UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION II
SAM NUNN ATLANTA FEDERAL CENTER
61 FORSYTH STREET, SW, SUITE 23T85
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303-8931

March 18, 2010

Gregory Smith, Chief Operating Officer
and Chief Nuclear Officer
National Enrichment Facility
P.O. Box 1789
Eunice, NM 88231

SUBJECT: NRC INSPECTION REPORT NO. 70-3103/2009-008

Dear Mr. Smith:

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) conducted operational readiness review inspections associated with the emergency preparedness program activities of the Louisiana Energy Services, L.L.C., National Enrichment Facility (LES NEF). The inspection was conducted on December 7-10, 2009, January 19-21, and continued in-office through February 18, 2010. The purpose of the inspection was to evaluate the implementation and state of readiness of the emergency preparedness program associated with initial plant operations to determine if activities could be conducted safely and in accordance with NRC requirements and your license requirements. The enclosed inspection report documents the inspection results that were discussed with you and other members of your staff on December 10, 2009, January 21, and February 18, 2010.

The operational readiness review inspection involved an examination of activities conducted under your license as they relate to safety and compliance with the Commission's rules and regulations and conditions of your license. The inspections consisted of emergency exercise evaluations, examinations of selected calculations, drawings, procedures, and interviews with personnel.

Based on the results of these inspections, no findings of significance or violations of regulatory requirements were identified.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.390 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," this document may be accessed through the NRC's public electronic reading room, Agency-Wide Document Access and Management System (ADAMS) on the internet at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html.

Should you have any questions concerning this letter, please contact me at (404) 562-0556.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Deborah A. Seymour, Chief
Construction Projects Branch 1
Division of Construction Projects

Docket No. 70-3103
License No. SNM-2010

Enclosure: NRC Inspection Report 70-3103/2009-008

cc w/encl:
Daniel F. Stenger, Counsel
Hogan & Hartson
555 13th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Carlos Romero, Chief
Radiation Control Bureau
Field Operations Division
Environment Department
Harold S. Runnels Building
1190 St. Francis Drive, Room S 2100
P. O. Box 26110
Santa Fe, NM 87502

Richard A. Ratliff, PE, LMP
Radiation Program Officer
Bureau of Radiation Control
Department of State Health Services
Division for Regulatory Services
1100 West 49th Street
Austin, TX 78756-3189

Alton Dunn, Mayor of Jal
P.O. Box Drawer 340
Jal, NM 88252

cc w/encl: (Cont'd on page 3)

(cc w/encl: cont'd)
John Goldstein, Deputy Secretary
New Mexico Department of Environment
Office of the Secretary
1190 St. Francis Drive
P. O. Box 26110
Sante Fe, NM 87502-0157

Matt White, Mayor
City of Eunice
P.O. Box 147/1106 Ave J
Eunice, NM 88231

Gary Don Reagan, Mayor
City of Hobbs
200 E. Broadway
Hobbs, NM 88240

Gary Schubert, Chairman
Lea County Commissioners
100 North Main
Lovington, NM 88260

Daniel F. Stenger, Counsel
Hogan & Hartson
555 13th Street, NW
Washington DC 20004

cc email distribution w/encl:
Reinhard Hinterreither, President
National Enrichment Facility
Electronic Mail Distribution

Brenda Brooks, Director
Community Affairs and Government
Relations
National Enrichment Facility
Electronic Mail Distribution

Gary Sanford, Quality & Regulatory
Affairs Director
National Enrichment Facility
Electronic Mail Distribution

Distribution w/encl: (See page 4)

Distribution w/encl:
B. Smith, NMSS
T. Naquin, NMSS
R. Croteau, RII
T. Gody, RII
J. Shea, RII,
E. Cobey, RII
D. Seymour, RII
J. Henson, RII
D. Hartland, RII
C. Taylor, RII
PUBLIC

X PUBLICLY AVAILABLE ☐ NON-PUBLICLY AVAILABLE ☐ SENSITIVE X NON-SENSITIVE

ADAMS: X Yes ACCESSION NUMBER:_ML X SUNSI REVIEW COMPLETE

OFFICE	RII:DFFI	RII:DFFI	RII:DFFI	RII: DCP			
SIGNATURE	JH 3/18/10	AG 3/15/10	AG for 3/15/10	CT 3/18/10			
NAME	J. Henson	A. Gooden	P. Glenn	C. Taylor			
DATE	3/ /2010	3/ /2010	3/ /2010	3/ /10			
E-MAIL COPY?	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO	YES NO

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY DOCUMENT NAME G:\FFBII\REPORTS\Draft Inspection Report Folder\LES\LES IR 2009-008rev0.docm

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION II

Docket:	70-3103
License:	SNM-2010
Report:	70-3103/2009-008
Licensee:	Louisiana Energy Services, L.L.C.
Inspection Dates:	December 7 through 10, 2009 (onsite inspection), January 19 through 21, 2010 (onsite inspection), January 25 through February 18, 2010 (in-office inspection)
Inspectors:	A. Gooden, Senior (Sr.) Fuel Facility Inspector, Fuel Facility Inspection Branch (FFIB1), Division of Fuel Facility Inspection (DFFI), Region II (RII) C. Taylor, Sr. Project Construction Inspector, Construction Projects Branch 1, (CPB1), Division of Construction Projects (DCP), RII P. Glenn, Fuel Facility Inspector, FFIB1, DFFI, Region II
Accompanying Personnel:	D. Failla, Trainee, Construction Inspection Branch 3 (CIB3), Division of Construction Inspection (DCI), RII
Approved:	D. Seymour, Chief, Construction Projects Branch 1, Division of Construction Projects, RII

Enclosure

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Louisiana Energy Services, L.L.C., National Enrichment Facility (LES NEF)
Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Inspection Report 70-3103/2009-008

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) conducted operational readiness review inspections associated with the emergency preparedness program activities for LES NEF. On site inspections were conducted on December 7-10, 2009 and January 19-21, 2010. An in-office inspection was conducted through February 18, 2010. On February 18, 2010, a formal exit was held with the licensee to discuss the inspection findings. The purpose of the inspection was to assess the implementation and state of readiness of the licensee's emergency preparedness program to determine if the site was capable of performing those functions that provide reasonable assurance that adequate protective measures can and will be taken to protect the health and safety of the public and workers in the event of an emergency. The inspection also included the observation and evaluation of a full scale exercise and a remedial exercise involving onsite and offsite emergency response personnel, a review of selected records, and interviews with various plant personnel and offsite support organizations. The inspection results were as follows:

- Based on documentation reviewed and interviews with members of the licensee's staff, a change control program was in place to assess the impact of changes on the effectiveness of the emergency preparedness program. The changes reviewed by the inspectors did not negatively impact the emergency preparedness program. An independent audit provided an adequate assessment regarding the state of readiness of the emergency preparedness program (Section 2a).

- Examples were noted and discussed with the site contact where emergency procedures contained guidance that was inconsistent with the Emergency Plan (EP). In response, the licensee took immediate appropriate corrective actions to resolve any discrepancies between the EP, operations procedures, and emergency preparedness implementing procedures (EPIPs). Inspectors reviewed and determined that the corrective actions were acceptable. In addition, revised procedures that were reviewed did not result in a decrease in the effectiveness of the Plan (Section 2b).

- Training for other key emergency response personnel was performed in accordance with the Plan and training implementing procedure. The combination of drills and instructions pertaining to the Plan and procedures changes provided an adequate level of training to maintain the proficiency of emergency personnel regarding response to postulated site accidents (Section 2c).

- Based on documentation and discussions with selected offsite contacts, the site Emergency Preparedness Coordinator was periodically contacting offsite support organizations on matters involving emergency preparedness to include training, site tours, agreement updates, and participation in exercises. The licensee had established mutual aid agreements with local offsite responders (Section 2d).

- The accident scenarios postulated for drills and exercises provided adequate challenges to the emergency organization to maintain proficiency in the implementation of the emergency program. The critiques provided candid assessments of the response and items requiring corrective actions were tracked informally as lessons-learned to be entered into the licensee's Action Items Tracking (AIT) system (Section 2e).

- Based on operability checks, and a review of surveillance documentation, the emergency response equipment, instrumentation, and supplies were maintained in sufficient quantities and a state of operational readiness (Section 2f).

- The licensee completed corrective actions in response to the full-scale exercise held December 8, 2009, and conducted a limited scope exercise on January 20, 2010. Based on observations from the limited scope exercise, the corrective actions resolved previous performance observations and resulted in an adequate response to the postulated accident conditions (Section 3).

Attachment:
Persons Contacted
Inspection Procedures Used
List of Items Opened, Closed, and Discussed
List of Acronyms Used
List of Documents Reviewed

REPORT DETAILS

1.0 Summary of Site Activities

The licensee continued to perform ongoing concrete, structural steel, and welding activities at Louisiana Energy Services, L.L.C., National Enrichment Facility (LES NEF)

2.0 Emergency Preparedness (Inspection Procedure (IP)(88050)

a. Review of Program Changes (F3.01 and F3.07)

(1) Inspection Scope and Observations

The inspections were performed to determine if a formalized change control program was in place to review and approve changes to the licensee's Emergency Plan (EP), organization, facilities, and equipment. The inspectors also assessed the adequacy of the program to review changes for impact on the effectiveness of the emergency preparedness program. The adequacy of the emergency preparedness audit as required by Section 7.5 of the Emergency Plan was also evaluated.

The inspectors reviewed procedures, EP-3-0300-01, Maintaining Emergency Preparedness, Revision (Rev.) 2 and EP-3-1000-02, 10 Code of Federal (CFR) Regulations 70.32 and 10 CFR 40.34 Change Evaluation, Rev. 1, that governed changes and maintenance of the EP and Emergency Plan Implementing Procedures (EPIPs). The inspectors determined that a formalized program was in place which assigned the responsibility for the development, review, and approval of changes. In addition, revision summary sheets and approval minutes were reviewed for Revision 12 of the EP and change documentation for select EPIPs were reviewed to verify changes were being reviewed in accordance with the procedure governing changes and issued in a timely manner. The changes that were reviewed and discussed with site contacts did not result in a negative impact on the state of emergency preparedness.

The inspectors interviewed an audit team member and reviewed documentation from the annual independent audit (LES Audit 2009-A-04-021) performed during the period May 19 through June 2, 2009, by the quality assurance staff and a contract Emergency Preparedness Technical Specialist. The inspectors determined that the audit checklist was developed using NRC Inspection Procedure 88050 to determine the licensee's program state of readiness for an NRC emergency preparedness operational readiness review. The audit provided an adequate assessment regarding the emergency preparedness state of readiness. The audit team identified twelve recommendations that were being tracked by the licensee as Condition Report (CR) 2009-2328.

(2) Conclusions

Based on documentation reviewed and interviews with members of the licensee's staff, a change control program was in place to assess the impact of changes on the effectiveness of the emergency preparedness program. The changes reviewed by the inspectors did not negatively impact the emergency preparedness program. An independent audit provided an adequate assessment regarding the state of readiness of the emergency preparedness program.

b. Implementing Procedures (F3.02)

(1) Inspection Scope and Observations

The inspectors reviewed EPIPs governing emergency classification, activation of the emergency response organization, training, equipment maintenance, and the assembly and accountability procedures to determine the adequacy of the procedures in the implementation of the EP. The inspectors noted and discussed with the licensee examples where minor procedural details were inconsistent with the EP requirements. In response, the licensee issued CR 2009-4069 to resolve any discrepancies between the EP, operations procedures, and EPIPs. Inspectors reviewed the corrective actions and found the actions acceptable.

The inspectors toured the primary onsite emergency operations center (EOC) and the offsite backup EOC. During the walkthrough at the backup EOC, the inspectors found an incomplete set of EPIPs. When informed, the licensee took immediate prompt actions to remove and replace the incomplete set with a complete set of EPIPs.

(2) Conclusion

Examples were noted and discussed with the site contact where emergency procedures contained guidance that was inconsistent with the Emergency Plan. In response, the licensee took immediate appropriate corrective actions to resolve any discrepancies between the EP, operations procedures, and EPIPs. Inspectors reviewed and determined that the corrective actions were acceptable. In addition, revised procedures that were reviewed did not result in a decrease in the effectiveness of the Plan.

c. Training and Staffing of Emergency Organization (F3.03)

(1) Inspection Scope and Observations

Emergency response training was reviewed to determine if the licensee had a formalized training program and provided training to key response personnel in accordance with Section 7.2 of the EP and various implementing procedures.

The inspectors selected for training reviews the names of individuals assigned to various emergency response organization positions and determined that the appropriate personnel were trained in accordance with Section 7.2 of the EP and procedure EP-3-0300-02, Responder Training, Rev.1. The training consisted of several task specific modules that encompassed the emergency preparedness training program. Inspectors verified that several key positions (i.e. Plant Manager, Emergency Director, radiation protection personnel and fire brigade employees) had received the training as outlined in the training matrix. Inspectors determined that emergency response training documentation (i.e. training outline, exams) and interviews disclosed that training was position-specific and included performance based training via drills, instructions regarding changes to the EP, EPIPs, and lessons learned from industry events. The inspectors also conducted interviews with three individuals assigned as alternate Emergency Directors to assess their familiarity with procedures and the responsibilities of the Emergency Director (i.e. when postulated accident conditions were presented to interviewees and asked to evaluate as the Emergency Director and discuss what actions would be taken, each interviewee made timely and correct emergency classification). No issues were identified.

(2) Conclusion

Training for other key emergency response personnel was performed in accordance with the Plan and training implementing procedure. The combination of drills and instructions pertaining to the Plan and procedure changes provided an adequate level of training to maintain the proficiency of emergency personnel regarding response to postulated site accidents.

d. Offsite Support (F3.04)

(1) Inspection Scope and Observations

Licensee activities in the areas of training, agreements, and exercises, were reviewed to determine if the licensee was periodically involving offsite support groups.

The inspectors met with members of the Eunice Fire and Rescue Department, Hobbs Fire and Rescue, and Lea Regional Medical Center to discuss the interface with the licensee on training, responding to events, and periodic participation in drills. The inspectors also toured the Eunice Fire and Rescue Department, Lea Regional Medical Center and the Hobbs Fire and Rescue department located in Hobbs, New Mexico. The inspectors verified that offsite support groups were aware of the mutual aid agreement in place between the licensee and their facilities. During the December 8 exercise, the inspectors observed that the licensee radios were incompatible with the offsite communications equipment. Additional radios were supplied during the drill to the offsite responders by the licensee. In response to the observation weakness, the licensee took the following steps to provide adequate site interoperability with offsite responders. Eunice and Lea County Sheriff's dispatch were provided a site radio to allow for direct communications with site personnel. The licensee is required to perform daily radio checks with the offsite Sherriff's dispatch offices. Site operations can request a patch be made through the security alarm stations to response agencies during emergencies.

The inspectors reviewed documentation which showed several training sessions were conducted during the period June 2009 through September 2009 involving local and regional support agencies on matters involving emergency preparedness. Agreement letters with the offsite support agencies described in the Plan were current.

(2) Conclusion

Based on documentation and discussions with selected offsite contacts, the site Emergency Preparedness Coordinator was periodically contacting offsite support organizations on matters involving emergency preparedness to include training, site tours, agreement updates, and participation in exercises. The licensee had established mutual aid agreements with local offsite responders.

e. Tests, Drills, and Exercises (F3.05)

(1) Inspection Scope and Observations

The inspectors reviewed documentation for drills and exercises to determine the level of challenges presented to the emergency organization, and to verify that key emergency response personnel were participating as players during drills and/or exercises.

Several accident scenarios from drills and/or table-top exercises were reviewed covering the period October and November 2009, and determined to be realistic based on the proposed site operations and to provide adequate conditions for testing the capability of the emergency response organization to implement the Plan and procedures. Critiques were held following drills and items requiring corrective actions were reviewed by the appropriate disciplines and assigned for corrective action follow up. The inspectors discussed with members of the licensee's staff the system for tracking items identified during drills and exercises and determined that items were maintained in a file referred to as "lessons learned" with plans to incorporate the items into the Action Item Tracking (AIT) system.

(2) Conclusion

The inspectors determined that the accident scenarios postulated for drills and exercises provided adequate challenges to the emergency organization to maintain proficiency in the implementation of the emergency program. The critiques provided candid assessments of the response and items requiring corrective actions were tracked informally as lessons learned to be entered into the AIT system.

f. Emergency Equipment and Facilities (F3.06)

(1) Inspection Scope and Observations

The inspectors examined several locations where emergency equipment was stored to determine whether the emergency response equipment, instrumentation, and supplies were maintained in a state of operational readiness.

