

**Official Transcript of Proceedings**  
**NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

Title:                   Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant  
                          License Renewal Environmental Scoping  
                          Public Meeting, Afternoon Session

Docket Numbers:    50-275, 50-323

Location:            San Luis Obispo, California

Date:                 Wednesday, March 3, 2010

Work Order No.:    NRC-092

Pages 1-117

**NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC.**  
**Court Reporters and Transcribers**  
**1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W.**  
**Washington, D.C. 20005**  
**(202) 234-4433**

1 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

2 NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

3 + + + + +

4 PUBLIC HEARING

5 TO RECEIVE COMMENTS ON SCOPE OF LICENSE

6 DIABLO CANYON NUCLEAR POWER PLANT

7 + + + + +

8 Wednesday,

9 March 3, 2010

10 + + + + +

11 The hearing convened in the Embassy  
12 Suites, 333 Madonna Road San Luis Obispo, California  
13 at 1:30 p.m.

14 PRESENT:

15 CHIP CAMERON, Facilitator

16 MICHAEL FULLER, Co-Facilitator

17 ELIOT BRENNER, Director, Office of Public Affairs

18 BECKY SCHMIDT, Director, Office of Congressional

19 Affairs

20 TROY PRUETT, Deputy Director, Division of Reactor

21 Safety, Region IV

22 VICTOR DRICKS, Senior public affairs officer,

23 Region IV

24 LARA USELDING, Public office Officer, Region IV

25 AGNES CHEN, Region IV

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

PRESENT: (Cont'd)

MICHAEL PECK, Senior resident inspector, Diablo Canyon

TONY BROWN, Resident inspector, Diablo Canyon

DREW STUYVENBERG, Project manager, Division of License

Renewal

KIM GREEN, Project Manager, Division of License

Renewal

DAVE WRONA, Branch chief, Division of License Renewal

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

P R O C E E D I N G S

(1:30 p.m.)

1  
2  
3 MR. CAMERON: I'd like to welcome you to  
4 today's meeting and our topic this afternoon is the  
5 Nuclear Regulatory Commission, the NRC's,  
6 environmental review of Pacific Gas & Electric's  
7 application to renew the license for the Diablo Canyon  
8 Nuclear Power Plant.

9 And my name is Chip Cameron. I'm with the  
10 Executive Director for Operations at the Nuclear  
11 Regulatory Commission and it's my pleasure to serve as  
12 your facilitator today, and in that role, I'm going to  
13 try to help you all to have a productive meeting  
14 today.

15 I'm going to be assisted by Mike Fuller  
16 from the NRC staff. He's part of the NRC's  
17 facilitator training program. So he's helping me out  
18 today.

19 I just wanted to go over a few things on  
20 meeting process, so that you'll know what to expect  
21 this afternoon. I'd like to talk to you about the  
22 format for the meeting, some simple ground rules to  
23 help us have a good meeting today, and to introduce  
24 the NRC staff that's with us today.

25 In terms of the format for the meeting,

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 it's going to be a two-part meeting. The first part  
2 is to provide you with information on the NRC's  
3 environmental review of this application and how you  
4 can participate in that review.

5 And we're going to have Drew Stuyvenberg,  
6 right here, from the NRC staff. He's the project  
7 manager for the environmental review and he's going to  
8 do that presentation for you. It will be brief and to  
9 the point.

10 And after Drew's presentation, we're going  
11 to have some time for some questions on the NRC  
12 process for you, and from there, we're going to move  
13 on to the second part of the meeting.

14 And this is an opportunity for the NRC staff to listen  
15 to you, your comments, your recommendations on what  
16 the NRC should look at when it does its environmental  
17 review of this license application.

18 And we're taking written comments, and  
19 Drew will tell you about how and when to submit those.

20 But I just want to assure you that any comments we  
21 hear today from you will carry as much weight as a  
22 written comment, and you may hear something today from  
23 other members of the audience, or the NRC staff, that  
24 prompts you to file, submit a written comment, or you  
25 may want to elaborate on any of the oral comments that

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 you give us today.

2           So if you want to speak today, please fill  
3 out a yellow card. I think most people have already  
4 done that. And that just gives us an idea of how many  
5 people we have to speak. That helps us to manage the  
6 meeting. And if you decide you want to--haven't--if  
7 you haven't filled out a yellow card and you decide  
8 that you want to speak during the meeting, then please  
9 fill out a card and we'll put you into the lineup, so  
10 to speak.

11           And I'll ask you to come up here to the  
12 podium to talk to us.

13           Ground rules. First of all, please wait  
14 till Drew is finished with his presentation before you  
15 ask any questions, and if you have a question, please  
16 signal me. I'll bring you this cordless microphone  
17 and ask you to introduce yourselves to us, and then  
18 we'll try to answer your question.

19           And a lot of times questions can morph  
20 into comments, and I would just ask you to try to keep  
21 it to a question, and make your comments during the  
22 comment part of the meeting.

23           And if we can't get to all your questions  
24 before we have to go to comment, you can talk to the  
25 NRC staff who are here. I'll introduce them to you

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 after the meeting and they'll be glad to try to answer  
2 any questions that you have.

3 The second ground rule is I would ask that  
4 only one person speak at a time.

5 Most importantly, so we can give our full  
6 attention to whomever has the floor at the moment, but  
7 also so that we can get what I call a clean  
8 transcript. We have Pam Hollinger right here, and Pam  
9 is our court reporter. She's taking a transcript of  
10 this meeting and that will be your record and our  
11 record of what transpired today.

12 And a third ground rule is, I would just  
13 ask you to be brief in your comments, so that we can  
14 hear from everybody who wants to talk this afternoon,  
15 and we have quite a few signed up.

16 I'm asking you to follow a 3- to 5-minute  
17 guideline for your presentations, and I'll let you  
18 know when it's about time to sum up. That's usually  
19 enough time to summarize your major points and it also  
20 alerts the NRC staff to the issues that people have,  
21 and they may come over and talk to you after the  
22 meeting about some of the things that you said, to get  
23 more information.

24 I apologize to all of you, in advance, if  
25 I have to ask you to stop talking before your time is

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 up, because I know you spend valuable time preparing  
2 your comments for meetings like this.

3 You can amplify through a written comment  
4 for us, and I think there's some forms that we have  
5 out by the desk, where you can actually write your  
6 comments on those forms and leave them with us today.

7 Please note that the NRC staff is here to  
8 listen carefully to your comments. They're not going  
9 to be responding to your comments after your  
10 presentations.

11 They're going to be taking those back to  
12 Washington, and to Region IV, to carefully consider  
13 them, and they're going to address those in what's  
14 called a Scoping Report.

15 And they also won't be answering questions  
16 that you might ask from the podium. But as with the  
17 comments, they will note those and address those in  
18 the Scoping Report.

19 The final ground rule is please extend  
20 courtesy to everybody, and obviously that goes for the  
21 NRC as well as everybody else, but you may hear  
22 opinions today that differ from your own, and just  
23 please respect the person who's giving that opinion.

24 And I would just thank all of you. This  
25 meeting is just one data point, one point in time.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1 The NRC staff is going to be giving you some contact  
2 information for them, e-mail, phone numbers. If you  
3 have a question or concern, please call or e-mail  
4 them. Send in, so that we can maintain a relationship  
5 with you throughout this renewal process, this review  
6 process.

7 And I introduced Drew, who's going to talk  
8 to you. I wanted to identify the other NRC staff that  
9 are here, and we not only have staff from headquarters  
10 but we have staff from our Region IV office in  
11 Arlington, Texas, and this plant is in that region.

12 We have Eliot Brenner, who's right here,  
13 and Eliot is the director of our Office of Public  
14 Affairs in Washington, D.C.

15 We also have Becky Schmidt, and Becky's  
16 the director of the Office of Congressional Affairs at  
17 headquarters.

18 Troy Pruett is from our Region IV office  
19 and he's the deputy director of the Reactor Safety  
20 Division. Is that correct?

21 MR. PRUETT: That's correct.

22 MR. CAMERON: Okay. Reactor Safety  
23 Division.

24 We also have Victor Dricks. Is Victor--  
25 Victor's right back there, and Victor's our senior

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 public affairs officer in Region IV.

2 And we also have Lara Uselding, who has  
3 just joined the NRC staff. She's a public affairs  
4 officer in Region IV.

5 And we have Agnes Chen, who's helping us  
6 with administrative details, from Region IV.

7 We also have our two residents who are at  
8 the plant. These are the, as we often call them, the  
9 "eyes and ears" of the NRC at reactor sites, and we  
10 have the senior resident, who's Michael Peck, right  
11 here, and we also have Tony Brown, right here,  
12 resident at Diablo Canyon.

13 We have the license renewal team. Besides  
14 Drew, we have the branch chief of the Renewal Projects  
15 Branch here. This is Dave Wrona. And we have Kim  
16 Green. Where is Kim? Kim is right back there. Kim  
17 is a project manager for the safety side of the  
18 review, and I think Drew is going to tell you about  
19 the different aspects of the license renewal  
20 evaluation that the NRC conducts.

21 Darlene Fenton is here from our Office of  
22 Administration, and Mike Fuller, here, who I  
23 introduced, who's a project manager in our Materials  
24 Office.

25 Did I miss anybody?

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MS. EVERED: Can you ask those who are not  
2 employed by the PG&E, or anything, to stand up, so we  
3 can all see who's here for the meeting.

4 MR. CAMERON: Well, I just introduced the  
5 NRC staff, so if you need to be directed to a PG&E  
6 person, to talk to, we'll find out who that is and  
7 direct you to them.

8 MS. EVERED: Well, I'd like to know how  
9 many --

10 MR. CAMERON: I don't know, and you're not  
11 on the transcript, and the question is how many PG&E  
12 staff are here. Well, I'm not going to conduct a poll  
13 on that. I'm sorry. And we're going to get on to our  
14 presentations and then we're going to go to you for  
15 questions.

16 And we'll come back to you, Ms. Evered.  
17 Thank you.

18 So Drew, are you ready?

19 MR. STUYVENBERG: Yes.

20 MR. CAMERON: Okay.

21 MR. STUYVENBERG: All right. Good  
22 afternoon. So I wanted to start off today by briefly  
23 explaining what environmental scoping is as it  
24 pertains to today's meeting. In short, we're here  
25 today because we want your input on environmental

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 issues that we ought to consider in our review of the  
2 proposed license renewal for Diablo Canyon Power  
3 Plant.

4           There are two major pieces to this  
5 process. One is that we are looking for your input on  
6 the potential environmental impacts from the continued  
7 operation of Diablo Canyon.

8           In short, what would happen to the local  
9 environment if the two units are granted an additional  
10 20 years of operation?

11           The second is that we're looking for your  
12 input on the potential alternatives to license  
13 renewal. We want your input on what other options to  
14 generate electricity, or address electrical demand,  
15 that NRC ought to consider in its analysis.

16           We're also interested in gathering  
17 information about the environmental impacts of those  
18 alternatives.

19           Now with that as preface, I'll begin my  
20 formal presentation.

21           The purpose of today's meeting is for the  
22 NRC staff to receive input from you all on  
23 environmental issues in license renewal. We'll  
24 generally be in a listening mode today. We'll have a  
25 brief question-and-answer session to address any

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 questions you have on our process, and then we will  
2 begin the formal comment period.

3 All comments that you present will be  
4 formally transcribed for alter consideration and  
5 response by NRC staff as we continue the environmental  
6 review.

7 If you filled out a blue or yellow card  
8 with your contact information, then you will receive a  
9 compilation of all the comments that NRC receives, as  
10 well as the NRC staff's responses to these comments.

11 We plan to publish that compilation prior  
12 to the time that we publish the draft Environmental  
13 Impact Statement.

14 Also, as you look around, you may have  
15 noticed the video crew here. This meeting will be  
16 recorded and rebroadcast on SLO-Span for the next 30  
17 days.

18 As we discussed at our February 9th public  
19 information meeting, license renewal involves two  
20 parallel reviews. One review track is a safety review  
21 that focuses on managing the effects of aging on the  
22 power plant.

23 The other is an environmental review track  
24 in which we address the potential environmental  
25 effects of license renewal and possible alternatives.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 As you can see, I will be focusing on the  
2 environmental review today, which constitutes the  
3 bottom path on this diagram.

4 In the course of the environmental review,  
5 the NRC staff consults with local, state, federal and  
6 tribal officials. We also request input from the  
7 general public and interested groups. Part of that  
8 process is today's public meeting to receive your  
9 input.

10 You may also notice the box in this  
11 diagram that refers to the NRC's adjudicatory hearing  
12 process. That is another opportunity for the public,  
13 groups, and governments to use to formally raise  
14 issues related either to the safety or environmental  
15 reviews. We have instructions on how to use that  
16 process on the table in the hallway.

17 NRC's regulations implementing the  
18 National Environmental Policy Act, often referred to  
19 as NEPA, require that we prepare an Environmental  
20 Impact Statement to document our environmental review.

21 In our Environmental Impact Statement,  
22 we'll compare the likely environmental impacts of  
23 license renewal to the potential impacts of  
24 alternatives to license renewal. These alternatives  
25 include other means of generating electricity or

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 dealing with electrical loads, other than the existing  
2 power plant. These can include, for example, fossil-  
3 fueled generation, renewable generation, or other  
4 means of addressing electrical demand.

5 Input from the public and agencies in the  
6 scoping process can affect how the NRC considers  
7 environmental issues and alternatives during the  
8 course of the license renewal review.

9 We evaluate a wide range of issues in our  
10 Environmental Impact Statement. This slide includes  
11 issues for which we require site-specific evaluations  
12 in our license renewal Environmental Impact Statement.

13 As you can see, this includes a number of  
14 issues that are of concern in this area. I'll mention  
15 two of these, in particular.

16 The first, at the top of this list, is the  
17 impacts from the facility's once-through cooling  
18 system. NRC staff will evaluate the impacts from  
19 continued operation of the cooling system on aquatic  
20 life.

21 Specifically, we look at how the cooling  
22 system affects aquatic life through impingement, or  
23 what happens when organisms are trapped against the  
24 plant's intakes, entrainment, or what happens when  
25 aquatic organisms are pulled through the plant, and

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 finally, we'll look at thermal effects from the  
2 plant's heated discharges.

3 The second item, I'll specifically point  
4 out, is severe accident mitigation alternatives. This  
5 analysis is the one area of the license renewal  
6 Environmental Impact Statement where seismic issues  
7 can play a role, and we know that seismic issues have  
8 been a visible concern lately.

9 Now as most of you know, we deal with  
10 seismic issues as they arise, as part of our ongoing  
11 oversight. That is, we don't wait for license renewal  
12 to address them.

13 For this plant, that means we continue to  
14 monitor and respond to new seismic information. That  
15 said, in considering severe accident mitigation  
16 alternatives in the Environmental Impact Statement, an  
17 assessment of seismic risk is an input to determining  
18 whether any of the proposed mitigation alternatives  
19 will be cost-effective.

20 I apologize. I think we've gotten off on  
21 the slides here. One moment.

22 All right. My apologies. I think I'm  
23 going to have to continue with the presentation. The  
24 slide show in your handouts should be complete.

25 All right. Okay. One moment.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1           The range of issues that we examine in our  
2 license renewal review process also includes those  
3 issues for which we have reached a generic  
4 determination, as documented in our generic  
5 Environmental Impact Statement for license renewal.

6           In this scoping process, we're looking for  
7 any new and potentially significant information that  
8 may challenge our generic determinations.

9           One of these areas that is of substantial  
10 local interest is the issue of waste management for  
11 the facility during the proposed license renewal  
12 period.

13           In the course of the environmental review,  
14 we will look for scoping comments that may challenge  
15 the determination on this topic that the staff reached  
16 in the generic Environmental Impact Statement.

17           If you are interested in reviewing that  
18 document, the generic Environmental Impact Statement,  
19 we have several reference copies on the table in the  
20 hallway.

21           As I mentioned at the beginning of this  
22 presentation, we are not only looking for information  
23 on the impacts that may result from the continued  
24 operation of Diablo Canyon, we will also look at  
25 potential alternatives to continued Diablo Canyon

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 operation, and the impacts that could result from  
2 relying on those alternatives.

3 In this case, alternatives are other means  
4 of generating electricity or dealing with electrical  
5 load. We've already heard that considering an array  
6 of energy alternatives is an important issue in this  
7 area.

8 While we'll be evaluating a wide variety  
9 of environmental impacts from license renewal, and  
10 possible alternatives during our environmental review,  
11 I wanted to point out that there are several of the  
12 issues that we'll be evaluating in areas in which the  
13 State of California has ultimate jurisdiction.

14 For example, when it comes to actually  
15 planning for energy demand and dealing with approving  
16 or denying means of generating electricity, the State  
17 Energy Commission and Utilities Commission,  
18 respectively, have authority.

19 We've already been in touch with staff  
20 from both organizations as part of this review, and  
21 several staff from the Energy Commission are currently  
22 in attendance today. The Utilities Commission, in  
23 addition, also holds authority over electrical rates.

24 While NRC staff will consider issues  
25 related to water use and quality, the state's water

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 control boards exercise permitting authority over  
2 these matters. In addition, the Coastal Commission  
3 specifically addresses coastal land use, and the Air  
4 Resources Board addresses air quality and air  
5 permitting.

6 NRC's evaluations of environmental issues  
7 relating to these matters do not preempt any decisions  
8 made by these state-level authorities. NRC does,  
9 however, have primary oversight for plant safety and  
10 the plant's operating licenses.

11 We want to make sure that you know that  
12 there are a number of ways you can submit scoping  
13 comments to us, and that you're not limited to  
14 providing spoken comments during today's meeting.

15 If you have a written statement, or  
16 written comments, that you'd like to provide to any  
17 NRC staff person, you may do that today. If you come  
18 up with additional comments after today's meeting, you  
19 may also submit them via e-mail or U.S. mail, and  
20 we'll provide those addresses at the end of this  
21 presentation.

22 We will handle all of your comments in the  
23 same manner, and afford them the same consideration,  
24 whether you've submitted them in person, in hard copy,  
25 or electronically. We will continue to accept

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 comments on the environmental review through April 12  
2 of this year.

3 As I mentioned earlier in this  
4 presentation, anyone who provides contact information  
5 on either a blue or yellow card will be added to the  
6 mailing list to receive the compilation of all  
7 comments that NRC staff receives, as well as the staff  
8 responses to those comments.

9 Today's meetings are part of a broader  
10 environmental review for the proposed license renewal  
11 of Diablo Canyon.

12 Later this year, we plan to return to the  
13 power plant site for an on-site audit. After  
14 reviewing the information we gather from scoping, from  
15 that on-site audit, and from any information requests  
16 we make of PG&E, we plan to publish our draft  
17 Environmental Impact Statement in October of 2010.

18 We then plan to hold an additional set of  
19 meetings, similar in format to this meeting, in this  
20 area, in December of this year.

21 Many of you may be mindful that we've  
22 received several requests to delay the license renewal  
23 review until PG&E completes certain studies for the  
24 state.

25 We are currently reviewing those requests.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 We plan to have a decision in response to those  
2 requests by the end of this month. Until that time,  
3 we'll proceed with the review, as scheduled.

4 While it's not listed here on the  
5 schedule, I wanted to let you know that NRC staff will  
6 return to this area as part of ongoing safety  
7 oversight, in six to eight weeks, for the end-of-cycle  
8 meeting. This meeting will provide an opportunity for  
9 questions and answers, as well as extensive  
10 interaction with staff.

11 We'll issue a press release and take out  
12 ads in local papers, prior to that meeting. If,  
13 during the course of this meeting, you wish to review  
14 the environmental information that PG&E submitted to  
15 the NRC as part of its license renewal application, or  
16 to review NRC guidance or background documents on  
17 impacts of license renewal, we have hard copies of  
18 those available for your review out in the lobby, as  
19 well as copies on CD that you may take with you.

20 In addition, copies of the license renewal  
21 application are available for your review at local  
22 libraries in San Luis Obispo and in Paso Robles. You  
23 can also link to them through the NRC website.

24 If reviewing any of these documents  
25 triggers additional comments, you may submit them

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 through April 12th.

2 As I mentioned earlier, you may submit  
3 additional comments through a variety of means. I  
4 will leave this slide up for you during the remainder  
5 of the meeting.

6 With that, I will conclude my presentation  
7 for this meeting session, and you'll note that there  
8 are a few remaining slides in your packets. Those  
9 contain contact information for me, for Kim Green, and  
10 also some additional information on how to petition  
11 the NRC for an adjudicatory hearing.

12 With that, I'm going to turn the meeting  
13 back over to Chip. Thank you.

14 MR. CAMERON: Okay. Thank you very much,  
15 Drew. We have some time for questions about the NRC  
16 process. Judy, did you have a question from before,  
17 that you wanted to ask?

18 MS. EVERED: Well, I'm wondering about the  
19 five minute talk versus the questions. But are the  
20 questions asked for now? Are they?

21 MR. CAMERON: Yes; yes. This is the  
22 appropriate time for questions, and we're going to  
23 limit them to questions about the NRC review process,  
24 to make sure that everybody understands what's going  
25 on with that.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           If we have questions, other types of  
2 questions, we're going to have the NRC staff talk to  
3 you after that. So you had a question?

4           MS. EVERED: Well, yes. Well, I'm very  
5 grateful for this opportunity for the community to  
6 make an input, and I'm grateful for the way this is  
7 organized.

8           My questions would be what are the  
9 testings being done on the ocean these days, because  
10 as you originally got your permit from the Water  
11 Board, the State Water Board, there were many poisons  
12 that were going to be accumulating in the ocean, like  
13 mercury and cadmium and arsenic, and so on, and these  
14 are very worrying as they build up, and I'm wondering  
15 what testing you'll be doing, or will do.

16           MR. CAMERON: Okay. This is Judy Evered  
17 who asked that question, and this is a matter for the  
18 state agencies who do that.

19           Drew, do we have a quick answer for the  
20 types, the agencies who might who might be doing that,  
21 or should we talk to Judy after the meeting?

22           MR. STUYVENBERG: [off-mike]

23           MR. CAMERON: Yes, please. If we have  
24 that other mike, I think we'll bring it up to you.

25           MR. STUYVENBERG: I would actually offer a

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 couple of things to Judy. First of all, I think  
2 actually stating the concern about what kinds of items  
3 might be added to the ocean is actually a good scoping  
4 comment, and I'd say if, you know, when you have a  
5 chance to, for your three to five minutes--we've  
6 already got it on the record, but if there's anything  
7 you'd like to elaborate on, please mention it. So,  
8 you know, it's something we can look at, how we'd  
9 consider it in our review. I would say, in general,  
10 in regards to the amounts of those things that are  
11 discharged, allowed to be discharged by the plant,  
12 that's generally a matter that is under state control,  
13 under the pollution discharge elimination system  
14 permit.

15 So in terms of oversight, it's state level  
16 oversight, but if there's something that is of  
17 particular concern, we'll see how it may fit into our  
18 environmental review process.

19 MR. CAMERON: Okay. Thank you. And Drew  
20 made a good point. There may be questions that you  
21 have, that really imply a comment, and we will make  
22 that, we will record that as a comment also. So thank  
23 you, Judy.

24 Yes, sir?

25 MR. JACK: Let me ask: is there some place

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1 that I can get hold of a copy of an outline for your  
2 planned EIR?

3 MR. STUYVENBERG: You mean in terms of  
4 what the--

5 MR. JACK: Yes. In other words, what are  
6 you're currently planning to look at?

7 MR. STUYVENBERG: Actually, what I could  
8 use, I could show you the generic electricity  
9 statement we have in the hallway, and it lays out some  
10 of the issues that we have to address. There are some  
11 parts of the formatting for that that are mirrored in  
12 some of the site-specific impact statements.

13 Other than that, I can also direct you to  
14 some spots on our Web site where we have other site-  
15 specific Environmental Impact Statements for other  
16 plants. We can take a look at those, to see  
17 specifically what something like this is likely to  
18 look like.

19 MR. JACK: My point in the question was,  
20 if you're already going to address certain issues,  
21 there's no point in redundancy, and asking you to do  
22 that. So that by having that information, then we can  
23 be more selective in the requests that we make. Thank  
24 you.

25 MR. CAMERON: And your name, sir?

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. JACK: Sandy Jack.

2 MR. CAMERON: Sandy Jack. Thank you,  
3 Sandy. Let's go to Barbara and then we'll go to this  
4 gentleman back there. Barbara.

5 MS. BYRON: I was just wondering when the  
6 NRC expects to put out the Scoping Report?

7 MR. STUYVENBERG: The Scoping Report will  
8 be out some time before the Environmental Impact  
9 Statement. So it will depend on how lengthy the  
10 scoping comment is. I anticipate, at this point, that  
11 we're going to have a relatively large volume of  
12 scoping comment. So I would expect it some time early  
13 this coming fall, but I don't have a specific date for  
14 that. Staff will certainly be looking through that as  
15 they start their review, though.

16 MR. CAMERON: That was Barbara Byron from  
17 the California Energy Commission.

18 Yes, sir. Please introduce yourself to  
19 us.

20 MR. BOYSON: Yes. My name is Joe Boyson  
21 and I live in Sunset Palisades, and have for almost 22  
22 years.

23 My question is about these environmental  
24 review milestones, a procedural question, and I am  
25 curious, and I hope you can amplify. It says here the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 application was received November 24th of 2009, and it  
2 moved quite rapidly. There was a public information  
3 meeting on February 9th, and this, two sessions today,  
4 this afternoon and this evening, March 3rd--it's my  
5 understanding that this is the final meeting. It's  
6 kind of a "speak now or forever hold your peace"  
7 situation, such that a little over three months have  
8 passed. That's my definite impression, because I have  
9 been aware that this business about applying for a  
10 hearing--and I think it's called an adjudicatory  
11 hearing--and that deadline is March 22nd, and my  
12 impression is that this is a front-loaded process, and  
13 our chances to--we're the customers and the sovereign  
14 people, and so forth, and our chances to impact it are  
15 sharply controlled, and I'm wondering if that's true,  
16 because I'm seeing here scoping comments, you know,  
17 that date, and then I'm seeing this Environmental  
18 Impact Statement, which I understood that that generic  
19 one was something provided by PG&E, by the way.

20 And I thought that maybe your EISs, your  
21 response to that and so forth, I see here that--and  
22 you said that there's a public meeting in December of  
23 2010. But is this subject still open, or what is  
24 happening today, that is narrowing down this process?

25 Am I correct, that this is the--and I

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 don't know why it is that you can't have more  
2 meetings. In other words, this has happened rather  
3 rapidly and, you know, I've had some--I think a lot of  
4 people would be interested in this, if they realized  
5 this. I attempted to place an add to generate more  
6 knowledge of these, and there isn't even an article in  
7 today's paper.

8 The paper allowed me to put a very small  
9 ad in. They would not permit a larger one. So I'm  
10 very concerned about--

11 MR. CAMERON: Mr. Boyson, let's--Drew, can  
12 you make sure that everybody--Mr. Boyson and everybody  
13 else knows about--

14 MR. STUYVENBERG: Yes.

15 MR. CAMERON: --what the process is, and  
16 the fact that it's not--that what we finally end up  
17 with is going to be dependent on what the public  
18 comments are. Can you just go through that again, for  
19 him, and for everyone.

20 MR. STUYVENBERG: Absolutely. And I'm  
21 sorry, I didn't mean to try to jump in there, there  
22 were a lot of questions that I wanted to try to  
23 answer. First of all, with regard to whether this is  
24 the last opportunity for public comments, the public  
25 comment opportunity comes back again on the draft

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Environmental Impact Statement. But I'm going to talk  
2 a little bit about the general process and hope to  
3 address some of the other concerns that you mentioned.

4 First of all, the generic Environmental  
5 Impact Statement is an NRC staff document. The NRC  
6 staff wrote that, put that together through a process  
7 in the 1990's, and as many of you are aware, we're  
8 currently going through the process of updating that  
9 document.

10 But that's ours, and they're our  
11 determinations, those are not PG&E's determinations  
12 and that's not PG&E's document.

13 The license renewal application is a  
14 document that PG&E put together, and we brought some  
15 copies out there, so any members of the public who are  
16 interested in taking a look at parts of that  
17 application can do so.

18 They'll at least have the environmental  
19 report out there, which is PG&E's assertions about  
20 environmental impacts.

21 So they're two separate documents. As far  
22 as the public comment process goes, what we do right  
23 now is try to make sure that as we get our  
24 environmental review started, that we've got a good  
25 picture of what the environmental issues are in the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 area.

2 That's what today's meeting, and the  
3 process through April 12th is about. So that is an  
4 early-stage process, by design, because you want to  
5 have an idea of what's of concern before we really  
6 kick off the main part of our environmental review.

7 What we do then is take that input and  
8 figure out how to address the various issues that  
9 folks have raised in scoping, how to put that  
10 information to work in our review. Then we go on to  
11 the plant site, we'll talk to some local--or we'll  
12 look at some local environmental issues. We're going  
13 to talk to some folks at the state level, and some  
14 federal folks who have oversight over particular  
15 natural resources, and get their input as well.

16 And then we're going to write our draft  
17 Environmental Impact Statement and come back to you  
18 all, to get comments again, have you provide input on  
19 how you think the staff did. Did we miss things? Are  
20 there other things we should be considering, before we  
21 go back and figure out how to incorporate that extra  
22 comment, and how to address those issues again?

23 As far as the hearing process goes, there  
24 is an initial opportunity for hearing that goes  
25 through March 22nd. If there is, if it does end up

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 being a hearing, there are later opportunities to  
2 petition for a hearing opportunity, if new information  
3 comes up, that appears to be problematic from  
4 somebody's perspective.

5 So it's not the only set of opportunities  
6 but it's the one that's formally mentioned at the  
7 start. So there are a number of other options.

8 MR. CAMERON: Okay, thanks, Drew. And I  
9 would just ask Mr. Boyson, or anybody else in the  
10 audience, if you have any suggestions on how the NRC  
11 can notice these meetings, in terms of cable TV, or  
12 whatever, if you could just talk to our public affairs  
13 representative, Lara Uselding, who's right there, and  
14 tell them about that, that would be helpful.

15 Let me see if there's anybody else besides  
16 Mr. Boyson who has a question now.

17 Yes, sir.

18 MR. FRYER: I'm Richard Fryer. I live in  
19 Pismo Beach. I'm interested that you're asking for  
20 input on other options for power generation in this  
21 case. I wonder if there's any way that your EIR, or  
22 some related part of the, I guess documentation, can  
23 be provided in a form that's easy for the public to  
24 understand.

25 For example, if we didn't have Diablo, if

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 it was not licensed, what would a comparable coal or  
2 oil-fired plant do to the environment? I think that  
3 kind of thing can speak to the citizen perhaps better  
4 than an over-extensive report that is full of  
5 technical jargon.

6 MR. CAMERON: Okay. Two important points  
7 there, and Drew, you might want to talk to this. One  
8 is an analysis of what the costs and benefits of other  
9 options are in terms of generating electricity.

10 But I think also, to make it as user-  
11 friendly, understandable as possible. Can you just  
12 talk, briefly, to that.

13 MR. STUYVENBERG: Sure. In terms of the  
14 alternatives analysis, which you described, comparing  
15 the environmental impacts from other means of  
16 generating electricity to the environmental impacts of  
17 Diablo Canyon, that's what our document strives to do.

18 So that's what we'll be putting together over the  
19 coming months.

20 In terms of making it more accessible, I  
21 definitely hear what you're saying. You know, we're  
22 looking at it on an ongoing basis and how to make that  
23 more readable and more accessible to the public. I  
24 would like to take your suggestions for how to do that  
25 later on, if you're still around.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1 MR. CAMERON: Okay. Thank you very much.

