

**From:** Dudley, Richard  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 31, 2010 3:32 PM  
**To:** Bobleyse@aol.com  
**Cc:** Miller, Barry; Carlson, Robert; Mizuno, Geary; Holzle, Catherine; Scott, Harold; Reed, Timothy  
**Subject:** RE: Posting of anonymous comment  
**Attachments:** WAPD\_104.pdf

Bob - Today I received confirmation from Bettis Lab that WAPD-104 can entered into our publicly-available document system. I will begin the process to profile and declare the document in ADAMS. However, for your convenience I am enclosing a .pdf version of the document. We are also now proceeding with our formal response to your request for documents.


Dick Dudley  
301-415-1116

---


**From:** Bobleyse@aol.com [mailto:Bobleyse@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 20, 2010 9:48 PM  
**To:** Dudley, Richard  
**Cc:** Miller, Barry; Carlson, Robert; Mizuno, Geary  
**Subject:** Re: Posting of anonymous comment

**Dick:**

Regarding the availability and prior distribution of WAPD-104, Schanz ,a German at Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe, reports in FZKA 6827, page 2, "... literature results from Lemmon and Bostrom who used inductive heating ..." (citation e. g. [13]). Citation 13 is a report by two Canadians:



The Canadians cite



Now, the Canadians had access to WAPD-104 during 1977 or sooner, and it follows that I, Robert H. Leyse, a native born American, should not have to wait to have access to WAPD-104.

Bob

In a message dated 3/19/2010 10:32:16 A.M. Mountain Daylight Time, [Richard.Dudley@nrc.gov](mailto:Richard.Dudley@nrc.gov) writes:

Bob -

Regarding WAPD-104, on March 10, 2010, I contacted the head librarian at Bettis Atomic Power Lab and asked that they confirm that it would be acceptable for the NRC to post WAPD-104 in ADAMS as publicly available. We believe the document is now declassified but in addition to that our lawyers had concerns about possible copyright issues. Bettis said it would take several weeks to respond. I call them once a week to check on the status of the request.

The Penn State reports that you requested are all unreviewed draft versions and cannot be released until the NRC completes its review. We will formally respond to your request for these documents with estimated dates for their availability as soon as we receive a response from Bettis on the status of WAPD-104.

Thanks for your patience on this matter,

Dick Dudley

301-145-1116

---

**From:** Bobleyse@aol.com [mailto:Bobleyse@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, March 19, 2010 12:02 PM  
**To:** Miller, Barry  
**Cc:** Dudley, Richard; Burns, Stephen; CHAIRMAN Resource  
**Subject:** Fwd: Posting of anonymous comment

I must make it clear, my objection was only to the fact that the entry related to PRM-50-93 was anonymous and not to the fact that the technical papers were listed. In fact, I am requesting that you accelerate the NRC's evaluation of those documents and publish that evaluation. I would not have objected to any of the content although it was a cheap shot for anonymous to assert that the reports were "ignored."

Does the NRC have the identity of anonymous? I'm trying to figure out how one submits a comment anonymously.

You say, "In addition, the NRC notes that the President has directed Federal agencies to institute policies and actions designed to provide a more open Federal Government."

When I thought about that, I laughed so hard that I could hardly get to sleep.

I had to agree to pay DOE \$28 to get BMI-1154. Later, NRC placed it into ADAMS. I'm still waiting for that WAPD document that is referenced in SECY-05-0113 which is a 31 page document. And there is a whole list of docs relevant to eccs that the NRC will not release (NRC told me that if I did not like their response to my FOIA I could go to court). Furthermore, the only thing "open" about NRC's interactions with INPO is documentation that the interactions are not open. So, please do not lecture me again about your commitment to Obama's edicts because my system can stand only a limited amount of unrestrained laughter.

---

From: Bobleuse@aol.com  
To: Barry.Miller@nrc.gov  
Sent: 3/18/2010 3:56:53 P.M. Mountain Daylight Time  
Subj: Re: Posting of anonymous comment

Thank you for the prompt reply. There is nothing to argue about, so please advise me, how may I submit an anonymous comment? Also, is it allowable to anonymously submit a PRM?

In a message dated 3/18/2010 1:15:42 P.M. Mountain Daylight Time,  
[Barry.Miller@nrc.gov](mailto:Barry.Miller@nrc.gov) writes: