

Minor fault in trench T-1 juxtaposing friable sandstone (Tof_{b-2a}) on left against dolomite (Tof_{b-1}). Photo roll JL B-2.

FSAR UPDATE
DIABLO CANYON ISFSI
FIGURE 2.6-28 MINOR FAULT IN TRENCH T-1



Explanation

- General range in strike of zone of minor faults.
- Rake of slickenside on fault plane of minor faults

Equal-angle lower hemisphere plot.

FSAR UPDATE

DIABLO CANYON ISFSI

FIGURE 2.6-29
COMPARISON OF ORIENTATIONS OF MINOR FAULTS AND FOLDS IN THE ISFSI STUDY AREA WITH OTHER STRUCTURES



Northward view of Diablo Creek Road cut showing steeply dipping minor faults in dolomite of unit Tof_{b-1}. Slickensides and mullions on the fault plane indicate primarily strike-slip displacement, but bedding also suggests a component of down-to-the- east vertical separation of approximately 3 to 6 feet. These faults are located along projection of faults exposed in trenches at the ISFSI, approximately 800 feet to the southeast, that have similar strike and slickenside/mullion rakes. Photo roll JLB 5/16-1.

FSAR UPDATE
DIABLO CANYON ISFSI
FIGURE 2.6-30 MINOR FAULTS ALONG DIABLO CREEK ROAD



1968 stereo air photos (2777; 2808-1 and 2808-2) of ISFSI study area prior to the 1971 excavation of the borrow site. Diablo Creek traverses the upper (northern) part of the photo. Trenches for the power block are evident in the lower left. The road that follows the ridge crest in center of photo was removed during 1971 excavation of the borrow area. No features indicating deep seated landslides are present at the site; large landslides are evident to the east, however. The small landslide south of the word "swale" is shallow and was removed in the 1971 excavations. See Figure 2.6-7 for unit descriptions. To view with a stereoscope, fold and adjust the photos as necessary.



FSAR UPDATE

DIABLO CANYON ISFSI

FIGURE 2.6-31
1968 AERIAL STEREO PHOTOGRAPHY
OF ISFSI STUDY AREA



Explanation

Footprint of 500-kV tower

Outline of ISFSI pads (subgrade at el. 302') and CTF (subgrade at about el. 286' and 296'.)

Proposed cutslope above and fillslope to the west of ISFSI pads

DOLOMITE UNIT

Tofb-1 — Dolomite, clayey dolomite, dolomitic siltstone to fine-grained dolomitic sandstone, and limestone. The unit contains occasional discontinuous to continuous (tens to hundreds of feet) clay beds that are generally 1/32- to 1/2-inch thick, but locally are thicker. Rocks in this unit are moderately to well cemented, moderately hard to hard, moderately to slightly weathered, brittle and typically medium strong.

Tofb-1a — Friable dolomite and dolomitic siltstone of unit Tofb-1. These rocks typically have low hardness, are very weak to weak, and occur as discontinuous zones where weathering and/or alteration has been concentrated. Inferred lateral extent of friable zones is schematic.

SANDSTONE UNIT

Tofb-2 — Fine to coarse-grained dolomitic sandstone and sandstone (arkosic to arenitic) with lesser dolomite beds. Detrital clasts are composed primarily of dolomitized feldspars, marine fossil fragments, and volcanic rock fragments. Discontinuous clay beds that are generally less than 1/2-inch thick occur locally within the unit. The rocks are of low to medium hardness, moderately to well cemented and typically medium strong.

Tofb-2a — Friable sandstone of unit Tofb-2. These rocks typically are of low hardness are very weak to weak, and occur as discontinuous zones where weathering and/or alteration has been concentrated. Inferred lateral extent of friable zones is schematic.

Friable bedrock expected within 5 feet below ISFSI pads subgrade

2 — Clay bed, approximate dip indicated

Clay bed expected within 5 feet below ISFSI pads subgrade

Note: Projection of lithologic units, clay beds, and faults are based on surface mapping and borings, and are considered approximate

N

0 50 100 150 200

Contour interval = 5 feet

FSAR UPDATE

DIABLO CANYON ISFSI

FIGURE 2.6-32
GEOLOGY OF ISFSI AND CTF SITES AT PROPOSED FINAL GRADES

Revision 0 June 2004

Borings 98BA-1 and 98BA-4



Note: Average velocity profiles interpreted from data

R1 - R2 = Receiver-to-receiver velocity (3.3-foot spacing)
S-R1 = Source-to-receiver velocity (10.3-foot spacing)

Boring 98BA-3



Modified from GEOVision (1998), in William Lettis & Assoc. Inc., 2001, DCPP ISFSI Data Report C.

FSAR UPDATE

DIABLO CANYON ISFSI

FIGURE 2.6-33
ISFSI SITE SUSPENSION LOGS AND INTERPRETED AVERAGE SEISMIC VELOCITIES



Explanation

Percent recovery of core run

Rock Quality Designation of core run

Geologic contact

3.0" 3.0-inch NX wireline coring

Shear wave (Vs) velocity

Compression wave (Vp) velocity

Note: Boring logged in 1977 by D.W. Frames.
Casing used for the upper 18.5 feet.
Downhole logging performed by Bruce Redpath.

Velocity profile from PG&E, 1989, Response to NRC Question 19 dated December 13, 1988.

FSAR UPDATE

DIABLO CANYON ISFSI

FIGURE 2.6-34
SUMMARY LOG OF
1977 POWER BLOCK BORING DDH-D



FSAR UPDATE

DIABLO CANYON ISFSI

FIGURE 2.6-35
COMPARISON OF SEISMIC SHEAR-WAVE VELOCITIES AT THE POWER BLOCK AND ISFSI SITES

Northeast view of the horseshoe curve along the transport route and the Patton Cove landslide. The Patton Cove landslide crosses the width of the cove in the center, and its headscarp encroaches on the existing roadway. The transport route is aligned north of the existing road to avoid the landslide. Photo roll JLB-AR-3.

FSAR UPDATE
DIABLO CANYON ISFSI
FIGURE 2.6-36 TRANSPORT ROUTE NEAR PATTON COVE LANDSLIDE



A. Topple hazard (moderate hazard)

Explanation

Poles

Joint

Bedding

Failure envelope (based on 28 friction angle)

Failure envelope for topple and planar sliding without poles indicates stable conditions.

Failure envelope for wedge sliding without great circle intersections indicates stable conditions.



B. Planar sliding hazard (low hazard)



C. Wedge sliding hazard (very low hazard)

Notes

Analysis performed using computer program DIPS (Rocscience, 1999, DIPS: Plotting analysis, and presentation of structural data using spherical projection techniques, version 5.041, Toronto, 86p).

Fracture data from stations 38+00 to 45+00 applied to north-trending cutslope above Reservoir Road from stations 43+00 to 46+00.

FSAR UPDATE

DIABLO CANYON ISFSI

FIGURE 2.6-37
KINEMATIC ANALYSES OF NORTH-TRENDING CUTSLOPE OF TRANSPORT ROUTE (STATIONS 43+00 TO 46+00



A. Topple hazard (low hazard)

Explanation

Poles

Joint

Bedding

Failure envelope (based on 28 friction angle)

Failure envelope for topple and planar sliding without poles indicates stable conditions.

Failure envelope for wedge sliding without great circle intersections indicates stable conditions.



B. Planar sliding hazard (very low hazard)



C. Wedge sliding hazard (very low hazard)

Notes

Analysis performed using computer program DIPS (Rocscience, 1999, DIPS: Plotting analysis, and presentation of structural data using spherical projection techniques, version 5.041, Toronto, 86p).

Fracture data from stations 38+00 to 45+00 applied to northwest-trending cutslope above Reservoir Road from stations 35+00 to 43+00.

FSAR UPDATE

DIABLO CANYON ISFSI

FIGURE 2.6-38
KINEMATIC ANALYSES OF NORTHWEST-TRENDING CUTSLOPE OF TRANSPORT ROUTE (STATIONS 35+00 TO 43+00



Explanation

- Event location that is poorly constrained, year of event indicated
- Event location that is within 20 km and generally within 10 km, year of event indicated
- ISFSI Site

EB Estero Bay
PA Point Arguello
PS Point Sal
SLB Santa Lucia Bank area
SLR Santa Lucia Range
SS Town of San Simeon

Magnitudes
- 5.0 - 5.9
- 6.0 - 6.9
- 7.0 - 7.9

A. Historical earthquakes of magnitude 5 and greater since 1830 (PG&E, Final Report of the Diablo Canyon Long Term Seismic Program, 1988.)



B. Instrumentally recorded seismicity from 1973 through September 1987 (PG&E, Final Report of the Diablo Canyon Long Term Seismic Program, 1988.)

