 inch long neutron source was calculated to be 1.04E+8 gammas/sec which would produce a neutron source of 603.2 neutrons/sec (1.04E+8 \* 5.8E-6). The steady state amount of Sb-124 activated in the antimony was calculated to be 39.9 curies. This activity level would produce a neutron source of 4.63E+6 neutrons/sec (39.9 \* 1.16E+5) or 6.0E+4 neutrons/sec/inch (4.63E+6/77.25). These calculations conservatively neglect the reduction in antimony and beryllium which would have occurred while the neutron sources were in the core and being irradiated at full reactor power.

Since this is a localized source (77.25 inches in length) it is appropriate to compare the neutron source per inch from the design basis Dresden Unit **I** fuel assembly, 6x6, containing an Sb-Be neutron source to the design basis fuel neutron source per inch. This comparison, presented in Table 5.4.18, demonstrates that a Dresden Unit 1 fuel assembly containing an Sb-Be neutron source is bounded by the design basis fuel.

As stated above, the Sb-Be source is encased in a steel rod. Therefore, the gamma source from the activation of the steel was considered assuming a burnup of 120,000 MWD/MTU which is the maximum burnup assuming the Sb-Be source was in the reactor for the entire 18 year life of Dresden Unit **1.** The cooling time assumed was 18 years which is the minimum cooling time for Dresden Unit **I** fuel. The source from the steel was bounded by the design basis fuel assembly. In conclusion, storage of a Dresden Unit 1 Sb-Be neutron source in a Dresden Unit **I** fuel assembly is acceptable and bounded **by** the current analysis.

HI-STORM FSAR Rev. 8

#### 5.4.8 Thoria Rod Canister

Based on a comparison of the gamma spectra from Tables 5.2.37 and 5.2.7 for the thoria rod canister and design basis 6x6 fuel assembly, respectively, it is difficult to determine if the thoria rods will be bounded by the 6x6 fuel assemblies. However, it is obvious that the neutron spectra from the 6x6, Table 5.2.18, bounds the thoria rod neutron spectra, Table 5.2.38, with a significant margin. In order to demonstrate that the gamma spectrum from the single thoria rod canister is bounded by the gamma spectrum from the design basis 6x6 fuel assembly, the gamma dose rate on the outer radial surface of the 100-ton HI-TRAC and the HI-STORM overpack was estimated conservatively assuming an MPC full of thoria rod canisters. This gamma dose rate was compared to an estimate of the dose rate from an MPC full of design basis 6x6 fuel assemblies. The gamma dose rate from the 6x6 fuel was higher for the 100-ton HI-TRAC and only 15% lower for the HI-STORM overpack than the dose rate from an MPC full of thoria rod canisters. This in conjunction with the significant margin in neutron spectrum and the fact that there is only one thoria rod canister clearly demonstrates that the thoria rod canister is acceptable for storage in the MPC-68 or the MPC-68F.

#### 5.4.9 Regionalized Loading Dose Rate Evaluation

Section 2.1.9 describes the regionalized loading scheme available in the HI-STORM 100 system. Depending on the choice of X (the ratio of inner region assembly heat load to outer region assembly heat load), higher heat load fuel (higher burnup and shorter cooling time) may be placed in either region **I** or region 2. If X is greater than 1, the higher heat load fuel is placed in region 1 and shielded by lower heat load fuel in region 2. This configuration produces the lowest dose rates since the older colder fuel is being used as shielding for the younger hotter fuel. If X is less than 1, then the younger hotter fuel is placed on the periphery of the basket and the older colder fuel is placed on the interior of the basket. This configuration will result in higher radial dose rates than for configurations with X greater than or equal to 1. In order to perform a bounding shielding analysis, the burnup and cooling time combinations listed in Section 5.1 were chosen to bound all values of X. All fuel assemblies in an **MPC** were assumed to have the same burnup and cooling time in the shielding analysis. This approach results in dose rates calculated in this chapter that bound all allowable regionalized and uniform loading burnup and cooling time combinations.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR Rev. 8 REPORT HI-2002444 5.4-14

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

### FLUX-TO-DOSE CONVERSION FACTORS (FROM [5.4.1])



#### HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

 $\bar{z}$ 

 $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ 

### Table 5,4.1 (continued)

### FLUX-TO-DOSE CONVERSION FACTORS (FROM [5.4.1])



HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

Rev. 8

 $\sim$ 

### Table 5.4.1 (continued)





Includes the Quality Factor.

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

 $\ddagger$ 

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

5.4-17

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

#### DOSE RATES FOR THE 100-TON HI-TRAC FOR THE FULLY FLOODED MPC CONDITION WITH AN EMPTY NEUTRON SHIELD MPC-24 DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD FUEL AT 60,000 MWD/MTU AND 3-YEAR COOLING



Note: MPC internal water level is 10 inches below the MPC lid.

Refer to Figures 5.1.2 and 5.1.4.

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-20024

 $<sup>tt</sup>$  Gammas generated by neutron capture are included with fuel gammas.</sup>

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

 $<sup>ttt</sup>$  Cited dose rates correspond to the cask center. Figures 5.1.6, 5.1.7, and 5.1.11 illustrate the</sup> substantial reduction in dose rates moving radially outward from the axial center of the HI-TRAC.

#### DOSE RATES FOR THE 100-TON HI-TRAC FOR THE FULLY FLOODED MPC CONDITION WITH A FULL NEUTRON SHIELD MPC-24 DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD FUEL AT 60,000 MWD/MTU AND 3-YEAR COOLING



Note: MPC internal water level is 10 inches below the MPC lid.

t Refer to Figures 5.1.2 and 5.1.4.

 $t$ <sup>tt</sup> Gammas generated by neutron capture are included with fuel gammas.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

Rev. 8

tit Cited dose rates correspond to the cask center. Figures 5.1.6, 5.1.7, and 5.1.11 illustrate the substantial reduction in dose rates moving radially outward from the axial center of the HI-TRAC.

 $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ 

## [INTENTIONALLY DELETED]

#### Table 5.4.5

### [INTENTIONALLY DELETED]

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

 $\sim$ 

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ 

#### ANNUAL **DOSE AT** 350 METERS FROM A SINGLE HI-STORM lO0S VERSION B OVERPACK WITH AN MPC-24 WITH DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD FUEL<sup>†</sup>  $\mathcal{L}$



 $\ddot{\phantom{1}}$ 

HI-STORM FSA R REPORT HI-200

8760 hour annual occupancy is assumed.

**ff** Gammas generated by neutron capture are included with fuel gammas.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

 $\sim 10$ 

### DOSE VALUES USED IN CALCULATING ANNUAL DOSE FROM VARIOUS HI-STORM **IOOS** VERSION B ISFSI CONFIGURATIONS 60,000 MWD/MTU AND 3-YEAR COOLING ZIRCALOY CLAD FUEL<sup>†</sup>



 $\ensuremath{\dag}$ 

8760 hour annual occupancy is assumed.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

 $\bar{z}$ 

#### DOSE RATES AT THE CENTERLINE OF THE OVERPACK FOR DESIGN BASIS STAINLESS STEEL CLAD FUEL WITHOUT BPRAs



**t** Refer to Figure 5.1.1.

tt Gammas generated by neutron capture are included with fuel gammas.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR Rev. 8

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

REPORT HI-2002444 5.4-23



#### DOSE RATES FROM THE 100-TON HI-TRAC FOR NORMAL CONDITIONS MPC-68 DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD FUEL AT 50,000 MWD/MTU AND 3-YEAR COOLING

Notes:

- **"** Refer to Figures 5.1.2 and 5.1.4 for dose locations.
- Dose location 3(temp) represents dose location 3 with temporary shielding installed.
- **"** Dose location 4(outer) is the radial segment at dose location 4 which is 18-30 inches from the center of the overpack.
- \* Dose location 5 (t-outer) is the radial segment at dose location 5 (transfer lid) which is 30-42 and 54- 66 inches from the center of the lid for the adjacent and one meter locations, respectively. The inner radius of the HI-TRAC is 34.375 in. and the outer radius of the water jacket is 44.375 in.
- \* Dose rate based on no water within the MPC. For the majority of the duration that the HI-TRAC pool lid is installed, the MPC cavity will be flooded with water. The water within the MPC greatly reduces the dose rate.

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

 $\bar{a}$ 

 $\sim$   $\sim$ 

## [INTENTIONALLY DELETED]

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

 $\epsilon$ 

 $\bar{z}$ 

 $\sim 10^7$ 

 $\mathcal{A}$ 

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010





Notes:

 $\overline{U}$ 

- Refer to Figures 5.1.2 and 5.1.4 for dose locations.
- \* Dose location 4(outer) is the radial segment at dose location 4 which is 18-30 inches from the center of the overpack.
- Dose location 5 (t-outer) is the radial segment at dose location 5 (transfer lid) which is 30-42 and 54- 66 inches from the center of the lid for the adjacent and one meter locations, respectively. The inner radius of the HI-TRAC is 34.375 in. and the outer radius of the water jacket is 44.375 in.
- \* Dose rate based on no water within the MPC. For the majority of the duration that the HI-TRAC pool lid is installed, the MPC cavity will be flooded with water. The water within the MPC greatly reduces the dose rate.

# [INTENTIONALLY DELETED]

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-20024

 $\blacktriangledown$ 

 $\bullet$ 

Rev. 8

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

#### DOSE RATES FROM THE 100-TON HI-TRAC FOR ACCIDENT CONDITIONS WITH FOUR DAMAGED FUEL CONTAINERS MPC-24 DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD FUEL 60,000 MWD/MTU AND 3-YEAR COOLING WITHOUT BPRAs



 $\text{Refer to Figures 5.1.2 and 5.1.4.}$ 

REPORT HI-2002444

 $\mathcal{I}$ 

 $\bar{z}$  $\sim$ 

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL HI-STORM FSAR

5.4-28

#### DOSE RATES FROM THE 100-TON HI-TRAC FOR ACCIDENT CONDITIONS WITH SIXTEEN DAMAGED FUEL CONTAINERS MPC-68 DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD FUEL 50,000 MWD/MTU AND 3-YEAR COOLING



 $\text{•}$  Refer to Figures 5.1.2 and 5.1.4.

HI-STORM FSAR **REPORT HI-2002444** 

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

 $5.4 - 29$ 



#### DOSE RATES DUE TO BPRAs AND TPDs FROM THE 100-TON HI-TRAC FOR NORMAL CONDITIONS

Notes:

- Refer to Figures 5.1.2 and 5.1.4 for dose locations.
- Dose location 3(temp) represents dose location 3 with temporary shielding installed.
- Dose location 4(outer) is the radial segment at dose location 4 which is 18-30 inches from the center of the overpack.
- Dose location 5 (t-outer) is the radial segment at dose location 5 (transfer lid) which is 30-42 and 54-66 inches from the center of the lid for the adjacent and one meter locations, respectively. The inner radius of the HI-TRAC is 34.375 in. and the outer radius of the water jacket is 44.375 in.
- \* Dose rate based on no water within the MPC. For the majority of the duration that the HI-TRAC pool lid is installed, the MPC cavity will be flooded with water. The water within the MPC greatly reduces the dose rate.

 $\Delta$  .

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444 5.4-30

 $\sim 10^{-11}$ 



#### DOSE RATES DUE TO CRAs FROM THE 100-TON HI-TRAC FOR NORMAL CONDITIONS

Notes:

 $\mathcal{L}$ 

\* Refer to Figures 5.1.2 and 5.1.4 for dose locations.

