PMVogtleCOLPEm Resource

From: McGovern, Denise
Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2010 6:41 AM
To: VogtleCOL Resource
Subject: FW: Would it be possible to hold next Tuesday's Security scheduling call at 1:00 pm, instead of 10:00 am?

From: McGovern, Denise
Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2010 6:40 AM
To: 'Neil Haggerty'
Cc: WES SPARKMAN; 'JERRY SIMS (consultant)'
Subject: RE: Would it be possible to hold next Tuesday's Security scheduling call at 1:00 pm, instead of 10:00 am?

10 a.m. is preferred for personnel and conference room availability.

-Denise

Denise L. McGovern
Project Manager
Office of New Reactors
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
301.415.0681

From: Neil Haggerty [mailto:neilhaggerty@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, March 29, 2010 3:49 PM
To: McGovern, Denise
Cc: WES SPARKMAN; 'JERRY SIMS (consultant)'
Subject: Would it be possible to hold next Tuesday's Security scheduling call at 1:00 pm, instead of 10:00 am?

Although it is not absolutely necessary, it would allow some individuals to participate. Please let me know your thoughts. I have not yet sent out the revised announcement.

Neil Haggerty
NuStart AP1000 Licensing Support Engineer

Hearing Identifier: Vogtle_COL_Public
Email Number: 457

Mail Envelope Properties (A41C2340DAB39B44AD0B9623285CB3330B7EFF95A9)

Subject: FW: Would it be possible to hold next Tuesday's Security scheduling call at 1:00 pm, instead of 10:00 am?
Sent Date: 3/30/2010 6:41:20 AM
Received Date: 3/30/2010 6:41:21 AM
From: McGovern, Denise

Created By: Denise.McGovern@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"VogtleCOL Resource" <VogtleCOL.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov

Files	**Size**	**Date & Time**
MESSAGE	962	3/30/2010 6:41:21 AM

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: