

NRCREP Resource

From: Jimmy Quaid [Jimmy.Quaid@mistrasgroup.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 29, 2009 8:50 AM
To: Regs4rp Resource
Subject: Lower dose means less work

2010 APR -1 PM 2: 55

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

RECEIVED

To Whom It May Concern;

As stated by my colleague below, I too agree that there is **NO** evidence that the current set of Radiation Protection controls is not protective of the environment, and that the NRC should not develop separate radiation protection regulations for plant and animal species. To change, modify, revise update, etc., regulations of this magnitude will have far reaching impact on the Industrial Sector's current way of conducting business.

Personnel employed in the current 5 rem system allows for some situations that are restricting and confining such as work in Boilers, Tanks, Vessels, etc., where total shielding and distance may not be at it's maximum use; however, cutting that exposure in half, will cause either a total restriction from doing this type of work or continue the work but in an unsafe manner. I personally feel that this drastic measure will either put the Industrial Radiographic Testing market out of business or force unsafe conditions in order to stay under the restrictive requirement, if imposed.

In the end, we who have 25+ years of experience in the Radiography sector can attest to the fact that Radiation Safety is paramount in our industry, but to what extent, may force this field into extinction!

Thanks,

Jimmy L. Quaid

Operations Manager
API 570,AWS/CWI, ACCP LEVEL II , RT,MT,PT,UT L,IRIS ,ET,RFT, LEVEL II
Toll free #(866)-683-3325
Office #(225)-673-6600
Fax #(225)-673-8064
Cell # (225)-323-3590
16079 Airline Hwy
Prairieville,La. 70769
E-MAIL-jimmy.quaid@mistrasgroup.com

7/07/09
74FR 32198

8



" Excellence is to do a common thing in an uncommon way. "

SONSI Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03
Add = D. Cook (DAC)