 $\mathcal{L}$ 

- \* Dose location **5** (t-outer) is the radial segment at dose location 5 (transfer lid) which is 30-42 and 54- 66 inches from the center of the lid for the adjacent and one meter locations, respectively. The inner radius of the HI-TRAC is 34.375 in. and the outer radius of the water jacket is 44.375 in.
- \* Dose rate based on no water within the MPC. For the majority of the duration that the HI-TRAC pool lid is installed, the MPC cavity will be flooded with water. The water within the MPC greatly reduces the dose rate.

 $\Delta \phi$ 

 $\frac{1}{2}$  ,  $\frac{1}{2}$  ,  $\frac{1}{2}$ 



#### DOSE RATES DUE TO APSRs FROM THE 100-TON HI-TRAC FOR NORMAL CONDITIONS

Notes:

- Refer to Figures 5.1.2 and 5.1.4 for dose locations.
- \* Dose location 5 (t-outer) is the radial segment at dose location 5 (transfer lid) which is 30-42 and 54- 66 inches from the center of the lid for the adjacent and one meter locations, respectively. The inner radius of the HI-TRAC is 34.375 in. and the outer radius of the water jacket is 44.375 in.
- \* Dose rate based on no water within the MPC. For the majority of the duration that the HI-TRAC pool lid is installed, the MPC cavity will be flooded with water. The water within the MPC greatly reduces the dose rate.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

### COMPARISON OF NEUTRON SOURCE PER INCH PER SECOND FOR DESIGN BASIS 7X7 FUEL AND DESIGN BASIS DRESDEN UNIT **I** FUEL



HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

ú,

 $\bar{z}$ 

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

#### DOSE RATES FROM THE HI-TRAC **I OOD** FOR NORMAL CONDITIONS MPC-32 DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD FUEL 35,000 MWD/MTU AND 3-YEAR COOLING



Notes:

**"** Refer to Figure *5.1.4* for dose locations.

 $\mathcal{L}$ 

\* Dose rate based on no water within the MPC. For the majority of the duration that the HI-TRAC pool lid is installed, the MPC cavity will be flooded with water. The water within the MPC greatly reduces the dose rate.

 $\mathcal{L}$ 

#### 5.5 REGULATORY COMPLIANCE

Chapters **I** and 2 and this chapter of this FSAR describe in detail the shielding structures, systems, and components (SSCs) important to safety.

This chapter has evaluated these shielding SSCs important to safety and has assessed the impact on health and safety resulting from operation of an independent spent fuel storage installation (ISFSI) utilizing the HI-STORM 100 System.

It has been shown that the design of the shielding system of the HI-STORM 100 System is in compliance with 10CFR72 and that the applicable design and acceptance criteria including **I** OCFR20 have been satisfied. Thus, this shielding evaluation provides reasonable assurance that the HI-STORM 100 System will allow safe storage of spent fuel.

#### HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

*5.5-1*

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

Rev. 0

#### 5.6 REFERENCES

- [5.1.1] J.F. Briesmeister, Ed., "MCNP A General Monte Carlo N-Particle Transport Code, Version 4A." Los Alamos National Laboratory, LA-12625-M (1993).
- [5.1.2] O.W. Hermann, C.V. Parks, "SAS2H: A Coupled One-Dimensional Depletion and Shielding Analysis Module," NUREG/CR-0200, Revision *5,* (ORNL/NUREG/CSD-2/V2/R5), Oak Ridge National Laboratory, September 1995.
- *[5.1.3]* O.W. Hermann, R.M. Westfall, "ORIGEN-S: SCALE System Module to Calculate Fuel Depletion, Actinide Transmutation, Fission Product Buildup and Decay, and Associated Radiation Source Terms," NUREG/CR-0200, Revision 5, (ORNL/NUREG/CSD-2/V2/R5), Oak Ridge National Laboratory, September 1995.
- [5.2.1] NUREG-1536, SRP for Dry Cask Storage Systems, USNRC, Washington, DC, January 1997.
- [5.2.2] A.G. Croff, M.A. Bjerke, G.W. Morrison, L.M. Petrie, "Revised Uranium-Plutonium Cycle PWR and BWR Models for the ORIGEN Computer Code," ORNL/TM-6051, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, September 1978.
- [5.2.3] A. Luksic, "Spent Fuel Assembly Hardware: Characterization and 1OCFR 61 Classification for Waste Disposal," PNL-6906-vol. 1, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, June 1989.
- [5.2.4] J.W. Roddy et al., "Physical and Decay Characteristics of Commercial LWR Spent Fuel," ORNL/TM-9591/VI&RI, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, January 1996.
- [5.2.5] "Characteristics of Spent Fuel, High Level Waste, and Other Radioactive Wastes Which May Require Long-Term Isolation," DOE/RW-0184, U.S. Department of Energy, December 1987.
- [5.2.6] "Spent Nuclear Fuel Discharges from U.S. Reactors 1994," SR/CNEAF/96-01, Energy Information Administration, U.S. Department of Energy, February 1996.
- [5.2.7] "Characteristics Database System LWR Assemblies Database," DOE/RW-0184- RI, U.S. Department of Energy, July 1992.

#### HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR Rev. 3 REPORT HI-2002444

- [5.2.8] **0.** W. Hermann, et al., "Validation of the Scale System for PWR Spent Fuel Isotopic Composition Analyses," ORNL/TM-12667, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, March 1995.
- [5.2.9] M. D. DeHart and **0.** W. Hermann, "An Extension of the Validation of SCALE (SAS2H) Isotopic Predictions for PWR Spent Fuel," ORNL/TM-13317, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, September 1996.
- [5.2.10] **0.** W. Hermann and M. D. DeHart, "Validation of SCALE (SAS2H) Isotopic Predictions for BWR Spent Fuel," ORNL/TM-13315, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, September 1998.
- [5.2.11] "Summary Report of SNF Isotopic Comparisons for the Disposal Criticality Analysis Methodology," B00000000-01717-5705-00077 REV 00, CRWMS M&O, September 1997.
- [5.2.12] "Isotopic and Criticality Validation of PWR Actinide-Only Burnup Credit," DOE/RW-0497, U.S. Department of Energy, May 1997.
- [5.2.13] B. D. Murphy, "Prediction of the Isotopic Composition of **U0 <sup>2</sup>**Fuel from a BWR: Analysis of the DUI Sample from the Dodewaard Reactor," ORNL/TM-13687, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, October 1998.
- [5.2.14] **0.** W. Hermann, et al., "Technical Support for a Proposed Decay Heat Guide Using SAS2H/ORIGEN-S Data," NUREG/CR-5625, ORNL-6698, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, September 1994.
- [5.2.15] C. E. Sanders, I. C. Gauld, "Isotopic Analysis of High-Burnup PWR Spent Fuel Samples from the Takahama-3 Reactor," NUREG/CR-6798, ORNL/TM-2001/259, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, January 2003.
- [5.2.16] T. Williams and C. Kelley, "gnuplot An Interactive Plotting Program", Version 3.7, December 1998.
- [5.4.1] "American National Standard Neutron and Gamma-Ray Flux-to-Dose Rate Factors", ANSI/ANS-6.l.1-1977.
- [5.4.2] D. J. Whalen, et al., "MCNP: Photon Benchmark Problems," LA-12196, Los Alamos National Laboratory, September 1991.
- [5.4.3] D. J. Whalen, et al., "MCNP: Neutron Benchmark Problems," LA-12212, Los Alamos National Laboratory, November 1991.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

- [5.4.4] J. C. Wagner, et al., "MCNP: Criticality Safety Benchmark Problems," LA-12415, Los Alamos National Laboratory, October 1992.
- [5.4.5] S. E. Turner, "Uncertainty Analysis **-** Axial Burnup Distribution Effects," presented in "Proceedings of a Workshop on the Use of Burnup Credit in Spent Fuel Transport Casks," SAND-89-0018, Sandia National Laboratory, Oct. 1989.
- [5.4.6] Commonwealth Edison Company, Letter No. NFS-BND-95-083, Chicago, Illinois.

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

# **APPENDIX 5.A**

#### **SAMPLE INPUT FILE** FOR **SAS2H**

 $\bar{z}$ 

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL Appendix 5.A-1 Rev. 0

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

```
=SAS2H PARM='haltO5,skipshipdata'
bw 15x15 PWR assembly
Ifuel temp 923
44groupndf5 LATTICECELL
U02 1 0.95 923 92234 0.03204 92235 3.6 92236 0.01656
    92238 96.3514 END
Zirc 4 composition
ARBM-ZIRC4 6.55 4 1 0 0 50000 1.7 26000 0.24 24000 0.13 40000 97.93
           2 1.0 595 END
water with 652.5 ppm boron
H2O 3 DEN=0.7135 1 579 END
ARBM-BORMOD 0.7135 1 1 0 0 5000 100 3 652.5E-6 579 END I
co-59 3 0 1-20 579 end
      1 0 1-20 923 end
kr-84 1 0 1-20 923 end
kr-85 1 0 1-20 923 end
kr-86 1 0 1-20 923 end
sr-90 1 0 1-20 923 end
y-89 1 0 1-20 923 end
zr-94 1 0 1-20 923 end
zr-95 1 0 1-20 923 end
mo-94 1 0 1-20 923 end
mo-95 1 0 1-20 923 end
nb-94 1 0 1-20 923 end
nb-95 1 0 1-20 923 end
tc-99 1 0 1-20 923 end
ru-106 1 0 1-20 923 end
rh-103 1 0 1-20 923 end
rh-105 1 0 1-20 923 end
sb-124 1 0 1-20 923 end
sn-126 1 0 1-20 923 end
xe-131 1 0 1-20 923 end
xe-132 1 0 1-20 923 end
xe-134 1 0 1-20 923 end
I
xe-135 1 0 1-09 923 end
1
xe-136 1 0 1-20 923 end
cs-133 1 0 1-20 923 end
cs-134 1 0 1-20 923 end
cs-135 1 0 1-20 923 end
cs-137 1 0 1-20 923 end
ba-136 1 0 1-20 923 end
pa-139 1 0 1-20 923 end
ce-144 1 0 1-20 923 end
pr-143 1 0 1-20 923 end
nd-143 1 0 1-20 923 end
nd-144 1 0 1-20 923 end
nd-145 1 0 1-20 923 end
nd-146 1 0 1-20 923 end
nd-147 1 0 1-20 923 end
nd-148 1 0 1-20 923 end
nd-150 1 0 1-20 923 end pm-147 1 0 1-20 923 end
pm-148 1 0 1-20 923 end
```
REPORT HI-2002444

```
pm-149 1 0 1-20 923 end
sm-147 1 0 1-20 923 end
sm-148 1 0 1-20 923. end
sm-149 1 0 1-20 923 end
sm-150 1 0 1-20 923 end
sm-151 1 0 1-20 923 end
sm-152 1 0 1-20 923 end
eu-151 1 0 1-20 923 end
eu-153 1 0 1-20 923 end
eu-154 1 0 1-20 923 end
eu-155 1 0 1-20 923 end<br>gd-154 1 0 1-20 923 end
gd-154 1 0 1-20 923 end
       gd-155 1 0 1-20 923 end
gd-157 1 0 1-20 923 end
gd-158 1 0 1-20 923 end
gd-160 1 0 1-20 923 end I
END COMP
  FUEL-PIN-CELL GEOMETRY:
SQUAREPITCH 1.44272 0.950468 1 3 1.08712 2 0.97028 0 END
  MTU in this model is 0.495485 based on fuel dimensions provided
   1 power cycle will be used and a library will be generated every
   2500 MWD/MTU power level is 40 MW/MTU
   therefore 62.5 days per 2500 MWD/MTU
   Below
   BURN=62.5*NLIB/CYC
   POWER=MTU*40
   Number of libraries is 20 which is 50,000 MWD/MTU burnup (20*2500)
   ASSEMBLY AND CYCLE PARAMETERS:
NPIN/ASSM=208 FUELNGTH=365.76 NCYCLES=I NLIB/CYC=20
PRINTLEVEL=1
LIGHTEL=5 INPLEVEL=1 NUMHOLES=17
NUMINStr= 0 ORTUBE= 0.6731 SRTUBE=0.63246 END
POWER=19.81938 BURN=1250.0 END
 0 66.54421
 FE 0.24240868
 ZR 98.78151 CR 0.1311304 SN 1.714782
END
=SAS2H PARM='restarts, halt10, skipshipdata'
bw 15x15 PWR assembly
END
=SAS2H PARM='restarts,haltlS,skipshipdata'
bw 15x15 PWR assembly
END
=SAS2H PARM='restarts,halt20,skipshipdata'
```
**HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL** COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL HI-STORM FSAR Appendix 5.A-3 **Rev. 0** Rev. 0