2 We would go for one more question to Mr. Boyson, and  
3 we'll go over here, and then we're going to go to  
4 being able to listen to your comments.

5 Mr. Boyson, you had a follow-up on the  
6 environmental review?

7 MR. BOYSON: Yes; a follow-up. On this  
8 business about this adjudicatory hearing, you had--I  
9 want to ask you, do you have any applications, or  
10 whatever is the correct terminology, for that now, and  
11 are you likely to call for adjudicatory hearings?

12 I had the definite impression from the  
13 earlier meeting, and I guess that's the February 9th  
14 meeting, that--from you, I believe--that you thought  
15 that it was likely that there would be an adjudicatory  
16 hearing. At least that's what I understood.

17 And so my question is: Do I have to apply  
18 for that? Or have other people applied already, and  
19 are you likely to--my whole question is: What's the  
20 hurry here? Because it does seem like we're narrowing  
21 down very quickly. And that's my question.

22 Are there going to be--is there going to  
23 be an adjudicatory hearing, in your judgment?

24 MR. CAMERON: And Drew, whatever  
25 information you have on that for everybody would be

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 useful, but I know that often, until the requests to  
2 participate in the hearing don't come in until almost  
3 the last day of the 60 day period. But Drew, any  
4 further information on that?

5 MR. STUYVENBERG: To my knowledge, no  
6 requests have yet been filed. I would anticipate,  
7 given the environment around the plant, and some of  
8 the strong interest we've seen in having some issues  
9 addressed in particular ways in this review, that it's  
10 likely that there will be an adjudicatory hearing.  
11 But I don't know. That's going to be up to  
12 individuals to file.

13 So it is something, that if you were  
14 interested in petitioning for it, you can do that, and  
15 what we have out in the hallway is the Federal  
16 Register notice that provides instructions on how to  
17 specifically file for that opportunity.

18 So if you don't have a copy yet, we'll  
19 make sure you get a copy. I would note that the  
20 deadline is the 22nd of March. You'd probably want to  
21 start looking at that at least, you know, a week or  
22 two weeks out, because some of the things, like filing  
23 for the agency's electronic document system, you have  
24 to do prior to the last day.

25 MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Drew, and if Mr.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Boyson, or anybody else, wants to know a little bit  
2 more about the hearing process, we'll be glad to,  
3 myself included, talk to you about that. But we  
4 really need to go on right now.

5 Yes, ma'am.

6 MS. LEWIS: Hi. I'm Sheri Lewis from San  
7 Luis Obispo. In Title 10 of the Code of Federal  
8 Regulations, Part 73, Section 55, there are--

9 MR. STUYVENBERG: I have to apologize, I  
10 don't have my copy with me, but I'll--

11 MS. LEWIS: Well, it's called Physical  
12 Protection of Power Plants and Materials, and it's  
13 dealing with sabotage and terrorism and stuff. When  
14 I--it was made effective May 2009, in a very detailed  
15 way. I read it before that--not before that time--but  
16 I read what they had before that, and it was much more  
17 general.

18 The newer one, of last year, is very  
19 detailed, and explicit, and the nuclear industry has  
20 had ten months to comply to these.

21 Several plants have asked for extensions  
22 of varying lengths. For example, Virginia Electric,  
23 and Carolina, and others, and PG&E has asked for an  
24 extension.

25 The deadline for compliance to that

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 physical protection is March 31st of this year, that's  
2 the end of this month, and PG&E has asked for an  
3 extension to next June, or a year, so June of 2011.

4 I'm wondering if there has been any  
5 nuclear power plant that has not asked for an  
6 exemption to the deadline, because I'm thinking, if  
7 you have rule that must be followed, that are very  
8 detailed, very explicit, and everybody asks for an  
9 exemption, and it's granted, to they ever have to  
10 comply, or can--then the rule is ineffective, if  
11 people don't have to comply.

12 So my question is--of course there's still  
13 a month to go and you may get some more exemption  
14 requests--has any nuclear power plant not asked for  
15 exemption to the deadline?

16 MR. CAMERON: Okay. Thank you. Thanks,  
17 Sheri. I don't know if we have information on that  
18 but we certainly might be able to find out about that,  
19 and point noted about how many exceptions are granted.

20 Drew, any--or Troy--I think Troy Pruett  
21 may be able to give us some information on that.

22 MR. PRUETT: Again, my name's Troy Pruett.  
23 I'm the deputy director for the Division of Reactor  
24 Safety in Region IV, and I will agree that a large  
25 number of facilities did ask for exemptions to delay

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 the implementation date, pieces and parts of that rule  
2 change. Some of those--the rule change required some  
3 fairly detailed modifications to be made at certain  
4 facilities, and they just couldn't complete those in  
5 the timeframe specified by the rule.

6 But those same facilities have implemented  
7 compensatory measures, until they can implement some  
8 of those design changes in order to comply with the  
9 rule. And the regional--I'll just add to that. The  
10 regional offices will begin inspection of the  
11 facilities' implementation of the new rule changes  
12 beginning April 1st, when they go out and do their  
13 security baseline inspections.

14 And I think I might--I don't have an  
15 answer for "has anybody not?" but I can make a couple  
16 of phone calls, and maybe you can approach me after  
17 the meeting today, and I'll get you an answer today,  
18 if at all possible.

19 MR. CAMERON: Okay. Thanks, Troy. Troy  
20 Pruettt from our Region IV office, and Sheri, you might  
21 want to talk to him in more detail.

22 We're going to start with our comment  
23 period now, and I'm going to ask you to come up here,  
24 and we'll use a 3- to 5-minute deadline.

25 We're going to go to Adam Hill, first,

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 from the San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors,  
2 and then we're going to go to Betsy Umhofer from  
3 Congresswoman's Capps' office, and then we're going to  
4 go to Bill Denneen.

5 Yes, please.

6 MR. HILL: Good afternoon, and I'd like to  
7 thank the NRC for being here in our community. I'm  
8 Adam Hill from the San Luis Obispo County Board of  
9 Supervisors, representing District 3 in which Diablo  
10 Canyon Nuclear Power Plant resides. Next week, our  
11 board has on its agenda a letter supporting PG&E's  
12 application to the California Public Utilities  
13 Commission, to fund further seismic studies that would  
14 include three-dimensional reflection mapping and other  
15 advanced geophysical techniques.

16 Thus, I am here today to ask the NRC to  
17 consider two requests.

18 First, I ask the NRC to fully integrate  
19 and assess all the information that will come from  
20 these seismic studies in both your safety and  
21 environmental reviews.

22 Furthermore, it is imperative that you not  
23 rely on your generic frameworks, but, rather, than you  
24 incorporate site-specific criteria and data into your  
25 reviews.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           Secondly, I have sent the chairman of the  
2 NRC a letter asking that the license renewal  
3 application for Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant be  
4 delayed until the seismic studies are complete.

5           I do so because I believe this is the most  
6 logical and responsible way to proceed. If, however  
7 the NRC believes it is best to allow the license  
8 renewal process to proceed concurrent to the  
9 additional seismic studies, I'm going to ask the NRC  
10 not to complete the process until those studies are  
11 complete.

12           Our community fully recognizes the  
13 significance of the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant  
14 to our county and to our state. PG&E is a crucially  
15 important component of our local economy and it is a  
16 good corporate citizen.

17           That said, I believe my constituents, PG&E  
18 and the NRC, would be best served by a process that is  
19 comprehensive, site-specific, and also logically  
20 graduated.

21           Thank you.

22           MR. CAMERON: Okay. Thank you very much,  
23 Supervisor Hill. And this is Betsy Umhofer from  
24 Congresswoman Capps' office.

25           MS. UMHOFER: Thank you. Hello. I'm

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Betsy Umhofer. I'm the district representative for  
2 Congresswoman Lois Capps, and I'm here to read the  
3 following statement from the congresswoman.

4 Thank you for the opportunity to comment  
5 on the environmental issues the Nuclear Regulatory  
6 Commission should consider in its review of the  
7 proposed license renewal application for the Diablo  
8 Canyon Nuclear Plant.

9 I represent the 23rd congressional  
10 district in which this facility is located. As a  
11 member of the House Energy and Commerce Committee, I  
12 am very interested in issues relating to the  
13 relicensing process of the nuclear power plants. I  
14 appreciate the NRC holding this forum to assess all of  
15 the environmental impacts that would result if this  
16 plant were to be relicensed.

17 Given the complex scale of this renewal,  
18 as well as the complex technical issues contained in  
19 the licensee's application, it is critically important  
20 that this assessment be comprehensive and independent.

21 The NRC must fully assess and address  
22 safety and security impacts, including any measures  
23 available to mitigate them as they relate to the  
24 environmental review.

25 That means the assessment must include

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1 updated and completed analyses to ensure the  
2 surrounding natural and marine environment is  
3 protected; the plant, including aging infrastructure,  
4 can withstand potential earthquakes and is not  
5 vulnerable to a terrorist attack; and that any on-site  
6 storage of waste be done safely.

7 I believe failure to fully assess these  
8 issues would do a disservice to the review process, by  
9 disallowing a look at the overall collective impacts  
10 of this renewal on the environment.

11 For example, the recently-discovered  
12 shoreline fault, less than one mile offshore of the  
13 plant, has not been thoroughly studied. This clearly  
14 exacerbates an already precarious situation.

15 The central coast of California has a  
16 number of major and active earthquake faults. To  
17 reduce the likelihood, or severity of a severe  
18 accident due to these faults, the NRC must include  
19 severe accident mitigation alternatives, supported by  
20 new seismic hazard data as part of this review  
21 process.

22 Only with an all-inclusive review of the  
23 safety and security impacts, will the NRC ever be able  
24 to come to an accurate conclusion as to the degree of  
25 the severity of a planned, or unplanned event, at the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 plant.

2 Accordingly, the NRC must require site-  
3 specific assessments to address the potentially  
4 catastrophic and far-ranging impacts on the  
5 environment during the license renewal process.

6 Again, I urge the NRC to act  
7 deliberately in this matter, based on a thorough  
8 public record. My constituents deserve assurance that  
9 everything possible is being done to ensure this  
10 facility is operated in a safe and sound manner, and  
11 that the relicensing process is focused on protecting  
12 their health and safety. Thank you for this  
13 opportunity to comment on this process.

14 MR. CAMERON: Okay. Thank you very much,  
15 Betsy, and please thank the congresswoman for us. And  
16 this is Bill Denneen. Bill.

17 MR. DENNEEN: My name is Bill Denneen and  
18 I come from Nipomo, and I'm 85, and my first advice to  
19 everyone--don't get this old. It's terrible. I have  
20 a bunch of Sierra Club publications in which Rochelle  
21 Becker has a wonderful article. If you want a copy,  
22 you can come up and take the whole bunch and pass them  
23 around, anybody that wants, and leave what you have  
24 left on the table that says Information. I don't know  
25 if they'll allow this or not, but it's a wonderful

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 publication and I urge you to read Rochelle Becker's  
2 article.

3           Rochelle Becker goes way back with me when  
4 she was a young kid, and she came to me and said, how  
5 do I become active, environmentally? And I think I  
6 got her started. Yes!

7           Okay. I want to go back to the 1960's.  
8 No one wants a copy? No one wants to hand them out?  
9 Oh, well. They are here, and he's going to hand them  
10 out. He said he's going to hand them out. One.

11           I go back to the 1960's, in which I was  
12 asked to talk about the--see, I'm a biologist--about  
13 the biology of nuclear radiation, and I was speaking  
14 with a guy named Ralph Vernon--maybe you heard his  
15 name--Ralph was wonderful. He was a geologist.  
16 Anyway, I talked about the effects of nuclear  
17 radiation on DNA. That's what I was concerned with.

18           Ralph talked about an earthquake fault  
19 near Diablo. PG&E said there's no earthquake faults,  
20 we've studied it, there's none within 50 miles of  
21 Diablo. And as you may know, two have been discovered  
22 since. PG&E missed them, and they had it--No. There's  
23 no earthquake faults near Diablo. And they were  
24 adamant. That's just the beginning of PG&E's  
25 influence. And by the way, they have Proposition, I

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 think it's what? 15, on the next election. Vote "no,"  
2 by the way.

3 Okay. We'll move along in time to the  
4 sixties, and in '78, I'm proud to say that I was  
5 arrested. How many people can brag about being  
6 arrested? I was arrested in front--yes! Compliments.

7 I was arrested in front of Diablo. My question was:  
8 What are you going to do with the nuclear waste? I  
9 was told: Don't worry about it, we'll take care of it,  
10 we're all set, we're working on it. That was back in  
11 '78.

12 They didn't know what they were going to  
13 do with the nuclear waste, and they said don't worry  
14 about it, we'll take care of it. I think they were  
15 talking about a place called Yucca Mountain, or  
16 something like that.

17 Anyway, here we are, a few years later  
18 from back in '78, when I was arrested. What are they  
19 doing with the nuclear waste? Storing it on site,  
20 upwind from where I live. I am--I'm old, but I would  
21 like to live as long as I can and not get irradiated.

22 Anyway, I suggest--I'm going to finish up,  
23 I think my time's running out--by suggesting this  
24 nuclear waste is being stored, stored--stored right  
25 next to the nuclear power plant, subject to terrorist

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 attack, subject to earthquakes that they said weren't,  
2 wouldn't happen around here. It's being stored there.

3 What's going to happen when there's a  
4 earthquake fault like down in Chile. Woo-woo. It's  
5 going to be interesting. Anyway, that's what--I  
6 suggest they take that nuclear waste, put it in those  
7 big cement storage things, and put them in the  
8 backyards of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and the  
9 members of PG&E, the CEOs of PG--they deserve that in  
10 their backyards. Thank you.

11 MR. CAMERON: Okay. Thank you. Thank you  
12 very much, Bill. Eighty-five. That's quite a  
13 testament.

14 We're going to go to Sheri Lewis, David  
15 Weisman and Judy Evered.

16 Sheri.

17 MS. LEWIS: Hi. I'm Sheri Lewis from San  
18 Luis Obispo. Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant is  
19 located in an area of known and newly-discovered  
20 earthquake faults. NRC guidelines do not permit a  
21 reactor to be on or near faults, and yet, because  
22 Diablo Canyon was already built, the license was  
23 grandfathered in. Now there is a new fault, the  
24 shoreline fault, and PG&E wants to relicense the plant  
25 for 20 more years.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           How many faults are to be found before the  
2 grandfathering will stop? If the NRC had the caution  
3 not to allow a nuclear reactor near fault lines, why  
4 are they ignoring that wisdom now, to allow  
5 relicensing? I'd like to address the storage of high-  
6 level radioactive waste. Spent fuel rods are stored  
7 in pools under 20 feet of water, for years, and then  
8 need to be removed and stored elsewhere as the pools  
9 fill up.

10           There still has not been a viable solution  
11 to the problem of permanent long-term storage. The  
12 dry cask system on site is only temporary and is  
13 guaranteed safe for 100 years.

14           But some of the isotopes being stored  
15 inside are extremely dangerous, if accidentally  
16 exposed to the biosphere. Some of these isotopes have  
17 half-lives of thousands and even millions of years.  
18 Are we really able to protect these casks for such a  
19 long period of time? Will we be around that long?  
20 And all this is for energy now?

21           Consider that length of time. The  
22 pyramids in Egypt were built less than 5000 years ago.

23           It's unbelievable. I always thought that was a very  
24 long time. Can you imagine keeping these casks intact  
25 for 5000 years? The cave paintings in France are

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 thought to be 16,000 years old. Native Americans have  
2 been here for 20,000 years and more. The half-life of  
3 plutonium 239 is 24,000 years. Are we going to be  
4 able to keep these casks safe for about as long as  
5 native Americans have been on this continent?

6 The first Homo sapiens were only 150,000  
7 years ago in Africa. That's still not the half-life  
8 of uranium 235, which is 700 million years.