FSAR UPDATE

DIABLO CANYON ISFSI

FIGURE 2.6-39
HISTORICAL EARTHQUAKES OF MAGNITUDE 5 AND GREATER SINCE 1830 AND INSTRUMENTALLY RECORDED SEISMICITY FROM 1973 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 1987



(From M.K. Mc Laren and W.U. Savage, Seismicity of south-central coastal California, October 1987 through January 1997, Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, in press)

FSAR UPDATE

DIABLO CANYON ISFSI

FIGURE 2.6-40
QUATERNARY FAULTS AND SEISMICITY FROM OCTOBER 1987 THROUGH JANUARY 1997



(From M.K. Mc Laren and W.U. Savage, Seismicity of south-central coastal California, October 1987 through January 1997, Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, in press)

FSAR UPDATE

DIABLO CANYON ISFSI

FIGURE 2.6-41
SEISMICITY CROSS SECTION A-A' THROUGH D-D' FOR EARTHQUAKES FROM OCTOBER 1987 THROUGH JANUARY 1997



(From M.K. Mc Laren and W.U. Savage, Seismicity of south-central coastal California, October 1987 through January 1997, Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, in press)

FSAR UPDATE

DIABLO CANYON ISFSI

FIGURE 2.6-42
LOWER HEMISPHERE, P-WAVE, FIRST-MOTION FOCAL MECHANISM PLOTS OF EARTHQUAKES FROM OCTOBER 1987 THROUGH JANUARY 1997



FSAR UPDATE

DIABLO CANYON ISFSI

FIGURE 2.6-43
DDE, HOSGRI, AND LTSP
HORIZONTAL SPECTRA



FSAR UPDATE

DIABLO CANYON ISFSI

FIGURE 2.6-44
DDE, HOSGRI, AND LTSP
VERTICAL SPECTRA



FSAR UPDATE

DIABLO CANYON ISFSI

FIGURE 2.6-45
ILP HORIZONTAL SPECTRA



FSAR UPDATE

DIABLO CANYON ISFSI

FIGURE 2.6-46
ILP VERTICAL SPECTRUM



Notes

1. Location of cross section shown on Figures 2.6-7 and 2.6-8. Nearby borings are projected to cross section.
2. See Figure 2.6-9 for explanation of geologic units.
3. Horizontal scale = vertical scale.

FSAR UPDATE

DIABLO CANYON ISFSI

FIGURE 2.6-47
SLIDE MASS MODEL 1

Revision 0 June 2004



FSAR UPDATE

DIABLO CANYON ISFSI

FIGURE 2.6-48
SLIDE MASS MODEL 2

Revision 0 June 2004



Notes
1. Location of cross section shown on Figures 2.6-7 and 2.6-8. Nearby borings are projected to cross section.
2. See Figure 2.6-9 for explanation of geologic units.
3. Horizontal scale = vertical scale.

FSAR UPDATE

DIABLO CANYON ISFSI

FIGURE 2.6-49
SLIDE MASS MODEL 3



Data from William Lettis & Associates, 2001, Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report G, Soil Laboratory Test Data, Cooper Testing Laboratory

FSAR UPDATE

DIABLO CANYON ISFSI

FIGURE 2.6-50
DESIGN UNDRAINED STRENGTH OF CLAY BEDS



EXPLANATION

▲ Triaxial compression tests: consolidated undrained

◆ Direct shear tests: drained monotonic loading

— Effective friction angle (') = 22 deg, c' = 0 psf

Data from William Lettis & Associates, 2001, Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report G, Soil Laboratory Test Data, Cooper Testing Laboratory

FSAR UPDATE

DIABLO CANYON ISFSI

FIGURE 2.6-51
DESIGN DRAINED STRENGTH OF CLAY BEDS



16û

Tangent line drawn tangent to the curve at the midpoint of normal stress range (0 to 0.15 MPa).

Data from William Lettis & Associates, Inc. (2001) Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Reports I, Rock Laboratory Test Data (GeoTest Unlimited) and H, Rock Strength Data and GSI Sheets

FSAR UPDATE

DIABLO CANYON ISFSI

FIGURE 2.6-52
RANGE OF SHEAR STRENGTHS FOR IN SITU DOLOMITE AND SANDSTONE ROCK JOINTS USING THE BARTON-CHOUBEY METHOD



Lower bound
Upper bound
Mean
50 degrees

Note: Upper and lower bounds represent one standard deviation above and below the mean, respectively.