REPORT HI-2002444

bw 15x15 PWR assembly END
## **APPENDIX 5.B**

 $\bar{\beta}$ 

## **SAMPLE INPUT FILE** FOR **ORIGEN-S**

REPORT HI-2002444

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ 

 $\mathbb{R}^2$ 

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL HI-STORM FSAR Appendix 5.B-1

 $\sim 10$ 

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ 

Rev. 0

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

 $\bar{z}$ 

#ORIGENS 0\$\$ A4 33 A8 26 A11 71 E 1\$\$ 1 T bw 15x15 FUEL -- FT33F001 -' SUBCASE 1 LIBRARY POSITION 1 pos lib grms photon group 3\$\$ 33 A3 1 0 A16 2 E T 35\$\$ 0 T 56\$\$ 5 5 A6 3 A10 0 A13 9 A15 3 A19 1 E 57\*\* 0.0 A3 1.E-5 0.05556 E T FUEL 3.6 BW 15x15 0.495485 MTU  $58**$  19.81938 19.81938 19.81938 19.81938 19.81938<br>60\*\* 1.0000 3.0000 15.0000 30.0000 62.5 66\$\$ A1 2 A5 2 A9 2 E 73\$\$ 922350 922340 922360 922380 80000 500000 260000 240000 400000 74\*\* 17837.45 158.7533 82.05225 477406.4 66544.21 1714.782 242.0868 131.1304 98781.51 75\$\$ 2 2 2 2 4 4 4 4 4  $\mathbf{T}$ ' SUBCASE 2 LIBRARY POSITION 2 3\$\$ 33 A3 2 0 A16 2 A33 0 E T 35\$\$ 0 T 56\$\$ 3 3 A6 3 A10 5 A15 3 A19 1 E 57\*\* 0.0 A3 1.E-5 0.05556 E T fuel BW 15X15 58\*\* 19.81938 19.81938 19.81938 60\*\* 18.5 37.0 62.5 66\$\$ A1 2 A5 2 A9 2 E T ' SUBCASE 3 LIBRARY POSITION 3 3\$\$ 33 A3 3 0 A16 2 A33 0 E T 35\$\$ 0 T 56\$\$ 3 3 A6 3 A10 3 A15 3 A19 1 E 57\*\* 0.0 A3 1.E-5 0.05556 E T fuel BW 15X15 58\*\* 19.81938 19.81938 19.81938  $60**$  18.5 37.0 62.5 66\$\$ A1 2 A5 2 A9 2 E T ' SUBCASE 4 LIBRARY POSITION 4 3\$\$ 33 A3 4 0 A16 2 A33 0 E T 35\$\$ 0 T 56\$\$ 3 3 A6 3 A10 3 A15 3 A19 1 E 57\*\* 0.0 A3 1.E-5 0.05556 E T fuel BW 15X15 58\*\* 19.81938 19.81938 19.81938  $60**$  18.5 37.0 62.5 66\$\$ A1 2 A5 2 A9 2 E T

> HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL Appendix 5.B-2

**HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444**   $Rev. 0$ 

' SUBCASE 5 LIBRARY POSITION 5 **3\$\$** 33 A3 5 0 **A16** 2 A33 0 E T **35\$\$** 0 T **56\$\$** 3 3 **A6** 3 **Al0** 3 **A15** 3 Al9 1 **E** 57\*\* 0.0 A3 **1.E-5** 0.05556 E T fuel BW 15X15 58\*\* 19.81938 19.81938 19.81938 60\*\* 18.5 37.0 62.5 **66\$\$ Al** 2 **A5** 2 A9 2 **E** <sup>T</sup> **<sup>I</sup>** ' SUBCASE 6 LIBRARY POSITION 6 **3\$\$** 33 A3 6 0 **A16** 2 A33 0 E T **35\$\$** 0 T **56\$\$** 3 3 **A6** 3 **A10** 3 **A15** 3 **A19** 1 **E** 57\*\* 0.0 A3 I.E-5 0.05556 **E** <sup>T</sup> fuel BW 15X15 58\*\* 19.81938 19.81938 19.81938 60\*\* 18.5 37.0 62.5 **66\$\$ Al** 2 A5 2 A9 2 E T **<sup>V</sup>** SUBCASE 7 LIBRARY POSITION 7 **3\$\$** 33 A3 7 0 **A16** 2 A33 0 **E** T **35\$\$** 0 T **56\$\$** 3 3 A6 3 **A10** 3 **A15** 3 **A19** 1 E **57\*\*** 0.0 A3 **I.E-5** 0.05556 E T fuel BW 15X15 **58\*\*** 19.81938 19.81938 19.81938 60\*\* 18.5 37.0 62.5 **66\$\$ Al** 2 **A5** 2 A9 2 E T **<sup>I</sup>** SUBCASE 8 LIBRARY POSITION 8 **3\$\$** 33 A3 8 0 **Ai6** 2 A33 0 **E** T **35\$\$** 0 T **56\$\$** 3 3 **A6** 3 **A10** 3 **A15** 3 **A19** 1 **E** 57\*\* 0.0 A3 **1.E-5** 0.05556 **E** T fuel BW **15X15 58\*\*** 19.81938 19.81938 19.81938 60\*\* 18.5 37.0 62.5 **66\$\$ Al** 2 **A5** 2 A9 2 **E** T SUBCASE 9 LIBRARY POSITION 9 **3\$\$** 33 A3 9 0 **A16** 2 A33 0 **E** T **35\$\$** 0 T **56\$\$** 3 3 A6 3 **A10** 3 **A15** 3 **A19** 1 E 57\*\* 0.0 A3 1.E-5 0.05556 E T fuel BW 15X15 58\*\* 19.81938 19.81938 19.81938

**HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL** COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL HI-STORM FSAR Appendix 5.B-3 Rev. 0 REPORT HI-2002444

60\*\* 18.5 37.0 62.5 **66\$\$ Al** 2 A5 2 A9 2 **E** <sup>T</sup> **<sup>I</sup>** SUBCASE **10** LIBRARY POSITION **10 3\$\$** 33 **A3 10** 0 **AI6** 2 A33 0 **E** T **35\$\$** 0 T **56\$\$** 3 3 **A6** 3 **A10** 3 **A15** 3 **A19** 1 E 57\*\* 0.0 A3 **1.E-5** 0.05556 **E** T  $final$ BW 15X15 **58\*\*** 19.81938 19.81938 19.81938 60\*\* 18.5 37.0 62.5 **66\$\$ Al** 2 **AS** 2 A9 2 **E** <sup>T</sup> **<sup>I</sup>** SUBCASE **11** LIBRARY POSITION **11 3\$\$** 33 A3 **11** 0 **A16** 2 A33 0 **E** T **35\$\$** 0 T **56\$\$** 3 3 **A6** 3 **A10** 3 **A15** 3 **A19** 1 E **57\*\*** 0.0 A3 **I.E-5** 0.05556 E T fuel BW 15X15 **58\*\*** 19.81938 19.81938 19.81938 60\*\* 18.5 37.0 62.5 **66\$\$ Al** 2 **AS** 2 A9 2 E T **<sup>V</sup>** ' SUBCASE 12 LIBRARY POSITION 12 **3\$\$** 33 A3 12 0 **A16** 2 A33 0 E T **35\$\$** 0 T **56\$\$** 3 3 A6 3 **A10** 3 **A15** 3 **A19** 1 **E** 57\*\* 0.0 A3 **1.E-5** 0.05556 **E** T fuel BW 15X15 58\*\* 19.81938 19.81938 19.81938 60\*\* 18.5 37.0 62.5 **66\$\$ Al** 2 **AS** 2 A9 2 **E** T SUBCASE 13 LIBRARY POSITION 13  $\mathbf{r}$ **3\$\$** 33 **A3** 13 0 **A16** 2 A33 0 E T **35\$\$** 0 T **56\$\$** 3 3 **A6** 3 **A10** 3 **A15** 3 **A19** 1 **E** 57\*\* 0.0 A3 1.E-5 0.05556 **E** T fuel BW 15X15 **58\*\*** 19.81938 19.81938 19.81938 60\*\* 18.5 37.0 62.5 **66\$\$ Al** 2 **AS** 2 A9 2 E T SUBCASE 14 LIBRARY POSITION 14 **3\$\$** 33 A3 14 0 **A16** 2 A33 0 E T **35\$\$** 0 T 56\$5 3 3 A6 3 **A10** 3 **A15** 3 **A19** 1 **E** 57\*\* 0.0 A3 **I.E-S** 0.05556 E T fuel

**HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL** COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL HI-STORM FSAR Appendix 5.B-4 **Rev. 0** Rev. 0 REPORT HI-2002444

HI-STORM **100** FSAR Revision **8** January **18,** 2010

 $\lambda$ 

BW 15X15 58\*\* 19.81938 19.81938 19.81938 60\*\* 18.5 37.0 62.5 **66\$\$ Al** 2 A5 2 A9 2 **E** T **<sup>I</sup> SUBCASE 15** LIBRARY POSITION **15 3\$\$** 33 A3 15 0 **AI6** 2 A33 0 **E** T **35\$\$** 0 T **56\$\$** 3 3 A6 3 **A10** 3 AI5 3 **A19** 1 **E** 57\*\* **0.0** A3 **1.E-5** 0.05556 E T fuel BW 15X15 58\*\* 19.81938 19.81938 19.81938 60\*\* 18.5 37.0 62.5 **66\$\$ Al** 2 **AS** 2 A9 2 **E** <sup>T</sup> **<sup>I</sup>** SUBCASE **16** LIBRARY POSITION 16 **3\$\$** 33 A3 16 0 **A16** 2 A33 0 **E** T **35\$\$** 0 T **56\$\$** 3 3 A6 3 **A10** 3 **A15** 3 **A19** 1 **E 57\*\*** 0.0 A3 **1.E-5** 0.05556 **E** T fuel BW 15X15 58\*\* 19.81938 19.81938 19.81938 60\*\* 18.5 37.0 62.5 **66\$\$ Al** 2 **AS** 2 A9 2 E T **<sup>I</sup>** ' SUBCASE 17 LIBRARY POSITION 17 **3\$\$** 33 A3 17 0 **A16** 2 A33 0 E T **35\$\$** 0 T **56\$\$** 3 3 A6 3 **A10** 3 **A15** 3 **A19** 1 E 57\*\* 0.0 A3 **1.E-5** 0.05556 E T fuel BW 15X15 **58\*\*** 19.81938 19.81938 19.81938 **60\*\*** 18.5 37.0 62.5 **66\$\$ Al** 2 **AS** 2 A9 2 **E** <sup>T</sup> **<sup>I</sup>** SUBCASE 18 LIBRARY POSITION 18 **3\$\$** 33 A3 18 A4 **7** 0 **A16** 2 A33 18 **E** T **35\$\$** 0 T **56\$\$** 3 3 **A6** 1 **A10** 3 **A15** 3 **A19** 1 E 57\*\* 0.0 A3 I.E-5 0.05556 **E** T fuel BW 15X15 58\*\* 19.81938 19.81938 19.81938 60\*\* 18.5 37.0 62.5 **66\$\$ Al** 2 **AS** 2 A9 2 **E** T ' SUBCASE - decay 54\$\$ A8 1 E **56\$\$** 0 9 A6 1 **A10** 3 A14 3 **A15** 1 **A19** 1 **E** 57\*\* 0.0 0 **I.E-5** E T

## **HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL** COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL HI-STORM FSAR Appendix 5.B-5 **Rev. 0** Rev. 0

REPORT HI-2002444

```
fuel enrichment above
60** 0.5 0.75 1.0 4.0 8.0 12.0 24.0 48.0 96.0
61** FO.1
65$$
'GRAM-ATOMS
               GRAMS
                          CURIES
                                      WATTS-ALL WATTS-GAMMA
     3Z0 \quad 1 \quad 00\quad 0\quad 01 0 0
                                                        3Z67
     3Z0\quad 1\quad 00\quad 0\quad 01003Z6Z
                           0 0 0
             0 1 0
                                                        3Z62 T
     3Z100\cdot\mathbf{r}SUBCASE - decay
54$$ A8 1 E
56$$ 0 9 A6 1 A10 9 A14 4 A15 1 A19 1 E
57** 4.0 0 1.E-5 E T
 fuel enrichment above
60** 10.0 20.0 30.0 60.0 90.0 120.0 180.0 240.0 365.0
61** FO.1
65$$
                          CURIES
                                       WATTS-ALL
                                                   WATTS-GAMMA
'GRAM-ATOMS
                GRAMS
                                        1003Z6Z
     3Z0\qquad 1\qquad 00\quad 0\quad 01003262
                           0\quad 0\quad 03Z\circ1 \quad 032\circ\mathbf{1}\overline{0}0 0 0
                                        1003Z6Z T
\mathbf{r}' SUBCASE - decay
54$$ A8 0 E
56$$ 0 9 A6 1 A10 9 A14 5 A15 1 A19 1 E
57** 1.0 0 1.E-5 E T
 fuel enrichment above
60** 1.5 3.0 4.0 5.0 6.0 7.0 8.0 9.0 10.0
61** Fl.0e-5
65$$
'GRAM-ATOMS
                          CURTES
                                       WATTS-ALL
                                                   WATTS-GAMMA
                GRAMS
             0 \quad 1 \quad 01\quad 0\quad 01003Z6Z
     3Z3\,\mathrm{Z}\mathbf{0}\mathbf{1}\overline{0}1001003Z6Z
      3Z\circ\mathbf{1}\overline{0}1\quad0\quad01003Z6Z
81$$ 2 0 26 1 E
82$$ 0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
83**1.1E+7 8.0E+6 6.0E+6 4.0E+6 3.0E+6 2.5E+6 2.0E+6 1.5E+6
       1.0E+6 7.0E+5 4.5E+5 3.0E+5 1.5E+5 1.0E+5 7.0E+4 4.5E+4
       3.0E+4 2.0E+4 1.0E+4
84**20.0E+6 6.43E+6 3.0E+6 1.85E+6 1.40E+6 9.00E+5 4.00E+5 1.0E+5 T
\cdot' SUBCASE - decay
54$$ A8 0 E
56$$ 0 10 A6 1 A10 9 A14 5 A15 1 A19 1 E
57** 10.0 0 1.E-5 E T
 fuel enrichment above
60** 11.0 12.0 13.0 14.0 15.0 16.0 17.0 18.0 19.0 20.0
61** F1.0e-5
```

```
HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL
                               Appendix 5.B-6
HI-STORM FSAR
REPORT HI-2002444
```
 $Rev. 0$ 

**65\$\$** 'GRAM-ATOMS GRAMS CURIES WATTS-ALL WATTS-GAMMA 3Z 0 1 0 1 **0** 0 1 **0** 0 3Z 6Z 3Z 0 1 0 1 **0** 0 1 **0** 0 3Z 6Z 3Z 0 1 0 1 **0** 0 1 **0** 0 3Z 6Z **81\$\$** 2 0 26 1 E **82\$\$** 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 83\*\* 1.IE+7 8.OE+6 6.0E+6 4.OE+6 3.0E+6 2.5E+6 2.0E+6 1.5E+6 1.0E+6 7.0E+5 4.5E+5 3.0E+5 1.5E+5 1.0E+5 7.OE+4 4.5E+4 3.0E+4 2.0E+4 1.0E+4 84\*\* 20.0E+6 6.43E+6 3.0E+6 1.85E+6 1.40E+6 9.00E+5 4.00E+5 1.0E+5 T END **56\$\$** F0 T END

 $\mathcal{L}$ 

# **APPENDIX 5.C**

 $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ 

 $\mathcal{A}^{\pm}$ 

 $\sim$ 

### **SAMPLE INPUT FILE** FOR **MCNP**

 $\sim$ 

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

Rev. 0

 $\bar{z}$ 



# HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR **REPORT HI-2002444** 

 $\mathfrak{g}$ 

 $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ 

 $\ddot{\ast}$ 

Appendix 5.C-2

 $Rev. 0$ 

 $\hat{\vec{p}}$ 



 $\sim$ 

 $\sim 10$ 

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ 

 $Rev. 0$ 

 $\sim$   $\sim$ 

 $\bar{z}$ 

 $\overline{1}$ 

 $\bar{z}$ 



HI-STORM **100** FSAR Revision **8** January **18,** 2010

 $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$ 

#### HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

Appendix 5.C-4 Rev. 0



# HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR **REPORT HI-2002444** 

 $\sim$ 

Appendix 5.C-5

Rev. 0



# HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR **REPORT HI-2002444**  Appendix 5.C-6

 $Rev. 0$ 



# **HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL**<br>Appendix 5.C-7

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444



# **HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL**<br>Appendix 5.C-8

 $\sim$ 

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

740 15 -17 31 -38 410 -435 u -- 5 \$ right  $\Omega$  $741$  $\Omega$ 15 -17 37 -30 410 -435 u=-5 \$ right 742  $\Omega$ 35 -32 18 -20 410 -435 u=-5 \$ bot 743  $\cap$ 33 -36 18 -20 410 -435 u=-5 \$ bot 744  $\Omega$ 35 -28 23 -25 410 -435 u -- 5 \$ top 745  $\cap$ 29 -36 23 -25 410 -435 u=-5 \$ top 746  $5 - 7.92$ 12 -15 20 -23 (-13:-21:22:14) 400 -420 u=-5 12 -15 20 -23 (-13: -21:22:14) 420 -430 u=-5 747  $5 - 7.92$ 748  $5 - 7.92$  $12 - 15$  20 - 23 (-13: -21:22:14) 430 - 445 u= -5 749  $5 - 7.92$ 12 -15 20 -23 (-13: -21:22:14) 445 -460 u=-5 750  $\mathbf 0$ 13 -14 21 -22  $(-40:41:-42:43)$  400 -455 u=-5 751  $9 - 1.17e-3$ 460  $11 = 5$  $\mathbf{C}^$ fuel element 752  $40 - 41 42 - 43$  $-415$  u=5  $\Omega$ 753  $5 - 1.0783$ 40 -41 42 -43 415 -420 u -- 5 \$ lower nozzle 754 40 -41 42 -43 420 -425 u=-5 \$ space  $\Omega$ 755  $2 - 3.8699$ 40 -41 42 -43 425 -430 u=-5 \$ active fuel 756  $5 - 0.1591$ 40 -41 42 -43 430 -440 u=-5 \$ space 757 40 -41 42 -43 440 -445 u=-5 \$ plenum spacer  $5 - 0.1591$ 758 40 -41 42 -43 445 -455 u -- 5 \$ top nozzle  $5 - 1.5410$ 759  $\Omega$ 13 -14 21 -22 455 -460 u=-5  $\mathbf C$ 760  $5 - 7.92$  $38 - 23 - 12$  $400 - 420 u = 5$ 761  $5 - 7.92$  $20 - 37 - 12$  $400 - 420 u = 5$ 762  $5 - 7.92$  $12 - 35$  23  $400 - 420 u = 5$ 763  $12 - 35 - 20$  $400 - 420 u = 5$  $\Omega$  $5 - 7.92$  $36 - 15$  23 764  $400 - 420 u = 5$ 765  $36 - 15 - 20$  $400 - 420 u = 5$  $\overline{0}$  $38 - 23$  15<br>20  $-37$  15 766  $400 - 420 u = 5$  $\Omega$ 767  $\circ$  $400 - 420 u = 5$  $38 - 23 - 12$  $420 - 430 u=5$ 768  $5 - 7.92$ 769  $5 - 7.92$  $20 - 37 - 12$  $420 - 430 u = 5$ 770  $5 - 7.92$  $12 - 35$  23  $420 - 430$  u=5 771  $12 - 35 - 20$  $\circ$  $420 - 430 u = 5$ 772  $5 - 7.92$  $36 - 15$  23  $420 - 430 u = 5$ 773  $\Omega$  $36 - 15 - 20$  $420 - 430 u = 5$ 774  $38 - 23$  15  $420 - 430 u = 5$  $\circ$ 775  $20 - 37$  15  $420 - 430 u = 5$  $\cap$ 776  $5 - 7.92$  $38 - 23 - 12$  $430 - 445 u = 5$  $20 - 37 - 12$ 777  $5 - 7.92$  $430 - 445 u = 5$ 778  $5 - 7.92$  $12 - 35$  23  $430 - 445 u = 5$ 779  $12 - 35 - 20$  $\Omega$  $430 - 445$  u=5 780  $5 - 7.92$  $36 - 15$  23  $430 - 445 u = 5$  $36 - 15 - 20$ 781  $430 - 445 u = 5$  $\Omega$ 782  $\Omega$  $38 - 23$  15  $430 - 445 u = 5$  $20 - 37$  15 783  $430 - 445$  u=5  $\Omega$ 784  $5 - 7.92$  $38 - 23 - 12$  $445 - 460$  u=5 785  $5 - 7.92$  $20 - 37 - 12$  $445 - 460 u = 5$  $12 - 35$  23 786  $5 - 7.92$  $445 - 460$  u=5 787  $12 - 35 - 20$  $445 - 460 u = 5$  $\Omega$ 788  $5 - 7.92$  $36 - 15$  23  $445 - 460$  u=5 789  $\Omega$  $36 - 15 - 20$  $445 - 460$  u=5 790  $38 - 23$  15  $\Omega$  $445 - 460$  u=5 791  $20 - 37$  $445 - 460$  u=5  $\Omega$ 15  $23 - 12$ <br>  $23 - 15$ 792  $400 - 460 u = 5$  $\Omega$ 793  $\mathbf 0$  $400 - 460 u = 5$ 794  $15 - 20$  $400 - 460 u = 5$  $\Omega$  $400 - 460 u = 5$ 795  $-12 - 20$  $\Omega$  $\mathbf{C}$  $\mathtt{c}$ egg crate  $\mathcal{C}$  $\mathbf C$ storage locations  $\mathsf{C}$  $\mathsf{C}$  $201 0 -301$  $-112$  101  $620 - 675$  $0 - 301$  112 -113 101 202  $620 - 675$ 

### HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL Appendix 5.C-9

**HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444** 

 $Rev. 0$ 

203 0 -301 113 -114 **101** 620 -675 c 204 0 -301 114 **101** 620 -675 **c** 205 0 -301 **-111** 102 620 -675 206 0 -301 **111** -112 102 -101 620 -675 **101** 0 -301 112 -113 102 -101 620 -675 fill=3 (-13.68679 68.43395 0.0) 102 0 -301 113 -114 102 -101 620 -675 fill=2 **(** 13.68679 68.43395 0.0) 207 0 -301 114 -115 102 -101 620 -675  $0 -301$  115 **C c** 210 103 104 105 106 211 **c c** 209 0 -301 **-110** 103 620 -675 0 -301 **110 -111** 103 -102 620 -675 0 **111** -112 103 -102 620 -675 fill=3  $(-41.06037 41.06037 0.0)$ <br>0  $112 -113.103 -102.620 -$ 0 112 -113 103 -102 620 -675 fill=1  $(-13.68679 41.06037 0.0)$ <br>0  $113 -114 103 -102 620 -$ 0 113 -114 103 -102 620 -675 fill=1 ( 13.68679 41.06037 0.0)<br>0 114 -115 103 -102 620 -0 114 -115 103 -102 620 -675 fill=2 (  $41.06037$   $41.06037$  0.0) 0 -301 115 -116 103 -102 620 -675 212 0 -301 116 103 620 -675 213 0 -301 107 0 -301 **110 -111** 104 -103 620 -675 fill=3 (-68.43395 13.68679 0.0) 108 0 fill=l (-41.06037 13.68679 0.0) **-110** 104 -103 620 -675 **111** -112 104 -103 620 -675 109 0 112 -113 104 -103. 620 -675 fill=l (-13.68679 13.68679 0.0) **110** 0 113 -114 104 -103 620 -675 fill=l ( 13.68679 13.68679 0.0) **il1** 0 114 -115 104 -103 620 -675 fill=l ( 41.06037 13.68679 0.0) 112 0 -301 115 -116 104 -103 620 -675 fill=2 (  $68.43395$  13.68679 0.0)<br>0 -301 116 104 -103 620 -214 0 -301 116 104 -103 620 -675 **c** 215 0 -301 **-110** 105 -104 620 -675 113 0 -301 **110 -111** 105 -104 620 -675 fill=4 (-68.43395 -13.68679 0.0)<br>0 111 -112 105 -104 620 -6 114 0 **111** -112 105 -104 620 -675 fill=1  $(-41.06037 -13.68679 0.0)$ <br>0 112 -113 105 -104 620 -6 115 0 112 -113 105 -104 620 -675 fill=l (-13.68679 -13.68679 0.0) 116 0 113 -114 105 -104 620 -675 fill=1 ( $13.68679 -13.68679 0.0$ ) 117 0 114 -115 105 -104 620 -675 fill=l ( 41.06037 -13.68679 0.0) **118** 0 -301 115 -116 105 -104 620 -675  $fill=5$  ( 68.43395 -13.68679 0.0)<br>0 -301 116 105 -104 620 -6 216 0 -301 116 105 -104 620 -675 **c** c 217 0 -301 **-110** -105 620 -675 218 0 -301 **110 -111** 106 -105 620 -675 119 0 **111** -112 106 -105 620 -675 fill=4 (-41.06037 -41.06037 0.0) 120 0 112 -113 106 -105 620 -675 fill=l (-13.68679 -41.06037 0.0) 121 0 113 -114 106 -105 620 -675 fill=l ( 13.68679 -41.06037 0.0) 122 0 114 -115 106 -105 620 -675 fill=5 ( 41.06037 -41.06037 0.0)

# **HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL** COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT H1-20024 44 Appendix **5.C-10**

Rev. **0**

219 0 -301 115 -116 106 -105 620 -675<br>c 220 0 -301 116 -105 620 -675 220 0 -301 116 **c** 221 0 -301 **-111** -106 620 -675 222 0 -301 **111** -112 107 -106 620 -675 123 0 -301 112 -113 107 -106 620 -675 fill=4 (-13.68679 -68.43395 0.0) 124 0 -301 113 -114 107 -106 620 -675 fill=5 ( 13.68679 -68.43395 0.0) 223 0 -301 114 -115 107 -106 620 -675 224 **c C** 226 227 **c C** 1001 1003 1005 1007 1009 1011 1013 1014 1015 1017 1019 1021 1023 1025 1027 1028 1029 1031 **C** 1051 1052 1053 1060 1061 1062 1063 1064 1065 1066 1067 1068 1069 **c c c c c** 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 **c** 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010  $-106$  620  $-675$ 225 0 -301  $0 -301$   $112 -11$ 0 -301 113 -114 -112  $-107$  620  $-67$  $-107$  620  $-67$  $-107$  620  $-675$ 228 0 -301 114 -107 620 -675 5 -7 **.92** 301 -302 610 -615 5 -7 **.92** 301 -302 615 -616 5 -7 **.92** 301 -302 616 -620 5 -7 **.92** 301 -302 620 -420 **5** 5 5 -7 **.92** 301 -302 445 -460 5 -7 **.92** 301 -302 460 -675 5 -7 **.92** 301 -302 675 -651 5 -7.92 301 -302 651 -652 5 -7 **.92** 301 -302 652 -653 **5** 5 **5** -7 **.92** 301 -302 655 -656 5 -7 **.92** 301 -302 656 -657 5 5 **5** -7 **.92** 301 -302 659 -680 **5** -7.92  $5 - 7.92$  $5 - 7.92$ 5 -7.92 5 -7.92  $5 - 7.92$  $5 - 7.92$ 5 -7.92  $5 - 7.92$  $5 - 7.92$  $5 - 7.92$ -<br>5 - 7 . 9  $5 - 7.92$ -7 **.92 -7.** 92 -7 **.92** -7 **.92** -7 **.92** -7 **.92** 301 301 301 301 301 301 -30 -30  $-30$ -30 -30 -30  $-301$  610  $-61$ -301 615 -616 -301 616 -620  $-301$  675  $-65$ -301 651 -652 -301 652 -65  $-301$  653  $-65$  $-301$  654  $-65$  $-301$  655  $-65$  $-301$  656  $-65$ -301 657 -65 -301 658 -659  $-301$  659  $-68$ 420 430 653 654 657 658 -43 -44 -65 -65 -65 -65 **MPC** shell MPC shel **MPC** shell MPC shell **MPC** shell **MPC** shell MPC shell **MPC** baseplate **MPC** baseplate **MPC** baseplate MPC li MPC li MPC li **MPC** lid MPC li **MPC** lid *MPC* lid **MPC** lid **MPC** lid nro II<br>MPC li overpack universes pedastal  $8 - 7.82$  $8 - 7.82$  $8 - 7.82$  $8 - 7.82$  $8 - 7.82$  $7 - 2.35$  $7 - 2.35$  $7 - 2.35$  $7 - 2.35$  $7 - 2.35$  $-302$  801  $-61$  $-302$  802  $-80$ -302 803 -802  $-302$  804  $-80$  $-302$  805  $-80$ -306 806 -805 -306 807 -806 -306 808 -807 -306 809 -808 -306 810 -809

#### **HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL** COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL HI-STORM FSAR Appendix 5.C-11

REPORT HI-2002444

Rev. **0**



**HI-STORM FSAR** 

 $\sim$ 

 $\sim$ 

 $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ 

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL Appendix 5.C-12 REPORT HI-2002444

mpc and overpack

Rev. 0



**HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL** COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL HI-STORM FSAR Appendix 5.C-13 Rev. 0

REPORT HI-2002444

 $\bar{\beta}$ 



### **HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL**<br>Appendix 5.C-14 HI-STORM FSAR Appendix 5.C-14 Rev. 0

 $\sim 10^{-1}$ 

REPORT HI-2002444

 $\sim$   $\mu$  .



**HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL** COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL Appendix 5.C-15

**HI-STORM FSAR** REPORT HI-20 02444

 $\sim$   $\sim$ 

 $\sim$ 

Rev. **0**

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ 

 $\bar{\mathbf{r}}$ 

HI-STORM **100** FSAR Revision **8** January **18,** 2010

 $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$ 



# **HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL** COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL Appendix **5.C- 16** Rev. **0**

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

HI-STORM **100** FSAR Revision **8** January **18,** 2010

 $\lambda$ 

J.



# **HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL** COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

 $\sim$ 

REPORT HI-2002444

 $\sim$ 

HI-STORM FSAR Appendix 5.C-17

Rev. **0**

 $\mathcal{L}$ 

 $\mathcal{L}$ 



**HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL** COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

 $\bar{\lambda}$ 

REPORT HI-2002444

HI-STORM FSAR Appendix 5.C-18



HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL Appendix 5.C-19

 $Rev. 0$ 

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{eff}}$ 

HI-STORM FSAR **REPORT HI-2002444** 

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ 

 $\sim$ 



# **HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL** COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-20024 44 Appendix **5.C-20**



# **HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL**<br>Appendix 5.C-21 HI-STORM FSAR Appendix 5.C-21 Rev. 0

REPORT HI-2002444



# **HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL** COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL HI-STORM FSAR Appendix 5.C-22 Rev. 0

REPORT HI-2002444

 $\sim$ 



# **HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL**<br>Appendix 5.C-23

 $\bar{z}$ 

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444



**HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL** COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL HI-STORM FSAR Appendix 5.C-24

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ 

REPORT HI-2002444

 $\bar{a}$ 

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ 

 $\sim$   $\sim$ 

 $\mathcal{A}^{\pm}$ 

Rev. **0**

 $\mathcal{A}^{\pm}$ 

HI-STORM **100** FSAR Revision **8** January **18,** 2010

 $\bar{z}$ 



 $\bar{z}$ 

**HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL** COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

Λ.

REPORT HI-2002444

HI-STORM FSAR Appendix 5.C-25 Rev. 0



**HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL** COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL HI-STORM FSAR Appendix 5.C-26 **Rev. 0 Rev. 0** 

 $\bar{r}$ 

 $\Lambda^+$ 

HI-STORM **100** FSAR Revision **8** January **18,** 2010

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ 

REPORT HI-2002444

 $\sim 10^{-1}$ 

 $\sim 10^{-1}$ 

 $\mathcal{A}^{\pm}$ 



REPORT HI-2002444

k)<br>D

 $ev. 0$ 

 $\label{eq:2.1} \begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r}) \,, \end{split}$ 



HI-STORM FSAR **REPORT HI-2002444** 

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

Appendix 5.C-28

 $Rev. 0$ 



# HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444 Appendix 5.C-29

 $Rev. 0$
8 -7.82 8 -7.82 **c c** 10421 **c c c c c c** c<br>1054: **c**  $8 - 7.8$ 358 -351 933 315 u=13 -355 354 933 315 -355 -351 933 315 u=13  $u=13$ 8 -7.82 8 -7.82 8 -7.82 8 -7.82 concrete and radial plates next to top ducts 8 -7.82 8 -7.82 358 354 933 -310 u=13 358 -351 933 -310 u=13 -355 354 933 -310 u=13 -355 -351 933 -310 u=13 310 -315 391 -392 933 -935 u=13  $310 - 315 393 - 394$  $7 - 2.35$  $7 - 2.35$  $7 - 2.35$  $7 - 2.35$  $7 - 2.35$  $7 - 2.3$  $7 - 2.35$  $7 - 2.35$  -315 394 -355 -315 -351 394 -315 358 -393 -315 392 -355 -315 -391 -351 933 -935 u=13 -315 354 -393 933 -935 u=13 -31  $-31$ 358 -391 392 354 -935 u=13 -935 u=13 -935 u=13 -935 u=13 -935 u=13 933 <mark>–</mark>935 u=13 air and grid spacers in top ducts 9 -1.17e-3 352 -353 934 263 -264 u=13 9 -1.17e-3 356 -357 934 261 -262 u=13 9 -1.17e-3  $9 - 1.17e-3$  236 -353 352 -231 934 (-263:264) u=13  $934 (-263:264) u=13$  **-1.** 17e-3  $5 - 7.92$  **-1** .1 <sup>7</sup> e-3  $5 - 7.92$  **-1.** 17e-3 -7 **.92** -7 **.92** -7.92 -7 **.92** -7 **.92 -1.** 17e-3 -7 **.92 -1** . 17e-3 -7 **.92 -1** .17e-3 **-1.** 17e-3 -232 934 -251 (-263:264) u=13 -233 934 -251 (-263:264) u=13 -234 934 -251 -263:264 u=l **3** -235 934 -251 (-263:264) u=13 -236 934 -251 (-263:264 u=13 -232 251 -252 -263: 264 u=13 -233 251 -252 (-263:264 u=13 233 -234 251 -252 (-263:264) u=13 -235 251 -252 -236 251 -252 (-263:264 u=l **3** -232 252 -253 (-263:264) u=13 -233 252 -253 (-263:264) u=13 -234 252 -253 (-263:264) u=13 -235 252 -253 (-263:264 u=l **3** -236 252 -253 (-263:264 u=13 -236 253 (-263:264) u=13 (-263:264) u=13 9 -1.17e-3 356 -241 934 (-261:262) u=13 9 -1.17e-3 246 -357 934 (-261:262) u=13 **-1** .17e-3  $5 - 7.92$ **-1** .17e-3  $5 - 7.92$ **-1** .17e-3  $5 - 7.92$  $5 - 7.92$  $5 - 7.92$ 5 -7 **.92**  $5 - 7.92$ **-1** .17e-3  $5 - 7.92$ 9 -1.17e-3  $5 - 7.92$ **-1** .17e-3 **-1** .17e-3 top plate -242 934 -251 (-261:262) u=13 -243 934 -251 (-261:262) u=13 -244 934 -251 (-261:262) u=13 -245 934 -251 (-261:262) u=13 -246 934 -251 (-261:262) u=13 -242 251 -252 (-261:262) u=13 -243 251 -252 (-261:262) u=13 -244 251 -252 (-261:262) u=13 -245 251 -252 (-261:262) u=13 -246 251 -252 (-261:262) u=13 -242 252 -253 (-261:262) u=13 -243 252 -253 (-261:262) u~l **3** -244 252 -253 (-261:262) u=13 -245 252 -253 (-261:262) u=13  $-24$  $-24$   $-253$   $(-261:262)$ (-261:262) u=13 u=13

#### **HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL** COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL HI-STORM FSAR Appendix 5.C-30

REPORT HI-2002444

Rev. **0**

10641 8 -7.82 10642 10643 10644 8 -7 .82 **c** 10701 10702 **c** 10711 10712 10713 **C** 99999 **c c** 8 -7.8  $8 - 7.82$ 358 354 935 310 -315 358 -351 935 310 -315 u~l **3** -355 354 -355 -351 935 310 -315 935 310 -315  $u=13$  $u=13$ u=13 **c C C c 10 11** 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 **c** 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 **C** 35 36 37 38 **c** 40 41 42 43 **C 101** 102 103 104 105 106 107 **c** 116  $8 - 7.82 -314$  u=15<br>0 314 u=15 314 u=15  $7 -2.35 -312$  u=14<br>8 -7.82 312 -313 u=14 8 -7.82 312 -313 u=14  $u = 14$ 0 -817:918:717:(716 -816) BLANK LINE BLANK LINE MPC surfaces\/ **\/ \/ \/ \/** px -12.169775 px  $-12.017375$ <br>px  $-11.826875$ px -11.826875<br>px -11.1125 px -11.1125<br>px 11.1125 px 11.1125<br>px 11.8268 px 11.826875<br>px 12.017375 px 12.017375 px 12.169775<br>py -12.169775 py -12.169775<br>py -12.017375  $-12.017375$ py -11.826875 py -11.1125 py 11.1125 py 11.826875 py 12.017375 py 12.169775 py -9.525<br>py 9.525 py 9.525 px -9.525 px 9.525 py -6.35 py 6.35<br>px -6.35 px -6.35<br>px 6.35  $6.35$ px -11.46969 px 11.46969 py -11.46969 py 11.46969 px -10.8204 px 10.8204 py -10.8204 py 10.8204 py 82.12074 py 54.74716 py 27.37358 py 0.0 py -27.37358 py -54.74716 py -82.12074 px 82.12074

**HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL** COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL HI-STORM FSAR Appendix 5.C-31

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

Rev. **0**

HI-STORM **100** FSAR Revision **8** January **18,** 2010

 $\sim$ 

 $\mathcal{L}$ 

 $\sim$ 

REPORT HI-2002444

115 114 113 112 **111 110 C** 301 302 **c C** 400 410 415 420 425 430 435 440 445 455 460 **c** 610 615 616 620 675 651 652 653 654 655 656 657 658 659 **680 C C c c c 303** 304 **305 306 307 C 310 311 312** 313  $313$ <br>314 315 **c** c<br>- - -**352 353** 353<br>354 **c** c<br>- - -355<br>0.0 **357 358** px 54.74716 px 27.37358 px 0.0 px -27.37358 px -54.74716 px -82.12074 cz cz 620 pz **pz** pz pz pz 85.56625 86.83625 pz 21.59 \$ MPC baseplate<br>23.876 \$ start of edg crate  $23.876$   $$$  start of egg crate<br> $28.8925$   $$$  start of boral 28.8925 \$ start of boral  $32.004$  \$ begin fuel element<br> $50.7365$  \$ end of lower nozzle \$ end of lower nozzle 53.2765 \$ end of space/ start of active fue 419.0365 **\$** end of active fuel 425.1325 \$ boral ends - 2.5 inches 428.72025 \$ space above fuel 439.83275 \$ plenum spacer ends 452.6915 \$ top of top nozzle 467.614 15.24 17.78 20.32 21.59 474.98 476.25 478.79 481.33 483.87 486.41 488.95 491.49 494.03 496.57 499.11 \$ top of baske \$ overpack baseplate \$ MPC baseplate  $-2.5$  inches \$ bottom of MPC in lid - 178.5 inches from 620 \$ 0.25 inch first segmen **\$** top of MPC outer lid **MPC** surfaces/\ /\ /\ /\ */\* overpack surfaces cz cz cz **cz** cz cz cz cz cz cz cz top duct planes px px px px **py py py** Py 80.01 81.28 85.09 86.20125 \$ ID of item 5 87.63 96.52 98.425 107.95 109.22 160.02 166.37 -33.02 **\$** start of item 12  $-31.75$ 31.75 \$ 33.02 \$ end of item 12 \$ ID of item 27 **\$ OD** of item 27 **\$** ID of item 7 \$ **OD** of item 7 \$ outer rad of item 3 overpack inner shel. \$ outer rad of item 2 **\$** ID of tem 26 **\$ OD** of item 26 **\$ OD** of tem **10 \$** ID of i tem 2 \$ end of item 12 start of item 12 \$ start of item 12 end of item 12 \$ % start of item 12  $33.02 \div \text{S}$  and of item 12 -33.02 -31.75 31.75

**HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL** COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL HI-STORM FSAR Appendix 5.C-32

Rev. **0**

HI-STORM **100** FSAR Revision **8** January **18,** 2010

# REPORT HI-2002444



**HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL** COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL HI-STORM FSAR Appendix 5.C-33

Rev. **0**

HI-STORM **100** FSAR Revision **8** January **18,** 2010

# REPORT HI-2002444

 $\sim$ 

 $\mathcal{A}$ 

263 264 **c** 271 272 273 274 **c c** 700 701 702 703 704 705 706 707 708 709 710 711 712 713 714 715 716 717 **c c c** 801 802 803 804 805 806 807 808 809 810 811 812 813 814 815 816 817 **c c c** 901 902 903 904 905 906 907 908 909 910 911 912 913 914 915 py **py** end of part of bottom cross plates px px **py py** -107.315 107.315 -124.46 124.46 -124.46 124.46 radial plane s in overpack cz 93.345 \$ ID of overpack **cz** 95.885 **cz** 98.5 \$ slightly diff from 311 **cz** 103.50 5 cz 108.58 5 **cz** 113.66 5 **cz** 118. 74 5 **cz** 123.82 5 **cz** 128.90 5 **cz** 133. 98 **cz** 139.06 5 **cz** 144 .14 5 cz 149.225 cz 154.305 cz 159.38 55 cz 159.385<br>cz 164.465 cz 168.27 75 cz 169.27 75 planes in pe destal 139.065 \$ bottom of item 24 **pz** pz **pz** pz **pz** pz **pz** pz **pz** pz pz pz **pz** pz pz pz pz 12.7 10.16 7.62 5.08 2.54 -2.54 -7.62 -12.7 -17.78 -22 **.86** -27 .94 -33.02 -38 **.1** -38.1<br>-40.64 \$ start of item 1<br>-43.19.6  $-43.18$ -45 **.72** ground -76.20 planes in lid **ps ps pz pz ps ps pz pz ps pz ps ps ps pz ps** 501.65 \$ start of item 6 502.285 \$ 0.25 inch segement from start 504.825 \$ end of item 6 509. 905 513.715 516.3 \$ end of item 8 plus a little 521.335 526. 415 531.495 \$ end of concrete start of item **<sup>10</sup>** 534 .035 536.575 539.115 541.655 **\$** end of item **10** 546.735 551.815

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-20024 44

**HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL** COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL Appendix 5.C-34