9 So we are creating high-level nuclear  
10 waste that lasts forever, and all for energy we need  
11 now. This is absurd. It's ridiculous. Who is going  
12 to be responsible for keeping this storage? Our  
13 grandchildren? Our descendants way down the line,  
14 four or five generations each century? How many  
15 centuries? All for energy now.

16 And it's not just the casks. Earthquake,  
17 sabotage, terrorist attack, all expose the biosphere  
18 to these poisons.

19 The dry cask storage they have now will be  
20 filled up by the time the present license has expired.

21 Do we want to allow for even more radioactive waste  
22 by relicensing?

23 Thank you.

24 MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Sheri.

25 Now David. It's David Weisman.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. WEISMAN: Good afternoon. David  
2 Weisman, Alliance For Nuclear Responsibility. The  
3 words I would read this afternoon are not my own. I'd  
4 just like to read the following letter into the  
5 record, in the hopes that it will shed some  
6 clarification on what we believe is a procedural and  
7 timeframe issue surrounding the relicensing of Diablo  
8 Canyon. So I will just read this one-page letter into  
9 the record.

10 The letter is on the letterhead of the  
11 California Public Utilities Commission, Office of the  
12 President, June 25th, 2009.

13 Mr. Peter Darby, president, chief  
14 executive officer of Pacific Gas & Electric.

15 The letter begins: "Dear Mr. Darby: As  
16 required by Assembly Bill 1632, Blakeslee, the Energy  
17 Commission completed a comprehensive assessment of  
18 Diablo Canyon and San Onofre, and adopted the study  
19 and assessment of California's nuclear power plants,  
20 AB 1632 report, as part of the 2008 Integrated Energy  
21 Policy Report. This AB 1632 study recommended that  
22 the CPUC take certain steps to ensure plant  
23 reliability when we review PG&E's license renewal  
24 feasibility study for Diablo Canyon.

25 "In particular, we need to ensure that we

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1 thoroughly evaluate the overall economic and  
2 environmental costs, and benefits, of a license  
3 extension for Diablo Canyon, especially in light of  
4 the facility's geographic location vis-a-vis seismic  
5 hazard and--excuse me, pardon me--seismic hazard and  
6 vulnerability assessment.

7 "As part of this evaluation, PG&E should  
8 report on its progress in implementing AB 1632's  
9 report, recommendations on Diablo Canyon. The CPUC  
10 will be looking to the Energy Commission's independent  
11 Energy Progress Policy Report for information and  
12 input to its license renewal decisions for Diablo  
13 Canyon.

14 "It has come to my attention that PG&E  
15 does not believe that it should include a seismic  
16 study and other AB 1632 report recommended studies as  
17 part of its Diablo Canyon license renewal study for  
18 the CPUC.

19 "Apparently PG&E bases its decision on the  
20 fact that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's license  
21 renewal application review does not require that such  
22 a study be included within the scope of a license  
23 extension application.

24 "That position, however, does not allow  
25 the CPUC to properly undertake its Assembly Bill 1632

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 obligations to ensure plant reliability and, in turn,  
2 ensure grid reliability in the event Diablo Canyon has  
3 a prolonged or permanent outage.

4 "Therefore, the Commission directs PG&E to  
5 perform the following tasks as part of its license  
6 renewal feasibility study for Diablo Canyon."

7 And I will report only those ones that  
8 directly pertain to the seismic issue.

9 "One. Report on the major findings and  
10 conclusions from Diablo Canyon seismic tsunami studies  
11 as recommended in the Assembly Bill 1632 report as  
12 well as studies that are directed by any subsequent  
13 legislative mandates, and report on the implications  
14 and findings, and conclusions for the long-term  
15 seismic vulnerability and reliability of their plant.

16 "Two. Summarize the lessons learned from  
17 the Kashiwazaki-Kariwa plant experience in response to  
18 the 2007 earthquake, and discuss the implications that  
19 an earthquake of the same, or greater magnitude, could  
20 have on Diablo Canyon. In particular, the Commission  
21 needs PG&E to evaluate whether there are any  
22 additional pre-planning or mitigation steps that the  
23 utility could take for the power plant, that would  
24 minimize plant outage times following a major seismic  
25 event.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 "Three. Reassess the adequacy of access  
2 roads to the Diablo Canyon plant and surrounding  
3 roadways, for allowing emergency personnel to reach  
4 the plant and local community plant workers to  
5 evacuate. This assessment needs to be, to consider  
6 today's local population and not rely on the situation  
7 extent when the plant was constructed.

8 "Four. Conduct a detailed study of local  
9 economic impacts that would result from a shutdown of  
10 the nuclear plant and compare that impact with  
11 alternative uses of the Diablo site.

12 "Five. Assess low-level waste disposal  
13 cost for waste generated through a 20 year plant  
14 license extension, including low-level waste disposal  
15 costs for any major capital project.

16 "Six. Study alternative power generation  
17 options to quantify the reliability, economic and  
18 environmental impacts of replacement power options.

19 "And seven. Include PG&E's response to  
20 the nuclear-related data requests and recommendations  
21 in future integrated energy policy reports.

22 "PG&E's rate case, D0703044, specifically  
23 linked PG&E's license renewal feasibility study for  
24 Diablo Canyon to the Assembly Bill 1632 assessment and  
25 PG&E is obligated to address the above-itemized issues

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 in its plant relicensing application.

2 "This Commission will not be able to  
3 adequately and appropriately exercise its authority to  
4 fund and oversee Diablo Canyon's license extension  
5 without these AB 1632 issues being fully developed.  
6 Sincerely, Michael R. Peavey, president, California  
7 Public Utilities Commission." Thank you.

8 MR. CAMERON: Thank you very much, David.

9 We can go to Judy Evered now. Then we're going to go  
10 to Ed Valentine, Lauren Brown and Hugh Wadman. And  
11 this is Judy, Judy Evered.

12 MS. EVERED: Thank you. Good afternoon.  
13 I've come a long way to this hearing, because I think  
14 it's the most important issue we can deal with. We  
15 have a little control over, we hope.

16 I live in Santa Barbara and we're 40 years  
17 overdue for The Big One, and they've researched  
18 underneath of Santa Barbara and it doesn't look good.

19 And I don't think any area along this coastline is a  
20 safe area because we're in the Ring of Fire, and it's  
21 in a place where there have been numbers of point nine  
22 earthquakes, many more than I had thought. I thought  
23 there was just the Alaskan one.

24 And now the 8.8 one in Chile has moved the  
25 axis of the Earth one second plus. And if it could do

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 that, I'm sure it can ruin Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power  
2 Plant. And another thing about the Chilean earthquake  
3 was it stretched 300 kms. That means that if  
4 something happened in Santa Barbara, 80 miles away, it  
5 could happen here too.

6 So it's--I concur with Congresswoman  
7 Capps' assessment that this has to be truly  
8 comprehensive.

9 So I'm suggesting that the NRC has great  
10 responsibility now to not only stop 20 more years but  
11 potentially close the plant, and I'm very curious,  
12 right now, of how many people would consider--it seems  
13 like a dramatic and uncalled-for need, but I would  
14 suggest it's the only rational thing to do. Humans  
15 can be rational, at times. So I'd like to see how  
16 many people in the audience today would consider  
17 closing the plant to prevent future deaths and  
18 destruction, and terrible, terrible times.

19 Oh. There are a few. We have two--oh,  
20 quite a few. How many? Two, four, six, eight, ten.

21 MR. CAMERON: Okay. Thank you.

22 [Applause]

23 MS. EVERED: I think that that's the only  
24 way to go--personally.

25 MR. CAMERON: Thank you very much, Judy.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Now this is Ed Valentine, who's superintendent of the  
2 school district.

3 MR. VALENTINE: Thank you. I think my  
4 comments, in looking at the topics that were shared  
5 with us earlier, fall into the category of Other. My  
6 name is Ed Valentine. I'm the superintendent of the  
7 San Luis Coastal Unified School District, and I  
8 thought that as the NRC takes commentary on the  
9 environmental impact of Diablo Canyon, I thought I  
10 might share some of the economic implications of it  
11 for us. Within our budgetary prospects, Diablo Canyon  
12 generates about 15 percent of the school district  
13 budget, or appropriately \$11 million.

14 If we look at it in another way, proceeds  
15 from the Diablo Canyon Power Plant funds the education  
16 of about one in every seven children in our community.

17 As this licensing moves forward, obviously  
18 from that perspective, we're very supportive that that  
19 would move forward, but we would expect that to occur  
20 in a prudent and timely way. And thank you for the  
21 opportunity to speak.

22 MR. CAMERON: Thank you very much,  
23 Superintendent Valentine.

24 Lauren. Lauren Brown.

25 MS. BROWN: I'd like to thank the NRC for

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 this opportunity to solicit input from the community.

2 My name is Lauren Brown. I live at 7 Chuparross  
3 here, in San Luis Obispo. I'm retired, and I'm  
4 speaking on behalf of what I consider to be important  
5 concerns for this community.

6 Among the most important environmental  
7 concerns that I think should be taken into  
8 consideration is the fact that nuclear power is one of  
9 the alternative ways of generating energy that does  
10 not put additional carbon dioxide into the atmosphere.

11 I'm very concerned, personally, about the  
12 risk of extensive climate damage to the entire Earth  
13 because of the enormous amount of CO2 going into the  
14 atmosphere.

15 I think the fact that this plant is not  
16 emitting CO2 is something that should definitely be  
17 taken into consideration from an environmental  
18 standpoint.

19 I personally feel so strong about this,  
20 that I am installing solar panels on our roof in the  
21 next month. In terms of alternative, I think  
22 absolutely, there should be no consideration to  
23 additional fossil fuel-generating facilities. The  
24 only alternatives that I would consider acceptable  
25 would be solar. For this region, it's about the only

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 one that is practical.

2 And the license time, the period of time  
3 required for planning, for the development, siting,  
4 environmental studies for such a extensive facility,  
5 one that would generate 20 percent of the power that  
6 comes from Diablo Canyon--it would be a very, very  
7 lengthy and daunting process. Hence, it's essential,  
8 from my standpoint, that this application run  
9 concurrent with the current seismic assessment.

10 I certainly support that the NRC should  
11 take fully into consideration all of the security and  
12 safety issues around this in terms of completing their  
13 application. But I do urge that the NRC run this  
14 concurrently.

15 To conclude, I'd just like to comment that  
16 my impression of PG&E is that it's been an outstanding  
17 community member. Its contribution to our economy is  
18 enormous. I have seen also how they support the  
19 community in so many ways, helping nonprofits, and a  
20 variety of other ways.

21 And so I'd like to support this process  
22 going forward concurrently. Thank you.

23 MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Lauren.

24 Hugh. Hugh Wadman. And then we're going  
25 to go to Sandy Jack, Sally Krenn and Jane Swanson.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1 This is Hugh Wadman.

2 MR. WADMAN: Thank you for this  
3 opportunity to speak. I'm a retired chemist. I was  
4 first trained in atomic issues as an undergraduate. I  
5 was trained in radiochemistry as a graduate student.  
6 I did graduate work using radiochemistry traces in  
7 biochemical systems of photosynthesis for my PhD, and  
8 I've subsequently taught courses in radiochemistry. I  
9 think I have some background.

10 I have one issue which I think is  
11 appropriate to the scope. It is the in-scope  
12 environmental issues for generic determinations. The  
13 one I am concerned with is, I think, of relatively low  
14 impact. It is the one which cites the impacts from  
15 uranium fuel cycle and waste management. In general,  
16 I feel that there is little or no waste risks  
17 associated with the present storage of the fuel. I  
18 think it is a gross exaggeration to imagine that there  
19 is any conceivable way in which that nuclear waste  
20 could possibly release, on this society, even a tiny  
21 fraction of the radiation exposure which is rooted--we  
22 are all routinely subject to from the relatively high  
23 levels of radon in this area from rock, volcanic  
24 rocks, or from medical sources.

25 It is simply a failure to look at numbers,

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 and I challenge the critics to start delivering  
2 numbers instead of wishful thinking. My one concern  
3 is that the waste in those casks is two types--fission  
4 products that diminish in their intensity of radiation  
5 to one-half in every 30 years.

6           It takes a long while. There is a large  
7 level of radiation, initially, but it diminishes very  
8 rapidly, and ultimately, what is left is the so-called  
9 transuranics of which the one which we've all heard of  
10 is plutonium, and that plutonium could conceivably be  
11 used for evil purposes. It is in fact a very--the  
12 primary purpose that comes to mind is to build a bomb  
13 out of it. It is not bomb-grade material. It is  
14 almost impossible to make a bomb from the plutonium  
15 that is in those casks.

16           I think that I may misinform, but in fact  
17 the "bomb boys" have succeeded in making a very poor  
18 quality nuclear bomb directly from that nuclear waste.

19           It would be a tremendous challenge to any terrorist  
20 organization to accomplish that fact.

21           But as a matter of sort of wearing belt  
22 and suspenders, I would like to see the present fuel  
23 cycle modified gently, over the next decade or so, to  
24 refrain from contributing to that plutonium waste, and  
25 remodification is to modify the burning process by

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 possibly a modification of the charging of the  
2 reactors but more likely by the new fuel charges  
3 containing some recycled fuel, which diminishes the  
4 availability of the plutonium for bomb-making  
5 processes.

6 I would reiterate the last gentleman's  
7 comments, that it is very important, in health issues,  
8 not to spread false information about cures, and hence  
9 because people from taking appropriate actions. For  
10 example, scares about vaccines prevent effective  
11 vaccination of children and children die as a  
12 consequence.

13 I think we should remember that every  
14 single unit of nuclear power that comes out of that  
15 nuclear reactor can and will be, for a long time into  
16 the future, one unit of power that was not produced by  
17 the carbon-based fuels, coal, oil, and the risks  
18 associated with carbon dioxide in the atmosphere so  
19 transcend any other risks that society faces, that it  
20 is absolutely trivial to be concerned with radiation  
21 from nuclear power. It stands in the way of dealing  
22 with a far more serious challenge from global warming.

23 Thank you for this opportunity to speak.

24 MR. CAMERON: Thank you very much, Hugh.  
25 We're going to go to Sandy Jack, Sally Krenn and Jane

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Swanson. This is Sandy Jack.

2 MR. JACK: Good afternoon, everyone. It's  
3 my pleasure to be here. It's something different for  
4 me. What should the NRC look at in the EIR? I've  
5 heard a lot of comments; a lot of good things. The  
6 job of the EIR is to identify all of the potential  
7 impacts on the environment, and I would like to see,  
8 among some of the others, first of all, you start with  
9 the history of Diablo Canyon, its safety,  
10 transparency, and air quality.

11 We have to be cognizant of, and should  
12 review the replacement cost to the consumer and  
13 business as a comparison for all other types of  
14 alternative energy that might be undertaken. Impact  
15 on jobs. I mean, let's face it. This state, right  
16 now, we need some jobs. The whole country needs jobs.

17 And Diablo Canyon I think has somewhere  
18 around 15-, 16-, 1700 jobs. I'm not sure of the  
19 number. Tax revenues. You heard from the school  
20 superintendent. There are a lot of different  
21 organizations, all the way from charities to school  
22 programs, some of the arts programs, that receive  
23 monies as a result of Diablo Canyon. What is the  
24 replacement cost?

25 How is that going to impact everyone?

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Risk to human life versus the economic impact to the  
2 region. I think those have to be done in detail and I  
3 think that ties into some of the other comments.  
4 Degree of impact to the environment. It has to be a  
5 balanced approach.

6 We look at air quality, we look at water  
7 quality, alternative types, impacts on the communities  
8 within the region. Based upon what I've learned so  
9 far, I see no particular reason to delay the  
10 application process, and would concur with the  
11 previous speaker, that the seismic study be concurrent  
12 with the application process. Thank you.