FSAR UPDATE

DIABLO CANYON ISFSI

FIGURE 2.6-53
COMPARISON OF HOEK-BROWN ENVELOPE FOR DOLOMITE WITH DESIGN STRENGTH OF 50 DEGREES



Note: Upper and lower bounds represent one standard deviation above and below the mean, respectively.

FSAR UPDATE

DIABLO CANYON ISFSI

FIGURE 2.6-54
COMPARISON OF HOEK-BROWN ENVELOPE FOR SANDSTONE WITH DESIGN STRENGTH OF 50 DEGREES



EXPLANATION

- ● Multi-stage triaxial tests with pore-water pressure measurements (pad + slope)
- ▲ Multi-stage triaxial tests without pore-water presure measurements (pad + slope)
- ◘ Multi-stage triaxial tests without pore-water pressure measurements from boring (00BA-2)

Data from William Lettis & Associates, 2001, Diablo Canyon ISFSI Data Report G, Soil Laboratory Test Data, Cooper Testing Laboratory

FSAR UPDATE

DIABLO CANYON ISFSI

FIGURE 2.6-55
TOTAL STRENGTH ANALYSIS OF FRIABLE SANDSTONE BASED ON TRIAXIAL TESTS



FSAR UPDATE
DIABLO CANYON ISFSI
FIGURE 2.6-56 POTENTIAL SLIDING MASSES AND NODE POINTS FOR COMPUTED ACCELERATION TIME HISTORIES



A. Topple hazard (low hazard)

Explanation

Poles

Bedding

Fault

Joint

Failure envelope (based on 28 friction angle)

Failure envelope for topple and planar sliding without poles indicates stable conditions.

Failure envelope for wedge sliding without great circle intersections indicates stable conditions.



B. Planar sliding hazard (moderate to high hazard)



C. Wedge sliding hazard (moderate to high hazard)

Notes

Analysis performed using computer program DIPS (Rocscience, 1999, DIPS: Plotting analysis, and presentation of structural data using spherical projection techniques, version 5.041, Toronto, 86p).

FSAR UPDATE

DIABLO CANYON ISFSI

FIGURE 2.6-57
KINEMATIC ANALYSES OF EAST CUTSLOPE



A. Topple hazard (low hazard)

Explanation

Poles

- Bedding
- Fault
- Joint

Failure envelope (based on 28 friction angle)

Failure envelope for topple and planar sliding without poles indicates stable conditions.

Failure envelope for wedge sliding without great circle intersections indicates stable conditions.



B. Planar sliding hazard (low to moderate hazard)



C. Wedge sliding hazard (high hazard)

Notes

Analysis performed using computer program DIPS (Rocscience, 1999, DIPS: Plotting analysis, and presentation of structural data using spherical projection techniques, version 5.041, Toronto, 86p).

FSAR UPDATE

DIABLO CANYON ISFSI

FIGURE 2.6-58
KINEMATIC ANALYSES OF BACK CUTSLOPE



A. Topple hazard (high hazard)

Explanation

Poles

- Bedding
- Fault
- Joint

Failure envelope (based on 28 friction angle)

Failure envelope for topple and planar sliding without poles indicates stable conditions.

Failure envelope for wedge sliding without great circle intersections indicates stable conditions.



B. Planar sliding hazard (low hazard)



C. Wedge sliding hazard (very low hazard)

Notes

Analysis performed using computer program DIPS (Rocscience, 1999, DIPS: Plotting analysis, and presentation of structural data using spherical projection techniques, version 5.041, Toronto, 86p).

FSAR UPDATE

DIABLO CANYON ISFSI

FIGURE 2.6-59
KINEMATIC ANALYSES OF WEST CUTSLOPE



A. Cross section through ISFSI pad and back cut, looking east



Example of riser-height (single bench) wedge



Example of total cut-height wedge as modeled by SWEDGE program

B. SWEDGE analysis cut configurations

FSAR UPDATE

DIABLO CANYON ISFSI

FIGURE 2.6-60
CUTSLOPE CONFIGURATION USED IN SWEDGE ANALYSES



Wedge, loading conditions, and example pattern rock anchors.

Note: cutslope geometry is based on PG&E Cannon Associates drawings, numbers CE41240EX1/EX2, 1/26/05.

FSAR UPDATE

DIABLO CANYON ISFSI

FIGURE 2.6-61
REVISED CUTSLOPE CONFIGURATION USED IN SWEDGE ANALYSES

Revision 1 March 2006