

**Fetter, Allen**

FSME

**From:** Kock, Andrea  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 27, 2009 3:31 PM  
**To:** Park, James; Fetter, Allen; Ford, William  
**Cc:** Bjornsen, Alan  
**Subject:** RE: Lost Creek Recent Submittal

Just talked to allen- the 89gpm was in a submission to WY DEQ not NRC. SO we decided we should leave it as is based on the information we have in the ER

---

**From:** Park, James  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 27, 2009 3:17 PM  
**To:** Kock, Andrea; Fetter, Allen; Ford, William  
**Cc:** Bjornsen, Alan  
**Subject:** RE: Lost Creek Recent Submittal

I would recommend that Allen and John Saxton see if there is anything to support the 89 gpm value (compared to the 174 gpm they originally sent us) and then what the 70 foot drawdown at the permit boundary amounts to at distance from the site.

---

**From:** Kock, Andrea  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 27, 2009 3:09 PM  
**To:** Fetter, Allen; Ford, William  
**Cc:** Park, James; Bjornsen, Alan  
**Subject:** RE: Lost Creek Recent Submittal

so will this change our impact determination? Based on the numbers it seems like it would

---

**From:** Fetter, Allen  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 27, 2009 3:08 PM  
**To:** Ford, William  
**Cc:** Kock, Andrea; Park, James; Bjornsen, Alan  
**Subject:** FW: Lost Creek Recent Submittal

FYI

---

**From:** Saxton, John  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 27, 2009 9:19 AM  
**To:** Fetter, Allen  
**Subject:** FW: Lost Creek Recent Submittal

---

**From:** Striz, Elise  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 27, 2009 9:17 AM  
**To:** Saxton, John  
**Subject:** RE: Lost Creek Recent Submittal

Hi John-

You should probably send this info to Alan Fetter.

Thanks,

D/51

Elise

**From:** Saxton, John

**Sent:** Tuesday, October 27, 2009 9:16 AM

**To:** Oxenberg, Tanya; VonTill, Bill; Striz, Elise

**Subject:** Lost Creek Recent Submittal

All,

As requested, I looked at the recent submittal in Tanya's office from Lost Creek.

The package is two copies of the revisions to the Wyoming Mining Permit application. Attached is an index.

I didn't see any new pumping test info. However, several items that may be of interest - an UIC application for the deep disposal well, some plans for the storage pond and map showing cumulative drawdown. The cumulative drawdown is based on 89 gpm (unlike the 174 gpm analysis sent to us) and has a maximum of 70 feet of drawdown at the wellfield property.

John