13 MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Sandy.

14 Sally. Sally Krenn.

15 MS. KRENN: Good afternoon. Thank you for  
16 the opportunity for giving me to speak. My name's  
17 Sally Krenn and I'm a Pacific Gas & Electric  
18 biologist, and I've worked along the Diablo Canyon  
19 lands for over 30 years now. I'm not as old as Bill  
20 Denneen, but up there. This is in reference to the  
21 state level oversight of coastal land management, and  
22 I just wanted to share with you the team that PG&E  
23 has, of biologists, archaeologists, engineers, and  
24 some of the programs that we conduct to protect our  
25 local natural and cultural resources.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           One of our--we have over 12,500 acres of  
2 coast land, and as you, when you conduct your on-site  
3 environmental audit, you'll--you cannot overlook the  
4 fact that it's a spectacular coastline. It's just  
5 gorgeous. And some of the programs we do to keep it  
6 so pristine is we use managed grazing.

7           On the East Coast I know you mow a lot,  
8 but we use cows, and our grazing program has actually  
9 been used as a field school by Cal Poly, San Luis  
10 Obispo, because of the program's use of high density,  
11 low impact cattle movement. One of our lessees is  
12 actually Bob Blanchard and Mr. Blanchard couldn't make  
13 it today.

14           He was voted, awarded the Cattlemen's Beef  
15 Association environmental stewardship award for his  
16 practices in San Luis Obispo County. And Bob  
17 Blanchard has commented that our goal is to manage  
18 this land in such a way, that we are confident that we  
19 are not using it at the expense of future generations.

20           We've been able to see and better  
21 understand the importance of grazing animals and  
22 maintaining healthy and diverse grassland ecosystems.

23           By alternating the grazing patterns--he calls it the  
24 "Pecho Ranch," because historically, this piece of  
25 property was a Spanish land grant. So goats are--he

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 also uses goats. By alternating the grazing patterns  
2 on this ranch, goats are allowed to eat the more  
3 mature brush, open the canopy which then allows more  
4 sunlight to reach the ground and new grass to grow.

5 Areas that previously were undesirable or  
6 had overmature plants are now livened up by the impact  
7 of animals, thus eliminating any dependence on  
8 substitute feeding.

9 And for any of you have hiked the Point  
10 Bouchon or Pecho Coast Trail, which are public hiking  
11 trails that we provide, we provide over seven miles of  
12 our coastline for recreational hiking, you'll notice  
13 that you see more predatory animals. We're a  
14 predatory-friendly ranch, and we have bobcats, we have  
15 mountain lions, and lots of burrowing owls and  
16 critters that prefer grassland habitat over shrubland.  
17 Grasshoppers, sparrows, horned larks.

18 We work--we have a lot of partners. We  
19 also work with Cal Fire, and just recently, we burned  
20 about 200 acres of a bishop pine grove which is--it's  
21 necessary for fire to regenerate new--it's necessary  
22 to have fire to regenerate new bishop pine trees.

23 We also have partnerships with a lot of  
24 agencies, such as Fish & Game. We recently, in the  
25 last two years, restored steelhead trout habitat. And

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 I'm just--I'm pretty proud of PG&E for participating  
2 in these programs, and I just want to share with you  
3 the fact that PG&E is making incredible efforts to  
4 keep this coastline a pristine state, and the  
5 knowledge that this program is shared with many of our  
6 partners and agencies. Okay. Well, thank you.

7 MR. CAMERON: Thank you. Thanks, Sally.  
8 Jane. This is Jane Swanson of San Luis Obispo Mothers  
9 For Peace.

10 MS. SWANSON: Yes. I am Jane Swanson. I do  
11 speak for San Luis Obispo Mothers For Peace. The  
12 statement I will be making this afternoon is kind of a  
13 long-range perspective, Big Picture statement, and  
14 this evening, Mothers For Peace will point out three  
15 specific issues that we are quite sure belong within  
16 the scope of the environmental studies. So that will  
17 be more specific in this evening.

18 For now, a review. Our nonprofit group  
19 has served a unique role since 1973, when Mothers For  
20 Peace made the commitment to pursue available legal  
21 challenges to oppose the licensing of the aptly-named  
22 Diablo, a nuclear power and radioactive waste storage  
23 site built next to an active earthquake fault. Sheri  
24 Lewis reviewed that history, so I won't repeat it.

25 But over the decades, Mothers For Peace

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1 has conducted an ongoing assessment of the scoping of  
2 issues considered, and not considered, by the Nuclear  
3 Regulatory Commission. So now, 37 years later, we  
4 offer the executive summary of our decades-long study.

5 Mothers For Peace sees an adverse trend in  
6 the NRC's failure to interface with the real world.  
7 The Agency has created a fictional reality bubble, a  
8 labyrinth of rules and regulations that does not  
9 connect with the world inhabited by other federal  
10 agencies or by the general public. I will offer just  
11 three examples of issues that have great potential for  
12 damaging the environment.

13 Example number one. The California coast  
14 is an earthquake zone. The Nuclear Regulatory  
15 Commission, at that time the Atomic Energy Commission,  
16 in 1984 and '85, licensed Diablo's two reactors,  
17 despite the fact that it was, and remains against NRC  
18 regulations, to allow nuclear facilities to be sited  
19 next to major active earthquake faults.

20 The Hosgri Fault is still there and will  
21 be forever. New faults have been discovered but not  
22 thoroughly studied. Include seismic information, new  
23 seismic information as you consider another 20 years,  
24 and then say no.

25 Example number two. There is still

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 nowhere to store radioactive waste virtually forever.

2 The NRC consistently ignores the reality that there  
3 is no location, no plan, no technology in place, or on  
4 the horizon to isolate radioactive waste from the  
5 biosphere for the required quarter of a million years,  
6 or one million years, if you take EPA standards.

7 The NRC Web site still refers to Yucca  
8 Mountain as the solution. It's still there. And the  
9 Agency has already given 57 license extensions to  
10 other plants. Include the problems of additional  
11 wastes as you consider another 20 years and just say  
12 no.

13 Example number three. Nuclear plants are  
14 targets of terrorists. The Department of Homeland  
15 Security, the FBI, and other federal agencies state  
16 that nuclear facilities are, by definition, targets of  
17 terrorists. And yet the NRC does not protect nuclear  
18 facilities with no-fly zones.

19 Neither does it require nuclear plant  
20 operators to protect reactors or radioactive wastes  
21 from attack by air.

22 NRC regulations consider it sufficient to  
23 outsource, quote, mitigation, unquote, of any such  
24 attack to the military. In the real world, a fire in  
25 a spent fuel storage pool, or a cask, would release

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 cesium, radioactive cesium 137 into the atmosphere,  
2 and even the armed forces would not be able to stop  
3 its lethal spread.

4 Open your eyes to the danger of terrorist  
5 threats as you consider another 20 years, and then say  
6 no.

7 In conclusion, the NRC must include within  
8 its scope of study all the outstanding environmental  
9 issues, before seriously considering allowing another  
10 20 years, which means 35 years from now, of Diablo  
11 Canyon operations.

12 The excuse of, quote, ongoing monitoring,  
13 unquote, is not a substitute for a thorough assessment  
14 of serious problems before considering taking such a  
15 drastic and unrealistic step.

16 MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Jane.

17 We're going to go to Robert Lewin, who's  
18 the deputy fire chief. Hi, Robert. And then we'll go  
19 to Rebecca McMurray and Lynne Levine.

20 MR. LEWIN: I'm Robert Lewin, a deputy  
21 chief for the county fire department. Thank you to  
22 the NRC staff for allowing us to contribute to the  
23 scoping of the environmental review. During the  
24 licensing process of Diablo Canyon, during the late  
25 '70s and '80s, our fire protection was addressed, MOUs

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 were developed as well as emergency plans, and those  
2 plans and MOUs have been annually updated.

3 The County Fire does have jurisdiction for  
4 fire protection at the plant. We value the  
5 cooperative relationship that we share with PG&E's on-  
6 site fire dept.

7 The MOU states who has what responsibility  
8 for fire protection at the plant. And over time, the  
9 last 30 years, the plant has experienced numerous  
10 incidents, and those MOU's and emergency plans have  
11 been put to good use.

12 The current level of service is effective.  
13 It meets the minimum level. the transformer  
14 explosion/fire last year clearly demonstrated the  
15 value of the on-site PG&E fire department. Their  
16 quick response, modern equipment, aggressive training  
17 program, and adequate staffing, effectively  
18 extinguished a growing fire.

19 No question. Had this not been the case,  
20 the plant would have experienced more damage and a  
21 longer outage, and could have been not just to one  
22 unit, but to both units, resulting in a loss of  
23 millions of dollars and a potential threat to the  
24 power supply of California.

25 This environmental review should include a

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 review of the existing fire prevention programs,  
2 including public education and vegetation management.

3 The review should look at the fixed fire protection  
4 systems that are currently in place, and the  
5 inspection programs, to ensure their adequacy.

6 The review should include that the fire  
7 protection personnel, equipment, and training, are  
8 adequate to meet the needs of the county for the next  
9 licensing period.

10 Further, the review should include a  
11 review of the emergency response processes. We are  
12 confident that the current level of fire protection  
13 service meets the minimum industry standard for fire  
14 protection. We need to ensure that over the next  
15 licensing period, and ensuring decommission, or  
16 conversion, that that fire protection continues to  
17 meet or exceed those standards.

18 Fire protection should be included in the  
19 environmental review. We are prepared to assist the  
20 NRC in providing input to that process. Thank you.

21 MR. CAMERON: Thank you, sir.

22 Rebecca McMurry and Lynne Levin, and then  
23 we'll go to D.J. Boyson.

24 MS. McMURRAY: Thank you for this  
25 opportunity. I'm Rebecca McMurry, CEO of the Pismo

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Beach Chamber of Commerce. Pismo Beach Chamber is  
2 supportive of the relicensing application submitted by  
3 PG&E to the NRC.

4 Diablo Canyon Power Plant is a significant  
5 driving force to the economy not only in Pismo Beach,  
6 but all over our county and the surrounding areas. We  
7 believe that this study should take a long, strong  
8 look at the socioeconomic impacts on our county and  
9 our communities. As PG&E and Diablo are huge  
10 contributors to our tax base, to unemployment, both at  
11 the plant and in outside contractors and suppliers  
12 outside of the plant, the impact that it has on our  
13 tourism industry in Pismo Beach, with the annual  
14 outages and the outside workers that come in, as well  
15 as contributions to various charitable organizations.

16 Additionally, we feel that the seismic  
17 study should take place concurrent with the  
18 application and review process. As PG&E continues to  
19 study the seismic issues, as is regulated by the NRC,  
20 all along, not just in a relicensing application, and  
21 as a delay of the application process would not result  
22 in additional safety benefits. Thank you for your  
23 time.

24 MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Rebecca.

25 And Lynne. Lynne Levine.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MS. LEVINE: Well, good afternoon. I come  
2 to the NRC meetings almost all the time, if they're  
3 here, and I'm very grateful to them for coming to our  
4 community and listening and hearing us.

5 I don't know that they altogether hear us,  
6 but they do listen, and I'm happy about that. I am a  
7 very grateful resident of San Luis Obispo. I am not a  
8 scientist, but I do keep myself apprised and aware of  
9 what the scientists say.

10 And I was really very shocked today, when  
11 I read that when--I knew that it was against the  
12 regulations of the NRC to license a nuclear power next  
13 to a fault, and I read that they grandfathered Diablo  
14 Canyon because PG&E was excused, because it was  
15 unaware of the Hosgri Fault when they decided to build  
16 this. And that is what is shocking to me.

17 I was aware of the Hosgri Fault. In 1984,  
18 I was so aware of it, that I was one of those people  
19 that did the civil disobedience and spent three days  
20 in jail, to hope that Diablo Canyon would not be built  
21 here on the Hosgri Fault.

22 So it is just so surprising to me that  
23 PG&E didn't know about the Hosgri Fault. Isn't that  
24 sort of shocking to you all? And that's why I'm still  
25 here, hoping that they won't be here forever. Thank

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 you.

2 MR. CAMERON: Okay. Thank you, Lynne.  
3 We're going to go to Dwight Goggans and then O.V.  
4 Harkee and Ann Harkee. This is Dwight Goggans coming  
5 up.

6 MR. GOGGANS: Thank you. My name is  
7 Dwight Goggans, a long-time resident, recently retired  
8 from the California Highway Patrol. At the time of my  
9 retirement, I was the assistant chief in our Division  
10 Office, which is responsible for all the coastal  
11 counties from Santa Cruz to Ventura.

12 Prior to that, I served as the commander  
13 of our local San Luis Obispo Area Office. Prior to  
14 that, I served as the commander of our local office.

15 I've also served in Los Angeles,  
16 throughout the San Francisco Bay Area, and our  
17 headquarters in Sacramento.

18 During my career, I spent a lot of time  
19 involved in emergency planning and emergency incident  
20 response. So I'd like to focus my comments today on  
21 that area. I realize that's not specifically an  
22 environmental issue, but I think it speaks directly to  
23 quality of life in this county.

24 It's my belief that the cornerstones of  
25 proper and effective incident response involve

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1 planning and preparation prior to any incident, and  
2 coordination and communication during the incident.

3           As a direct result of Diablo Canyon being  
4 here, this county enjoys some of the greatest  
5 planning, preparation, cooperation, and communication  
6 among any emergency service providers I've ever worked  
7 with. There's some obvious advantages. Because of  
8 Diablo Canyon, we have one of the most state-of-the-  
9 art emergency operation centers in the state, located  
10 on the Sheriff's Department grounds just north of the  
11 city.

12           It's a facility that provides all  
13 emergency service providers, both public and private  
14 agencies, the opportunity to get together whenever we  
15 have any kind of emergency incident, not just an  
16 incident involving Diablo Canyon, and manage that  
17 incident in an effective, cooperative manner.

18           Diablo Canyon has also worked with the  
19 local emergency service providers to develop what we  
20 call the Diablo Plan, which shows how we would respond to  
21 any incident that occurs out at the facility.

22           But what I think is equally important  
23 about the Diablo Plan is we can use that as a  
24 framework for any kind of emergency incident that were  
25 to occur in this county. If we were to have a train

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 derailment, that released significant amounts of a  
2 hazardous material in this county, we could go to the  
3 Diablo Plan and use that as framework to respond to  
4 that emergency in the most effective way possible.

5           Additionally, Diablo Canyon coordinates  
6 very regular drills for us to work on our response and  
7 mitigation process. Because of those drills, we are  
8 much more effective as emergency service providers in  
9 this county than any other county I've ever worked in.

10           So basically, I'd just like to say that  
11 because of Diablo Canyon, we have what I consider to  
12 be one of the best, if not the best, emergency service  
13 systems in the State of California.

14           MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Dwight.

15           O.V. Harkee. Mr. Harkee? Are you guys  
16 good? Okay. Thank you.

17           Let's go to Peggy Koteen and then Joanne  
18 Main and Sheila Baker, in whatever order you want to  
19 come up. And this is Sheila; right? Sheila Baker.

20           MS. BAKER: Hello. I am Sheila Baker.  
21 Today, I emptied a five gallon bucket of water from a  
22 bucket that had some algae in it, and this was on the  
23 campus of Cal Poly, and about less than a half a mile  
24 from that site is, exists a greenhouse that has been  
25 conducting an algae study for bioenergy.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Cal Poly is very proud of this. It's  
2 nationally known, it--algae is definitely considered a  
3 potential energy source. Alternative energy consists  
4 of bioenergy, and wind as well as ocean energy, and  
5 solar.

6 I don't agree with those who put nuclear  
7 energy in the same category as wind and algae and bio.

8 I am concerned, really, about the waste issue.  
9 Actually, there are two very, very not clean, not safe  
10 ends of nuclear energy. One is the mining of uranium  
11 done in Colorado and Utah, with uranium mill tailings  
12 in the water. The other end if the nuke waste as  
13 Mothers For Peace, Jane Swanson, has suggested, that  
14 there is not really an answer.

