March 29, 2010

Susan H. Shapiro, Esq.
21 Pearlman Drive
Spring Valley, New York 10977

Dear Ms. Shapiro:

In a letter to you dated March 16, 2010, the Secretary of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) responded to your submission, dated March 1, 2010, entitled "Objection to Finding of Adequate Decommissioning Funds, Petition to Repeal Finding of Adequate Decommissioning Funds, Petition to Reopen For Consideration, Petition for Leave to Intervene and Request for Hearing, and Contentions." In her letter, the Secretary referred your submission to the NRC's Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR) for appropriate action. The NRR staff has previously reviewed the status of the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 2 decommissioning fund, and on December 28, 2009, issued a letter stating that the decommissioning fund currently meets the applicable NRC regulations.

On March 22, 2010, John Boska, a member of my staff, offered you the opportunity to present your petition to the NRR Petition Review Board as a petition for enforcement action against an NRC licensee under the provisions of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), Section 2.206. You declined to participate in the 10 CFR 2.206 process. Therefore, the NRC will take no further action on your submission.

Thank you for your interest in these matters.

Sincerely,

/RA/ R. Nelson for/

Joseph G. Giitter, Director
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-247

March 29, 2010

Susan H. Shapiro, Esq.
21 Pearlman Drive
Spring Valley, New York 10977

Dear Ms. Shapiro:

In a letter to you dated March 16, 2010, the Secretary of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) responded to your submission, dated March 1, 2010, entitled "Objection to Finding of Adequate Decommissioning Funds, Petition to Repeal Finding of Adequate Decommissioning Funds, Petition to Reopen For Consideration, Petition for Leave to Intervene and Request for Hearing, and Contentions." In her letter, the Secretary referred your submission to the NRC's Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR) for appropriate action. The NRR staff has previously reviewed the status of the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 2 decommissioning fund, and on December 28, 2009, issued a letter stating that the decommissioning fund currently meets the applicable NRC regulations.

On March 22, 2010, John Boska, a member of my staff, offered you the opportunity to present your petition to the NRR Petition Review Board as a petition for enforcement action against an NRC licensee under the provisions of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), Section 2.206. You declined to participate in the 10 CFR 2.206 process. Therefore, the NRC will take no further action on your submission.

Thank you for your interest in these matters.

Sincerely,

/RA/ R. Nelson for/

Joseph G. Giitter, Director
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-247

DISTRIBUTION: G20100159/EDATS:SECY-2010-0153

PUBLIC	LPL1-1 R/F	RidsNrrDorl	RidsNrrDorlLpl1-1
RidsNrrDorlDpr	RidsNrrOd	RidsNrrMailCenter	RidsNrrPMIndianPoint
RidsOGCRp	RidsNrrLASLittle	RidsRgn1MailCenter	RidsEdoMailCenter
RidsOpaMail	RidsOcaMailCenter	RidsSecyMailCenter	

ADAMS ACCESSION NOS.: Package: ML100820305 Incoming: ML100770434
Letter: ML100820277

OFFICE	LPL1-1/PM	LPL1-2/LA	LPL1-1/BC	DORL/D	
NAME	JBoska	ABaxter	NSalgado	RNelson for JGiitter	
DATE	3/29/10	3/29/10	3/29/10	3/29/10	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY