ES-201, Rev. 9E

L

# Examination Preparation Checklist

Facility:	Watts Barr Date of Examination:	11/30
Examinati	ons Developed by:	:
	written / Operating Test	Chief
Target Date <sup>*</sup>	Task Description (Reference)	Examiner's Initials
-180	1. Examination administration date confirmed (C.1.a; C.2.a and b)	62
-120	2. NRC examiners and facility contact assigned (C.1.d; C.2.e)	E.F
-120	3. Facility contact briefed on security and other requirements (C.2.c)	ts,
-120	4. Corporate notification letter sent (C.2.d)	1,7
[-90]	[5. Reference material due (C.1.e; C.3.c; Attachment 2)]	let.
{-75}	6. Integrated examination outline(s) due, including Forms ES-201-2, ES-201-3, ES- 301-1, ES-301-2, ES-301-5, ES-D-1's, ES-401-1/2, ES-401-3, and ES-401-4, as applicable (C.1.e and f; C.3.d)	ţĴ
{-70}	{7. Examination outline(s) reviewed by NRC and feedback provided to facility licensee (C.2.h; C.3.e)}	67
{-45}	8. Proposed examinations (including written, walk-through JPMs, and scenarios, as applicable), supporting documentation (including Forms ES-301-3, ES-301-4, ES-301-5, ES-301-6, and ES-401-6), and reference materials due (C.1.e, f, g and h; C.3.d)	to I
-30	9. Preliminary license applications (NRC Form 398's) due (C.1.1; C.2.g; ES-202)	t I
-14	10. Final license applications due and Form ES-201-4 prepared (C.1.l; C.2.i; ES-202)	KJ.
-14	11. Examination approved by NRC supervisor for facility licensee review (C.2.h; C.3.f)	17
-14	12. Examinations reviewed with facility licensee (C.1.j; C.2.f and h; C.3.g)	1. L
-7	13. Written examinations and operating tests approved by NRC supervisor (C.2.i; C.3.h)	te 7
-7	14. Final applications reviewed; 1 or 2 (if >10) applications audited to confirm qualifications / eligibility; and examination approval and waiver letters sent (C.2.i; Attachment 4; ES-202, C.2.e; ES-204)	62
-7	15. Proctoring/written exam administration guidelines reviewed with facility licensee (C.3.k)	t 2
-7.	16. Approved scenarios, job performance measures, and questions distributed to NRC examiners (C.3.i)	t.t
* Targ ident case- [App	et dates are generally based on facility-prepared examinations and are keyed to the exami ified in the corporate notification letter. They are for planning purposes and may be adju by-case basis in coordination with the facility licensee. lies only] {Does not apply} to examinations prepared by the NRC.	nation date asted on a

# Examination Outline Quality Checklist

	Finz			<del></del>						
Facility:	Watts Bar   Date of Examination:   11/30/2009									
Item	Task Description		Initials	3						
	a Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model in accordance with ES-401		b*	c# \$-1						
N R	<ul> <li>Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with</li> </ul>	- ALA	200	Led.						
I T	Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.									
T F	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	RA	<b>5</b> R5	\$1						
Ň	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	AH	BRS	&1						
2. S	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	NA-	SRS	ЪI						
M U L A T	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	P04	SRS	ЕJ						
O R	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	VOLA	SRS	EI						
3. W / T	<ul> <li>a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2:</li> <li>(1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form</li> <li>(2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form</li> <li>(3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s)</li> <li>(4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form</li> <li>(5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.</li> </ul>	106t	SRS	£f						
	<ul> <li>b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1:</li> <li>(1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form</li> <li>(2) at least one task is new or significantly modified</li> <li>(3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations</li> </ul>	<b>Boot</b>	5Rs	&J						
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	SH4	SRS	БĴ						
4.	<ul> <li>Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.</li> </ul>	AA	SRS	6J						
G G E	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	DA-	SRS	65						
N E	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	AQ.	SRS	61						
R A	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	04	SRS	61						
L	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	D.	SRS	63						
a. Auth b. Facil c. NRC d. NRC	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).         or       Darrell Hensley / Amel Signature         ity Reviewer (*)       Steve R. Smith / SRSmith         Chief Examiner (#)       Edwin 4 Ea, 3r         Supervisor       Mallcorm T. With Businer (#)		1)/2 1/12 1)/2 11/2	189 109 0/09						
Note:	<ul> <li>Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence re</li> <li>* Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines</li> </ul>	quired.								

# Watts Bar

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
ES-201	Examination Security Agreement	Form ES-201-3

### 1. <u>Pre-Examination</u>

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of Nav, Og as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

#### 2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of  $\underline{N} - \underline{D} + \underline{O} +$ 

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATUŖE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. Darrell Hensley	Exam Lead	Davel Houcky	2/13/09	Darrell Heusley	12/17/09
2. Dale Hoffman	Developer	Wale 7 Xman	Z 13/09	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
3. JOHN B. RODEN	Developer	John B Lolan	7/20/09	July B Roden	12/12/09
4. William G. Boealy	Simulatur Services	When is	<u>5/15/09</u>	in My	1417/04
5. NORMAN GOOD	SIMULATOR SERVICES	My Cont	_ <u>\$715/09</u>		
6. FAWARD KNOBLAUCH	Simulatur Engineer	11 Knoh	11/2109_	21kula-	12/17/09
7. STELE HEDRECK	STAAF	Atter (balala)	6/8/07/	Hon Mediun	12/18/05
8. Steve Swith	OPERATEONS	_ Stamt	<u>6-29-09</u>	-3Kanz	12-12-09
9. Mr. Hoy	<u>OP</u>	matt	7-11-9		
10. P Stavich	RO/OPS	LATOL	2/6/9_	1201	12-17-9
11. RE Crews	OTM/OPS	<u> </u>	_8/7/90	Elina -	12/17/09
12. Rw Dormin	SRO/ VAMISSION	ADilte	<u> 8/15/07</u>	AD 1Km	14/17/04
13. Gary Thompson	RU/Validator	Harry Thompson	Ylislog_	Mary Thompson	12:17-01
14. Jr Hrh	No-TVelidety(	14/15-24	<u> </u>	Harfs XI	12-17-59
15. CHRIS RICE	SILU / NRC EXAM VALIDATION _	<u> </u>	09/04/69	/ • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
NOTES:			• /		

# Watts Bar

#### ES-201

#### **Examination Security Agreement**

Form ES-201-3

#### 1. <u>Pre-Examination</u>

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of <u>Nev O9</u> as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

#### 2. <u>Post-Examination</u>

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of  $\frac{N_{ov} - Dec}{2}$  From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. Jeff Kral	Unit Supervisor	SKI	9-4-09	SPC .	12-189
2. Kevin S. Elam	RXE	Kevin Elam	9/4/09	• • •	
3. Timothy D. Taylor	SRO / Validaha	23	9/9/09		
4. Sean M. Mobley	RO	Sem monohla	9/9/09		
5. VINCENT SUMMER	Ro	The D.	4/4/09	12 L	12/18/09
6. PEDro Adumana w	SRO/VALIDATION	Padus Alellar	9/9/9		
7. SHAWN C HARA	SRO / VALIDATIN		1200001	SOL.	12 Decat
8. Adam Neupor	UO Validador	Canton	10/24/9	J-Coloma	12/18/9
9. KR SKUBISZ	SRO UNLIUNTOR		1/6/9	DA .	12/17/9
10. Scoti E. O'Romere	40 Tes/ VALIDATOR	State	<u></u>		• •
11. Scremy R. Thuman	Cos Spec /Vplid.to	There is a line	1/12/09	·	······································
12. KARENTA Studier	Ups Spec/ Validator	Pala hotsuter	<u>u11409</u>	Anna	
13. Victoria Roda	Proctor 1	Type Roadh	- 11-12-09	11 upo Rollab	12-18-04
14. William Thompson	SITE TRUG SIPLOTA	- With The last	11/13/09	"WOTENE	12/17/09
15. WILLIAM E SPRINKLE	SRO VALIDATOR		!/iz/02		
NOTES	,	V	•		

Page 2

Watts Bar

**Examination Security Agreement** 

### Form ES-201-3

#### 1. <u>Pre-Examination</u>

ES-201

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of <u>Nev Of</u> as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

#### 2. <u>Post-Examination</u>

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of <u>Nov-Deco</u><sup>9</sup>. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. BRIAN S. MOTLINAY	SM	Bisy-J	1/17/09	·	
2. Gregory A. Wallac	L US/STA	- trank -	11.19.9	- A	
3. STEVEN R. Taylor	Instructor (2PS)	flyayin	11-23-09	Mayor	12/17/09
4. CLYDE T. BENTON	SRO INST	Center & Branton	11-29-9		/
5. Kay Esposito	Ops Support	Kay Esport	11-30-09	they Epons	1418/09
6. HARRY Voiles	Two Support	Jenn J. Vale	11-30-09	Al Storles	12/17/09
7 Tosh Rowman	Instruction Off	-AVACCA	1130/9-		p.119.
8. J. M. EARLES	Sta Balas DDS TONG	A A Cas Les	W/30/08/	Montes	12/18/09
9. Catherine Margan	a theme Manadem	No attering Man	11/301	atterin Moran	12/17/09
10.		Or		erity	
11.	· ·				
12.		······································			
13.					
14.					
15.	*****				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
NOTES					

Page 3

ES-301	Adminis	strative Topics Outline	Form ES-301-1					
e en		Final						
Facility: <u>Watts Bar</u>		Date of Examina	ation: <u>11/30/2009</u>					
Examination Level: RO 🛛 S	RO 🗌	Operating Test I	Number: <u>1</u>					
Administrative Topic (See Note)	Type Code*	Describe activity to be p	performed					
Conduct of Operations	M, R	G 2.1.1 Knowledge of conduct of c requirements. 3.8 / 4.2	operations					
		JPM: Determine License Status.	•					
Conduct of Operations	N, R	G 2.1.25 Ability to interpret referen graphs, curves, tables, et	ce materials, such as c. 3.9 / 4.2					
		JPM: Perform RCS Deboration Calculation.						
		G 2.2.12 Knowledge of surveillance	procedures. 3.7 / 4.1					
Equipment Control	N, R	JPM: Perform 1-SI-0-2A-03, "190 Daily Surveillance Log Mo	00-0700 Shift and de Three.					
Padiation Control	ΠP	G 2.3.4 Knowledge of radiation ex	posure limits under ditions, 3.2 / 3.7					
Radiation Control	<i>D</i> , R	JPM: Determine Potential Dose	for Valve Alignment.					
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Emergency Procedures / Plan		N/A						
NOTE: All items (5 total) are retaking only the adm	required for inistrative to	SROs. RO applicants require only 4 it pics, when all 5 are required.	ems unless they are					
* Type Codes & Criteria:	(C)ontr (D)irec (N)ew	ol room, (S)imulator, or Class(R)oom t from bank ( $\leq$ 3 for ROs; $\leq$ 4 for SROs or (M)odified from bank ( $\geq$ 1)	& RO retakes)					

ES 301, Page 22 of 27

Administrative Topics Outline

Form ES-301-1

Facility: <u>Watts Bar</u> Examination Level: RO  SI	20 🛛	Date of Examination: <u>11/30/2009</u> Operating Test Number: <u>1</u>						
Administrative Topic (See Note)	Type Code*	Describe activity to be performed						
Conduct of Operations	M, R	G 2.1.1 Knowledge of conduct of operations requirements. 3.8 / 4.2 JPM: Determine License Status.						
Conduct of Operations	D, R	<ul> <li>G 2.1.25 Ability to interpret reference materials, such as graphs, curves, tables, etc. 3.9 / 4.2</li> <li>JPM: Review of Estimated Critical Position Calculation.</li> </ul>						
Equipment Control	N, R	G 2.2.12 Knowledge of surveillance procedures. 3.7 / 4.1 JPM: Review 1-SI-0-2A-03, "1900-0700 Shift and Daily Surveillance Log Mode Three."						
Radiation Control	D, R	<ul> <li>G 2.3.4 Knowledge of radiation exposure limits under normal or emergency conditions. 3.2 / 3.7</li> <li>JPM: Determine Potential Dose for Valve Alignment.</li> </ul>						
Emergency Procedures / Plan	M, R	<ul> <li>G 2.4.40 Knowledge of SRO responsibilities in emergency plan implementation. 2.5 / 3.3</li> <li>G 2.4.41 Knowledge of the emergency action level thresholds and classifications. 2.9 / 4.6</li> <li>JPM: Classify the Event and Determine PAR.</li> </ul>						
NOTE: All items (5 total) are retaking only the adm	required for S inistrative top	SROs. RO applicants require only 4 items unless they are pics, when all 5 are required.						
<ul> <li>* Type Codes &amp; Criteria:</li> <li>(C)ontrol room, (S)imulator, or Class(R)oom</li> <li>(D)irect from bank (≤ 3 for ROs; ≤ 4 for SROs &amp; RO retakes)</li> <li>(N)ew or (M)odified from bank (≥ 1)</li> <li>(P)revious 2 exams (≤ 1; randomly selected)</li> </ul>								

ES 301, Page 22 of 27

ES-301 Control Room/I	n-Plant Systems Outl	ine	Forr	n ES-301-
FI	nal	<del></del>		
Facility: Watts Bar Exam Level: RO SRO-I SRO-U [Bolded JPMs are SRO-U.] (Italicized JPM is RO only.)	Date o Operat	f Exan ting Te	nination: <b>Nov-I</b> est Number: <b>1</b>	Dec 2009
Control Room Systems <sup>@</sup> (8 for RO); (7 for SRC	D-I); (2 or 3 for SRO-U, in	cludin	g 1 ESF)	
System / JPM Title	•		Type Code*	Safety Functior
a. Exercise Control Rods per 1-SI-85-2.			Ν	1
b. Perform FR-I.1,"High Pressurizer Level."	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		A,M,L	2
c. Align RHR for Hot Leg Recirculation.			A,D,EN	3
d. Place Standby Main Feedwater Pump in service with Main Feedwater Pumps.	for Periodic Operation		A, N	4S
e. Align CRDM Coolers.	•		N	5
f. Reinstate Source Range following a Reactor	Trip.		А, М	7
g. Transfer 6.9 KV RCP Board 1D from Alternate	to Normal per SOI-202.01.		Μ	6
h. Swap CCS Pumps (place 1B in service, remove	1A from service).(RO Only)		A, N	8
In-Plant Systems <sup>@</sup> (3 for RO); (3 for SRO-I); (3	or 2 for SRO-U)			
i. Isolate The RCP Seal Injection And Thermal B	arrier Per ECA-0.0.		D,E,L,R	2
j. Align High Pressure Fire Protection (HPFP) to 1A-A Lube Oil Coolers.	Centrifugal Charging Pu	mp	D,EN,R	8
k. Align the Upper Containment Monitor to Lower C	ontainment Locally.		D,R	7
@ All RO and SRO-I control room (and in-pla functions; all 5 SRO-U systems must serv overlap those tested in the control room.	ant) systems must be differe e different safety functions;	nt and in-plan	serve different s t systems and fu	afety Inctions ma
*Type Codes	Criteria for I	RO / S	RO-I / SRO-U	
(A)lternate path (C)ontrol room	4-6	4-6	/ 2-3	· · · · ·
(E)mergency or abnormal in-plant	<u>&lt;9</u> >1	' <u>≤</u> 8 / >1	/ <u>~</u> 4 / >1	
(EN)gineered safety feature		/ <u>-</u> ·	_ · / ≥1	ан 1
(L)ow-Power / Shutdown	<u>≥</u> 1.	/ <u>&gt;</u> 1	/ ≥1	
(N)ew or (M)odified from bank including 1(A)	≥ 2 .	/ <u>≥</u> 2	/ <u>&gt;</u> 1	
(P)revious 2 exams	<ul> <li>&lt; 3</li> </ul>	<u>≤</u> 3	$1 \leq 2$ (random	ly selected)
(R)CA	_ ≥1,	/ ≥1	/ <u>≥</u> 1	,
(S)imulator		-		

ES-301, Page 23 of 27

# **Operating Test Quality Checklist**

	Final							
Facility:	Watts Bar         Date of Examination:         Nov 2009         Operating Test Number:         1							
	1. Constel Criteria	ļ	Initial	s				
		<u>a</u> .	b*	c#				
а.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	AH-	SRS	Jo.J.				
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	RH	5R5	L.J.				
с.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s). (see Section D.1.a.)	RIA-	TRS	1.1.				
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	A.A.	5R5	t.J				
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	A4	SRS	t.t.				
	2. Walk-Through Criteria							
a.	<ul> <li>a. Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul> <li>initial conditions</li> <li>initiating cues</li> <li>references and tools, including associated procedures</li> <li>reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time-critical by the facility licensee</li> <li>operationally important specific performance criteria that include: <ul> <li>detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature</li> <li>system response and other examiner cues</li> <li>statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant</li> <li>criteria for successful completion of the task</li> <li>identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>							
b.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	AN	5⁄85	48				
	3. Simulator Criteria							
The ass Form ES	ociated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with S-301-4 and a copy is attached.	2#	3Rs	t.J.				
a. Aut b. Fac c. NR d. NR	Printed Name / Signature	ate 11/12/0 11/12/0 11/12/0 123/0	9 9 2009 7					
NOTE:	<ul> <li># Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.</li> </ul>							

·	Final				<u></u>
Facilty	r: Watts Bar Date of Exam: 12/09 Scenario Numbers: 1/2/3 Operatir	g Test No.: 1			
	QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES			Initials	
			a	b*	c#
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumenta of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	tion may be out	Q6	SRS	Ed
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.		AH.	SRS	H
3.	<ul> <li>Each event description consists of</li> <li>the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated</li> <li>the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event</li> <li>the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew</li> <li>the expected operator actions (by shift position)</li> <li>the event termination point (if applicable)</li> </ul>		iota	- SR-	let.
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated in without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	nto the scenario	N#	SRS	the
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.		Atta	JRS	15
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination te complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	am to obtain	ACH	3R5	to.
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so ind Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue tin Cues are given.	icates. ne constraints.	<b>№</b> /A	N/A	N/A
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.		AH-	TSRS	12
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open s performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been e to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scen	simulator valuated arios.	Q#	SRS	EJ.
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modifi All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-3	ed scenario. 01.	RA	SRS	the
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	n ES-301-6	R&	SRS	6J
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transie specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	ents and events	RH	<b>TRS</b>	by
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each cre	w position.	RAT	3BS	65
	Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)	Actual Attributes			
1.	Total malfunctions (5–8)	6/6/7	Alt	SR3	17
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1–2)	2/1/2	0#	SRS	6g
3.	Abnormal events (2–4)	4/4/4	AA	SRS	th
4.	Major transients (1–2)	1/2/2	NOT-	SRS	ES.
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1–2)	2/2/2	AA	SRS	El
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	1/1/1	NH	SRS	E.J.
7.	Critical tasks (2–3)	3/2/4	KOA	TRS	tol

# Transient and Event Checklist Final Fo

Form ES-301-5

Facility:	Watts Bar					Date	of Exam	: No	ov. 200	9	Оре	erating	Test N	o.: 1			
A	E		Scenarios - for CREW ONE														
P P	V E	Sc	enario	1	S	cenar	io 2							T O	1	M I	-
	T		CREW			CREV	V ON		CREW			CREW	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	T A		1	
	Т							P						L	<u></u> 1	М	
N	Y	S		В			В	S D		В			В			] \//*	
Т	P E	0	c	P	0	c	P	0 ·	c	P	0	Ċ	P		R		U
RO	RX	-		사망가 영어진 영어진										0	1	1	0
SRO-I	NOR	5					1							2	1	1	1
	I/C	123					35							5	4	4	2
SRO-U	MAJ	6	Negati (				67							3	2	2	1
	TS	23			가 가 가지요. 사가가 지않다. 사가가 지않다.		-							2	0	2	2
RO	RX		5		-									1	1	1	0
SPOL	NOR		•		1									1	1	1	1
5/(0-/	I/C		2,4		2345									6	4	4	2
SRO-U	MAJ		6		67									3	2	2	1
	TS		-		24									2	0	2	2
RO	RX		ji⊉ki ∕ Ving	-		1								1	1	1	0
SBO-I	NOR			5		-								1	1	1	.1
	I/C			1378		24								6	4	4	2
SRO-U	MAJ	$ \begin{array}{c} \displaystyle \max_{i=1,\dots,n\\ i \in [n]\\ i \in [n$		6		67							•	3	2	2	1
	TS			-		-								-	0	2	2
RO	RX									•					1	1	0
SBOI	NOR														1	1	1
	I/C														4	4	2
SRÓ-U	MAJ			-									· .		2	2	1
	TS														0	2	2
Instructio	ns: Check the event type and "balan including a position. I toward the	applica ; TS ar ce-of-p t least f an Ins two I/0	ant leve e not a blant (E two ins stant S C malfu	el and applica 30P)" strume RO ac unction	enter able for positio ent or c dditiona ns requ	the op RO a ns; In compo ally se uired f	perating applicant stant SF pnent (I/C rves in t or the A	test nu s. RO Os mu C) mall he BO TC pos	imber a s must ust serv functior P posit sition.	and For serve ve in bo ns and ion, on	m ES- in both oth the one ma e I/C n	D-1 eve the "at SRO a ajor tra nalfunc	ent nun -the-co nd the nsient, tion ca	nbersontro ATC in th n be	s for ls (A pos e AT cred	eac TC) itior C ited	:h " າs,

 Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (\*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.

3. Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right-hand columns.

### **Transient and Event Checklist**

### Form ES-301-5

Facility:	Watts Bar	r Date of Exam: Nov.						2009			Оре	rating	Test No	o.: 1	: 1					
A	E		Scenarios - for CREW TWO																	
P	V	Sc	enario	1	s	cenari	02							Т		M				
	E N													.0		L				
	Т	(	CREW			CREV	V		CREW			CREW		T						
С		PC	OSITIO	N .	Р	OSITI	ON	· P	OSITIC	N	P	OSITIC	N	A		I M				
A	Т	S	A	В	S	S A B S A				В	S	A	В	-		U .				
	Y	R	T	0	R	T.	0	R	Т	0	R	Т	0			M(*)	,			
	E	0	С	Р	0	С	Р	0	C	P	0	С	Р		R	1.	υ			
RO	RX	-				1								1	1	1	0			
SRO-I	NOR	5				-								1	1	1	1			
	I/C	123				24								5	4	4	2			
SRO-U	MAJ	6				67								3	2	2				
	TS	23				-					· .			2	0	2	2			
RO	RX		5											1	1	1	0			
SPOL	NOR		-		1									1	1	1	1			
570-7	I/C		24		2345									6	4	4	2			
SRO-U	MAJ		6		67									3	2	2	1			
	TS		-		24									2	0	2	2			
RO	RX														1	1	0			
8001	NOR														1	1	1			
3R0-1	I/C														4	4	2			
SRO-U	MAJ														2	2	1			
	TS														0	2	2			
RO	RX														1	1	0			
8001	NOR														1	1	1			
SRU-I	I/C														4	4	2			
SRO-U	MAJ														2	2	1			
	TS														0	2	2			
Instructio	ons:																			

Instructions: 1. Checl

3.

Check the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs must serve in both the SRO and the ATC positions, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position. If an Instant SRO additionally serves in the BOP position, one I/C malfunction can be credited toward the two I/C malfunctions required for the ATC position.

2. Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (\*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.

Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right-hand columns.

### ES-301, Rev. 9

### Competencies Checklist

Form ES-301-6

				FIN	2/										
Facility: Watts Bar	Dat	e of E	Examinat	ion: N	lov 2	2009		Ор	erati	ng T	est	No.	: 1		
						APP	LICA	NTS	6						
	RO SR SR	X 0-I 0-U		RO SRC SRC		RC SF SF	) 20-1 20-U	х	-						
Competencies	S	SCENARIO			CEN	ARIO	<b>D</b>	s	CEN	ARI	0			ļ,	
	1	2		1	2			1	2						
Interpret/Diagnose Events and Conditions	246	24		23467	3456			13	3456						
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	25	246		ALL	ALL			13 56	ALL						
Operate Control Boards (2)	245	124		245	124										
Communicate and Interact	1345 6	ALL		ALL	ALL			ALL	ALL						
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)			·	1456	1356			14 56	1356						
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)	5			23	24			23	24						
Notes: (1)Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO. (2)Optional for an SRO-II															

(3)Only applicable to SROs.

### Instructions:

Check the applicants' license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.



### ES-401, Rev. 9

### **PWR Examination Outline**

Facility: Watts Bar Date of Exam: Nov 2009																		
Tier	Group				R	0К/	A C	ateg	ory I	Point	ts				SRC	)-Onl	y Poin	ts
		К 1	к 2	К 3	к 4	К 5	К 6	A 1	A 2	A 3	A 4	G *	Total	. 4	42	C	3*	Total
1.	1	з	3	3		a di la		3	З		N/Δ		18		3	:	3	.6
Emergency & Abnormal Plant	2	1	1	2		N/A		2	1	Ň			9		2	1	2	4
Evolutions	Tier Totals	4	4	5				5	4			5	27		5	5		10
	1	3	2	3	3	2	2	2	з	2	з	з	28		3		2	5
2. Plant	2	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	<sup>-</sup> 10		2		1	3
Systems	Tier Totals	4	2	4	4	3	3	3	4	3	4	4	38		5		3	8
3. Generic K	nowledge and	d Ab	ilitie	S		1		2		3		4	10	1	2	3	4	7
			3		2		2		3		1	2	2	2				
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	<ol> <li>Ensure that at least two topics from every applicable K/A category are sampled within each tier of the RO and SRO-only outlines (i.e., except for one category in Tier 3 of the SRO-only outline, the "Tier Totals" in each K/A category shall not be less than two).</li> <li>The point total for each group and tier in the proposed outline must match that specified in the table. The final point total for each group and tier may deviate by ±1 from that specified in the table based on NRC revisions. The final RO exam must total 75 points and the SRO-only exam must total 25 points.</li> <li>Systems/evolutions within each group are identified on the associated outline; systems or evolutions that do not apply at the facility should be deleted and justified; operationally important, site-specific systems that are not included on the outline should be added. Refer to ES-401, Attachment 2, for guidance regarding the elimination of inappropriate K/A statements.</li> <li>Select topics from as many systems and evolutions as possible; sample every system or evolution in the group before selecting a second topic for any system or evolution.</li> <li>Absent a plant-specific priority, only those K/As having an importance rating (IR) of 2.5 or higher shall be selected. Use the RO and SRO ratings for the RO and SRO-only portions, respectively.</li> <li>Select SRO topics for Tiers 1 and 2 from the shaded systems and K/A categories.</li> <li>"The generic (G) K/As in Tiers 1 and 2 shall be selected from Section 2 of the K/A Catalog, but the topics must be relevant to the applicable evolution or system.</li> <li>On the following pages, enter the K/A numbers, a brief description of each topic, the topics' importance ratings (IRs) for the applicable license level, and the point totals (#) for each system and category. Enter the group ad tier totals for each sRO-only exam, enter it on the left side of Column A2 for Tier 2, Group 2 (Note # 1 does not apply). Use duplicate pages for RO and SRO-only exam</li></ol>																	









ES-401, REV 9			T10	1 PWR EXAMINATION OUTLINE	FORM ES-401-2			
КА	NAME / SAFETY FUNCTION:		IR	K1 K2 K3 K4 K5 K6 A1 A2 A3 A4 G	TOPIC:			
		RO	SRC	)				
007EG2.4.21	Reactor Trip - Stabilization - Recovery / 1	4.0	4.6		Knowledge of the parameters and logic used to assess the status of safety functions			
009EA2.20	Small Break LOCA / 3	2.6	2.9		Containment vent damper position indicator			
011EK1.01	Large Break LOCA / 3	4.1	4.4		Natural circulation and cooling, including reflux boiling.			
015AK1.03	RCP Malfunctions / 4	3	4		The basis for operating at a reduced power level when one RCP is out of service			
022AA1.02	Loss of Rx Coolant Makeup / 2	3	2.9		CVCS charging low flow alarm, sensor and indicator			
025AK2.05	Loss of RHR System / 4	2.6	2.6		Reactor building sump			
026AK3.02	Loss of Component Cooling Water / 8	3.6	3.9		The automatic actions (alignments) within the CCWS resulting from the actuation of the ESFAS			
027AK2.03	Pressurizer Pressure Control System Malfunction / 3	2.6	2.8		Controllers and positioners			
029EK1.05	ATWS/1	2.8	3.2		definition of negative temperature coefficient as applied to large PWR coolant systems			
038EA2.11	Steam Gen. Tube Rupture / 3	3.7	3.9		Local radiation reading on main steam lines			
040AA1.23	Steam Line Rupture - Excessive Heat Transfer / 4	3.6	3.5		All pressure gauges per steam generator (for pressure drop)			







ES-401, REV 9			T10	G1 PWR EXAMINATION OUTLINE	FORM ES-401-2				
KA	NAME / SAFETY FUNCTION:		IR	K1 K2 K3 K4 K5 K6 A1 A2 A3 A4 G	TOPIC:				
		RO	SRC	)					
054AK3.03	Loss of Main Feedwater / 4	3.8	4.1		Manual control of AFW flow control valves				
055EA2.06	Station Blackout / 6	3.7	4.1		Faults and lockouts that must be cleared prior to re- energizing buses				
057AG2.1.23	Loss of Vital AC Inst. Bus / 6	4.3	4.4		Ability to perform specific system and integrated plant procedures during all modes of plant operation.				
058AG2.4.34	Loss of DC Power / 6	4.2	4.1		Knowledge of RO tasks performed outside the main control room during an emergency and the resultant operational effects				
065AK3.04	Loss of Instrument Air / 8	3	3.2		Cross-over to backup air supplies				
077AK2.03	Generator Voltage and Electric Grid Disturbances / 6	3.0	3.1		Sensors, detectors, indicators				
WE04EA1.3	LOCA Outside Containment / 3	3.8	4.0		Desired operating results during abnormal and emergency situations.				

Page 2 of 2







ES-401, REV 9			T10	G2 PWR EXAMINATION OUTLINE	FORM ES-401-2			
KA	NAME / SAFETY FUNCTION:		IR	K1 K2 K3 K4 K5 K6 A1 A2 A3 A4 G	TOPIC:			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	RO	SRC	<b>D</b>				
024AA2.02	Emergency Boration / 1	3.9	4.4		When use of manual boration valve is needed			
028AK3.05	Pressurizer Level Malfunction / 2	3.7	4.1		Actions contained in EOP for PZR level malfunction			
036AG2.4.2	Fuel Handling Accident / 8	4.5	4.6		Knowledge of system set points, interlocks and automatic actions associated with EOP entry conditions.			
059AK3.01	Accidental Liquid RadWaste Rel. / 9	3.5	3.9		Termination of a release of radioactive liquid			
067AK1.01	Plant Fire On-site / 9 8	2.9	3.9		Fire classifications by type			
069AA1.01	Loss of CTMT Integrity / 5	3.5	3.7		Isolation valves, dampers and electropneumatic devices.			
WE01EA1.3	Rediagnosis / 3	3.4	3.8		Desired operating results during abnormal and emergency situations.			
we13EG2.1.31	Steam Generator Over-pressure / 4	4.6	4.3		Ability to locate control room switches, controls and indications and to determine that they are correctly reflecting the desired plant lineup.			
WE15EK2.2	Containment Flooding / 5	2.7	2.9		Facility's heat removal systems, including primary coolant, emergency coolant, the decay heat removal systems and relations between the proper operation of these systems to the operation of the facility.			







ES-401, REV 9			T20	1 PWR EXAMINATION OUTLINE	FORM ES-401-2			
KA	NAME / SAFETY FUNCTION:		IR	K1 K2 K3 K4 K5 K6 A1 A2 A3 A4 G	TOPIC:			
		RO	SRC					
003A1.09	Reactor Coolant Pump	2.8	2.8		Seal flow and D/P			
004K5.36	Chemical and Volume Control	2.5	2.8		Solubility of boron in water; temperature effect			
005G2.1.23	Residual Heat Removal	4.3	4.4		Ability to perform specific system and integrated plant procedures during all modes of plant operation.			
005K6.03	Residual Heat Removal	2.5	2.6		RHR heat exchanger			
006K5.09	Emergency Core Cooling	3.3	3.6		Thermodynamics of water and steam, including subcooled margin, superheat and saturation			
007A4.01	Pressurizer Relief/Quench Tank	2.7	2.7		PRT spray supply valve			
007A4.09	Pressurizer Relief/Quench Tank	2.5	2.7		Relationships between PZR level and changing levels of the PRT and bleed holdup tank			
008K1.05	Component Cooling Water	3.0	3.1		Sources of makeup water			
010A4.03	Pressurizer Pressure Control	4.0	3.8		PORV and block valves			
012A3.03	Reactor Protection	3.4	3.5		Power supply			
012G2.1.30	Reactor Protection	4.4	4.0		Ability to locate and operate components, including local controls.			







ES-401, REV 9			T20	31 PWR EXAMINATION OUTLINE	FORM ES-401			
KA	NAME / SAFETY FUNCTION:	BO	IR SBC	K1 K2 K3 K4 K5 K6 A1 A2 A3 A4 G	TOPIC:			
013K6.01	Engineered Safety Features Actuation	2.7	3.1		Sensors and detectors			
022K2.02	Containment Cooling	2.5	2.4		Chillers			
026K4.08	Containment Spray	4.1	4.3		Automatic swapover to containment sump suction for recirculation phase after LOCA (RWST low-low level alarm)			
039K1.02	Main and Reheat Steam	3.3	3.3		Atmospheric relief dump valves			
059K3.04	Main Feedwater	3.6	3.8		RCS			
059K4.02	Main Feedwater	3.3	3.5		Automatic turbine/reactor trip runback			
061A2.08	Auxiliary/Emergency Feedwater	2.7	2.9		Flow rates expected from various combinations of AFW pump discharge valves			
062A2.09	AC Electrical Distribution	2.7	3.0		Consequences of exceeding current limitations			
062 <b>A</b> 2.10	AC Electrical Distribution	3.0	3.3		Effects of switching power supplies on instruments and controls			
063K3.01	DC Electrical Distribution	3.7	4.1		ED/G			
063K4.01	DC Electrical Distribution	2.7	3.0		Manual/automatic transfers of control			

Page 2 of 3





ES-401, REV 9			T20	1 PWR EXAMINATION OUTLINE	FORM ES-401-2			
KA	NAME / SAFETY FUNCTION:		IR.	K1 K2 K3 K4 K5 K6 A1 A2 A3 A4 G	TOPIC:			
•		RO	SRC	)				
064A1.04	Emergency Diesel Generator	2.8	2.9		Crankcase temperature and pressure			
064K1.03	Emergency Diesel Generator	3.6	4.0		Diesel fuel oil supply system			
073K3.01	Process Radiation Monitoring	3.6	4.2		Radioactive effluent releases			
076K2.01	Service Water	2.7	2.7		Service water			
078A3.01	Instrument Air	3.1	3.2		Air pressure			
103G2.4.30	Containment	2.7	4.1		Knowledge of events related to system operations/status			
					agencies.			
					(			

Page 3 of 3







ES-401, REV 9		<b>T2G2 PWR EXAMINATION OUTLINE</b>										TLIN		FORM ES-401-				
KA	NAME / SAFETY FUNCTION:		IR		K1 K	(2 K	(3 K	(4 K	5 K	6 A1	A2	A3	A4 Ġ	-	TOPIC:			
		RO	SRC	OF											• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
001A1.13	Control Rod Drive	4.0	4.2	2					]					]	Prepower dependent insertion limit* and power dependent insertion limit, determined with metroscope			
002A4.05	Reactor Coolant	2.8	2.7	7					]					 (	The HPI system when it is used to refill the refueling cavity			
016G2.2.38	Non-nuclear Instrumentation	3.6	4.5	5		] [	] [		]					]	Knowledge of conditions and limitations in the facility icense.			
027K1.01	Containment Iodine Removal	3.4	3.7	7		 								(	CSS			
033A3.01	Spent Fuel Pool Cooling	2.5	2.7	7										. 1	Femperature control valves			
035K6.01	Steam Generator	3.2	3.6	6		] [		] []					<u>,</u> 	N	<i>I</i> /SIVs			
045K4.46	Main Turbine Generator	2.5	2.8	3			] 🗹	] .						Ľ	Defeat of reactor trip by overspeed trip test lever			
055K3.01	Condenser Air Removal	2.5	2.7	<b>'</b> [		] . 🗹		]						N	lain condenser			
071A2.02	Waste Gas Disposal	3.3	3.6	; ;										L	lse of waste gas release monitors, radiation, gas flow ate and totalizer			
086K5.04	Fire Protection	2.9	3.5	[			]							H	lazards to personnel as a result of fire type and methods f protection			







ES-401, REV 9			ТЗ	PWR EXAMINATION OUTLINE	FORM ES-401-2			
КА	NAME / SAFETY FUNCTION:		IR	K1 K2 K3 K4 K5 K6 A1 A2 A3 A4 G	TOPIC:			
	· · · ·	RO	SRO					
G2.1.29	Conduct of operations	4.1	4.0		Knowledge of how to conduct system lineups, such as valves, breakers, switches, etc.			
G2.1.30	Conduct of operations	4.4	4.0		Ability to locate and operate components, including local controls.			
G2.1.6	Conduct of operations	3.8	4.8		Ability to manage the control room crew during plant transients.			
G2.2.41	Equipment Control	3.5	3.9		Ability to obtain and interpret station electrical and mechanical drawings			
G2.2.42	Equipment Control	3.9	4.6		Ability to recognize system parameters that are entry- level conditions for Technical Specifications			
G2.3.13	Radiation Control	3.4	3.8		Knowledge of radiological safety procedures pertaining to licensed operator duties			
G2.3.6	Radiation Control	2.0	3.8		Ability to aprove release permits			
G2.4.12	Emergency Procedures/Plans	4.0	4.3		Knowledge of general operating crew responsibilities during emergency operations.			
G2.4.21	Emergency Procedures/Plans	4.0	4.6		Knowledge of the parameters and logic used to assess the status of safety functions			
G2.4.39	Emergency Procedures/Plans	3.9	3.8		Knowledge of the RO's responsibilities in emergency plan implementation.			







ES-401, REV 9			SRO T1G1 PWR EXAMINATION OUTLINE	FORM ES-401-			
KA	NAME / SAFETY FUNCTION:	· I	IR K1 K2 K3 K4 K5 K6 A1 A2 A3 A4 G	TOPIC:			
		RO	SRO				
022AA2.03	Loss of Rx Coolant Makeup / 2	3.1	3.6	Failures of flow control valve or controller			
029EG2.2.22	ATWS/1	4.0	4.7	Knowledge of limiting conditions for operations and safety limits.			
038EG2.2.4	Steam Gen. Tube Rupture / 3	3.6	3.6	(multi-unit) Ability to explain the variations in control board layouts, systems, instrumentation and procedural actions between units at a facility.			
058AA2.02	Loss of DC Power / 6	3.3	3.6	125V dc bus voltage, low/critical low, alarm			
077AA2.07	Generator Voltage and Electric Grid Disturbances / 6	3.6	4.0	Operational status of engineered safety features			
we05EG2.4.3	Inadequate Heat Transfer - Loss of Secondary Heat Sink / 4	3.7	3.9	Ability to identify post-accident instrumentation.			







ES-401, RE	:V 9	S	RO T	<b>1G2 PWR EXAMINATION OUTLINE</b>	FORM ES-401-2				
KA	NAME / SAFETY FUNCTION:		IR	K1 K2 K3 K4 K5 K6 A1 A2 A3 A4 G	TOPIC:				
÷.,		RO	SRO						
033AG2.2.40	Loss of Intermediate Range Ni / 7	3.4	4.7		Ability to apply technical specifications for a system.				
067AA2.12	Plant Fire On-site / 9 8	2.9	3.9		Location of vital equipment within fire zone				
we06EG2.1.23	B Degraded Core Cooling / 4	4.3	4.4		Ability to perform specific system and integrated plant procedures during all modes of plant operation.				
WE09EA2.1	Natural Circ. / 4	3.1	3.8		Facility conditions and selection of appropriate procedures during abnormal and emergency operations.				







ES-401, R	EV 9	SRO 1	2G1 PWR EXAMINATION OUTLINE	FORM ES-401-2			
КА	NAME / SAFETY FUNCTION:	IR	K1 K2 K3 K4 K5 K6 A1 A2 A3 A4 G	TOPIC:			
		RO SRC	)				
008G2.2.25	Component Cooling Water	3.2 4.2		Knowledge of the bases in Technical Specifications for limiting conditions for operations and safety limits.			
010A2.02	Pressurizer Pressure Control	3.9 3.9		Spray valve failures			
026A2.03	Containment Spray	4.1 4.4		Failure of ESF			
•							
063G2.4.20	DC Electrical Distribution	3.8 4.3		Knowledge of operational implications of EOP warnings, cautions and notes.			
103A2 03	Containment	3.5 3.8		Phase A and B isolation			

	1	1				
4						
	3.0	<u>а</u> ,	i di	į,	,	





ES-401, R	IEV 9	S	SRO 1	<b>12G2 PWR EXAMINATION OUTLINE</b>	FORM ES-401-2				
KA	NAME / SAFETY FUNCTION:		IR	K1 K2 K3 K4 K5 K6 A1 A2 A3 A4 G	TOPIC:				
		RO	SRC	)					
028A2.01	Hydrogen Recombiner and Purge Control	3.4	3.6		Hydrogen recombiner power setting, determined by using plant data book				
068A2.03	Liquid Radwaste	2.5	2.6		Insufficient sampling frequency of the boric acid in the evaporator bottoms				
071G2.4.9	Waste Gas Disposal	3.8	4.2		Knowledge of low power / shutdown implications in accident (e.g. LOCA or loss of RHR) mitigation strategies.				







ES-401, F	REV 9		SRO	T3 PWR EXAMINATION OUTLINE	FORM ES-401-2				
КА	NAME / SAFETY FUNCTION:		IR	K1 K2 K3 K4 K5 K6 A1 A2 A3 A4 G	TOPIC:				
		RO	SRC	C					
G2.1.41	Conduct of operations	2.8	3.7		Knowledge of the refueling processes				
32.2.22	Equipment Control	4.0	4.7		Knowledge of limiting conditions for operations and safety limits.				
32.2.37	Equipment Control	3.6	4.6		Ability to determine operability and/or availability of safety related equipment				
32.3.6	Radiation Control	2.0	3.8		Ability to aprove release permits				
32.3.7	Radiation Control	3.5	3.6		Ability to comply with radiation work permit requirements during normal or abnormal conditions				
G2.4.18	Emergency Procedures/Plans	3.3	4.0		Knowledge of the specific bases for EOPs.				
G2.4.3	Emergency Procedures/Plans	3.7	3.9		Ability to identify post-accident instrumentation.				

# Record of Rejected K/As Watts Bar Nov. 2009 RO exam

Tier/ Group	Randomly Selected K/A	Reason for Rejection
1/1	015 AK1.03	Reactor Coolant Pump (RCP) Malfunctions Knowledge of the operational implications of the following concepts as they apply to Reactor Coolant Pump Malfunctions (Loss of RC Flow): AK1.03 The basis for operating at a reduced power level when one RCP is out of service.
		Replaced because Watts Bar procedures do not allow this operating configuration.
		Replaced by Chief Examiner on <u>08/04/09</u> with KA <u>AK1.02</u>
2/2	027 K1.01	Containment Iodine Removal System (CIRS) Knowledge of the physical connections and/or cause effect relationships between the CIRS and the following systems: CSS <i>Replaced - Watts Bar CSS has no interface with the iodine</i>
		removal function.
		Replaced by Chief Examiner on <u>08/04/09</u> with KA <u>K5.01</u>
2/2	033 A3.01	Spent Fuel Pool Cooling System (SFPCS) Ability to monitor automatic operation of the Spent Fuel Pool Cooling System including: Temperature control valves <b>Replaced because Watts Bar design is using manual valves only;</b> <i>there are no automatic temperature control valves for SFP</i> <i>cooling.</i>
		Replaced by Chief Examiner on <u>08/04/09</u> with KA <u>A2.03</u>
3	G 2.3.6	Ability to approve release permits. <i>Replaced - per alignment with Chief Examiner, it is not feasible to write an operationally valid question for an RO to approve release permits.</i>
		Replaced by Chief Examiner on <u>08/04/09</u> with KA <u>G2.3.4</u>

# Record of Rejected K/As Watts Bar 2009 SRO exam

Tier / Group	Randomly Selected K/A	Reason for Rejection
1/1	038 EG 2.2.4	Steam Generator Tube Rupture (multi-unit license) Ability to explain the variations in control board/control room layouts, systems, instrumentation, and procedural actions between units at a facility.
		Replaced because WBN is currently a single unit site and does not have multi-unit licenses.
		Replaced by Chief Examiner on <u>08/04/09</u> with KA <u>G2.2.44</u>
2/2	068 A2.03	Liquid Radwaste System (LRS) Ability to (a) predict the impacts of the following malfunctions or operations on the Liquid Radwaste System ; and (b) based on those predictions, use procedures to correct, control, or mitigate the consequences of those malfunctions or operations: Insufficient sampling frequency of the boric acid in the evaporator bottoms
		Replaced because WBN does not use boric acid evaporators.
		Replaced by Chief Examiner on <u>08/04/09</u> with KA <u>A2.04</u>
2/2	071 G 2.4.9	Waste Gas Disposal System (WGDS)
		Knowledge of low power/shutdown implications in accident (e.g., loss of coolant accident or loss of residual heat removal) mitigation strategies.
		Replaced - per alignment with Chief Examiner, not feasible to write an operationally valid question to match the K/A.
		Replaced by Chief Examiner on <u>08/04/09</u> with KA <u>G2.4.8</u>

## Written Examination Quality Checklist

Facility:	Watts Bar	Date of Exam: Nov/Dec 200	)9	Examle	vel: R				- <del>100 - 100 -</del>					
								Initial	· / "					
	Item Description     a     b"     C"       Questions and applying are technically accurate and applicable to the facility     All     If I													
1	Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.													
2.	a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available													
3.	SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401													
4.	The samplin repeated fro	g process was random and systematic (If m the last 2 NRC licensing exams, consu	more than 4 R	O or 2 SR program c	O que	estions were			tot					
5.	<ul> <li>5. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate:</li> <li> the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or</li> <li> the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or</li> <li> the examinations were developed independently; or</li> <li> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or</li> <li> other (explain)</li> </ul>													
6.	Bank use m	eets limits (no more than 75 percent	Bank	Modifi	ed	New	-							
	from the bar new or modi question dis	ik, at least 10 percent new, and the rest fied); enter the actual RO / SRO-only tribution(s) at right.	20% / 8%	25% / 2	28%	55% / 64%	SA		67					
7.	Between 50	and 60 percent of the questions	Memor	y		C/A								
	on the RO e analysis leve percent if the the higher o SRO questio	xam are written at the comprehension/ el; the SRO exam may exceed 60 e randomly selected K/As support ognitive levels; enter the actual RO / on distribution(s) at right.	47% / 36	i%		53 / 64%	KOH-		ŧŢ					
8.	References/	handouts provided do not give away ans	wers or aid in t	ne elimina	ation o	f distractors.	8A	•	167					
9.	Question co outline and i	ntent conforms with specific K/A statements appropriate for the tier to which they are	nts in the previo e assigned; dev	ously appr iations ar	oved o e justi	examination fied.	NON	-	63					
10.	Question ps	ychometric quality and format meet the g	uidelines in ES	Appendix	в.		PH-	•	15					
11.	The exam c and agrees	ontains the required number of one-point, with the value on the cover sheet.	multiple choice	e items; 1	the tot	al is correct	NA	-	67					
Printed Name / Signature     Date       a. Author     Darrell D. Hensley     11/12/09       b. Facility Reviewer (*)     Steve R. Smith /     11/12/09       c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)     Edwin Lea, J. / Edwin Lea,														

### Written Examination Review Worksheet

### Form ES-401-9

Instructions [Refer to Section D of ES-401 and Appendix B for additional information regarding each of the following concepts.] 1. Enter the level of knowledge (LOK) of each question as either (F)undamental or (H)igher cognitive level. 2. Enter the level of difficulty (LOD) of each question using a 1-5 (easy - difficult) rating scale (questions in the 2-4 range are acceptable). 3. Check the appropriate box if a psychometric flaw is identified: The stem lacks sufficient focus to elicit the correct answer (e.g., unclear intent, more information is needed, or too much needless information). The stem or distractors contain cues (i.e., clues, specific determiners, phrasing, length, etc). The answer choices are a collection of unrelated true/false statements. The distractors are not credible; single implausible distractors should be repaired, more than one is unacceptable. One or more distractors is (are) partially correct (e.g., if the applicant can make unstated assumptions that are not contradicted by stem). Check the appropriate box if a job content error is identified: 4. The question is not linked to the job requirements (i.e., the question has a valid K/A but, as written, is not operational in content). The question requires the recall of knowledge that is too specific for the closed reference test mode (i.e., it is not required to be known from memory). The question contains data with an unrealistic level of accuracy or inconsistent units (e.g., panel meter in percent with question in gallons). The question requires reverse logic or application compared to the job requirements. 5. Check guestions that are sampled for conformance with the approved K/A and those that are designated SRO-only (K/A and license level mismatches are unacceptable). 6. Enter guestion source: (B)ank, (M)odified, or (N)ew. Check that (M)odified guestions meet criteria of ES-401 Section D.2.f. 7. Based on the reviewer's judgment, is the question as written (U)nsatisfactory (requiring repair or replacement), in need of (E)ditorial enhancement, or (S)atisfactory? 8 At a minimum, explain any "U" ratings (e.g., how the Appendix B psychometric attributes are not being met).

2

	1.	2.	3	8. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	/s	4.	Job Con	tent Fl	aws	5. C	5. Other 6.		7.	8.
Q#	LOK (F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
					e -						RO	QUE	STIC	ONS		
1	Н	2												м	S	(OK)
2	Н	3	x	x										N	E	Stem uses valves and the distractors use dampers. Identify correct term. The distractors use Green in one and Red in three. Use green in a second distractor. (MODIFIED DISTRACTORS AS REQUESTED) (OK)
З	F	2		х										м	S/E	No need to provided assumption (REMOVED ASSUMPTION) (OK)
4	F	2												м	s	(OK)
5	Н	2	×											N	S/E	Consider changing the stem to read as a two part question (1 & 2). WOOTF identifies how (1) And (2) (MODIFIED STEM AND DISTRACTOR – MINOR) (OK)
6	н	3												N	S	(OK)
7	F	2												N	S	(OK)
8	F	2				x	1							м	E	Distractor D is not plausible. What is "continue to throttle?" Open/Close? Fully open/Fully close? (MODIFIED STEM AND DISTRACTORS) (OK)
9	н	3												N	S	(OK)
10	н	2												N	S	(OK)
11	н	3												N	S	(OK)
12	н	3	X			×								N	U/E	Missing words in stem and distractors (grammar). Based on the information provided, I am not sure there is a correct answer. Look at actions identified in steps 8 & 9. What conclusion would the applicant come too based on the information provided? (CHANGES WORDS IN BOTH STEM AND DISTRACTORS) (OK)

	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	/s	4.	Job Con	tent Fl	aws	5. C	other	6.	7.	8.
Q#	LOK (F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
											RO	QUE	STIC	ONS		
13	13	3												N	U	If a SBO has occurred, then why would distractors A and B be credible? If the 161 KV system is the normal offsite.supply, then by the definition of an SBO you have already told the applicant that the 161 KV system is not available. (CHANGED STEM AND DISTRACTORS) (OK)
14	н	2												М	S	(OK)
15	н	3												в	S	(OK)
16	F	2	х											В	S/E	Question is difficult to read. Consider writing stem to ask two part question and revise distractors to reflect two part answer. (CHANGED DISTRACTORS) (OK)
17	F	3												N	S	(OK)
18	н	3												м	s	(OK)
19	н	3	х											В	Е	Stem is not clear. Check grammar. Need additional information to show plausibility of distractors valve lineups. Explain why closing selected valves would be plausible. ((CHANGES MADE TO DISTRACTOR/STEM) (OK)
20	н	2												В	s	(OK)
21	Н	3	×									x		N	U	Explain why you consider this a K/A match. Does actuation of the alarms constitute entry into the EOPs? <u>(SHOULD NOT HAVE BEEN A U KA MATCHESMODIFIED STEM – LOTS OF DISCUSION ON THIS QUESTION) (OK)</u>
22	F	2	х											N	S/E	Consider rewriting stem. Unit ? is a 100% power with a LRRIP when the following alarm(s) are received (DETERMINE THAT K/A DID NOT MATCH 11/3) 11/4 WROTE NEW QUESTION) (OK)
23	F	2												N	s	(OK)
24	F	3												N	s	(OK)
25	Н	3	x											N	S?	The conditions in the stem (RCS pressure at 1630 psig and PZR Level at 11%) do not seem consistent with an inadvertent SI. Would you expect to have low RCS pressure and low PZR level with an inadvertent SI? (MADE CHANGES TO THE STEM AND DISTRACTORS) (OK)

	1.	2.	3	8. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	/S	4.	Job Con	tent Fl	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.	8.
Q#	LOK (F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
								1 1			RO	QUE	STIC	DNS		
26	н	3		х		x								N	υ	Wrong procedure number in stem (FR-H.2 -vs- FR-H.3).
																According to ES—0.1, 1-HS-3-45, MFW Mode Switch only gets placed in "LONG CYCLE RECIRC" position if AFW flow is established to the SGs. Don't understand why applicants would think the second part of choices C and D would be plausible since AFW flow would already be established with MFW in Long Cycle Recirc.
																Unable to locate a procedural action/step which states "Supply AFW into the steam space." Is this an RO question – action (s) very specific and located deep into procedure? (MADE SHANGES TO THE STEM AS SUGGESTED) (OK)
27	н	3	х			×								м	U	Improve stem. Appears to be confusing. There also appear to be two correct answers B & D. (STEM DID NOT HAVE TWO CORRECT ANSERS. MADE CHANGES TO DISTRACTOR) *OK)
28	F	3												М	s	(OK)
29	н	3	×			х								N	S/E	Consider rewording 2 <sup>nd</sup> half of 'C' and 'D'. As written it appears confusing. Consider: "Letdown temperature will NOT change because the failure results in blocking the input to the valve controller. (MADE MINOR CHANGES) (OK)
30	F	2	x			x								м	E	Consider rewording the stem and revising distractors to better match K/A. In the stem consider asking the applicant to provide the procedure and add procedures to the distractors. (REWORDED STEM AND DISTRACTORS) (OK)
31	н	2												N,	s	(OK)
32	Н	2				x								N	U	Explain why "Subcooling remain constant" is plausible after stopping a pump. <u>(SHOUND NOT HAVE BEEN A U CHANGED STEM)</u> (OK)
33	F	1				x								N	E	LOD. Distractor D is the only distractor that does not have 0-L-2 panel identified. Consider rewriting the question such that the applicant is given a set of condition and must take actions or identify the actions that should be taken and where the actions are performed. (MADE MINOR CHANGES TO THE DISTRACTORS (OK)
34	F	2												N	s	(OK)

	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flav	vs	4.	Job Con	tent Fl	aws	5. C	other	6.	7.	8.
Q#	LOK (F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
		e de sole Noise de so									RO	QUE	STIC	DNS		
35	F	2	X	X		x								Ν	Е	Is there an alarm received? If an alarm is received, what actions will the operator be directed to take. At what level will the alarm come in? Will it come in before the auto action occurs? Consider identifying the alarm in the stem. The fact that "on low level in Surge Tank" in choices "A" and "B" is not included, could possibly cue the applicant to exclude them. Consider moving "on low level in Surge Tank" into the stem after the first fill-in-the-blank and remove from choices "C" and "D". (MINOR CHANGES) (OK)
36	F	2												N	S	(OK)
37	F	2												N	S	(OK)
38	F	2	х											N	E	The stem is confusing. Consider asking the applicant what could cause the alarm. How and where would you go to determine what caused the alarm? (CHANGED AS SUGGESTED) (OK)
39	Н	3												N	S	(OK)
40	F	2												N	s	(OK)
41	F	2				х								М	E	D not plausible. The word operated instead of opened or closed has the potential to make all 4 answers incorrect. If FCV-72-40 were partially open for whatever reason, can I close it with the suction valve from the RWST open? (CHANGED DISTRACTOR, MINOR CHANGE TO STEM) (OK)
42	Н	2				x								М	U	With RCS temp comparable to about 370 psig, Stm Line Low Pressure causing an isolation (when it hasn't already) is not plausible. This x2 is unsat. Will an open PORV reduce pressure at 100/50 sec when at 370 psig? Rec: Make RCS pressure ~ 1900 psig? (MADE CHANGES TO PRESSURE AND TEMP) (OK)
43	Н	2				x								М	U	Tc and power changing in the same direction is not plausible x2 is unsat. Rec; Does COLR have a power that your not allowed above without a negative $\alpha$ Tmod (like 80% or so). If so, make this question immediately after a refueling outage to add the potential of being overmoderated OR maybe after stabilizing, power will be: Pzr level will be: Power will be:(SHOULD NOT HAVE BEEN A U – ONLY MINOR CHANGES NEEDED) (OK)

	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	tric Flaw	vs	4.	Job Con	tent Fl	aws	5. C	Other	6.	7.	8.					
Q#	LOK (F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation					
				4	4						RO	QUE	STIC	ONS							
44	F	2												N	S	Verity that: As power is increasing, the STBY MFW pump autostart is armed at 67% and the MFW pump auto runback is armed at 85%. (PROVIDED PROOF) (O)					
45	Н	2										x		N	U/E	What procedure is being used to correct, control or mitigate per th K/A? Do I need SG levels? What levels does AFW maintain in this condition? <u>(MINOR CHANGES) (OK)</u>					
46	F	2				x			-					N	U/E	B & D not plausible. My choices are electrical trouble or a fire. It would not make sense to start more fans on either. Need better 2 <sup>r</sup> half for B & D. (MADE MINOR CHANGES TO STEM) (OK)					
47	Н	2										x		Ν	U	What procedure is being used to correct, control or mitigate per the K/A? Rec: State what procedure is used to mitigate this event. The procedure provided: SOI-211.04 states 0-FCV-67-152 MAY reposition. It needs to have a component that will reposition or not reposition, i.e. no gray space. (ONLY MINOR CHANGES REQUIRED – SHOULD NOT HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED AS UNSAT) (OK)					
48	н	2												В	S	(OK)					
49	F	2				x								М	U/E	Why would I think that a loss of power to DC Batt Bd 2 (A & B) would cause DC TB Dist Bd 1 to switch? X2 = unsat. (MADE MINOR CHANGES MADE TO DISTRACTOR) (OK)					
50	F	1										x		В	U	It doesn't predict or monitor anything. It asks a trip setpt. Rec: Give some condition that will lead to some type of trip. (CHANGED WORDING IN STEM AND DISTRACTORS) (OK)					
51	F	2				×								В	U/E	Explain how manual is plausible. Rec: Ask about the pump and level or a leak and makeup capacity. Something more along the line of cause and effect.(DECIDED TO USE A BACKUP QUESTION) (OK)					
52	F	2										x		М	U	The KA asks for the effect of a malfunction on a Rad Release. The question states the effect and asks how to restore. Rec: Drop the last two bullets and ask about valve repositioning. (REWROTE QUESTION) (OK)					
53	F	2												N	Е	Should state: an ERCW pump that can be selected to start. (REWORDED STEM) (OK)					

	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flav	vs	4.	Job Con	tent Fl	aws	5. C	Other	6.	7.	8.				
Q#	LOK (F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation				
											RO	QUE	STIC	ONS						
54	Н	2				x								В	U/E	Explain why the spray valve failing open is plausible. Something more than "other valves fail open". This is real close to a subset issue. Rec: Can we give a pressure and ask for valve position? (REWROTE QUESTION TO ADDRESS CONCERNS) (OK)				
55	F	2												N	S	(OK)				
56	Н	3												N	E	No reference for rod insertion limit? Change "drops" to lowers or decreases (MADE CHANGES AS DISCUSSED) (OK)				
57	Н	2												N	E	Should state IAW AOI 29. Should state the level (something less than 748') (MADE MINOR CHANGES TO THE STEM) (OK).				
58	F	3						x						N	Е	Make sure that "spent fuel shuffles being conducted in the Spent Fuel Pit". Is the same as the TS applicability "during movement of irradiated fuel assemblies" RO's are typically not required to know information below the line unless < 1 HR TS. Is this an RO objective? C should be "either" instead of "both". (LICENSEE STATED THAT THIS IS AN RO QUESTION) (OK)				
59	F	2				×								М	U	Distractors C and D are not plausible. (CHANGED FIRST PART OF DISTRACTORS C&D) (OK)				
60	Н	2	×											N	U	As written there could be two correct answers " A or B. <u>(PROVIDED</u> INFORMATION WHICH INDICATED THAT DISTRACTOR A WOULD NOT BE CORRECT. SHOULD NOT BE A U. SHOULD HAVE BEEN GIVEN AN S) (OK)"				
61	Н	2													S	(OK)				
62	н	2													S	(OK)				
63	Н	2	x			×								М	U	Will the alarm result in a decrease in condenser vacuum? Potentially no correct answers. If no operator actions are taken, would the 1B pump not trip? If it trips, distractor A is correct. Is there sufficient information in the stem? (MADE MINOR CHANGES) (OK)				
64	н	2	х												S/E	What type of malfunction? Do you need to identify a malfunction? (ADDED INSTRUNMENT MALFUNCTION) (OK)				
65	F	2											x	N	U	K/A mismatch. K/A ask for hazards to personnel as a result of fire type and methods of protection. <u>(CONVENSED US THAT THE</u> QUESTION DID MATCH THE K/A) (K/A)				

	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	/s	4.	Job Con	tent Fl	aws	5. C	other	6.	7.	8.
Q#	LOK (F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
											RŌ	QUE	STIC	ONS		
66	F	2												в	s	(ОК)
67	F	2	х			×								м	S/E	The stem does not match all of the distractors. The stem ask the applicant to identify two components. TD AFW speed is not a component. (MODIFIED – REMOVE SPEED AS A COMPONENT) (OK)
68	н	2												В	S	(OK)
69	F	2				×								N	U/E	Is there a correct answer? I could not locate a CC in your procedure. The second half of the distractors is week. Rewrite.(4 TVA GUYS STATED THAT IS "CC" AND "AC" IS USED AT THE PLANT – WILL PROVIDE INFORMATION AT SITE) (OK)
70	н	2												М	S	(OK)
71	н	2	х			х			-					N	S/E	Consider rewording the stem to read:
																which ONE of the following describes:
										-						(1) why the sump pumps will be operated locally and (2) if Remove "Operated locally" form A-D. (Explain why 1 <sup>st</sup> part of C&D is plausible) (MADE CHANGES TO THE QUESTION) (OK)
72	F	2										x		В	U/E	Weak K/A match. Write the question to show that the applicant has a knowledge/understanding of the various limits. There is no evident what limit the applicant has to have knowledge of to answer this question. For the given condition, would you expect anyone else to make decisions about authorizing/giving final decision to allow additional exposure to perform the job. (REWROTE QUESTION) (OK)
73	F	1												В	S/E	LOD. Consider revising question. (REVISED QUESTION) (OK)
74	F	2												В	s	(OK)
75	F	2												N	S	(OK)