The inspectors conducted an inventory of select equipment and supplies for adequacy in responding to various site postulated accidents and observed a performance check on selected equipment to verify the equipment was maintained in a state of readiness. The equipment operated as designed and the licensee took measures to ensure that operability and shelf-life would be maintained on all equipment by incorporating the respective inspection on those items on a periodic frequency. When radiation detection instruments were exposed to a radioactive source, an appropriate response was obtained. No problems were noted with the radiation detection instruments operability or calibration.

(2) Conclusion

Based on operability checks, and a review of surveillance documentation, the emergency response equipment, instrumentation, and supplies were maintained in sufficient quantities and a state of operational readiness.

3.0 Emergency Preparedness (IP 88051) (F4)

a. Inspection Scope and Observations

Section 7.3 of the Emergency Plan required that periodic drills and exercises be conducted to test the adequacy of emergency procedures, emergency equipment, instrumentation, and to ensure that all emergency response personnel were familiar and proficient with their duties. On December 8, 2009, the licensee conducted a full scale exercise at LES NEF to demonstrate the state of readiness at the site and the capability of the onsite emergency response organization to implement the EP and EPIPs in responding with offsite participating agencies to protect the site workers, public, and environment. The licensee submitted the final details on the exercise scenario, scope, and objectives for NRC review in advance of the exercise date. The exercise scenario and objectives were reviewed for adequacy in testing the onsite response capability. The licensee's performance in the implementation of the EP in response to the simulated emergency and the critique to self identify areas of improvement were evaluated. The inspectors observed the licensee's response to the postulated accident from the simulated control room, the incident scene, on-scene command post, and the EOC.

The inspectors compared the exercise scenario to training exercises conducted by the licensee to ensure that the participants were not trained on similar conditions as those postulated for the NRC evaluated exercise. No problems were noted. The exercise scenario simulated an accident involving a uranium hexafluoride (UF_6) cylinder that was damaged as a result of a mechanical failure to a crane and was involved in a fire. The scenario was realistic, well planned, and the use of props at the incident scene enhanced the training experience for responders. Offsite exercise participants included the Eunice Fire and Rescue Department, Hobbs Fire Department, and Lea Regional Medical Center.

The licensee conducted a critique following the exercise which provided players, controllers, evaluators, and observers an opportunity to provide comments. The licensee's critique was an adequate assessment of the response and items requiring improvement or corrective actions were identified.

Within the areas that were evaluated by the NRC, the following negative observations resulted requiring corrective actions**:** no contamination control; poor access control to the incident scene; inadequate response from radiation protection and environmental personnel to respond for sample collection and monitoring; and poor performance by the licensee's fire brigade safety officer. In response to the poor performance, the licensee assigned CR 2009-4055 to perform a small scale drill involving the field team response to demonstrate that adequate capabilities exist in those areas. The licensee was informed that the corrective actions taken in response to the exercise performance would be reviewed during the small scale exercise that was scheduled for January 20, 2010.

The licensee conducted the limited-scope exercise at the LES NEF on January 20, 2010, to demonstrate that corrective actions taken in response to the negative performance observations identified during the full scale exercise discussed above were effective, and the emergency response organization and equipment were maintained in a state of readiness to implement the EP and EPIPs to protect the site workers, public, and environment. The scenario for the limited scope exercise postulated a small plane

crash into a building resulting in a simulated fire and a UF_6 release offsite. The inspectors observed the licensee's response at the incident scene and determined that the licensee demonstrated adequate contamination control, positive access control to the incident scene, adequate response by the radiological and environmental monitoring teams, and adequate command and control from the fire brigade leader who also was the fire brigade safety officer for the onsite responders. The inspectors considered the licensee's corrective actions effective in resolving those areas that were identified as poor performance during the initial full scale drill performed on December 8, 2009.

Also, during the limited scale exercise, the licensee conducted a site-wide accountability drill to demonstrate their ability to evacuate and account for all onsite personnel. The inspectors observed the accountability drill from the construction site and from the external staff office locations. The inspectors observed that the employees responded appropriately by either sheltering in place or sheltering at the assigned assembly areas as instructed. In both locations employees were observed communicating their location to the appropriate personnel at the respective assembly locations. The licensee also revised procedure EP-3-0200-06, Assembly and Personnel Accountability to require annual site-wide accountability drills in accordance with commitments in their licensing basis documents.

