

Sollenberger, Dennis

FSME

From: Torre Taylor
Sent: Monday, April 13, 2009 2:39 PM
To: Dennis Sollenberger /
Cc: Duncan White
Subject: FW: Briefing of Marty on NJ Commissioner Paper

FYI; the 4 points Jim gives is mostly right - I need to correct #3; #4 is more related to the 274i agreement and that they are acting within the purview of that agreement

From: James Luehman
Sent: Monday, April 13, 2009 1:55 PM
To: George Pangburn; Robert Lewis; Terrence Reis
Cc: Duncan White; Torre Taylor
Subject: Briefing of Marty on NJ Commissioner Paper

Torre and I met with Marty to briefly discuss the content of the NJ Commission paper. Torre did a great job covering the issues such as Shieldaloy and the NARM waiver. What Marty's seemed most interested in getting out of the meeting was assurance that NJ's aggressive (my word not his) posture related to issues like Shieldaloy and the Oyster Creek drywall corrosion have not spilled over into the materials program. He wanted assurance that they understand the bounds of what will be in agreement and will regulate within those boundaries and not stray into areas that remain with the NRC. I think we satisfied that concern to the extent that it can be satisfied at this point.

Our take-away was to ensure that Charlie's periodic briefing materials on the NJ paper emphasize four points to Commissioners:

1. The NARM waiver "gap" and in general how we are addressing it.
2. The need for the Commission to act on the paper quickly so we get comments and can keep on schedule so that, in part, the above gap is no longer than it has to be.
3. While Shieldaloy by name will not be in the paper, complex decommissioning sites will be and we can expect comments on the issue.
4. I don't know how exactly we want to frame this but he wants the Commission to be reminded that NJ continues to be aggressive (again my word not his) in challenging the NRC whether it be on Oyster Creek, Shieldaloy or regulation of tritium exit signs.

G/55