	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	/S	4.	Job Con	tent Fi	aws	5. C	other	6.	7.	8.
Q#	LOK (F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
											SRO	QU	ESTI	ONS	2	
76	Н		x											N	Е	Consider rewriting the stem. Identify the annunciators that are lit and have the applicant determine how the system should respond and have them identify what procedure to select. You can also ask why the procedure is selected. REWORDED STEM IN OFFICE (OK)
77			х			x								N	Е	Check grammar in the stem and the distractors. (had existed for but) (MADE TO STEM –MUCH MOR E READIBLE) (OK)
78		x				x								В	U/E	Check grammar in the stem. Distractor A is not plausible and distractor D C may be a correct answer. (CHANGED DISTRACTOR C) (OK)
79	F	2	X											Μ	E	Consider rewriting the stem. If stem is rewritten, write distractors to match. Example: "In accordance with Technical Specification BASES, based on the given plant conditions which ONE of the following identifies (1) why the 125V DC Vital Battery Channel II in INOPERABLE, AND (2) how long Battery [] is designed to be able to maintain greater than the minimum terminal voltage for the given plant conditions?" Delete the "Declare Battery Channel II INOPERABLE because of " statements from all distractors; the WOOTF already states that the battery is inoperable. (CHANGED INFORMATION IN STEM AND DISTRACTORS) (OK)
80	Н	3												Ν	S	Check grammar in the stem. (CORRECTED GRAMMAR) (OK)
81	F	2	X									X	X	Ν	Е	Stem is confusing. Explain K/A match. Not SRO-level knowledge; first part of question is systems knowledge, second part of question can be eliminated by knowing ECA-0.0 entry conditions (major EOP entry conditions RO-level knowledge). Four non-plausible distractors; it is common knowledge that narrow range S/G levels go offscale low on every trip from 100% power; it is common knowledge that narrow range S/G level instrumentation is used throughout the EOPs/post- accident conditions. Question is UNSAT based on above discussions. (REWROTE STEM – EXPLAINED K/A MATCH ) (OK)

	1.	2.	3	8. Psyc	homet	ric Flav	vs	4.	Job Con	tent Fl	aws	5. C	Other	6.	7.	8.				
Q#	LOK (F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation				
											SRO	QU	ESTI	ONS						
82	Н	3				×								N	S/E	Explain why performing a risk assessment is plausible as used in distractors C and D. (CHANGED WORDING IN THE STEM AN THE DISTRACTORS ) (OK)				
83	F	2	x			×								N	U/E	Stem says that there is an impact. The answer B and distractor state "no impact." Rewrite stem to match distractor/answer. Ma sure A and C are not correct. (RE WORDED STEM AND CORRECTED DISTRACTOR) (OK).				
84	F	2					1					[		М	S	(OK)				
85	F	2				x								N	U	Distractors A is also correct based on 20.f.RNO of ES-0.2 (WROTE NEW QUESTION ) (OK).				
86			x			x							×	N	U	Reword the stem such that it ask for the bases as identified in the K/A. Based on the current plant conditions, which LCO should be entered, identify the actions that should be taken and the bases for taking the actions. Make sure that there is a direct tie to bases. (QUESTION WAS DETERMINED TO BE SAT, ONLY MINOR CHANGES WERE MADE) (OK).				
87	Н	2				x									U	There appear to be two correct answers. Both A and B. If your can not established pressure control with the PORV, you are required to implement ECA-3.3 (MADE MINOR CHANGES TO THE QUESTION – AND DECIDED THAT QUESTION SHOULD NOT BE A UNSAT.) (OK)				
88	Н		X			x									E	<ul> <li>Stem needs to be re worded (check gramma). Consider using the following distractors:</li> <li>A. PDT-30-43 is required to be placed in the TRIPPED position; Subsequent testing of PDT-30-44 will still allow a valid automatic Containment Spray actuation to occur</li> <li>B. PDT-30-43 is required to be placed in the TRIPPED position; Subsequent testing of PDT-30-44 will prevent a valid automatic Containment Spray actuation from occurring.</li> <li>C. PDT-30-43 is required to be placed in the BYPASS position; Subsequent testing of PDT-30-44 will still allow a valid automatic Containment Spray actuation to occur</li> <li>D. PDT-30-43 is required to be placed in the BYPASS position; Subsequent testing of PDT-30-44 will still allow a valid automatic Containment Spray actuation to occur</li> <li>D. PDT-30-43 is required to be placed in the BYPASS position; Subsequent testing of PDT-30-44 will prevent a valid automatic Containment Spray actuation from occurring. (MADE MODIFICATIONS ) (OK)</li> </ul>				

	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	home	tric Flav	/S	4.	Job Con	tent Fl	aws	5. C	other	6.	7.	8.
Q#	LOK (F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
											SRO	QU	ESTI	ONS		
89	F	2											x	В	U	Not SRO only question. Appears that question can be answered with system only knowledge. (DECIDET TO ACCEPT QUESTION BASED ON THE FACT THAT ACTIONS ARE ONLY TAKEN AT THE DIRECTION OF THE SRO. MADE MINOR CHANGES TO THE STEM.) (OK)
90	Н	2											x	N	U	It appears that the question can be answered with system knowledge only and the fact that the RO would know that LCO 3.6.12 is not applicable for the current mode. Suggest testing the applicant knowledge of which surveillance test is allowed to be conducted ["B" Phase test] and any associated action statements that are required to conduct the surveillance test. [i.e., link question to Tech Specs versus procedure selection.] (WROTE NEW QUESTIONS) (OK)
91	н	2												N	S	(MADE MINOR CHANGES TO THE STEM) (OK)
92	F	1	x									x		N	U	LOD. Could not locate information which indicated that release would auto terminate. Can not see how this is a K/A match. Explain how making a notification meets the requirement of using procedures to correct, control, or mitigate the consequences? (MADE CHANGES TO DISTRACTORS ) (OK) SHOULD NOT HAVE BEEN A U. TAKE A LOOK AT THIS QUESTION
93	Н	2	x			x								N	U/E	Distractors poorly written. Unnecessary words. Consider re-writing the stem. Ask for status of the release and what procedure should be implemented based on the given conditions. Please identify the alarm number. Need to determine if there are any automatic actions associated with the condition. There may be two correct answers, C and D. (MADE CHANGES TO DISTRACTORS; DID NOT HAVE TWO CORRECT ANSWERS) SHOULD NOT HAVE BEEN A U/E (OK)
94	н	2											x	м	U	It appears that this question can be answered with system knowledge only. If a support system of the operating train is declared inoperable, would you not expect the RO to know that work in progress should be stopped to evaluate conditions? (CONVENSED US THAT THIS WAS AN SRO ONLY QUESTION. SHOULD NOT HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED AS UNSAT). (OK)
95	Н	2												М	S	(OK)
96	н	3	×											м	E/S	Question is OK. Consider rewording the stem (IMPROVED THE STEM) (OK)

	1.	2.	3	3. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	/S	4.	Job Con	tent Fl	aws	5. C	Other	6.	7.	8.
Q#	LOK (F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	B/M/N	U/E/S	Explanation
										9	SRO	QU	ESTI	ONS		
97	н	2	×			x							x	м	U/E	Who is responsible for performing this procedure? I am not sure this is SRO only. What must be done if 0-RM is inoperable – is this not common knowledge? (CONVENSED US THAT IS SRO - SHOULD BE AN "E") (OK)
98	н	2	×			×								N	U	Parts of distractors C and D do not appear to be plausible. It appears that the stem is cueing that correct answer should have include a time requirement. On two distractors have time limitations. Who is responsible for performing (CHANGED STEM AND DISTRACTORS) (OK)
99			×			x								м	E	In stem (1) identify maximum rate of depressurization. Reword distractors to match stem. This appears to be three part question. Distractors should reflect questions asked. Use 15% instead of 10%. (MADE MINOR CHANGES TO THE STEM AND CHANGED NUMBERS IN DISTRACTORS) (OK)
100	F		×											N	S/E	Re-write stem. Stem is confusing (ENHANCED STEM) (OK)

### Written Examination Grading Quality Checklist

Fac	ility: Walts Bar Date of Exam: 12/17/2009Exam	Level: R	0 🗹 S	RO
			Initials	
	Item Description	a	b	с
1.	Clean answer sheets copied before grading	B	N/A	1.L
2.	Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	( N/A		N/A
3.	Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	Þ		tot
4.	Grading for all borderline cases (80 $\pm$ 2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, $\pm$ 4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	N/A		N/A
5.	All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	Þ		E.L
6.	Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	ß		KJ.
	Printed Name/Signature	1	C	Date
а.	Grader KENNETH SCHAAF Bur 10 Feling	<u>/</u>	1-5	-10
b.	Facility Reviewer(*) <u>N/A</u>	_		
c. I	NRC Chief Examiner (*) Coulin Star fr Edwin Lez, t	Īr	1/6	12010
d.	NRC Supervisor (*) <u>Marcolle T. Widmann</u> (	<u>}</u>	_ 01/0	6/2010
(*)	The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations two independent NRC reviews are required.	s graded	by the	NRC;