Conclusion

The licensee completed corrective actions in response to the full-scale exercise held December 8, 2009, and conducted a limited scope exercise on January 20, 2010. Based on observations from the limited scope exercise, the corrective actions resolved previous performance observations and resulted in an adequate response to the postulated accident conditions.

4.0 Exit Interview

The inspection scope and results were summarized on December 10, 2009, January 21 and February 18, 2010, with those persons indicated in the Attachment. Although proprietary documents and processes were occasionally reviewed during this inspection, the proprietary nature of these documents or processes has been deleted from this report. No dissenting comments were received from the licensee.

ATTACHMENT

1. List of Persons Contacted

Louisiana Energy Services, L.L.C., National Enrichment Facility (LES NEF):

S. Cowne, Deputy Vice President of Operations
J. Dahlin, Emergency Preparedness Manager
D. Dotson, Licensing Manager
M. Machia, Emergency Preparedness Training Specialist
P. McCasland, Licensing Engineer
C. Market, Operations Manager
J. Marchi, Quality Assurance
W. Padgett, Licensing Engineer
D. Pachulski, Emergency Preparedness Coordinator
J. Reed, VP of Operations
G. Sanford, Director of Quality and Regulatory Affairs
R. Schumacher, Plant Controls and Systems
G. Smith, Chief Operating Officer and Chief Nuclear Officer
A. Sorrell, Plant Support
P. Thurman, Radiation Protection and Environmental Manager

Other licensee employees contacted included engineers, technicians, security, and office personnel.

Other

R. Grogan, Chief, Eunice Fire and Rescue Department
D. Cueto, Captain, Eunice Fire and Rescue Department
Scott Norred, Lea/Hobbs Medical Center
John Watson, Hobbs Fire and Rescue Department

2. Inspection Procedures Used

IP 88050	Emergency Preparedness
IP 88051	Evaluation of Exercises and Drills

3. List of Items Opened, Closed, and Discussed

No items were identified or discussed

4. List of Acronyms Used

AIT	Action Item Tracking
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CR	Condition Report
EOC	Emergency Operations Center
EP	Emergency Plan
EPIPs	Emergency Plan Implementing Procedures

IP	Inspection Procedure
LES	Louisiana Energy Services
LLC	Limited Liability Company
NEF	National Enrichment Facility
NRC	Nuclear Regulatory Commission
UF_6	Uranium Hexafluoride
Rev.	Revision
RII	Region 2

5. Records and Documents Reviewed

EP-3-0100-01	Activation of the Emergency Response Organization, Revision 1
EP-3-0100-02	Shift Manager/Emergency Director, Revision 1
EP-3-0200-01	Classification of Emergency Events, Revision 1
EP-3-0200-02	Notification of Offsite Agencies, Revision 3
EP-3-0200-04	Environmental Monitoring and Sampling
EP-3-0200-05	Emergency Exposure Control, Revision 1
EP-3-0200-06	Assembly and Personnel Accountability, Revision 3
EP-3-0300-04	Emergency Preparedness Inventory Control Revision 1
EP-3-0300-05	Communications Systems and Operational, Revision 2
EP-3-0300-02	Responder Training, Revision 1
EP-3-1000-02	10 CFR 70.32(i) 10 CFR 40.35(f) Change Evaluation, Revision 0
EP-4-1000-01	LES Duty Manager Assignment, Revision 0
EP-4-1000-02	RASCAL User Guide, Revision 0
OP-3-2000-03	Medical Response, Revision 2
RP-3-2000-07	Personal Contamination Events, Revision 3

6. Audits/Surveillances

LES Audit 2009-A-04-021

7. LES Condition Reports

CR 2009-4045
CR 2009-4052
CR 2009 4054
CR 2009- 4055
CR 2009- 4056
CR 2009-4062
CR 2009-4063
CR 2009-4066
CR 2009-4068
CR 2009 4069
CR 2009-4070
CR 2010-0211
CR 2009-4071
CR 2010-0104
CR 2010-0193
CR 2010-0203
CR 2010-0205
CR 2010-0207
CR 2010-0218
CR 2010-0219
CR 2010- 0225
CR 2010-0226
CR 2010-0227
CR 2010-0216
CR 2010-0212
CR 2010-0213
CR 2010-0214
CR 2009-2328
CR 2010-0210
CR 2010-0209