15 Before this licensing happens, before this  
16 plant is licensed, PG&E and the NRC need to tell the  
17 public what they will do with the waste. And several  
18 options are out there. Reprocessing, on-site  
19 hardening, storage, and barging, and railing, and  
20 trucking, and all kinds of things.

21 But I think the--just as the earthquake  
22 issue will need to be studied, so will the waste  
23 issue. What will happen to the waste? What will PG&E  
24 do with the waste? NRC needs to answer this. We need  
25 to study it.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           Before it is licensed, you need to say  
2 what is going to happen to that waste. Thank you.

3           MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Sheila.

4           Peggy, are you ready, or should we go--  
5 Peggy. Okay. This is Peggy Koteen. And then we'll  
6 go to Joanne Main.

7           MS. KOTEEN: Thank you for letting me  
8 speak. I consider myself an environmentalist, and I  
9 am terribly conservative about global warming and I do  
10 support true, clean energy. I do not see nuclear  
11 power as clean. How can it be considered clean when  
12 there's no true disposal of the waste? Let's not fool  
13 ourselves. There's no Yucca Mountain. And even if  
14 there, how could we transport the nuclear waste safely  
15 there?

16           I do not want to see Diablo relicensed.  
17 It frightens me to hear those people in the audience  
18 who have accolades about Diablo because of the jobs  
19 they bring here, because of the tax dollars that work  
20 to help our schools. You know, recently, it reminds  
21 me of a company that's been in the news, a company  
22 that we thought was reliable, that had high standards.

23           That company is Toyota. That company brings jobs all  
24 over the world, you know, benefits its employees,  
25 brings great tax dollars to different places, and I'm

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 sure their benefit nonprofit groups too.

2 But we now know that that company, Toyota,  
3 chose to weight their own profits over human lives.  
4 And that might be a few hundred human lives, a few  
5 thousand, tens of thousands. Well, what is nuclear  
6 waste? What's that risk? Very serious. It's not  
7 going to be a few hundred lives, if there's a problem  
8 there. It's going to be generations of lives.

9 Now we now more clearly understand the  
10 government may have been faulty in their oversight of  
11 Toyota. I'm concerned about that with nuclear plants  
12 too. So I just want to reiterate that, you know, it's  
13 better that we not begin the relicensing process until  
14 we have a very secure storage for the rest of the  
15 nuclear waste, and there are other alternatives. You  
16 know, if we can take individual responsibility for  
17 reducing our own, change our own lifestyles to reduce  
18 global warming, on a very small minor level, each of  
19 us. But if we're looking for energy to make our lives  
20 easier, maybe we need to take that responsibility and  
21 reduce our own energy consumption.

22 And if that means we need to do that, and  
23 not have a Diablo, you know, that's what we need to  
24 do. But we can't begin relicensing until we have a  
25 clear, solid, secure place for the waste. So thank

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 you.

2 MR. CAMERON: Thank you. Thank you very  
3 much, Peggy. And Joanne. Joanne Main.

4 MS. MAIN: Good afternoon. And I want to  
5 thank the NRC for hosting this event today, and to  
6 listening to all of our comments and considering them  
7 with the mountain of work that you have ahead of you  
8 to do.

9 I am currently the president and CEO of  
10 the Atascadero Chamber of Commerce. The Chamber is a  
11 diverse organization of business professionals working  
12 together for a better community. The board of  
13 directors developed, adopted and published a public  
14 policy guide which provides direction for developing  
15 positions on legislation and other public policy  
16 issues.

17 The relicensing of the Diablo Canyon Power  
18 Plant fits into this policy guide. We believe, in  
19 order to maintain a solid economic base, and to  
20 compete effectively in this century, we must continue  
21 to improve our infrastructure systems, particularly in  
22 the area of power.

23 Infrastructure investment provides the  
24 foundation for accelerated business growth, capital  
25 investment, and improved quality of life.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Issues we would like for you to take into  
2 account, in addition to the obvious environmental  
3 review, as you review the license renewal of Diablo  
4 Canyon Power Plant, are as follows. We'd like you to  
5 keep in mind the economic impact on our local economy  
6 and schools. We'd like you to keep in mind the  
7 effects of local taxes collected. The effects on the  
8 local direct employment as Diablo is the largest  
9 employer in our county.

10 Atascadero houses many of the workers, and  
11 their purchasing power is evident in our community.  
12 Finally, investigate the effects on local vendors and  
13 contractors that have worked in unison, over the  
14 years, to keep the plant operational and safe.

15 I would encourage the NRC to not delay the  
16 relicensing process of the Diablo Canyon Power Plant.

17 I know the Diablo Canyon Power Plant operates in a  
18 safe manner, always keeps seismic upgrades in their  
19 sights at all times, and addresses this issue under  
20 their current license.

21 If the plant is not safe, it would not be  
22 operating at this time. Please do not delay the  
23 process, as a delay would not result in additional  
24 safety benefits. Thank you for your time.

25 MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Joanne. Next,

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 we're going to have Richard Fryer, and after Mr. Fryer  
2 we'll have David--and I'm sorry if I don't get these  
3 names exactly right--Hafemeister. Sorry. And then  
4 after that we'll have Duane Woddell.

5 Mr. Fryer.

6 MR. FRYER: Thank you. I'm Richard Fryer,  
7 and these are my own words, that I just hastily jotted  
8 them down, so I apologize if it's not as smooth as  
9 some of you have been able to bring off.

10 I have MS degrees in physics and computer  
11 science. I had a career in aircraft computers until I  
12 retired from that, and I taught five years at Cal Poly  
13 in the electrical engineering department.

14 First, I'd like to say that I think  
15 nuclear power is a very important component of  
16 California's energy mix, and I'm pleased that Diablo  
17 has played a very safe role in that process. They've  
18 got an admirable safety record. I also appreciate  
19 NRC's, not only the opportunity to speak here today,  
20 but also the due diligence you play in helping keep  
21 nuclear power safe for us.

22 Mothers For Peace says that we need to  
23 consider the real world, and we need to consider  
24 what's real versus what's hypothetical. Well, in the  
25 real world, we know that oil and coal-fired plants

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1 have terrible side effects for the environment. Let's  
2 see. Coal generates nitrous oxide, puts particulates  
3 in the atmosphere, and even--not even mentioning  
4 carbon dioxide output--also puts radioactive waste,  
5 the ash that's produced.

6 Those are real effects and we know our  
7 grandchildren are going to live with those side  
8 effects, not the hypothetical ones that what happens  
9 if there's a fire in the containment pond.

10 Another aspect of that is that in the real  
11 world, we have to consider economics. I also am an  
12 investor in solar panels, but I'm aware that if it  
13 were not for the taxpayers kindly helping to pay for  
14 those, and if it were not for--I don't know if the  
15 taxpayers in this case pay for it, or if it's Diablo,  
16 or PG&E has to pay for it, but somebody provides the  
17 batteries that let me use my electricity at night  
18 instead of during the daytime.

19 Were it not for that, I think solar power  
20 would cost five times what ordinary power costs.  
21 Already, power is almost as much of my budget as my  
22 grocery bill. If it jumped five times, I'd probably  
23 have to move out of state.

24 I think that's most of my comments here.  
25 I would like to also say--unfortunately, I see Adam

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Hill has left. I am a constituent of his, and also  
2 Lois Capps. If they read their e-mails, they will  
3 both know that their requests for delays do not  
4 represent what I think my interests are, and I think  
5 that any studies that need to be done--and I do hope  
6 that such studies are done--that I think those should  
7 be done concurrently.

8 MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Mr. Fryer.

9 Next we have Mr. David Hafemeister, and  
10 then we'll have Duane Waddell and then Jeff Lewis.

11 MR. HAFEMEISTER: Hi. Good to be here.  
12 I'm almost as old as Bill Denneen, and you'll realize  
13 that when you hear my talk. It's going to be a little  
14 different today.

15 A little bit of history, and then where do  
16 I come out today. In 1975, the--not that the United  
17 States had a perfect record on nonproliferation, by  
18 the way. In 1975, the Germans and the French were  
19 about to export six or seven enrichment and  
20 reprocessing plants, and it ended up, since I was  
21 working initially with Senator John Glenn, who became  
22 the lead senator on nonproliferation matters, who had  
23 a very large hand of writing the Nuclear  
24 Nonproliferation Act of 1978, having hearings with  
25 David Lilienthal, Hans Beta, Kissinger, and so forth.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           And out of that, the law passed, and I  
2 went on into the State Department. And also along the  
3 way we passed laws on sanctioning, the big sanction  
4 laws, later on, with the Senate Foreign Relations  
5 Committee, that sanctioned India and Pakistan for  
6 their explosions.

7           So what we did is we killed the plutonium  
8 economy, and it was my job to go with the Carter lead,  
9 Professor Joe and I, down to Oak Ridge, and Hanford--  
10 not Hanford--whoops--South Car--Barnwell, South  
11 Carolina, and basically shut down the fast breeder  
12 reactor and reprocessing programs.

13           Okay. That's interesting, but what do you  
14 do if you don't reprocess, is the bottom line? Our  
15 theory then was, of course, that we were going to have  
16 a viable Yucca Mountain, and we got laws passed that  
17 said you'd have a mill per kilowatt hour to pay for  
18 that, and when this policy was rolled out, we had--DOE  
19 rolled it out, and it was seven or so DOE people and  
20 one State Department person.

21           So that happened 25 years ago, and of  
22 course we have above-ground storage. I'm not--if you  
23 rank the problems with nuclear power, I believe they  
24 are first, proliferation, second, safety, and third,  
25 waste.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Now if you want to get rid of nuclear  
2 power--now where do I come out in this today, 35  
3 years? I've gone on to do other things. Where do I  
4 come out today is that--I do want to talk about  
5 process. I'm not going to give any bottom lines here.

6 But I think a process has to somehow use  
7 quantification, and one of my favorite units is deaths  
8 per kilowatt hour. So if you're promoting something,  
9 and you've always got to consider the opposite. If we  
10 don't do A, we've got to do B.

11 Well, why don't you do a simple  
12 calculation, that if you really want to save lives, do  
13 that calculation.

14 Now if we want to get rid of nuclear power  
15 and you're really a good moral person, you'd say,  
16 well, I want to save lives.

17 Well, then you come to a very interesting  
18 situation. Should we shut them down near large  
19 cities, first, or--I know there's a seismic fault here  
20 of course--or in small cities, that somehow you have  
21 to rationalize--is this really a local issue, or is it  
22 an international issue?

23 Then you can say, well, let's get rid of  
24 all of nuclear power. Well, now, then that's 20  
25 percent, and remember, we're growing, unfortunately,

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 at one percent or so a year in electrical growth. And  
2 yes, I have photovoltaics on my roof and I've passed  
3 laws having to do with alternates, and written books  
4 on alternates as well.

5 So those aren't going to come on that  
6 fast. So in the transition region, we have this 20  
7 percent, 100 gigawatts, that we've got to do something  
8 with, and I of course hope it'll go away. But the  
9 bottom line, if they all went away, well, I think what  
10 else is there but coal. Natural gas, the price has  
11 gone too high and the supply isn't as large.

12 So the process is that--in conclusion,  
13 please try and quantify as much as you can, in terms  
14 of both costs and deaths per kilowatt hours, and also  
15 consider more than the local. I consider myself more  
16 of an internationalist than a localist, and so I don't  
17 know how I'll be received at the next parties I go to  
18 after saying this. But that's the way I am. Thank  
19 you.

20 MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Mr. Hafemeister.

21 Next, we'll have Duane Waddell. After Mr. Waddell,  
22 we'll have Jeff Lewis, and then Andrew Christie.

23 MR. WADDELL: My name is Duane Waddell. I  
24 live north of Cayucos, Swallow Creek Ranch. Since we,  
25 the public, will be subsidizing this business venture,

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 we have the right to know if it's a feasible, money-  
2 wise investment of taxpayer money. Before you allow  
3 the licensing process to proceed, please furnish us  
4 with the report containing the amount of public funds  
5 already invested in this power plant, from conception  
6 to the current date.

7 Then submit costs for the following  
8 phases. Licensing process. According to the paper,  
9 that was--that will be 85 million. Taking into  
10 consideration the age--taking into consideration the  
11 age of the plant, please submit an overall operational  
12 budget for breakdown, repairs, extra security,  
13 etcetera, for the relicensed 25 years.

14 Waste storage report and cost. How much  
15 storage of waste and cost has occurred to date? What  
16 is the cost and how much will be stored during the 16  
17 years left under the current permit? How much waste  
18 will be generated? How will it be contained and what  
19 cost during the relicense, 125 years and the  
20 additional 2000 monitoring years?

21 Please present a cost sheet showing the  
22 source of funding, federal, state and new taxes  
23 required. After you've completed the budget report  
24 covering all the above, please submit an analysis  
25 report comparing the cost of relicensing and operating

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 the nuclear plant, and an alternative wind/solar  
2 project that would utilize the PG&E coastal property  
3 and the areas below, above, and near the existing  
4 infrastructure, power lines that stretch from the sea  
5 for over a 100 miles inland.

6 I feel such a safe nonlegal approach will  
7 be a much wiser and cost-effective development,  
8 especially when the long-term waste containment is  
9 factored in.

10 Please send copies of these reports to the  
11 San Luis Board of Supervisors, and any other parties,  
12 interested parties requesting them.

13 in the ad that you submitted, it said  
14 issues that should be considered as part of NRC's  
15 environmental review of the license application for  
16 Diablo Nuclear Power Plant. I think that should say  
17 issues that will be considered. Thank you.

18 MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Mr. Waddell.  
19 Next we have Jeff Lewis. Then we'll have Andrew  
20 Christie, and after that we'll have Richard Krenzdorf.

21 MR. LEWIS: Good afternoon. My name is  
22 Jeff Lewis, and I live in Los Osos. Before I get  
23 started, I'd like to state that I am a retired PG&E  
24 employee, and I mention that only because when I  
25 worked for the company, it was my job to speak to the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 public and the media on behalf of PG&E and Diablo  
2 Canyon.

3 But I want to make it clear that I no  
4 longer speak on behalf of the company and that I'm  
5 here representing myself, and I hope some other people  
6 in this community.

7 I'd like to thank the NRC for being here  
8 and listening to us today.

9 I made a couple a notes on things I heard,  
10 that I wasn't going to mention. You know, as far as  
11 the used fuel issue, the waste goes, I'd like to have  
12 some consideration given to reprocessing, because  
13 ultimately--I mean, the rest of the world is going  
14 this successfully and it certainly would be a solution  
15 to on-site storage which, for the interim, is more  
16 than adequate.

17 The other point I've heard, and I kind of  
18 agree with, is that I don't see an advantage to  
19 delaying relicensing to conduct seismic studies,  
20 because there is an ongoing, robust, seismic studies  
21 program that PG&E is required to maintain, and it's  
22 not some 20-year-old report sitting on a shelf in a  
23 three-ringer binder. It's a very dynamic process.  
24 And seismic issues should be looked at when they  
25 arise, and that's what's happens now.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1           The notion that I'd like to suggest,  
2 overall, for the environmental studies related to  
3 relicensing, is pretty simple. Be reasonable and  
4 fair. There's a vast amount of evidence, in many  
5 studies, which show that PG&E, and its employees, have  
6 been good stewards of the land and waters around the  
7 power plant.

8           And they should be required to continue  
9 that as part of relicensing. As some people know,  
10 some of the most beautiful and pristine tide pools  
11 that can be found anywhere, exist around Diablo  
12 Canyon, and it's because of restrictions on public  
13 access and the efforts of PG&E.

14           Those healthy tide pools also help show  
15 that the surrounding environment is flourishing. The  
16 actions taken by PG&E to preserve and improve the  
17 native vegetation and natural wildlife out there could  
18 fill a book, if not more. So I would say please don't  
19 require any unnecessary, redundant type of studies  
20 that may be put forth.

21           The water around the plant is clean, with  
22 healthy sea life. I watched, on more than one  
23 occasion, humpback whales feeding in front of the  
24 plant for hours, along with seals, dolphins, sea  
25 gulls, pelicans.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 But there is much more than anecdotal  
2 evidence. There are volumes of existing documentation  
3 and science, showing that any impacts on the  
4 environment are minimal.

5 In fact, there's just no way to squeeze  
6 electricity out of Mother Nature without some kind of  
7 impact on the environment. The question is what can  
8 we reasonably do to manage those impacts. Wind power  
9 kills countless birds, solar produces hazardous waste  
10 materials, and both technologies take up lots of land.

11 But people still support those green  
12 technologies. Nuclear is a green technology that  
13 produces huge amounts of emission-free baseload power  
14 24 hours a day, and I believe that most people want  
15 that.

16 And finally, please look at and consider,  
17 and weigh, those beneficial effects of providing clean  
18 energy for 3 million California residents. And where  
19 would you get that clean energy, if Diablo were to  
20 shut down prematurely?

21 And consider the fact that PG&E is  
22 preserving for the future thousands of acres of land  
23 and miles of coastline out there, in what amounts to a  
24 nature preserve. Thank you.

25 MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Mr. Lewis. Next

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 we'll have Andrew Christie. After that, we'll have  
2 Richard Krenzdorf, and then Ermina Karim.

3 MR. CHRISTIE: Good afternoon. I'm Andrew  
4 Christie. I'm the director of the Santa Lucia chapter  
5 of the Sierra Club. In its Applicant's environmental  
6 report, operating license renewal stage, PG&E says the  
7 following of a marine environmental monitoring  
8 conducted in the vicinity of the power plant since the  
9 mid 1970's.

10 Quote. Various analysis reports have been  
11 consistent in their conclusions, that biological  
12 effects of the discharge are mainly confined to Diablo  
13 Cove, and diminished with both depth and distance from  
14 the point of discharge. End quote.

15 This statement might have been excusable  
16 prior to plant start-up, when PG&E dramatically  
17 underestimated the plant's impacts on the marine  
18 environment, but there is no excuse for it now. The  
19 Department of Fish & Game, in terms of PG&E's  
20 assertion of minimal, narrow, geographically-limited  
21 impacts, has directly refuted that statement.

22 They stated, quote: Overall, the effects  
23 of the discharge include loss and degradation of  
24 habitat, decreases in several species diversity and  
25 intensity, and loss of entire species. It has been

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 shown that the effects continue to expand beyond  
2 Diablo Cove and are greater than predicted.

3 The discharge does not provide for the  
4 protection or propagation of species, and does not  
5 provide habitat suitable for indigenous species. End  
6 quote.

7 Per the Safe Energy Communication Council  
8 and the Nuclear Information and Resource Service,  
9 reading excerpts from their report, quote: PG&E's  
10 original thermal plume pollution predictions were  
11 literally off by more than a mile, significantly  
12 affecting an additional area, 4.2 miles to the north  
13 of the reactor. Where the utility's prediction prior  
14 to operation had placed an area 3/10ths of a mile  
15 around Diablo Cove at uncertain risk from thermal  
16 pollution, the actual impacts from the reactors amount  
17 to 1.4 miles of nearly complete loss of habitat in the  
18 intertidal zone.

19 Summing up Diablo's effect on this once-  
20 vital densely-inhabited marine habitat, Michael  
21 Thomas, the regional water board manager for the  
22 Diablo Canyon studies said, quote: It's essentially  
23 bare rock. End quote.

24 Diablo Canyon's operators were discovered  
25 to have withheld information from environmental

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 regulators for two decades, revealing the true effect  
2 of the reactor's hot water discharges. The concealed  
3 data included infrared images, indicating more  
4 extensive thermal plume concealed in PET zones than  
5 previously admitted, and time series photographs  
6 showing the progressive deterioration of biologically  
7 important habitat in marine coastal waters around the  
8 reactor. The damage was catastrophic to the  
9 indigenous marine life community, including the near  
10 obliteration of the already-threatened black-and-red  
11 abalone populations.

12 PG&E was required to reanalyze the effects  
13 through an independent review, and settled with the  
14 State of California for \$14 million, a fine seven  
15 times higher than any fine ever leveled by the Nuclear  
16 Regulatory Commission for any violation. End quote.

17 It is a matter of concern, that more than  
18 ten years after these findings and events, PG&E, in  
19 its environmental report, accompanying its license  
20 renewal application, is still attempting to minimize  
21 the effects on the marine environment of its nuclear  
22 power plant.

23 In preparing its Category 2 site-specific  
24 assessment, the NRC should be mindful of this history,  
25 and in your consultations with U.S. Fish & Wildlife,

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 and the National Marine Fisheries Service, ascertain  
2 what PG&E's obligations are under the Endangered  
3 Species Act, as per the power plant's impacts on the  
4 black abalone, which is now, as of 2009, on the  
5 Endangered Species list.

6 Mitigations for all of the plant's impacts  
7 must fully mitigate impacts of the past, the present,  
8 and the future. Thank you.

9 MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Mr. Christie.  
10 Richard Krenzdorf. After that, we'll have Ermina  
11 Karim. And after that we'll have Mr. Ed Waage.

12 MR. KRENZDORF: Thank you so much. I'm  
13 actually going to speak about--well, every time the  
14 NRC comes to town, I talk about one subject, and I get  
15 a thank you very much, and it goes into the vertical  
16 file.

17 I'm pleased--I'm a "happy camper" this  
18 afternoon because there's been more people speaking on  
19 the issue of safety, and specifically on the emergency  
20 plan, than I think I've heard in many years.

21 People who have serious questions with the  
22 present setup, such as Jane Swanson who spoke, David  
23 Weisman who spoke. People who said everything's fine.

24 The police person who spoke some time ago, the fire  
25 person who spoke some time ago say not to worry.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           And so I'm very pleased individual, to see  
2 this subject being talked about at some length. We're  
3 dealing today with scoping, what should be in, as the  
4 NRC looks through the wealth of material. What should  
5 they be looking at? And yes, within safety, the issue  
6 of the emergency plan has, like the phoenix, risen,  
7 and I would hope the NRC would take a lot of time.

8           When I come to speak, when the NRC comes  
9 here, I speak about the adequacy of our emergency  
10 plan. Well, we heard people say that the on-site--I  
11 think PG&E said the on-site plan works just fine.  
12 Maybe so.

13           The police and fire people say it works  
14 just fine. Maybe so. Though they don't know any more  
15 than I do. Why? Because drills, including the public,  
16 have never been held. I've been an employee, 25 years  
17 or more, at Cal Poly. We have 20,000 people there on  
18 Monday through Friday, and over those 25 years, and  
19 more, zero has been tested, to find out the adequacy  
20 of the plan.

21           In Paso Robles, a year or so ago, one or  
22 two people died from an earthquake. In San Luis  
23 Obispo, we realized the necessity of upleveling, and  
24 therefore the retrofit program is going on.

25           And then there are the events around the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 world, most recently in Chile, and then in China, and  
2 so on and so forth. But we remain dumbstruck in terms  
3 of making sure that we have an emergency plan that's  
4 worth the paper that it's been written on.

5 And so I ask the NRC to make it as a  
6 condition of going ahead, one of many, the condition  
7 that full tests of the emergency plan involving  
8 individuals, and not just officialdom, be held at my  
9 university, in different communities in the county.  
10 Otherwise, we're blowing smoke, and it's time that we  
11 find out, in the event of an emergency--the odds are  
12 against it--but the whole idea is what happens if it's  
13 needed, what kind of program do we have?

14 And that we don't find out some time in  
15 the future--whoops--sorry we didn't conduct that, we  
16 didn't realize. And so I want to emphasize again,  
17 that the NRC, in its scoping, look at the adequacy of  
18 the emergency plan, not just on paper, not just for  
19 officialdom coming in here, but actually testing it.  
20 Schools, hospitals, etcetera, etcetera. Without that,  
21 as I said before, we're simply blowing smoke.

22 Thank you so much for giving me the time  
23 to speak to you this afternoon.

24 MR. CAMERON: Thank you. Thank you, Mr.  
25 Krenzdorf. Ermina Karim.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1 MS. KARIM: Hi. Good morning. Or good  
2 afternoon. Sorry. Ermina Karim. I'm here today  
3 representing the San Luis Obispo Chamber of Commerce  
4 and our roughly 1500 small business members within the  
5 region. I'd like to thank the Nuclear Regulatory  
6 Commission for the opportunity to provide input today.

7 The San Luis Obispo Chamber of Commerce  
8 believes that our community's quality of life, which  
9 is the basis of our great economic prosperity, is  
10 predicated upon, among other things, our clean air and  
11 water, our healthy and balanced economy, our excellent  
12 schools, and of course as a mother of a 4-year-old,  
13 our great personal safety.

14 But better speakers than I have already  
15 addressed safety in schools, so I'll focus my comments  
16 on the environment and economy.

17 Our Chamber is very focused on the urgent  
18 need to reduce fossil fuel consumption, minimize our  
19 community's GHG emissions, and are very active in  
20 promoting business involvement in energy and resource  
21 conservation. To this end, PG&E has been a tremendous  
22 community partner in this effort.

23 For this reason, we would like to better  
24 understand the air quality emissions that would result  
25 from replacement power. And like many other speakers

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 today, we are focused on the economic impact that  
2 Diablo Canyon has on our community in the way of  
3 taxes, direct and indirect employment, and of course  
4 considerable charitable activities that the plant  
5 currently has on our local communities.

6 We look forward to better understanding  
7 the social, environmental, and economic impacts, and  
8 we thank you again for the opportunity to comment.

9 MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Ms. Karim. Next  
10 we'll have Ed Waage. I'm sorry. I'm probably not  
11 getting that right.

12 MR. WAAGE: It's "Waggee."

13 MR. CAMERON: "Waggee." Thank you. After  
14 that, we'll have Shelly Higginbotham and then Joe  
15 Boysen.

16 MR. WAAGE: Good afternoon. I'm Ed Waage.  
17 I'm also on the city council for Pismo Beach but I am  
18 speaking on my own behalf. I'd like to touch on three  
19 benefits of Diablo Canyon to our local community and  
20 to the State of California. The first is it does  
21 complement California's push for solar power. I  
22 installed solar panels on my rooftop about two and a  
23 half years ago, and at nightttime, the sun sets and I  
24 have no solar power. I rely on, for example, baseload  
25 power, like Diablo Canyon, for my nightttime

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 electricity. During the daytime, solar provides  
2 additional energy when we have the peak load times  
3 during the day. So it's a nice complement between  
4 nuclear and solar.

5 Especially in 2024, when the current  
6 license is up, we will need even more baseload to take  
7 care of the nighttime, when there is no solar power  
8 available.

9 Also the community is much better  
10 prepared, as several others have mentioned, because of  
11 Diablo Canyon. I think especially our own city is  
12 much better prepared, because of additional planning  
13 and preparedness that we've undertaken for Diablo  
14 Canyon.

15 Also, our local economy is much better off  
16 from Diablo Canyon. There's large numbers of very  
17 well-paying jobs as well as additional stays in our  
18 hotels, and people dining in our restaurants during  
19 outages.

20 I would ask also that you do not delay the  
21 licensing process because of the newly-found  
22 earthquake fault. I think those two processes can go  
23 forward in parallel.

24 And finally, on the issue of reprocessing,  
25 even Energy Secretary Chu has stated that reprocessing

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 is something we should look at in the future, and I  
2 know France and Japan are also doing that. It's  
3 probably the best, the very best solution. Thank you.

4 MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Mr. Waage. Next  
5 we have Shelly Higginbotham. After that, we'll go to-  
6 -we just got a new one, Ms. Lawver. And then the last  
7 speaker we'll have is Mr. Boysen.

8 MS. HIGGINBOTHAM: Good afternoon. I'm  
9 Shelly Higginbotham. Thank you for the opportunity to  
10 speak. When considering the relicensing, I'd like you  
11 to consider these comments. The 20 year record of  
12 Diablo Canyon. I've lived in the community for 30  
13 years. I've raised my children here. I live  
14 downwind. So I'd like you to consider the 20 year  
15 record. The catastrophic implications, economically,  
16 should the plant case to exist, and they've already  
17 been addressed here, from the employment base, the  
18 school districts, the tax base, and it's just not  
19 coastal, it affects Lucia Mar, and it affects Paso  
20 Robles, and we just read about that in the paper  
21 today, and we'd be very naive not to consider the  
22 economics of this plant.

23 The benefits of having inexpensive and  
24 reliable power, that we all enjoy every single day.  
25 And how will we substitute that power of 3 million

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 homes? How do we substitute that in a short amount of  
2 time? Please consider that.

3 I am hopeful that you will allow the  
4 relicensing process to continue while the seismic  
5 investigations are continuing. They should be  
6 parallel. And there was a comment, a few minutes ago,  
7 from a gentleman about safety drills, and in Pismo  
8 Beach, I did participate with our emergency  
9 operations, and I can assure you that they are an  
10 ongoing process with Cal Fire, with Diablo Canyon,  
11 with all of the emergency personnel, in coordination  
12 with the schools, and we have put our whole Public  
13 Works Department online, and they've gone to  
14 evacuation sites, and it is rehearsed, it is  
15 practiced, and it is constantly ongoing, and we are  
16 hyper-vigilant in that. So thank you very much.

17 MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Ms. Higginbotham.

18 Next we're going to have Ms. Lawver, and then Mr.  
19 Boysen. We're going to finish up with Mr. Boysen.  
20 After Mr. Boysen, Dave Wrona from NRC is going to sum  
21 up for us.

22 Ms. Lawver. I hope I'm getting that name  
23 correct too.

24 MS. LAWVER: Perfectly. M.C. Lawver,  
25 citizen, resident, San Luis Obispo County. I came

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 here today speaking, regarding license renewal. It  
2 reminds me of us objectors to the nuclear chain in the  
3 industry, and Diablo Nuclear Station, that we opposed  
4 licensing in the beginning. We remember Hoskins and  
5 Griffith, Hosgri Fault namers, United States  
6 Geological Survey, Union of Concerned Scientists as  
7 against Diablo as a site of seismic concern.

8 The NRC, Public Utilities Commission,  
9 Council of Governments, and PG&E, go along forming  
10 thermally-intense fission products, appropriately  
11 three miles from the Hosgri Fault on the Ring of Fire,  
12 till today.

13 As a person who does oppose licensing  
14 renewal, I'd like to speak to the fact that one 1000  
15 megawatt reactor, such as Diablo, creates 500 pounds  
16 of plutonium per year. Operating two reactors, two  
17 1000 megawatt reactors at that site, on the average,  
18 makes a 1000 pounds of plutonium a year.

19 Since 1984, the nuclear industry, you,  
20 have made approximately 13 tons of plutonium, which  
21 sits on the Ring of Fire, on the Hosgri Fault, and the  
22 new fault.

23 No more plutonium production; no more  
24 license. Promoters in the nuclear industry say that  
25 no deaths result from this ionizing radiation

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 continuum. Objectors and researchers seek  
2 epidemiologists, cancer registries and mortality  
3 records, anything relating to biological results of  
4 environmental exposure.

5 We find agencies and the industry working  
6 together. Who will show documents of true exposure,  
7 mortality results? Please, no license renewal.

8 Please understand, as living souls,  
9 nuclear radiation, as medically contraindicated for  
10 biological units, and life forms. Medically  
11 contraindicated. 24/7, routine releases from a  
12 nuclear facility, low level, high level, however you  
13 want to term it, however you want to quantify it--  
14 rads, curies. Effluent and environmental exposures  
15 result in because for concern. Inform yourselves by  
16 reading Dr. Helen Caldicott, Dr. Rosalie Bertell, Dr.  
17 Sternglass, Harvey Wasserman, Coughman. No license  
18 renewal. End safety concerns. End fission ionizing  
19 radiation products in the nuclear chain.

20 Thank you for allowing public comment. I  
21 appreciate this, part of the democratic process, very  
22 valid in this community, in this society.

23 MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Mr. Lawver. Next  
24 we'll have Mr. Joe Boysen, and we're going to have one  
25 more, Ms. Willow Walking Turtle will speak after Mr.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Boysen. Then we'll go to Dave Wrona.

2 Mr. Boysen.

3 MR. BOYSEN: Thank you. I want to warn  
4 all of you that I'm not ready for primetime. I'm not  
5 an experienced speaker and I'm not very well  
6 organized. My name is Joe Boysen. I live in Sunset  
7 Palisades, which is where I have lived--my wife and I,  
8 Mary, have lived for 22 years with our son Dane. And  
9 I have quite a bit to say. I hope you allow me to say  
10 some of it. I'm going to try to talk faster than I  
11 usually do.

12 And this is kind a going to be jumping  
13 around. I wanted also--I want to admit that I'm no  
14 green, sixties flower child, and I'm not liberal. And  
15 having said all that, I'm very much against this  
16 license extension, and I'm very much against the  
17 continuation of Diablo Canyon, in fact, any of the  
18 other power, nuclear power plants for one moment  
19 longer.

20 So I have a definite--I didn't enter this  
21 with a bias, I don't believe, but I've become  
22 interested over the last few months, and it's been an  
23 interesting experience.

24 For the good of the order, I would like to  
25 suggest that there be future meetings, and that they

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1 be one meeting and not two meetings. I think the  
2 media tends to cover this afternoon meeting, and I'm  
3 very disappointed with the turnout. Last time, the  
4 evening meeting, which the media did not cover, was  
5 much better attended.

6 We feel like we have to go to both  
7 meetings. I think, in some sense, there's a "divide  
8 and conquer" element happening here, which is  
9 certainly not intended. But I think there should be  
10 one evening meeting, which more likely would be one  
11 that could accommodate us, so that as a community,  
12 we're at least together.

13 I want to comment that by my count, I'm  
14 speaker number 29, and I would say that there's been a  
15 sizeable number in favor of, more or less in favor of  
16 PG&E and in favor of Diablo, and so forth, and I do  
17 have the feeling that it was, there was some  
18 orchestration going on here.

19 We've heightened our effort. I tried to  
20 place an ad in the Tribune to stir up some more  
21 attendance, and that ad was heavily censored, I'm  
22 sorry to report.

23 I want to commend Adam Hill for coming  
24 here today and for staying as long as he did. One of  
25 the gentlemen said that he was going to protest that.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Well, the other side of the coin, I'm very thrilled  
2 by that and by the congresswoman's letter.

3 On this question of nuclear power, these  
4 nuclear plants are unsafe, under any circumstances.  
5 We are playing Russian roulette with Murphy's Law.  
6 This is the most dangerous technology in human  
7 history.

8 In our case, we're playing Russian  
9 roulette with Mother Nature. We live where we live.  
10 A history of tidal waves, of tsunamis. 1878 wiped out  
11 Avalon. 1907 destroyed the power plant where I live  
12 today. These, and other instances, which doubtless  
13 are available to one who studies such things.

14 I won't even mention the earthquake thing.  
15 You know, it would seem like this is--we don't even  
16 need to have this meeting after what happened in  
17 Chile. I would say that of the speakers here, many of  
18 them were people--this is a county that has a lot of  
19 government employees, and retired government  
20 employees, and I think that the NRC should take that  
21 into account. And there are people who--and by the  
22 way, in all these things, the good people, good people  
23 at the Tribune, good people at Diablo, good people on  
24 the NRC, good people on the Safety Committee.

25 So none of these comments are directed at

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 any of those individuals, and it's a question of  
2 disagreement.

3 This earthquake business. The tsunamis.  
4 Spent fuel is forever. We're funding something on a  
5 completely insane basis of--we're creating something  
6 far into the future which we don't know how to handle.

7 This business about the 20 percent  
8 argument. We're using power, way too much power, that  
9 we don't need anyway, and we're doing it to fuel a  
10 runaway growth situation where we have 300 million  
11 people instead of maybe the 240 million which, at  
12 most, we should have. And if we didn't have those  
13 extra people--and those of us who have been fighting  
14 growth--we wouldn't have those people, and close our  
15 borders, and so forth--we wouldn't have the power  
16 need.

17 Diablo funds excessive growth. The  
18 alternatives. There's plenty of alternatives, and I  
19 want to point out in this business of global warming  
20 and the carbon argument, there's two different  
21 arguments there, whether global warming's occurring or  
22 not and what role carbon has in it.

23 And there's a small body of scientists who  
24 are routinely shut off. There's a recent movie on  
25 this on Sundance channel, who maintained that the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 carbon argument is not what's causing the global  
2 warming, since the carbonization has been going on  
3 heavily since 1940, and this warming didn't occur  
4 until after that.

5 Rich PG&E. We're the ones who are rich.  
6 We're the sovereigns. We're the land owners here.  
7 PG&E is rich because they've gotten it from us. We  
8 don't have to adopt a war economy, or a broken glass  
9 economy, or employ our daughters as streetwalkers in  
10 order to be able to have a future. We're the rich  
11 ones, not PG&E, so we oughtn't go hat in hand to PG&E.

12 Of course they've been good citizens.  
13 They're good people. What would you expect? But it's  
14 our wealth. This "carbon bashing," I've mentioned. I  
15 call it "carbon bashing theology." Jobs argument.  
16 Ditto.

17 We have what we have. In fact,  
18 everybody's "on the ropes." The Tribune's on the  
19 ropes. The Tribune was a strong organization when I  
20 came here in '88. So in what way have they gained  
21 from this wonderful economy? And the man said that he  
22 can barely afford the power he already has. Well, why  
23 is the power so expensive, if everything's so  
24 wonderful? While I'm on it, the fellow who--Walsh, I  
25 guess it was, he made it sound like there wasn't any

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 problem with these, this storage question.

2 Well, if there's no problem, what are we  
3 talking about?

4 MR. CAMERON: Excuse me, Mr. Boysen. If  
5 you could, please just go ahead and summarize.

6 MR. BOYSEN: I'm trying to.

7 MR. CAMERON: Thank you.

8 MR. BOYSEN: I'm trying to. Tax revenue.  
9 And don't be hurrying us. We're the sovereigns here.  
10 There is no hurry. The hurry is coming from the  
11 process. You are our representatives, NRC. You work  
12 for us. You don't work for PG&E.

13 Tax revenue argument. The costly cleanup.  
14 I want to get this--

15 [Clapping]

16 MR. BOYSEN: Good; do it. Costly cleanup.  
17 What kind of a cleanup will it be, if we have to do  
18 it in a negative environment after a disaster has  
19 happened? Think of that. What I'm recommending is  
20 that we decommission all of these plants, but  
21 particularly this one where we live, and that we  
22 dismantle it.

23 The cleanup can occur in an orderly  
24 fashion now. I can't even tell you what it would be  
25 like, if we were in a post-tragic environment. Just a

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 second. There's terrorism. I won't go into that.  
2 But that's an obvious point, that terrorism is so  
3 frequently used as an argument to take more freedoms  
4 from us.

5 I would question the timing of the  
6 application and the degree of orchestration vis-a-vis  
7 this business of the Obama plan to reinvigorate and  
8 build a bunch more on kind of a "dirty '30s" make-work  
9 public employment project. Everybody's using this  
10 jobs situation as an excuse to do these things.

11 The plant wouldn't be legal now--licensed  
12 now. Why extend a license which wouldn't be granted  
13 now? If it weren't safe, they wouldn't be operating.

14 Well, I'll let--one of the speakers said  
15 that. That I hope that this can be evaluated in the  
16 way in which it was said.

17 We have illegal cars, like the Volkswagen.  
18 You don't let them permit--permit them to be  
19 manufactured anymore.

20 What about illegal plants? Shouldn't  
21 there be illegal plants, that you permitted once but  
22 no longer? We have cars that can't be made. You have  
23 to buy an old one. Okay. Well, the same thing should  
24 apply to nuclear technology.

25 Living within our means versus unbridled

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 growth. That goes without saying, and it goes without  
2 saying as far as energy.

3           Reprocessing isn't an answer. The  
4 reprocessing leaves 90 percent. I think there's been  
5 some orchestration here. I mention that--one-legged  
6 birds versus pristine tide pools. One-legged birds.  
7 Where do we see the one-legged birds? Well, maybe  
8 they're all extinct by now, but they used to be down  
9 at--what is it? Fat Cats. The birds down there were  
10 one-legged birds, and that was a result of, you know,  
11 birth defects. I say it--maybe you remember the one-  
12 legged birds. You still seeing the one-legged birds?

13           A government county, tide pools. We are,  
14 again, the sovereigns, and I--we got to keep this  
15 alive. This is not okay. Suppressing ads, and so  
16 forth is not going to be a permanent thing. We're  
17 going to be organized, we're going to be here, and we  
18 want--I want to hear an assurance from you that this  
19 process is going to remain open, and not rush to a  
20 judgment.

21           Again, will you brief the people tonight  
22 on what they missed today? I think that ought to be a  
23 routine part of this, since you have had two meetings,  
24 so that they are acquainted with what was said today.

25           And with that, I thank you. I may eventually have to

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 go to jail over this, but I don't think it will be  
2 today.

3 MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Mr. Boysen. Next  
4 we have Ms. Willow Walking Turtle, and after her, we  
5 will entertain one more, Ms. Tracey Vardas.

6 MS. KELTEY: I have my turtle here, so you  
7 hear a turtle speaking. I dedicate this to all the  
8 turtles who might be out in the ocean, dying of  
9 ionizing radiation in different parts of the world.  
10 Also I want to thank Mr. Boysen. He took a lot of my  
11 ideas, and I'm glad he said them. I stand on a giant,  
12 and I appreciate that.

13 I also dedicate this to my father who's a  
14 vet in the Second World War. He went to Hiroshima and  
15 Nagasaki and "mopped up," what they called "mop-up."  
16 They didn't have any kind of protective gear. He  
17 came home, and about 17 years later, he died of  
18 lymphosarcoma from the exposure that he got at  
19 Nagasaki. Clean up. Mop up.

20 I'm going to give you a poem. You've  
21 probably heard this before. I don't want to stay  
22 long.

23 "Humankind did not move the web of life.  
24 We will remain a strand in that web. Whatever we do to  
25 web, we do to ourself." It's a quote from Chief

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1 Seattle in 1850.

2 I feel that nuclear power violates,  
3 tremendously, the web of life. And Einstein, the  
4 father of relativity, made the statement: Splitting  
5 the atom changed everything, save people's way of  
6 thinking.

7 So I think we all need to start changing  
8 our way of thinking, and not supporting a form of  
9 energy that poisons the whole planet. I thank you,  
10 choose safely.

11 MR. CAMERON: Thank you.

12 Next we have Ms. Tracey Vardas, and then  
13 we'll finish up with Mr. David Wrona.

14 MS. VARDAS: Thank you for allowing me to  
15 speak. My name is Tracey Vardas, and some of you may  
16 have remembered me as working for the San Luis Obispo  
17 County Office of Emergency Services. And I hope that  
18 when I tell you that I'm currently a employee of the  
19 Pacific Gas & Electric Company, that you don't lose  
20 faith in what I have to say to you, because I  
21 partnered with many of you for Mothers For Peace, and  
22 Nuclear Alliance For Energy--sorry, I may have gotten  
23 that one wrong--when we've done our emergency drills  
24 and to provide you some information.

25 But what I'm doing today is talking as a

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 PG&E employee, not a PG&E spokesperson, and also as a  
2 member of the community. I live in Pismo Beach,  
3 again, directly downwind of Diablo Canyon Power Plant,  
4 where I have two--I guess I can't say they're young  
5 anymore, they're 12 and 10; but they're still young.

6 They go to school in the CMR Unified  
7 School District. My husband is a council member for  
8 the city of Pismo Beach. We're highly invested in  
9 this community.

10 What I have to bring to you tonight is the  
11 fact that I have 16 years as an emergency manager  
12 under my belt, at both working--multiple places,  
13 actually.

14 I started with the State Office of  
15 Emergency Services where I dealt directly with  
16 facilities that handle and process hazardous  
17 materials. Those facilities are responsible to report  
18 those materials they have on site, and if they have  
19 the potential to cause harm to human health and the  
20 environment, and if they think that they have that  
21 potential, and if they may have spilled it, then they  
22 have to report that information to the State of  
23 California and to the local certified, unified program  
24 agency.

25 I was directly involved in writing those

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 regulations and implementing them.

2 A lot of times we'd get a question: I had  
3 a spill. Do I have to report it? Well, do you feel  
4 it has the potential to cause harm to human health or  
5 the environment? If they said no, they didn't have to  
6 report it. If it was then found to cause a potential  
7 for human health--harm to human health and the  
8 environment, then they could be fined.

9 But it would come across, if people  
10 happened to discover it, maybe when they went to get a  
11 building permit.

12 What I have found since working with  
13 Diablo Canyon is not only do they have to report when  
14 they feel an earthquake, or if they have spilled  
15 hazardous materials, but they have to report  
16 everything that they do, to protect this population.  
17 They have to drill on that ability to protect this  
18 population, not only within themselves, but also  
19 outside with the community.

20 So that gives me a very strong commitment,  
21 that we have the ability to protect the population of  
22 San Luis Obispo County. I didn't leave the county  
23 Office of Emergency Services because I was unhappy or  
24 because I didn't think things were going well. I left  
25 because I feel that this company, PG&E, has an

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 extremely strong work ethic, and I like their views  
2 and policies on safety.

3 I felt that I could contribute, as an  
4 emergency planner, to Diablo Canyon, to continuing  
5 those policies and those views on this side of the  
6 bench rather than the other side.

7 I'm also very proud of the work that PG&E  
8 does in the community. I have a lot of community  
9 services that I do. I work with Public Health  
10 Department in providing Christmas gifts to local at-  
11 need children. I work on a homeless dinner on  
12 Christmas Day with my family.

13 And one of the things, as soon as I came  
14 on to PG&E, I was given the ability to work with our  
15 employees and our company on those efforts. So they  
16 supported me, in my own personal efforts to work in  
17 the community, by matching what we were doing.

18 So I'm really proud of the work that we do  
19 in this community, and I believe that on a daily  
20 basis, we're looking at our seismic ramifications on  
21 any of the new studies that are out, and of our  
22 environmental impacts, and I believe that we should  
23 continue with relicensing, and that it should be  
24 concurrent to the current studies, and that we will  
25 continue to be a good partner with this community.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Thank you.

2 MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Ms. Vardas.

3 Next we'll have Dave Wrona. Dave, if you  
4 can sort of tell us what you heard.

5 MR. WRONA: I'm not going to summarize  
6 everything we've heard tonight, but I do want to thank  
7 you all for coming out, taking time out of your busy  
8 day to participate in our environmental scoping  
9 process. All of the comments that you've provided us  
10 today will help us define the scope of our  
11 environmental review. The comments will all be  
12 addressed in our Environmental Scoping Summary Report,  
13 which will be publicly available.

14 If you provided us your contact  
15 information on one of those blue or yellow cards, we  
16 will mail you a copy of that report.

17 Just a reminder. Coming up and speaking  
18 here tonight, or providing us with written comments is  
19 not the only way to provide comments to us. If you go  
20 home tonight and a speaker prompted you to come up  
21 with additional information--Drew had the slide up  
22 there with information on mailing comments to us, or  
23 e-mailing comments to us. We'll take those comments  
24 until April 12th.

25 This isn't the last time that you'll be

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 able to comment on the environmental review process.  
2 You'll be able to see how we've, besides addressed  
3 those comments, how we've analyzed those things in our  
4 Environmental Impact Statement. We'll publish a draft  
5 around the October timeframe, and we'll be out here  
6 again in December, asking again for your comments on  
7 our draft Environmental Impact Statement.

8 It'll be a meeting very similar to this.

9 And with that, I'd just like to thank you  
10 all again for taking the time, and providing us with  
11 some very thoughtful and detailed comments.

12 [Whereupon, at 4:20 p.m., the  
13 public meeting was concluded.]  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701