Rev. **0**



REPORT HI-2002444

**HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL**<br>Appendix 5.C-35 HI-STORM FSAR Appendix 5.C-35 Rev. 0

 $\overline{V}$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ 

**C c** BLANK LINE **"** BLANK LINE **<sup>c</sup>** \*trl **0 0** 0 45 315 90 135 45 90 90 90 0 **c c** PHOTON MATERIALS **c** c fuel 3.4 w/o U235 10.412 gm/cc<br>m1 92235.01p -0.029971  $92235.01p -0.029971$ <br> $92238.01p -0.851529$  $92238.01p -0.8515$ <br>8016.01p -0.1185 8016.01p<br>homogenized fuel c homogenized fuel density 3.8699 gm/cc<br>m2 92235.01p -0.027652  $92235.01p -0.027652$ <br> $92238.01p -0.719715$  $92238.01p -0.719715$ <br>8016.01p -0.100469 8016.01p -0.100469<br>10000.01p -0.149015  $40000.01\overline{p}$  -0.149015<br>50000.01p -0.002587 **50000.01p** -0.002587 26000.01p -0.000365<br>24000.01p -0.000198 24000.01p c zirconium  $6.55$  gm/cc<br>m3  $40000.01p$  1 m3 40000.01p **1. \$** Zr Clad c stainless steel  $7.92$  gm/cc<br>m5  $24000.01p -0.19$  $24000.01p -0.19$ <br>25055.01p -0.02 25055.01p -0.02<br>26000.01p -0.695 26000.01p -0.695<br>28000.01p -0.095 28000.01p c boral  $2.644$  gm/cc<br>m6  $5010.01$ p m6 **5010.01p** -0.044226 5011.01p -0.201474  $\overline{1}$ **13027.01p** -0.6861 6000.01p c Concrete (NBS Ordinary) **@** 2.35 g/cc (Ref: LA-12827-M) 14000.01p -0.315<br>13027.01p -0.048 **13027.01p** -0.048 8016.01p -0.500<br>1001.01p -0.006 **1001.01p** -0.006 **11023.01p** -0.017 20000.01p -0.083 26000.01p -0.012<br>19000.01p -0.019 19000.01p c carbon steel  $7.\overline{8}2$  gm/cc<br>m8 6000.01p -0.005 26000  $6000.01p - 0.005 26000.01p - 0.995$ c air density 1.17e-3 gm/cc m9 <sup>7</sup> <sup>01</sup> <sup>4</sup> .01p 0.78 8016.01p 0.22 c c c c NEUTRON MATERIALS c c fuel 3.4 w/o U235 10.412 gm/cc c ml 92235.50c -0.029971<br>c 92238.50c -0.851529 c 92238.50c c 8016.50c -0.1185<br>c c homogenized fuel density: c c homogenized fuel density 3.8699 gm/cc c m2 92235.50c -0.027652<br>c 92238.50c -0.719715 92238.50c c 8016.50c -0.100469 c 40000.35c -0.149015<br>c 50000.35c -0.002587 50000.35c **•c** 26000.55c -0.000365 c 24000.50c -0.000198 c c helium  $le-4$  gm/cc<br>c m3  $2004.50c$  1.0 m3 2004.50c 1.0 c c stainless steel 7.92 gm/cc<br>c m5 24000.50c -0.19  $24000.50c -0.19$ **HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL** COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

# HI-STORM FSAR Appendix **5.C-36** Rev. **0** REPORT HI-2002444

 $\mathbf{C}$ 25055.50c -0.02  $\mathbf c$ 26000.55c -0.695<br>28000.50c -0.095 28000.50c  $\mathbf{C}$  $\mathbf c$ c boral  $2.644$  gm/cc<br>m6  $5010.50c$  $5010.50c$  -0.044226<br>5011.56c -0.201474  $\mathbf{C}$  $\mathbf c$ -0.201474<br>-0.6861  $\mathbf c$ 13027.50c -0.6861<br>6000.50c -0.0682  $\mathbf{C}$  $6000.50c$  $\mathsf{C}$ c Concrete (NBS Ordinary) **@** 2.35 g/cc (Ref: LA-12827-M)  $\mathbf C$  $14000.50c -0.315$  $\mathsf{C}$ 13027.50c -0.048  $\mathbf{c}$ 8016.50c -0.500 1001.50c  $\mathbf{C}$  $\mathbf{C}$ 11023.50c -0.017  $\mathbf c$ 20000.50c -0.083 26000.55c -0.012  $\mathbf{C}$  $\mathbf c$ 19000.50c -0.019  $\mathtt{C}$ mt7 lwtr.01t<br>c carbon steel 7  $\mathbf{C}$ c carbon steel 7.82 gm/cc<br>m8 6000.50c -0.005 26000 m8 6000.50c -0.005 26000.55c -0.995<br>c air density 1.17e-3 gm/cc  $\mathbb{C}$  $\mathbf{c}$ c air density 1.17e-3 gm/cc<br>m9 7014.50c 0.78 8016.50c 0 m9 7014.50c 0.78 8016.50c 0.22  $\mathbf{C}$ c phys:n 20 0.0 phys:p **100** 0 c imp:n 1 228r c imp:p 1 228r nps 13500000 prdmp j -60 **1**  $\overline{2}$ c print **10 110** 160 161 20 170 print mode p ssw 716 917 c sdef par=2 erg=dl axs=0 0 1 x=d4 y=fx **d5** z=d3 c c energy dist for gammas in the fuel c c sil h 0.7 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 c **spl** 0 0.43 0.27 0.22 0.04 0.04 c c energy dist for neutrons in the fuel c c sil h **0.1** 0.4 0.9 1.4 1.85 3.0 6.43 20.0 c **spl** 0 0.03787 0.1935 0.1773 0.1310 0.2320 0.2098 0.01853 c c energy dist for Co60 gammas c sil 1 1.3325 1.1732<br>spl 0.5 0.5 **spl** 0.5 0.5 c c axial dist for phot in fuel c c si3 h 53.2765 68.5165 83.7565 114.2365 175.1965 236.1565 c 297.1165 358.0765 388.5565 403.7965 419.0365 c sp3 0 0.022854 0.035321 0.08975 0.184167 0.183 0.179833 c 0.175017 0.080033 0.030575 0.019458 c sb3 0 **1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1** c c axial dist for Co60 - a zero prob is in the fuel c 。<br>si3 h 32.004 50.7365 419.0365 428.72025 439.83275 452.6915 0.0 0.05 0.05 0.46 sp <sup>3</sup> **0** 0.44 sb3 **0** 0.50 0.0 0.05 **0.10** 0.35 c **HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL** COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL HI-STORM FSAR Appendix 5.C-37 Rev. **0** REPORT HI-2002444



**HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL**<br>Appendix 5.C-38

 $\bar{\lambda}$ 

Rev. **0**

 $\bar{a}$ 

HI-STORM **100** FSAR Revision **8** January **18,** 2010

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

2



HI-STORM FSAR REPORT H1-20024 44

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$ 

Appendix 5.C-39  $\sim$ 

Rev. 0



 $\mathcal{L}$ 

**HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL** COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL HI-STORM FSAR Appendix 5.C-40



 $\bar{\gamma}$ 

 $\bar{\beta}$ 

HI-STORM FSAR Appendix 5.C-41

 $\mathcal{A}$ 



HI-STORM FSAR

 $\begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \end{array}$ 

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 



**HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL**<br>Appendix 5.C-43

Rev. **0**

HI-STORM **100** FSAR Revision **8** January **18,** 2010

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

 $\mathcal{A}^{\pm}$ 



 $\bar{\beta}$ 

HI-STORM **100** FSAR Revision **8** January **18,** 2010

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-20024 44



**HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL** COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL Appendix 5.C-45 Rev. 0

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ 

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT H1-2002444

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ 



 $\sim$   $\sim$ 

 $\sim$ 

 $\sim 10$ 

Appendix **5.C-46**

 $\sim$ 

ä,



Rev. **0**

 $\lambda$ 

HI-STORM **100** FSAR Revision **8** January **18,** 2010

### HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

 $\bar{a}$ 



 $\sim 10^6$ 

# HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444



 $\sim$ 

 $\sim$ 

 $\bar{1}$ 

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

 $\bar{\gamma}$ 

Rev. **0**



 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

 $\bar{L}$ 

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

 $\sim 10^7$ 

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

 $\sim$ 



HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-20024 *.44*  $\sim$   $\sim$ 

 $\mathcal{L}_{\rm{max}}$ 

 $\chi$ 



 $\overline{a}$ 

Rev. **0**

HI-STORM **100** FSAR Revision **8** January **18,** 2010

# REPORT HI-2002444

# **HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL**<br>Appendix 5.C-53

 $\hat{V}$ 

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ 

 $\sim$   $\lambda$ 

Revision **8** January **18,** 2010





 $\sim$ 

**HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL** COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL Appendix 5.C-54 Rev. 0

HI-STORM **100** FSAR Revision **8** January **18,** 2010

# HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444



HI-STORM FSAR

 $\bar{\Delta}$ 

 $\cdot$ 



HI-STORM FSAR

 $\bar{\gamma}$ 

 $\mathbf{r}$ 



**HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL**<br>Appendix 5.C-57 HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444



REPORT HI-2002444

 $\sim$ 

 $\sim 10$ 

HI-STORM FSAR Appendix **5.C-58**

Rev. **0**



 $\sim$ 

**HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL**<br>Appendix 5.C-59

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ 

Rev. **0**

HI-STORM **100** FSAR Revision **8** January **18,** 2010

# HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444



HI-STORM FSAR

10545 1 10546 1<br>10547 1 10547 1 10548 1 10549 1<br>10550 1 10550 1 10551 1<br>10552 1 10552 1 10553 1 10554 1 10555 1 10556 1<br>10557 1 10557 1<br>10558 1 10558 1 10559 1 10570 1 10641 1 10642 1  $10643$  1<br>10644 1 10644 1 10701 1<br>10702 1 10702 1 10711 1 10712 1 10713 1 99999 **0 C C <sup>c</sup>**neutron dose factors **c** c 2.5e-8 1.0e-7 1.0e-6 1.0e-5 1.0e-4 1.0e-3 1.0e-2 **0.1 <sup>c</sup>**0.5 1.0 2.5 5.0 7.0 10.0 14.0 20.0 c 3.67e-6 3.67e-6 4.46e-6 4.54e-6 4.18e-6 3.76e-6 3.56e-6 2.17ec 9.26e-5 1.32e-4 1.25e-4 1.56e-4 1.47e-4 1.47e-4 2.08e-4 2.27e-4 **C <sup>c</sup>**photon dose factors **C** c 0.01 0.03 0.05 0.07 **0.1** 0.15 0.2 0.25 0.3 0.35 0.4 0.45 c 0.5 0.55 **0.6** 0.65 0.7 0.8 1.0 1.4 1.8 2.2 2.6 2.8 3.25 **<sup>c</sup>**3.75 4.25 4.75 5.0 5.25 5.75 6.25 6.75 7.5 9.0 **11.0** c 13.0 15.0 c 3.96e-06 5.82e-07 2.90e-07 2.58e-07 2.83e-07 3.79e-07 5.Ole-07 c 6.31e-07 7.59e-07 8.78e-07 9.85e-07 1.08e-06 1.17e-06 1.27e-06 c 1.36e-06 1.44e-06 1.52e-06 1.68e-06 1.98e-06 2.51e-06 2.99e-06<br>c 3.42e-06 3.82e-06 4.01e-06 4.41e-06 4.83e-06 5.23e-06 5.60e-06<br>c 5.80e-06 6.01e-06 6.37e-06 6.74e-06 7.11e-06 7.66e-06 8.77e-06 c 5.80e-06 6.Ole-06 6.37e-06 6.74e-06 7.11e-06 7.66e-06 8.77e-06 c 1.03e-05 1.18e-05 1.33e-05 **C C C** PHOTON TALLIES **C** fl02:p 716 917 ft102 scx 3<br>de102 0.01 del02 0.01 0.03 0.05 0.07 **0.1** 0.15 0.2 0.25 0.3 0.35 0.4 0.45 0.5 0.55 0.6 0.65 0.7 0.8 1.0 1.4 1.8 2.2 2.6 2.8 3.25 3.75 4.25 4.75 5.0 5.25 5.75 6.25 6.75 7.5 9.0 **11.0** 13.0 15.0 dfl02 3.96e-06 5.82e-07 2.90e-07 2.58e-07 2.83e-07 3.79e-07 5.0le-07 6.31e-07 7.59e-07 8.78e-07 9.85e-07 1.08e-06 1.17e-06 1.27e-06 1.36e-06 1.44e-06 1.52e-06 1.68e-06 1.98e-06 2.5le-06 2.99e-06 3.42e-06 3.82e-06 4.Ole-06 4.41e-06 4.83e-06 5.23e-06 5.60e-06 5.80e-06 6.Ole-06 6.37e-06 6.74e-06 7.11e-06 7.66e-06 8.77e-06 1.03e-05 1.18e-05 1.33e-05 fql02 u s **C**

#### **HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL** COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL HI-STORM FSAR Appendix 5.C-61 **Rev. 0 Rev. 0**

REPORT HI-2002444

# **APPENDIX 5.D**

 $\sim$ 

# **DOSE** RATE COMPARISON FOR DIFFERENT COBALT IMPURITY **LEVELS**

 $\sim$ 

REPORT HI-2002444

 $\bar{z}$ 

 $\sim$ 

 $\sim 10^{-10}$ 

 $\sim$ 

 $\bar{z}$ 

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL HI-STORM FSAR Appendix 5.D-1

Rev. 1

The dose rate adjacent to and one meter from the 100-ton HI-TRAC and the HI-STORM overpack are presented on Tables 5.D.1 through 5.D.4 for the MPC-24 with different burnup and cooling times and different assumed Cobalt-59 impurity levels for inconel. The HI-TRAC results were calculated for an earlier design which utilized 30 steel fins 0.375 inches thick compared to 10 steel fins 1.25 inches thick. The change in rib design only affects the magnitude of the dose rates presented for the radial surface but does not affect the conclusions discussed below. The following burnup and cooling time combinations are presented.

## 100-ton HI-TRAC

- 35,000 MWD/MTU and 5 year cooling 1000 ppm (1.0 gm/kg) Cobalt-59 impurity in inconel
- 45,000 MWD/MTU and 9 year cooling 4700 ppm (4.7 gm/kg) Cobalt-59 impurity in inconel

# HI-STORM

- 45,000 MWD/MTU and 5 year cooling 1000 ppm (1.0 gm/kg) Cobalt-59 impurity in inconel
- **\*** 45,000 MWD/MTU and 9 year cooling 4700 ppm (4.7 gm/kg) Cobalt-59 impurity in inconel

On Tables 5.D.I through 5.D.4, the contribution to the dose rate from activation in incore grid spacers is explicitly shown.

These results demonstrate that the dose rates at the longer cooling time are essentially equivalent to (within 11%) or bounded by the dose rates at the shorter cooling times even though a very conservative Cobalt-59 impurity level of 4700 ppm was assumed for the longer cooling times.

Table 5.2.1 shows the masses of inconel and steel that are used in the modeling of the PWR fuel assembly. When 4700 ppm was used for the impurity level in the inconel, an effective Cobalt-59 impurity level was used for the regions containing both steel and inconel. The following table summarizes the impurity levels that were used.



#### Table 5.D. **I**

#### DOSE RATES ADJACENT TO 100-TON HI-TRAC FOR NORMAL CONDITIONS MPC-24 DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD FUEL



t Refer to Figure 5.1.4.

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444 HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL<br>Appendix 5.D-3

Rev. **I**

# Table 5.D.2

#### DOSE RATES AT 1 METER FROM 100-TON HI-TRAC FOR NORMAL CONDITIONS MPC-24 DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD FUEL  $\subset$



tRefer to Figure *5.1.4.*

REPORT HI-2002444

# HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL<br>HI-STORM FSAR Appendix 5.D-4 Appendix 5.D-4

Rev. I
### Table 5.D.3

## DOSE RATES ADJACENT TO HI-STORM OVERPACK FOR NORMAL CONDITIONS MPC-24 DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD FUEL



t Refer to Figures 5.1.1.

 $\sim$ 

<sup>#</sup> Gammas generated by neutron capture are included with fuel gammas.

**HI-STORM FSAR** REPORT HI-2002444 HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL<br>Appendix 5.D-5

Rev. **I**

### Table 5.D.4

# DOSE RATES ONE METER FROM HI-STORM OVERPACK FOR NORMAL CONDITIONS MPC-24 DESIGN BASIS ZIRCALOY CLAD FUEL



 $\text{I}$  Refer to Figures 5.1.1.

 $<sup>tt</sup>$  Gammas generated by neutron capture are included with fuel gammas.</sup>

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL HI-STORM FSAR Appendix 5.D-6

Rev. **I**

REPORT HI-2002444

# **APPENDIX 5.E**

 $\bar{z}$ 

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ 

## **DELETED**

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL<br>Appendix 5.E-1

 $\sim 10$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  ,  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ 

Rev. 7

## **APPENDIX 5.F**

 $\bar{z}$ 

## Additional Information on the Burnup Versus Decay Heat and Enrichment Equation

REPORT HI-2002444

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL HI-STORM FSAR Appendix 5.F-1

Rev. 3

The equation in Section 5.2.5.3 was determined to be the best equation capable of reproducing the burnup versus enrichment and decay heat data calculated with ORIGEN-S. As an example, Figure 5.F.1 graphically presents ORIGEN-S burnup versus decay heat data for various enrichments for the 9x9C/D fuel assembly array/classes with a 20- year cooling time. This data could also be represented graphically as a surface on a three dimensional plot. However, the 2D plot is easier to visualize. Additional enrichments were used in the ORIGEN-S calculations and have been omitted for clarity.

Figures 5.F.2 through 5.F.4 show ORIGEN-S burnup versus decay heat data for specific enrichments. In addition to the ORIGEN-S data, these figures present the results of the original curve fit and the adjusted curve fit. Table 5.F.1 below shows the equation coefficients used for both curve fits. As these figures indicate, the curve fit faithfully reproduces the ORIGEN-S data.

Figure 5.F.5 provides a different representation of the curve fit versus ORIGEN-S comparison. This figure was generated by taking the ORIGEN-S enrichment and decay heat data from Figure 5.F.1 for a constant burnup of 30,000 MWD/MTU and calculating the burnup using the fitted equation with coefficients from Table 5.F.l. The resulting burnup versus enrichment is plotted. Table 5.F.2 presents the ORIGEN-S and curve fit data in tabular form used to generate Figure 5.F.5. Since the ORIGEN-S calculations were performed for a specific burnup of 30,000 MWD/MTU, the ORIGEN-S data is represented as a straight line. Figures 5.F.6 and 5.F.7 provide the same representation for burnups of 45,000 and 65,000 MWD/MTU. These results also indicate that the non-adjusted curve fit provides a very good representation of the ORIGEN-S data. It is also clear that the adjusted curve fit always bounds the ORIGEN-S data by predicting a lower burnup which results in a more restrictive and conservative limit for the user.

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL Appendix *5.F-2*

Rev. 3

### Table 5.F.1

### COEFFICIENTS FOR EQUATION IN SECTION 5.2.5.3 FOR THE 9X9C/D FUEL ASSEMBLY ARRAY/CLASSES WITH A COOLING TIME OF 20 YEARS



HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL Appendix 5.F-3

Rev. 3

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

 $\sim 10^6$ 

**HI-STORM FSAR** REPORT HI-200 2444

## Table 5.F.2

### ORIGEN-S AND CURVE FIT DATA FOR THE 9X9C/D FUEL ASSEMBLY ARRAY/CLASSES WITH A COOLING TIME OF 20 YEARS



 $\epsilon$ 

HI-STORM 100 FSAR Revision 8 January 18, 2010

REPORT HI-2002444

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{eff}}$ 



FIGURE 5.F.1; ORIGEN-S CALCULATED BURNUP VERSUS DECAY HEAT FOR VARIOUS ENRICHMENTS

Rev. 3



FIGURE 5.F.2; A COMPARISON OF THE BURNUP VERSUS DECAY HEAT CALCULATIONS FROM ORIGEN-S, THE ORIGINAL CURVE FIT, AND THE ADJUSTED CURVE FIT FOR AN ENRICHMENT OF 0.7 WT.%  $^{235}$ U

#### HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

Rev. 3



FIGURE 5.F.3; A COMPARISON OF THE BURNUP VERSUS DECAY HEAT CALCULATIONS FROM ORIGEN-S, THE ORIGINAL CURVE FIT, AND THE ADJUSTED CURVE FIT FOR AN ENRICHMENT OF 3.4 WT.%  $^{235}$ U

Rev. **3**



FIGURE 5.F.4; A COMPARISON OF THE BURNUP VERSUS DECAY HEAT CALCULATIONS FROM ORIGEN-S, THE ORIGINAL CURVE FIT, AND THE ADJUSTED CURVE FIT FOR AN ENRICHMENT OF 5.0 WT.% **<sup>235</sup> U.**

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444 Rev. 3



FIGURE 5.F.5; A COMPARISON OF THE CALCULATED BURNUPS USING THE CURVE FIT AND THE ADJUSTED CURVE FIT FOR VARIOUS ENRICHMENTS. ALL ORIGEN-S CALCULATIONS YIELDED A BURNUP OF 30,000 MWD/MTU.

Rev. 3



FIGURE 5.F.6; A COMPARISON OF THE CALCULATED BURNUPS USING THE CURVE FIT AND THE ADJUSTED CURVE FIT FOR VARIOUS ENRICHMENTS. ALL ORIGEN-S CALCULATIONS YIELDED A BURNUP OF 45,000 MWD/MTU.

Rev. 3



FIGURE 5.F.7; A COMPARISON OF THE CALCULATED BURNUPS USING THE CURVE FIT AND THE ADJUSTED CURVE FIT FOR VARIOUS ENRICHMENTS. ALL ORIGEN-S CALCULATIONS YIELDED A BURNUP OF 65,000 MWD/MTU.

Rev. 3

### **SUPPLEMENT 5.1**

(This Section Reserved for Future Use)

 $\Delta_{\rm c}$ 

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

 $\mathcal{L}$ 

#### HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

 $\bar{\lambda}$ 

 $\mathcal{A}$ 

5.1-1

 $\sim$   $\sim$ 

 $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ 

Rev. 6

## **SUPPLEMENT 5.11**

 $\bar{z}$ 

**[INTENTIONALLY DELETED]**

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL

HI-STORM FSAR REPORT HI-2002444

 $\bar{z}$ 

5.11-I

Rev. 7

 $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$